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SCIENTIFIC OPINION

Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006

EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA)

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

ABSTRACT

Following an application from Roxlor Nutra LLC, submitted pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 via the Competent Authority of Belgium, the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA) was asked to deliver an opinion on the scientific substantiation of a health claim related to Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility. The Panel considers that Cynatine®, which is a keratin powder extracted from sheep wool, is sufficiently characterised. The claimed effect proposed by the applicant refers to “joint flexibility”. The Panel considers that maintenance of normal joint mobility is a beneficial physiological effect. The applicant presented one unpublish intervention study as being pertinent to the health claim. The Panel notes that the study was specifically designed to identify patients with clinical diagnosis of osteoarthritis, and considers that normal cells and tissues are genetically (gene expression) and functionally different from osteoarthritic cells and tissues, and therefore may respond differently to interventions with exogenous substances. In addition, the mechanisms involved in the onset and/or progression of osteoarthritis are largely unknown. It would have to be established, therefore, that an intervention which has an effect on the progression of the disease (in patients with osteoarthritis) would also have an effect on its onset (subjects without the disease). The Panel considers that no conclusions can be drawn from this study for the scientific substantiation of the claim. The Panel concludes that a cause and effect relationship has not been established between the consumption of Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility.
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SUMMARY
Following an application from Roxlor Nutra LLC, submitted pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 via the Competent Authority of Belgium, the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA) was asked to deliver an opinion on the scientific substantiation of a health claim related to Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility.

The scope of the application was proposed to fall under a health claim based on newly developed scientific evidence and including a request for the protection of proprietary data.

The food that is the subject of the health claim is Cynatine®, which is a keratin powder extracted from sheep wool. The Panel considers that Cynatine® is sufficiently characterised.

The claimed effect proposed by the applicant refers to “joint flexibility”. The target population proposed by the applicant is healthy adults, and in particular healthy individuals with “sensitive joints”. The Panel considers that the health claim refers to the maintenance of joint mobility in subjects without chronic joint diseases, and does not include the treatment of chronic joint diseases. The Panel considers that maintenance of normal joint mobility is a beneficial physiological effect.

The applicant presented one unpublished human intervention study as being pertinent to the health claim.

The study was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled parallel trial in which 50 subjects with clinical diagnosis of osteoarthritis of the knee were randomised to consume daily 500 mg of Cynatine FLX™ or placebo for 60 days. Inclusion criteria were 25-75 years of age, unilateral or bilateral osteoarthritis of the knee according to the American College of Rheumatology clinical criteria for the classification of idiopathic osteoarthritis of the knee, a total Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) osteoarthritis score of 15-75 (the worst score being 96) in the target knee, and ability to walk unassisted.

The Panel notes that the study was specifically designed to identify patients with clinical diagnosis of osteoarthritis, and considers that normal cells and tissues are genetically (gene expression) and functionally different from osteoarthritic cells and tissues, and therefore may respond differently to interventions with exogenous substances. In addition, the mechanisms involved in the onset and/or progression of osteoarthritis are largely unknown. It would have to be established, therefore, that an intervention which has an effect on the progression of the disease (in patients with osteoarthritis) would also have an effect on its onset (subjects without the disease). The Panel considers that no conclusions can be drawn from this study for the scientific substantiation of the claim.

The Panel notes that no studies from which conclusions could be drawn for the scientific substantiation of the claim were provided by the applicant.

The Panel concludes that a cause and effect relationship has not been established between the consumption of Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility.
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BACKGROUND

Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 harmonises the provisions that relate to nutrition and health claims, and establishes rules governing the Community authorisation of health claims made on foods. As a rule, health claims are prohibited unless they comply with the general and specific requirements of this Regulation, are authorised in accordance with this Regulation, and are included in the lists of authorised claims provided for in Articles 13 and 14 thereof. In particular, Article 13(5) of this Regulation lays down provisions for the addition of claims (other than those referring to the reduction of disease risk and to children’s development and health) which are based on newly developed scientific evidence, or which include a request for the protection of proprietary data, to the Community list of permitted claims referred to in Article 13(3).

According to Article 18 of this Regulation, an application for inclusion in the Community list of permitted claims referred to in Article 13(3) shall be submitted by the applicant to the national competent authority of a Member State, which will make the application and any supplementary information supplied by the applicant available to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

STEPS TAKEN BY EFSA

- The application was received on 04/05/2012.
- The scope of the application was proposed to fall under a health claim based on newly developed scientific evidence and including a request for the protection of proprietary data.
- On 31/05/2012, during the validation process of the application, EFSA sent a request to the applicant to provide missing information.
- The applicant provided the missing information on 10/07/2012.
- The scientific evaluation procedure started on 17/07/2012.
- On 26/10/2012, the Working Group on Claims of the NDA Panel agreed on a list of questions for the applicant to provide additional information to accompany the application, and the clock was stopped on 01/11/2012, in compliance with Article 18(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.
- On 07/11/2012, EFSA received the requested information as submitted by the applicant and the clock was restarted, in compliance with Article 18(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.
- During its meeting on 28/11/2012, the NDA Panel, having evaluated the data submitted, adopted an opinion on the scientific substantiation of a health claim related to Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

EFSA is requested to evaluate the scientific data submitted by the applicant in accordance with Article 16(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. On the basis of that evaluation, EFSA will issue an opinion on the scientific substantiation of a health claim related to: Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility.

EFSA DISCLAIMER

The present opinion does not constitute, and cannot be construed as, an authorisation for the marketing of Cynatine®, a positive assessment of its safety, nor a decision on whether Cynatine® is, or not responsible for the accuracy of the content.

---

is not, classified as a foodstuff. It should be noted that such an assessment is not foreseen in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.

It should also be highlighted that the scope, the proposed wording of the claim, and the conditions of use as proposed by the applicant may be subject to changes, pending the outcome of the authorisation procedure foreseen in Article 18(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.
Información proporcionada por la empresa solicitante

Nombre y dirección del solicitante: Roxlor Nutra LLC, 1013 Centre Rd. Suite 106, Wilmington, DE-USA 19805.

La solicitud incluye la solicitud de protección de datos confidenciales para un estudio no publicado (Wilson y Veghte, 2010), de acuerdo con el Artículo 21 del Reglamento (CE) No 1924/2006.

Composición del alimento/constituyente tal como se indica por la empresa solicitante

Según la empresa solicitante, Cynatine®, que es un polvo de keratina extraído de la lana de oveja.

Relación de salud tal como se pretende por la empresa solicitante

Según la empresa solicitante, la salud se refiere al apoyo a la flexibilidad de los huesos.

Expresión de la solicitud de salud tal como se propone por la empresa solicitante

La empresa solicitante ha propuesto la siguiente expresión para la solicitud de salud:

"El consumo diario de 500 mg de Cynatine® ayuda a apoyar la flexibilidad de los huesos."

La siguiente alternativa de expresión fue propuesta: "ayuda a apoyar la función de los huesos al reducir el dolor y la rigidez".

Condiciones específicas de uso tal como se propone por la empresa solicitante

La empresa solicitante ha propuesto un consumo diario de 500 mg durante 60 días consecutivos de Cynatine®, a consumir con un vaso grande de agua. El público objetivo es adultos sanos, y en particular, personas sanas con "huesos sensibles".

AVALUACIÓN

1. Caracterización del alimento/constituyente

El alimento que es el tema de la solicitud de salud es Cynatine®, que es un polvo de keratina extraído de la lana de oveja.

Cynatine® contiene 72 g de proteína, 25.8 g de ceniza y 2.2 g de humedad por 100 g del producto comercial. La proteína se extrae de la lana de oveja nueva Zelanda y se hidroliza en proteínas mediante enzimas, siguiendo un proceso de fabricación patentado (WO 03/011894 A1). La empresa供给 entradas sobre el perfil de aminoácidos típico y la distribución de tamaño de las proteínas, así como la información sobre el proceso de fabricación, la variabilidad de lote a lote, la estabilidad y la microbiología, lo que fue proporcionado.

El Panel considera que el alimento, Cynatine®, que es el tema de la solicitud de salud, es suficientemente caracterizado.

2. Relevancia del efecto pretendido para la salud humana

El efecto pretendido por la empresa se refiere a “flexibilidad de los huesos”. El público objetivo propuesto por la empresa son adultos sanos, y en particular, personas sanas con “huesos sensibles”. “Huesos sensibles” fue definido por la empresa como “huesos en los que se presenta cierto nivel de incomodidad"
(pain, stiffness or otherwise) is felt, but it is not to the point where the discomfort is debilitating or requires the use of medication”. The applicant also clarified that “it would not include any individuals who have been diagnosed as having any specific joint disease which would define them as unhealthy individuals”.

The Panel considers that the health claim refers to the maintenance of joint mobility in subjects without chronic joint diseases, and does not include the treatment of chronic joint diseases.

The Panel considers that maintenance of normal joint mobility is a beneficial physiological effect.

3. Scientific substantiation of the claimed effect

The applicant performed a literature search in PubMed, ScienceDirect, Google, Google Scholar, IBIDS, Scopus and Scirus using the search terms [(“Cynatine®®) AND (“osteoarthritis” OR “joint” OR “rheumatoid arthritis”)] to identify studies conducted with at least 500 mg/day of Cynatine®. No published studies were found. The Panel notes the limited scope of the literature search performed.

The applicant presented one unpublished human intervention study as being pertinent to the health claim (Wilson and Veghte, 2010, unpublished, claimed as proprietary by the applicant).

The study (Wilson and Veghte, 2010, unpublished) was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled parallel trial in which 50 subjects with clinical diagnosis of osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee were randomised to consume daily 500 mg of Cynatine FLX™ (n=25, 18 females, 52.6±10.0 years) or placebo (maltodextrin; n=25, 14 females, 52.3±9.5 years) for 60 days. Inclusion criteria were 25-75 years of age, unilateral or bilateral OA of the knee according to the American College of Rheumatology clinical criteria for the classification of idiopathic OA of the knee (i.e. knee pain plus at least three out of the following six: age >50 years, stiffness <30 min, crepitus, bony tenderness, bony enlargement, no palpable warmth), a total Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) osteoarthritis score of 15-75 (the worst score being 96) in the target knee, and ability to walk unassisted (may use walking stick, crutch or knee brace). Exclusion criteria were use on a regular basis of prescription drugs other than acetaminophen (paracetamol) to control pain, and use of oral or topical prescription or over-the-counter medications (other than acetaminophen), or of “natural” products for pain relief, 48 hours prior to randomisation or during the trial.

During the scientific evaluation, the Panel noted that the clinical tool used for the screening of the study subjects was designed to identify subjects with OA of the knee (Altman et al., 1986). EFSA highlighted to the applicant that the available scientific evidence does not establish that results obtained in patients with OA relating to the treatment of symptoms of this disease (e.g. erosion of articular cartilage, and reduced mobility of joints) can be extrapolated to the target population (subjects without the disease) for a claim on joint function (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2012). In reply, the applicant argued that the lack of radiological confirmation of OA, and that acetaminophen was sufficient to control pain for the duration of the study could indicate that most of the subjects enrolled were healthy. The applicant also argued that even if the study population included some cases of OA, the results could be extrapolated to the target population for the claim because all subjects were able to live normal lives without medication.

The Panel notes that the study was specifically designed to identify patients with clinical diagnosis of OA rather than to exclude them, and considers that normal cells and tissues are genetically (gene expression) and functionally different from osteoarthritic cells and tissues, and therefore may respond differently to interventions with exogenous substances (FDA, 2004a,b). In addition, the mechanisms involved in the onset and/or progression of OA are largely unknown. It would have to be established, therefore, that an intervention which has an effect on the progression of the disease (in patients with OA) would also have an effect on its onset (subjects without the disease) (FDA, 2004a,b). The Panel
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considers that no conclusions can be drawn from this study for the scientific substantiation of the claim.

The Panel notes that no studies from which conclusions could be drawn for the scientific substantiation of the claim were provided by the applicant.

The Panel concludes that a cause and effect relationship has not been established between the consumption of Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility.

CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the data presented, the Panel concludes that:

- The food, Cynatine®, which is the subject of the health claim, is sufficiently characterised.
- The claimed effect proposed by the applicant refers to “joint flexibility”. The target population proposed by the applicant is healthy adults, and in particular healthy individuals with “sensitive joints”. The health claim refers to the maintenance of joint mobility in subjects without chronic joint diseases, and does not include the treatment of chronic joint diseases. Maintenance of normal joint mobility is a beneficial physiological effect.
- A cause and effect relationship has not been established between the consumption of Cynatine® and maintenance of normal joint mobility.

DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED TO EFSA
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GLOSSARY/ABBREVIATIONS

OA Osteoarthritis

WOMAC Western Ontario and McMaster Universities