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High-Quality and -Quantity DNA Extraction from Frozen Archival Blood Clots for Genotyping of Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms

Steffen Bank,1,2 Bjørn Andersen Nexø,2 Vibeke Andersen,1,3,4 Ulla Vogel,5,6 and Paal Skytt Andersen7

Background: The recovery of biological samples for genetic epidemiological studies can be cumbersome. Blood clots are routinely collected for serological examinations. However, the extraction of DNA from blood clots can be difficult and often results in low yields. Aim: The aim was to compare the efficiency of commercial purification kits for extracting DNA from long-term frozen clotted blood. Methods: Serum tubes with clotted blood were stored at −20°C for 1 to 2.5 years before DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from 10 blood clot samples using PureGene (Qiagen) with and without glycogen, the QIAMP DNA Micro kit (Qiagen), and the Nucleospin 96 Blood kit (Macherey-Nagel). Furthermore, blood clots from 1055 inflammatory bowel disease patients were purified using the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit (Promega). The DNA was extracted according to the manufacturers’ instructions and real-time PCR and the A260/A280 ratio were used to evaluate the quality of the extracted DNA. Results: The highest DNA yield was obtained by the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit (Promega) with a median of 4.90 μg (range 0.8–25 μg) per 300 μL total blood. PureGene with glycogen (Qiagen) had the second highest yield with a median of 0.65 μg (range 0.5–2.6 μg) per 300 μL total blood. Conclusion: The yield obtained by the different commercial kits varied considerably. Our work demonstrates that high-quality and -quantity DNA can be extracted with the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit (Promega) from cryopreserved blood clots, even after prolonged storage. The recovered DNA served as a reliable PCR template for single-nucleotide polymorphism assays.

Introduction

Epidemiological studies often require many participants and the recovery of biological samples can be cumbersome. A highly neglected source of DNA potentially useful for genetic studies is the blood clot remaining in serum tubes from blood samples routinely collected for serum chemistry examinations or for recovery of mononuclear cells. Recovery of DNA from the blood clot is a significant challenge due to troublesome handling and suboptimal outcome (Niku et al., 1987; Iovannisci et al., 2006; Se Fum et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2010; Lundblom et al., 2011).

In clinical laboratories, blood clots are normally discarded after serological and viral testing (Adkins et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2010). If DNA could easily be extracted with high yield from long-term frozen serum tubes, the storage of blood clots in serum tubes could be a convenient method for collecting DNA for retrospective studies without collection of additional blood samples.

In this study, we compared the efficiency of four commercially available kits for extracting DNA from frozen archived clotted blood.

Materials and Methods

Test samples

Blood samples were sent to the Statens Serum Institut (SSI) in two 10-mL serum-separation tubes from Danish hospitals intended for tuberculosis quantiferon testing (Cellestis). The blood was collected at SSI from 01.09.2009 to 30.03.2011. Blood from 9217 patients were collected and...
1055 of the blood samples were from patients with inflammatory bowel disease. The residual blood was frozen at ~20°C and DNA was extracted in February 2012.

DNA extraction

Four different commercial purification kits were used to extract DNA from different volumes of the coagulated blood samples as shown in Table 1:

1. Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit (Promega),
2. PureGene (Qiagen) with and without glycogen,
3. QIAamp DNA Micro kit (Qiagen), and

The frozen blood clot was thawed at room temperature for 15 min and 100 to 300 μL total blood was extracted (Table 1) without being homogenized or otherwise pretreated. The DNA was extracted according to the manufacturers’ instructions and dissolved in ddH2O.

DNA yield and quality

Two microliter purified DNA was used to measure the DNA concentration and the purity (A260/A280) of the extractions by NanoDrop-1000 (Thermo Scientific).

The extracted DNA was genotyped by KBioscience and 48 samples were used for genome-wide screen on Immunochip, an Illumina Infinium genotyping chip containing 196 524 polymorphisms (718 small insertion deletions, 195 806 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)) (Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Kiel, Germany).

The SNPs genotyped by KBioscience were TLR2 (rs4696480, rs1816702, rs1938228, rs3804099), TLR4 (rs12577632, rs8003072, rs1554973), LY96 (MD-2) (rs11465996), CD14 (rs2569190), TLR5 (rs7544168), TLR9 (rs187084, rs352139), TNFA (TNF-α) (rs1800629, rs1800630, rs1799724, rs361525), TNFRSF1A (rs4149570), TGFB1 (rs1800469), IL1B (rs1143623, rs4848306, rs1143627), IL1RN (rs4251961), IL4R (rs1805010), IL6 (rs10499563), IL6R (rs4537545), IL10 (rs1800872, rs3024505), IL17A (rs2275913), IL23R (rs11209026), PTPN22 (rs2476601), PPARC (rs1801282), NFKB1 (rs28362491), NFKBIA (IkBa) (rs696, rs17103265), SUMO4 (rs2370255), TNFAIP3 (rs6927172), MAP3K14 (rs722094), IFNG (rs2430561), and NLRP3 (rs4612666).

Statistical analysis

An independent t-test was used to determine whether the yield of DNA from blood samples cryopreserved 2 to 2.5 years differed from blood samples cryopreserved 1 to 1.5 years and to compare the yield from the four purification kits. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 11 (STATA Corp.).

Results

DNA yield

The DNA yields by the different purification kits are shown in Table 1. The highest yields were obtained using the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit with a median of 4.9 μg (range 0.8–25 μg) pr 300 μL total blood followed by PureGene with glycogen with a median of 0.65 μg (range 0.5–2.6 μg) pr 300 μL total blood (p < 0.001).

DNA quality

High-quality DNA was obtained with the different purification methods as shown by the A260/A280 ratio and real-time PCR (Table 1).

Genotyping results

The number of correct calls was >99% for all of the extraction methods as described in Table 1. In a pilot study, 48 DNA samples purified by the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit were hybridized to an Illumina Infinium genotyping chip demonstrating that both quantity and quality of the DNA were sufficiently high to allow for genome-wide studies (personal communication).

Length of storage

The length of time the blood clots were stored did not have a significant effect on the DNA yield (p = 0.47).

Discussion

The Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit had a substantially higher yield than the other commercially available kits tested as shown in Table 1. The median yield of 4.9 μg DNA from 300 μL frozen archived blood clots was almost comparable to the 10 μg of DNA yield that can be expected from 300 μL whole blood (Chacon-Cortes et al., 2012). The real-time PCR results and the A260/A280 ratio show that the DNA purified with the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit was of high quality.

Table 1. Yield and A260/A280 ratio of DNA extracted from cryopreserved clotted blood using commercial kits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Blood (μL)</th>
<th>μg (median)</th>
<th>Range (μg)</th>
<th>μg/mL blood (median)</th>
<th>A260/A280 (median)</th>
<th>Range (A260/A280)</th>
<th>Real-time PCR Successful/Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit (Promega)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>0.8–25</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.66–2.00</td>
<td>40633/41145 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PureGene with glycogen (Qiagen)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.5–2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.42–1.68</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PureGene without glycogen (Qiagen)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.2–1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.24–1.68</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIAamp DNA Micro kit (Qiagen)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.2–1.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.50–2.02</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleospin 96 Blood kit (Macherey-Nagel)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.1–0.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.73–2.60</td>
<td>6/6 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DNA was extracted from 1055 blood clot samples and 39 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were genotyped.

*Can be scaled to larger volumes.
quality. Furthermore, a pilot study indicated that the DNA could also be used in genome-wide screening.

There are other inhouse or modified commercial methods available for extraction of DNA from clotted blood, which are more cost effective than the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit and some have a similar yield (Siafakas et al., 1995; Garg et al., 1996; Salazar et al., 1998; Basuni et al., 2000; Schunemann et al., 2000; Adkins et al., 2002; Iovannisci et al., 2006; Se Fum et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2010; Lundblom et al., 2011). However, the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit is the only commercially available kit, which works out of the box with high-quality and -quantity DNA yields. Furthermore, the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit requires very little hands on time as the method is automated, it does not require experienced laboratory technicians and 64 to 96 samples can be processed in a work day. Thus, it is well suited for high-throughput purification of a large number of samples.

The DNA yield obtained with any of the commercially available kits tested varied considerably among individuals. Salazar et al. (1998) found a correlation between leukocyte counts in blood and DNA concentrations. Unfortunately, we did not register the leukocyte counts and therefore cannot perform a similar correlation analysis. Adkins et al. (2002) has suggested that the variation in yield was due to individual variation in blood clot formation.

The DNA yield did not depend on how long the blood was cryopreserved (p = 0.47), which is supported by Iovannisci et al. (2006) who also stored blood clots for up to 2.5 years without an effect on yield. According to Garg et al. (1996), the freezing of the blood clots had no measurable effect on the quantity or purity of the DNA extracted. These results show that DNA can be efficiently extracted from cryopreserved samples, even after prolonged storage.

Iovannisci et al. (2006) showed that blood clots stored for up to 12 days at 4°C before freezing still gave high yields. However, Se Fum et al. reported a 100-fold decrease in yield after storage of blood clots for 1 month at 4°C compared to immediate extraction showing that archived blood clots have to be frozen (Se Fum et al., 2007).

In conclusion, high-quality and -quantity DNA can be extracted using the Maxwell 16 Blood purification kit from cryopreserved archived blood clots. Maxwell is more expensive than other in-house or modified methods, but it is simple, fast, and reliable for obtaining high quantities of DNA. Thus, frozen archived blood clots can be used as a source of DNA in cases where serum is routinely collected for clinical tests.
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