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ABSTRACT: The management of Greenlandic municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) fly ash could be improved. Presently, the fly ash is disposed of in Norway as the fly ash is classified as hazardous waste. Fly ash contains high amounts of leachable heavy metals, but also resources that could be beneficial for reuse. In electrodialytic remediation a direct current is applied to a contaminated particulate material to remove heavy metals from the material. In this study, electrodialytic remediation was applied to a Greenlandic MSWI fly ash from a small waste incinerator in Ilulissat. The fly ash was characterized before and after the electrodialytic remediation experiments for heavy metals content, amount of soluble heavy metals and pH. Before the electrodialytic experiments the water solubility of Ba, Cr and Pb was high from the alkaline fly ash. Six electrodialytic remediation experiments were made, where the fly ash was suspended in distilled water in different liquid to solid (L/S) ratios. Remediation times of 7 and 14 days were tested and the current strength was 50 mA in all experiments. The highest removal was seen when an acidic pH in the fly ash suspension was obtained. In an experiment lasting 14 days with L/S 10, up to 60 % Cd, 45 % Zn, 20 % Ni and Ba was removed. Regardless of the remediation time and L/S ratio, the fraction of soluble Ba, Cr and Pb decreased due to the electrodialytic remediation. The electrodialytic remediation method showed potential as a treatment method for the Greenlandic fly ash.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In Greenland, waste has traditionally been dumped at open disposal sites combined with uncontrolled incineration. In the mid 1990’es, the first waste strategy was implemented in Greenland. As a result municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) plants were introduced in the 2000s in the six largest Greenlandic towns: Nuuk, Sisimiut, Ilulissat, Aasiaat, Maniitsoq and Qaqortoq. The incinerators should primarily minimize the amount of waste at the disposal sites, but also introduced heat recovery for the district heating systems. The plants are smaller and simpler than traditional European waste incinerators and have a capacity between 2,000 – 10,000 tons annually (Eisted and Christensen, 2011), which in contrast is a typical daily capacity in larger European incinerators. Mainly household waste is incinerated, whereas incombustible waste is disposed of at the
uncontrolled disposal sites, and hazardous waste and reusable metal is stored at the disposal sites before being shipped to Denmark for further treatment. Separation of household waste is very limited. Fly ash, bottom ash and flue gas are the resulting residues from MSWI, which contain the inorganic content of the incinerated waste in concentrated form, and especially fly ash is highly toxic.

The Greenlandic MSWI plants have simple flue gas treatment, removing fly ash particles by a cyclone and/or an electrostatic precipitator. MSWI fly ash is highly toxic due to the content of toxic elements and salts and their leaching behavior. Therefore, disposal of fly ash directly on the uncontrolled Greenlandic disposal sites is prohibited. Up to 900 tons of toxic MSWI fly ash is every year deposited in Norway, since no Greenlandic disposal sites are safe for disposal of this hazardous material.

Apart from being potentially toxic, the MSWI residues also contain resources and valuable elements that could be reused. Previously, bottom ash from Greenlandic waste incinerators has shown potential for reuse as subbase material in roads (Kirkelund et al., 2012). Fly ash could also be reused but requires pre-treatment to lower the toxicity (Quina et al., 2008), and until now no treatment method has been politically accepted for treating the hazardous fly ash. If the Greenlandic fly ash could be treated it would contribute to solving the expensive problem of disposal abroad. A way to lower the toxicity of the fly ash is to remove heavy metals. This could be done by electrodialytic remediation. A sketch of the electrodialytic remediation laboratory set-up is shown in Figure 1.

![Electrodialytic laboratory cell](image)

Figure 1: The electrodialytic laboratory cell. AN – anion exchange membrane, CAT – cation exchange membrane.

In electrodialytic remediation, an electric DC field is applied to a fly ash suspension (compartment II) and heavy metals are released as ions from the fly ash by both
dissolution and an acidification process. The released metal ions are transported out of the fly ash suspension towards the electrode of opposite charge and concentrated in the electrolytes (compartments I and III). The fly ash suspension compartment is separated from the electrolyte compartments by ion-exchange membranes, which ensure that the main ion transport occurs out of the fly ash suspension. During the electrodialytic remediation process, the fly ash suspension becomes acidified (Ottosen et al., 2000, Pedersen et al., 2005, Nystroem et al., 2005). The acidification is mainly induced by water-splitting at the anion exchange membrane (Ottosen et al., 2000), although some of the acid may also originate from the anolyte, since anion exchange membranes are not 100% ideal. Further, cation exchange can occur over the cation exchange membrane where H⁺ ions from the catholyte can exchange with other ions from the concentration compartment. The acidification process is usually advantageous since most heavy metals are mobilized at low pH.

The aim of this study was to evaluate if electrodialytic remediation can be used to remove metals (Al, As, Ba, Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn) from Greenlandic fly ash, and to evaluate the remediation efficiency and metal solubility before and after remediation.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Fly Ash

Fly ash from the MSWI incineration plant in Ilulissat, Greenland was used in this study. The incineration temperature is 850 °C and the incineration plant runs on weekdays. The fly ash was collected after treatment of flue gas in electrostatic filters and kept at room temperature in a sealed container until use. Approximately 3,000 tons of waste is incinerated annually in Ilulissat, which leads to a fly ash production of about 30 tons annually (Gunnarsdottir et al., 2008, Eisted and Christensen, 2011).

2.2 Analytical Methods

Heavy metal concentrations (As, Ba, Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) in the fly ash were measured by ICP-OES (induced coupled plasma – optical emission spectrometry) after pre-treatment by Danish Standard DS259 (Dansk Standardiseringsråd, 2003), where 1 g of fly ash and 20 ml 7.3 M HNO₃ were heated at 200 kPa (120°C) for 30 min. The liquid was thereafter separated by vacuum filtration through a 45 μm filter and diluted to 100 ml. The digestions were made in triplicates. The units used in this paper are mg/kg for concentrations in dry matter.

The amount of soluble heavy metals in distilled water was measured by mixing 1 g fly ash and 40 ml distilled water. The suspensions were shaken for 72 hours on a horizontal shaker operating at 250 rpm and the extractions were made in duplicates. The extractants were filtrated through 45 μm and acidified if pH > 2 by addition of concentrated HNO₃ before measurements of metals by ICP-OES.
Water content was measured by weight loss at 105°C for 24 h. Loss on ignition (LOI) was measured at 550°C for one hour. The pH was measured in 1 M KCl at a liquid-to-solid ratio (L/S) of 5 and after 1 hour of agitation, by a Radiometer Analytical pH electrode. The amount of water soluble fly ash was estimated as mass reduction when mixing 1 g fly ash with 20 ml distilled water, which was agitated for 24 hours.

2.3 Electrodialytic Experiments

Six electrodialytic laboratory experiments were made and experimental conditions are seen in Table 1. All experiments were made in room temperature.

Table 1: Experimental conditions for the electrodialytic experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>L/S</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During all experiments a constant current of 50 mA was applied. The experimental cell is seen in Figure 1. The cell was made of Plexiglas, compartment II was 10 cm long and had an internal diameter of 8 cm. The electrolyte compartments (I and III) were separated by either cation (CAT) or anion (AN) exchange membranes according to the setup shown in figure 1, to concentrate the removed metals in compartments I and III. The ion exchange membranes were from Ionics (anion exchange membrane 204 SZRA B02249C and cation exchange membrane CR67 HUY N12116B). The electrolytes were 0.01 M NaNO₃ adjusted to pH < 2 with 1:1 HNO₃ and 500 mL electrolyte circulated in compartments I and III. “Pan World” pumps with a flow rate of 2.6 L min⁻¹ were used to circulate the electrolytes. Platinum coated titanium electrodes from Permascand were used as working electrodes and a power supply (Hewlett Packard E3612A) was used to maintain a constant DC current.

The middle compartment (II) contained the fly ash suspension. The suspension consisted of 37.5-75 g fly ash and 400 ml distilled water. The fly ash was kept suspended during the experiments by constant stirring with a plastic-flap attached to a glass-stick and connected to an overhead stirrer (RW11basic from IKA). pH and electrical conductivity were measured in the fly ash suspension daily.

After the electrodialytic experiments, the fly ash suspension was filtered through a 45 μm filter and the heavy metal content was measured in the filtered liquid and the fly ash solids. The amount of soluble heavy metal in distilled water was also measured. This analysis could not be made for the fly ash from experiment 6 as there was limited amount of ash after this experiment. The stirrer, membranes and electrodes were rinsed in acid, to
measure the amount of heavy metals adsorbed onto these. The heavy metal content was also measured in the electrolytes. The electrolytes and the filtered liquid from the middle compartment were preserved by adding concentrated HNO$_3$ in the ratio 1:4 and heated at 200 kPa (120 °C) for 30 min. All the samples were measured by ICP-OES.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Fly ash characteristics

The results of the fly ash characterization showed a highly alkaline fly ash with various concentrations of metals (Table 2). Al, Pb and Zn were present in the highest concentrations, which is typical for fly ash (Eighmy et al., 1995). The metal content was in the order of: Al > Pb, Zn > Ba, Mn > Cd, Cr, Cu > As, Ni. Only Ba, Cr and Pb showed high solubility in water. Thus, the water solubility was not dependent on the total concentration of the metals. Cr and Pb are highly toxic metals. Leaching of Cr in alkaline wastes is typically in the form of Cr (VI) from chromates, which is highly toxic compared to Cr (III). Some speciation studies have shown that Cr is usually in the form of Cr (III) in fly ashes (Cornelis et al., 2008), but the formation of chromates can happen already at 400 °C (Billen et al., 2012). The low LOI indicated a complete and efficient combustion during the incineration process, which supports that a high incineration temperature was achieved. Highly soluble K$_2$PbO$_4$ and BaCaO$_2$, which could also be present, have previously been identified in fly ash (Eighmy et al., 1995), and may be the reason for the water soluble Pb and Ba in the studied ash. Also, the result seen here for Pb solubility in water, was a magnitude 10 (in concentration) higher than seen for Danish MSWI fly ash (Ottosen et al., 2006).

Table 2: Fly ash characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOI (%)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (mS/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>179.5 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water solubility (%)</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>59,700 ± 5,300/ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>24.1 ± 3.4 / 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>900 ± 75 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>212 ± 10 / 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>273 ± 23 / 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>442 ± 12 / 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>974 ± 16 / 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>41.2 ± 10.8 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>1,750 ± 720 / 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn (mg/kg) / % water soluble</td>
<td>12,900 ± 1,000 / 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Electrodialytic remediation experiments

The pH and electrical conductivity in the fly ash suspension during the electrodialytic remediation experiments is seen in Figure 2, the metal removal and solubility after the experiments are shown in Figure 3 and 4, respectively. The final pH in the fly ash and the amount of fly ash recovered after the experiments is shown in Table 3.

The applied current strength of 50 mA could be maintained in all the experiments and the current strength resulted in voltages between 5-80 V. Higher voltage in some of the experiments could be due to limited amount of ions in the fly ash solution for the carrying the current or membrane fouling (Ottosen et al., 2006).

Figure 2: pH (a) and electrical conductivity (b) in the fly ash suspension during the experiments

The initial pH in the fly ash suspensions was not dependent on the L/S ratio (pH 12.5). pH decreased fastest in the fly ash suspension with the lowest amount of fly ash, as could be expected as the buffering capacity should be lower. After 7 days of remediation, pH was still alkaline (pH > 10) in the fly ash suspension regardless of the L/S ratio. After further 7 days of remediation, pH was significantly reduced and especially at the highest L/S ratio (experiment 6). Due to the acidification a decrease in fly ash mass was seen (table 3) and this was also linked to the final pH in the fly ash. This mass decrease was caused by dissolution of salts and easily soluble minerals.

The initial electrical conductivity was significantly higher in the two experiments with the lowest L/S ratio and the electrical conductivity decreased to similar level in all experiments, indicating that the applied current strength was sufficient for removing the ions in solution. Higher voltage was usually observed at the end of the experiments when the electrical conductivity was low. The ideal remediation time should be when the electrical conductivity is low in the fly ash suspension, as this means that small amounts
of ions are present. In experiments 2 and 4, with lower L/S ratio than experiment 6, an increase in the electrical conductivity was seen after 10 days of remediation. At the same time, pH decreased in the fly ash suspension in these three experiments, which would lead to a higher release of metals and dissolution of solids. This suggests that the applied current density was not sufficient for removing all ions in the experiments with a low L/S ratio after 10 days of remediation.

Table 3: Final pH in fly ash and ash recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Final pH in ash</th>
<th>Ash recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The metal removal was defined as the amount of metal found in the electrolytes (I and III), the membranes and electrodes, compared to the total metal amount measured in the cells after remediation.

Figure 3: Removal of metals in the electrodialytic remediation experiments

The removal seemed to increase with increasing remediation time and L/S ratio, but no distinct pattern could be seen. The highest removal was in experiment 6, where the lowest pH in the fly ash suspension was obtained. In this experiment up to 60 % Cd, 45 % Zn, and 20 % Ni and Ba was removed. For the other metals up to 15 % was removed. These removal rates differed from the initial water soluble fractions. For instance, Cd, Ni and Zn were removed to a much higher extent than what was initially water soluble, which was less than 1 %. Thus, the application of the electric current was beneficial for the
removal. Contrarily, the amount of removed Cr and Pb was lower than what could be expected from the water soluble amounts. The L/S ratio in the experiments for water solubility of metals (L/S 40) was much higher than in the actual electrodialytic remediation experiments (L/S 3.5 – 10) and this suggests that the release of Cr and Pb was solubility controlled, which was also found by Quina et al. (2011).

In Figure 4, the amount of water soluble Ba, Cr and Pb after the electrodialytic experiments is seen. For all the other metals, the water soluble amount was less than 1 %. The results show that the electrodialytic treatment reduced the water solubility of the metals, regardless of the final pH in the fly ash. This would not be expected as metal release in fly ash is usually highly pH dependent (Pedersen et al., 2005). However, this is a great advantage for potential reuse or local disposal of fly ash in Greenland. As the reduction of water solubility was so effective due to the electrodialytic treatment, shorter remediation times should be studied in the future. The reduced water solubility also means that the mobile parts of the metals were removed during the remediation, even though the total metal concentrations increased due to ash dissolution.

![Figure 4: Water soluble metals after the electrodialytic remediation experiments](image)

4 CONCLUSION

The electrodialytic remediation method showed potential as a treatment method for the Greenlandic fly ash. The metal solubility of the Ba, Cr and Pb decreases after remediation compared to the initial solubility. The metal solubility of other measured metals is below 1 % both before and after electrodialytic remediation. This shows that the acidification of the fly ash during the electrodialytic experiments does not mobilize the metals further. Thus, the fly ash is a less hazardous material than before the electrodialytic remediation.
Depending on the desired end-use of the fly ash after remediation (disposal or reuse) different requirements to pH could be present, in either case; the solubility of metals in the electrodialytic treated fly ash is very low. To obtain high metal removal a low pH in the fly ash is necessary but this also leads to high dissolution of the fly ash.
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