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Abstract
Mathematical models that estimate the proportion of foodborne illnesses attributable to food commodities at specific points in the food chain may be useful to risk managers and policy makers to formulate public health goals, prioritize interventions, and document the effectiveness of mitigations aimed at reducing illness. Using human surveillance data on laboratory-confirmed Salmonella infections from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Salmonella testing data from U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service’s regulatory programs, we developed a point-of-processing foodborne illness attribution model by adapting the Hald Salmonella Bayesian source attribution model. Key model outputs include estimates of the relative proportions of domestically acquired sporadic human Salmonella infections resulting from contamination of raw meat, poultry, and egg products processed in the United States from 1998 through 2003. The current model estimates the relative contribution of chicken (48%), ground beef (28%), turkey (17%), egg products (6%), intact beef (1%), and pork (<1%) across 109 Salmonella serotypes found in food commodities at point of processing. While interpretation of the attribution estimates is constrained by data inputs, the adapted model shows promise and may serve as a basis for a common approach to attribution of human salmonellosis and food safety decision-making in more than one country.

Introduction
Attribution of the burden of foodborne illness to specific foods and settings is one of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) four objectives. Foodborne illness attribution can assist risk managers and policy makers as they formulate public health goals, develop and prioritize interventions, and assess the effectiveness of efforts to reduce illness and improve public health (Batz et al., 2005). Ideally, foodborne illness attribution can be performed using data collected at different points in the food production and distribution system—the reservoir, at processing, and food preparation and retail levels—and provide insight into points where food safety interventions may be most effective. However, the lack of current and robust estimates of the prevalence and microbial concentration of specific human pathogens across the U.S. domestic food supply limits our ability to attribute observed human illnesses to all potential food sources. Attribution of human enteric illnesses to specific foodborne exposure pathways is also complicated by the wide variety of both food and nonfood sources of infection. Specific sources of foodborne illness are rarely identified outside the outbreak setting. Consequently, stochastic attribution models that incorporate data from a variety of research programs or surveillance and regulatory systems can be
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useful to estimate the proportion of human cases of illness resulting from specific food exposure pathways. These mathematical models can collectively provide information not available from a single data source.

There are important reasons to estimate the attribution of salmonellosis to various sources in the United States. Salmonella enterica is the most common bacterial pathogen reported from population-based, active laboratory surveillance in FoodNet. The overall incidence of laboratory-confirmed Salmonella infection in 2009 was 15.2 cases per 100,000 persons, an incidence more than twice that listed as the national health objective for the year 2010 (6.8 cases per 100,000 persons) (U.S. DHHS, 2000; CDC, 2010). Despite a regulatory and public health focus on reducing the incidence of Salmonella infection, the burden of illness has remained relatively unchanged in recent years.

We focused on adapting the Bayesian attribution model methodology developed by Hald et al. (2004) to U.S. data sources. In Denmark, the model has been used to attribute sporadic human salmonellosis to specific animal reservoirs and food commodities, and has facilitated the implementation of commodity-specific interventions that have reduced the incidence of foodborne salmonellosis (Pires and Hald, 2010). The goal of our study was to determine if the Danish model could be directly applied to U.S. data sources and to assess the potential for the resulting model to be the basis of human health focus on reducing the incidence of laboratory-confirmed salmonellosis (Pires and Hald, 2010).

The annual number of domestically acquired sporadic cases for each Salmonella serotype attributed to a given food is proportional to the amount of food consumed that is contaminated with each serotype, such that $\lambda_{ijy} \propto p_{ijy} M_{ijy}$. Since there is no estimate of microbial load or dose per serving in this model, the $p_{ijy} M_{ijy}$ terms do not estimate the expected numbers of infectious exposures. Thus, the total number of human cases of serotype $i$ in a given year, $N_{iy}$, is approximated by the sum of commodity-specific results obtained from the right hand side of equation 1, using Bayesian estimates of $a_j$ and $q_j$ for each commodity and serotype, respectively.

$$N_{iy} \approx \sum_j p_{ijy} M_{ijy} a_j q_j$$ (1a)

**Description of U.S. data sources**

Domestically acquired sporadic cases of Salmonella serotype $i$ per year. The annual number of domestically acquired sporadic cases for each Salmonella serotype $i$ was estimated using data on culture-confirmed human Salmonella infections obtained from the National Salmonella Surveillance System (NSSS) for the study years 1998 through 2003. NSSS data include Salmonella serotypes isolated from human clinical specimens reported to CDC by U.S. public health laboratories. Data from Mississippi, Florida, and Texas were excluded because isolate serotype was not consistently reported during the study period. The NSSS database does not contain epidemiologic information about reported illnesses. However, since 2004 each case of salmonellosis ascertained in the FoodNet surveillance system has epidemiologic information regarding whether travel- or outbreak-related (“Yes,” “No,” or “Unknown”). Therefore, data collected from FoodNet in 2004 were used to estimate the probability that an individual case of serotype $i$ reported to NSSS was both domestically acquired and sporadic. Table 1 illustrates the matrix that was used to classify cases obtained from FoodNet surveillance data to estimate the relative proportions of human illnesses caused by serotype $i$ in each epidemiologic category. The total numbers of serotype-specific culture-confirmed Salmonella infections in the NSSS database that were domestically acquired and sporadic were modeled stochastically using the methods outlined in Table 2. For this model we assumed that the underlying U.S. proportions of Salmonella cases of serotype $i$ that were outbreak- or travel-related were constant from 1998 to 2003 and equal to that of the FoodNet catchment in 2004.
The distributions of Salmonella serotypes in shell eggs was estimated based on data from the Salmonella Enteritidis Pilot Project, a mid-1990s project designed to monitor Salmonella Enteritidis in laying flocks and eggs in Pennsylvania (Schlosser et al., 1999).

The estimates for the prevalence of each Salmonella serotype (\(S_i\)) in each food commodity or product, \((P_j)\), was computed using Equation 2

\[
\text{Prevalence of } S_i \text{ in } P_j = \frac{N_P j S_i +}{N_P j}
\]

where \(N_P j S_i +\) is the number of samples that were positive for serotype \(i\) in product \(j\) and \(N_P j\) is the number of samples of product \(j\) tested for Salmonella.

Because there were no FSIS regulatory sampling program data for turkey carcasses during the period of study, we assumed that the ratio of Salmonella prevalence for ground turkey to whole turkey is the same as the ratio for ground chicken to broilers.

The weighting factors 0.05 and 0.95 are the relative proportions of each animal source in intact beef estimated by experts from the American Meat Institute (AMI). Information about methods of sample collection and enrichment and isolation of Salmonella from FSIS regulated commodities is available in the FSIS Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook.²

Food consumption. Food consumption data were obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Food Consumption Data System³ and the AMI. The Economic Research Service estimates domestic food consumption by calculating the annual per capita disappearance of domestically available food. It divides the total pounds of food commodity annually available for domestic consumption by the yearly U.S. population estimate, adjusting for losses at the retail/institutional level, nonedible portions, as well as cooking losses at the consumer level. Data for the yearly food disappearance at retail for the study years 1998 through 2003 were obtained for each of the following food categories: chicken, beef, turkey, egg products, pork, and shell eggs. Estimates for ground beef consumption obtained from the AMI (Jim Hodges, pers. comm., December 13, 2005) were used to determine the proportion of total beef consumed that was ground. Use of these data requires the assumption that all food estimated to be available for domestic consumption (after adjustment for losses) is consumed. In addition, these data do not differentiate between domestic and imported sources of food, so we assumed that during our study period the amount of imported food in our commodity categories was insignificant compared with the amount of domestic food available in the U.S. inventory.

Implementation of the attribution model

Model code, written in WinBUGS, was supplied by Hald et al. (2004). Adaptation of the code included multiple programming loops to estimate the annual number of illnesses due to serotype \(i\) for multiple years (1998–2003). Multiple independent Markov chains of over 40,000 iterations each were used for each model run. Values for the \(q_i\) and \(\alpha_i\) parameters were initially estimated using the same continuous uniform distributions described previously (Hald et al., 2004). Likewise, estimated \(q_i\) values were parameterized by using the method described by Hald of setting the \(q\) for serotype Enteritidis at 1. Implementation of the Bayesian model (outlined in Table 2) to estimate the number of domestically acquired, sporadic cases was implemented concurrently with the estimation of \(\alpha_i\) and \(\alpha_j\) parameter values. The model code is available from the corresponding author of this report.

All observed cases estimated to be domestically acquired and sporadic are assumed to be foodborne and attributable to the food commodities in the model, so serotypes were excluded if they were not identified in both the food commodity and the human case databases to limit spurious attribution of serotypes. One hundred nine Salmonella serotypes were identified in both NSSS human surveillance and FSIS

---

Table 2. Estimation of the Annual Number of Domestically Acquired Sporadic Cases of Salmonellosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Y$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$sssy_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$kt_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_s_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$zut_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{ti}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ntsp_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ko_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$zob_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{ob_i}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$o_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$nosp_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$zs_{po_i} z_{dobs_i} z_{trspoi}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_i$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sporadic$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$qf_{nispo_i}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ss_{spo_i}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cases per *Salmonella* serotype

**Notation**

- $I$: Subscript for *Salmonella* serotype associated with a reported case of salmonellosis
- $ss_{sy_i}$: Observed cases of $i$ reported to national surveillance system in year $y$
- $kt_i$: Observed cases of $i$ that were known to be unrelated to an outbreak (sporadic) but were international travel-related (also includes cases that were classified as both outbreak- and international travel-related)
- $k_s_i$: Observed cases of $i$ that were known to be sporadic and not travel-related (domestically acquired)
- $zut_i$: Probability that a case of $i$ that is known to be unrelated to an outbreak but is missing travel information is international travel-related
- $b_i$: Observed cases of $i$ that were sporadic but had no information regarding travel
- $\lambda_{ti}$: Estimated expected number of international travel-related cases of $i$ among those cases known to be unrelated to outbreaks but missing travel information
- $x_i$: Expected number of international travel-related cases of $i$ among those cases known to be unrelated to outbreaks but missing travel information
- $ntsp_i$: Estimated number of sporadic cases of $i$ with no known travel history that were domestically acquired
- $ko_i$: Observed cases of serotype $i$ that were known to be domestically acquired and outbreak-related
- $zob_i$: Probability that a case of serotype $i$ that was known to be domestically acquired but had no outbreak history was outbreak-related
- $a_i$: Observed cases of serotype $i$ that were known to be domestically acquired but had an unknown outbreak history
- $\lambda_{ob_i}$: Estimated expected number of cases of serotype $i$ that were outbreak-related among those cases that were domestically acquired but lacked outbreak information
- $o_i$: Expected number of outbreak-related cases of $i$ among those cases known to be domestically acquired but lacking outbreak information
- $nosp_i$: Estimated number of cases of $i$ that were sporadic among those known to be domestically acquired but had an unknown outbreak history
- $zs_{po_i} z_{dobs_i} z_{trspoi}$: Probability that a randomly selected FoodNet case of $i$ was domestically acquired, sporadic (*zs_{po_i}*), domestically acquired, outbreak-related (*z_{dobs_i}*) and international travel-related, sporadic cases (*z_{trspoi}*)
- $U_i$: Observed number of FoodNet cases of $i$ designated as unknown for both international travel and outbreak.
- $s_i$: Estimated number of domestically acquired, sporadic cases of $i$ that were designated as unknown for both international travel and outbreak in FoodNet Data (estimated numbers of domestically acquired, outbreak-related and international travel-related, sporadic cases were also calculated)
- $Sporadic$: Total estimated number of domestically acquired, sporadic cases of $i$ reported by FoodNet sites
- $qf_{nispo_i}$: Proportion of FoodNet cases of $i$ that were domestically acquired, sporadic after allocating all cases with partial and completely unknown data (estimated proportions were also calculated for domestically acquired, outbreak-related [*qf_{notout}_{po_i}*] and international travel-related, sporadic categories [*qf_{nottrav}_{po_i}*])
- $ss_{spo_i}$: Estimated number of sporadic cases of $i$ in year $y$ reported to *Salmonella* Surveillance System (estimated case numbers were also calculated for outbreak [*ss_{out}_{po_i}*] and travel-related cases [*ss_{trav}_{po_i}*])

Adapted from Hald *et al.* (2004).

NSSS, National *Salmonella* Surveillance System.
regulatory raw product sampling databases. Initial modeling efforts included all 109 individual serotypes individually parameterized; however, the resultant model was not stable. Therefore, serially evaluated models were run to determine the maximum number of serotypes that could be parameterized (i.e., $q_i$ value estimated) in a stable model, and an “other” serotype category was added to include data for all serotypes not individually specified in the model. Sequential model runs included the most frequently occurring serotypes in NSSS data specified individually. It was noted that serotype nomenclature was not consistent across study years and between datasets, so nomenclature rationalization (e.g., Oranienburg was recorded as a combination of Oranienburg and Oranienburg Var. 14+, formerly Thielalee) was performed before selection of a stable model.

Inclusion of 30 serotypes (plus the additional category “other” containing the data for the remaining 79 serotypes) was the upper limit for model stability, accounting for >95% of all reported cases of salmonellosis among the 109 study serotypes reported during 1998–2003 (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2 illustrate the distribution of the 30 serotypes in human illness and modeled food commodities; Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertonline.com/fpd). Once a stable model was identified, the model properties and estimated outputs under different model conditions were evaluated, and a model was identified for the estimation of the proportion of illnesses attributable to each commodity.

Results

Figures illustrating the model-estimated $q_i$ (i.e., bacteria-dependent factor) and $q_j$ (i.e., food-source-dependent factor) values are available online (Supplementary Figs. S3 and S4). Because the $q_i$ of Salmonella Enteritidis was held at 1, $q_i$ estimates for the other Salmonella serotypes are interpreted relative to this value. Newport and Javiana had the largest median $q_i$ values (9.76 and 9.67, respectively; prior distribution range: 0–10) in the 30-serotype model. Since this parameter is a multiplier, higher estimated values reflect a relatively disproportionate number of observed human cases of serotype $i$ relative to the distribution of the serotype in the modeled food commodities. Recognizing this, data for Salmonella Javiana were excluded from subsequent models because human infection with Javiana has not been epidemiologically associated with the food commodities in this model. Clustering of $q_i$ estimates at the upper limit of the specified prior uniform distributions was noted for several serotypes in the 30-serotype model. To address this, the number of individually specified serotypes was reduced to 15 and 10, and the uniform distributions were dynamically adjusted for individual serotypes.

The relative ranking of estimated $q_i$ values for Salmonella serotypes was fairly stable in both the 15- and 10-serotype models that included shell eggs (Supplementary Fig. S3). Even with dynamic adjustment of the prior distributions, the estimated $q_i$ values for Oranienburg and Newport continued to cluster near the upper limit of the specified distribution, suggesting that the Danish approach of using Enteritidis as the baseline serotype may not be as applicable to our data. None of the serotypes in the 15- or 10-serotype models that included shell eggs had estimated $q_i$ values that suggested that they were less likely to cause disease than Salmonella Enteritidis when present in a food commodity.

Although the estimated $q_i$ values for serotypes Agona and Montevideo were closest to Enteritidis, the estimated values were still more than four times higher than the Enteritidis baseline.

In the 30-serotype model, consumption of a given amount (in pounds) of shell eggs was associated with an estimated probability of salmonellosis nearly 200 times higher than consumption of the same amount of ground beef. However, as the 30-serotype model was modified to examine the stability of estimated $q_i$ values, it became clear that inclusion of the shell egg commodity was contributing to overall model instability. These data were not comparable to the data used for the other commodities in the model in that they were not nationally representative and were collected several years before the study period. Consequently, these data were removed with the shell egg commodity from the 15- and 10-serotype models. Removing the shell egg data changed the model rankings of some of the remaining food commodities. Specifically, egg products, ranked fifth in the 30-serotype model, had the highest $q_i$ estimates in the 15- and 10-serotype models. Intact beef was ranked the least risky of the seven commodities in the 30-serotype model but was fourth (out of six commodities) in both reduced serotype models. Removing the shell egg commodity also significantly reduced the credibility intervals for the $q_i$ estimates for egg products in the 15- and 10-serotype models (Supplementary Fig. S4).

We determined the 15-serotype model without the shell egg commodity to be our best current model for estimating the attribution of salmonellosis to food commodities. There were 160,000 laboratory-reported cases of salmonellosis included in the Bayesian model. The model estimated that 106,000 of these illnesses were domestically acquired, sporadic, and were attributed to the modeled food commodities. Figure 1 illustrates the number of observed cases that the model estimated to be domestically acquired, sporadic, and were attributed to the modeled food commodities. The modeled estimates of serotypes more likely to be reported by FoodNet sites to be of unknown travel or outbreak status—such as Enteritidis, Agona, and Braenderup—reduced the overall proportion of observed illnesses estimated to be domestically acquired, sporadic below the 2004 FoodNet estimate of 85% (FoodNet includes only those with known epidemiologic data) (CDC, 2006).

Figure 2 illustrates the annual consumption data and mean number of estimated Salmonella cases attributed to each commodity. Of the reported salmonellosis cases attributed by this model to the included commodities, 48% were attributed to chicken, 28% to ground beef, 17% to turkey, 6% to egg products, 1% to intact beef, and <1% to pork. These proportions were stable and did not change significantly as inputs were varied during sensitivity analyses, which included excluding the “other” serotype category, modifying estimated serotype distributions in the commodities, and varying the estimated numbers of outbreak- and travel-related cases (results not shown).

Discussion

In this study we sought to adapt an attribution model for foodborne salmonellosis (Hald et al., 2004) to use with U.S.
data. This adaptation of the model retained much of the original methodology and represents a potential opportunity to inform food safety efforts and develop a common understanding of foodborne disease attribution in multiple countries. The high estimated consumption of chicken relative to the other modeled commodities during the period of study, as well as the distribution of positive Salmonella samples from chicken at the point of processing, resulted in the highest model-estimated proportion of illnesses being attributed to this commodity. Thus, the model seems to provide reasonable relative attribution estimates for included commodities based upon domestic consumption and the probability of Salmonella presence in food sources. Although data availability limited the number of food commodities that were included in the model, the estimated relative proportions of Salmonella illness attribution across the commodities were robust to changes in data inputs and model constraints. This is an important feature for future applications, as shell eggs were initially estimated to be the most risky food vehicle per pound of consumption, but inclusion of this data led to model instability and could not be used to attribute Salmonella illnesses to commodities. Removal of the shell egg commodity resulted in a shift to egg products and improved stability of the food-source-dependent factor estimate for this commodity. This suggests that it may be possible to include specific food commodities that lack robust data by using data from an alternative model commodity in a “what if” exploration of foodborne disease attribution.

Differences between the two countries’ data inputs result in distinct interpretations of the estimated values for food-source-dependent ($a_j$) and bacteria-dependent ($q_i$) factors. All of our data on Salmonella presence in food commodities were obtained from the point of processing, whereas both preharvest surveillance data and end-product samples were used in the Hald model. Because the estimated food-source parameter reflects the cumulative effect of all processes in the food chain between the point of observed Salmonella presence in the food source and consumption, our variable estimates reflect a
smaller range of food production-specific factors that may influence Salmonella presence at the point of human consumption. In addition, our model did not incorporate Salmonella phage typing data; we also included serotypes found in many food commodities that have been sources of human infection but were not included in our final attribution model, such as shell eggs, produce, milk, and fish (Lynch et al., 2006), as well as sources of infection not associated with food, such as direct contact with animals (Sato et al., 2000; NASPHV, 2005; Milstone et al., 2006) and household environmental exposures (Barker and Bloomfield, 2000). The modeled bacteria-dependent parameters are dependent upon the assumption that the food commodities included in the model are the only reservoirs of human infection for the included serotypes. Consequently, the observed clustering of estimated serotype \( q_i \) values for serotypes such as Javiana and Newport toward the upper limit of the specified prior distribution likely reflects the presence of additional exposure pathways not included in our model rather than a higher intrinsic likelihood of the serotype causing disease.

All of the model iterations in our study involved the estimation of more parameters than the originally described model and subsequent adaptations (Hald et al., 2004; Mullner et al., 2009; Little et al., 2010). Estimating a larger number of parameters likely contributed to some of our difficulties with model convergence. Mullner et al. (2009) noted that a major limitation of this attribution model is the high number of estimated food-source-dependent and bacteria-dependent factors compared with the limited number of data points used to generate these, and used a hierarchical approach to generate random values from a hypothetical distribution of bacteria-dependent factors (Mullner et al., 2009). While this approach simplifies the parameterization of the model and improves its convergence properties, it makes the comparison of bacteria-dependent factors among Salmonella types problematic. This limitation may not be desirable when considering the potential value of these outputs to food safety programs.

Incomplete human illness data limit the validity of the estimated attribution outputs. Laboratory data from three states had to be excluded from the model because of incomplete reporting. Exclusion of these cases may have resulted in an underestimation of the burden of human salmonellosis attributable to the modeled commodities. In addition, the geographic distribution of serotypes included in this model is not uniform (CDC, 2003); thus, the inclusion of cases from these states might have had significant impacts on estimated bacteria-dependent factors if the serotype distributions in these populations were known. Our model also excluded all model-estimated outbreak-related cases of Salmonella infection from attribution. Since our study used epidemiologic data reported to FoodNet in 2004 to estimate the total number of outbreak-associated cases among the 1998–2003 SSSS cases, we were not able to identify individual outbreaks in our study. Consequently, we chose to exclude all model-estimated outbreak cases to avoid the introduction of a highly uncertain estimated fraction in our attribution. The annual frequency and size of reported outbreaks, as well as the availability of data that distinguish outbreak and sporadic illnesses, drive the choice of how outbreak cases are used in source attribution. As the 2010 multi-state outbreak of Salmonella Enteritidis highlights (CDC, 2010), a single, large foodborne disease outbreak can double the burden of illness associated with a single serotype within a specific time frame and significantly impact the annual attribution to an individual commodity. Likewise, the number of submitted isolates that are directly attributable to the outbreak source is unknown. With additional data, regional differences in attribution as well as the potential role of outbreaks on the number of annually reported Salmonella cases may be evaluated, and allow us to better represent the overall disease process.

Another major limitation of our model was the absence of data for Salmonella presence among shell egg samples—a commodity well known to be a source of human infection. Because of the currently very low incidence of Salmonella contamination of eggs (estimates suggest that somewhere between 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 20,000 eggs are contaminated [Schlosser et al., 1999; Ebel and Schlosser, 2000]), it is difficult to generate statistically robust sampling data for Salmonella in eggs. Given the importance of shell eggs as vehicles of Salmonella infection, a new, nationally representative survey of Salmonella in and on shell eggs should be considered to inform future attribution models.

Collectively, foodborne disease attribution efforts raise the question of how much human salmonellosis is due to animal product food sources. Hald et al. attribute \( \sim 75\% \) of estimated sporadic, domestic cases to animal product food sources. Mullner et al. attributed all estimated cases of salmonellosis to six food animal commodity categories. We also attributed all of the 106,000 cases estimated by our model to be domestically acquired and sporadic to our six animal product food commodities. This approach likely over-estimates the burden of foodborne salmonellosis attributed to the commodities included in the model.

Conclusions

Our adaptation of the Hald model was focused on exploring model behavior and characteristics using U.S. data while retaining as many parameters as possible. Other adaptations have mathematically simplified the model to make it more broadly applicable to a wide variety of data resolutions, data sources, and nonfoodborne exposure pathways (Mullner et al., 2009; Little et al., 2010). We believe that by generating additional and robust Salmonella surveillance data from reported human illnesses and food commodities and through continued refinement of the number of parameters included in the model, we can develop increasingly useful results to help guide development, formulation, and implementation of sound mitigation strategies to reduce salmonellosis and protect public health.
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