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Numerous recent studies have challenged the classical view that copepod production in the ocean is primarily based on pelagic diatoms. Kleppel (1993), in particular, has argued that copepods in nature feed mainly on other microplankters (dinoflagellates, ciliates) which primarily account for reproduction, and that diatoms alone provide an insufficient diet for reproduction. More recently, several authors have argued that diatoms can be toxic or deleterious to copepods by preventing their eggs from hatching or causing the eggs to hatch into malformed, non-viable nauplii (Ban et al. 1997 and references therein). While there is now general consensus in the literature that some species of diatoms are nutritionally insufficient for copepod reproduction (Stattrup & Jensen 1990, Jónasdóttir & Kiørboe 1996), the idea that they are toxic remains controversial. Whether diatoms are toxic or nutritionally incomplete makes a major difference to the impact on pelagic food web dynamics and to our understanding of the basis for fish production in the oceans. Planktonic copepods account for about 80% of the mesozooplankton biomass in the ocean and thus provide the most important link between lower and higher trophic levels in the pelagic food web. Therefore, if toxic, the presence of diatom blooms would reduce copepod population sizes, mesozooplankton secondary production and, hence, food for planktivorous fishes. In this comment we examine the evidence for the toxicity hypothesis, argue that it has not been rigorously tested in an ecologically meaningful way, and propose a possible avenue to follow.

Evidence. Several studies have clearly demonstrated that some diatom diets result in lower egg production and/or hatching success and/or a higher frequency of malformed nauplii than do, for example, dinoflagellate and flagellate diets (Stattrup & Jensen 1990, Jónasdóttir & Kiørboe 1996, Ban et al. 1997). However, these observations do not allow us to decide whether the inadequacies of these diatom diets are due to toxicity or nutritional insufficiency. Copepod eggs are typically rich in lipids (Gatten et al. 1980) and essential fatty acids are therefore potentially limiting nutritional components of diatom diets, as demonstrated by both laboratory studies (Jónasdóttir & Kiørboe 1996) and field observations (Pond et al. 1996). Deformities, reduced egg production and hatchability are all well-established symptoms of essential fatty acid deficient diets in other marine organisms, including crustaceans (reviewed e.g. in Watanabe 1982, Harrison 1990). There are several other possibly limiting constituents (see Harrison 1990). Potential toxic compounds, on the other hand, have not yet been identified, with the exception of a few diatom species that have toxins similar to those isolated from many dinoflagellates (Bates et al. 1993).

Probably the strongest evidence from feeding studies that diatoms can have deleterious effects on egg production and hatchability was provided by Chauvon et al. (1996). These authors found, in accordance with other studies, that negative effects on egg hatching in Calanus helgolandicus only occurred after several days of feeding on the examined diatoms. They also found that egg hatching success and the length of the time-lag both decreased with increasing concentration of diatoms and, hence, feeding and egg production. They considered this evidence that an inhibitory compound was accumulating in the gonads of the copepod. However, an alternative explanation is equally well supported by their observations, i.e. that the copepod exhausts its own storage of a limiting...
nourishment component essential for hatching and that
depletion is faster when egg production is high.

Using phytoplankton extracts, where the eggs are ex-
posed to phytoplankton compounds at increasing ex-
tract concentrations, has been applied to test for
possible toxicity of diatoms. These experiments suggest
a toxic or deleterious effects of diatom extracts on em-
byronic development and hatching (Poulet et al. 1994,
Ilanora et al. 1995, Uye 1996). However, this effect is ev-
dent only at concentrations that exceed those encoun-
tered in nature by many orders of magnitude. In the ex-
periments of Jónasdóttir & Kierboe (1996), the negative
effects of diatom extracts on hatching disappeared
when oxygen was bubbled through the extract solu-
tions, suggesting that the effect was due to the anoxia
that developed in this rich organic soup. More im-
portant, however, is that such extract experiments are eco-
logically irrelevant. This is because the extracted
solutes may be very different from the solutes that leak
out of or are exuded by a diatom (Dam & Tang 1998).
Components of the food that are potentially toxic to egg
hatching are, by necessity, mediated by the female and,
thus, need to be examined by feeding experiments.
Likewise, potential toxic effects of diatom exudates
need to be examined by applying exudates, not ex-
tacts. Similar criticism may apply to the experiments of
Shaw et al. (1997) in which they found that high con-
centrations of extracts of some diatoms acted to deter
this type of extract experiments: 'Attempts to assess the
physiological effects of prey metabolites on consumers
dissolving metabolites into water holding the con-
sumer have minimal ecological relevance and should
be discontinued. Such procedures may be no more re-
alistic than suffocating humans in chocolate syrup and
then concluding that chocolate is toxic.'

Several field observations may throw light on the
significance of diatoms as a potential basis of copepod
nutrition, reproduction and growth. Firstly, it is a
general observation in temperate waters that copepods
respond positively to seasonal diatom blooms, both in
terms of egg production (e.g. Dam et al. 1994, Kierboe
& Nielsen 1994) and population increases (e.g. Krause
& Thrams 1983). Secondly, dietary lipid tracer studies
have repeatedly demonstrated that a diatom-based
food chain leads to higher growth rate and better condi-
tion of fish larvae—which feed on copepods—com-
pared to a dinoflagellate-based food chain (St. John &
Lund 1996, Hansen 1998). Finally, the only field study
that has simultaneously monitored egg hatching suc-
cess of copepods and phytoplankton species composi-
tion (Pond et al. 1996) found no negative relation
between hatching success of Calanus helgolandicus
eggs and abundance of diatoms. All the field evidence
is, therefore, consistent with nutritional insufficiency of
diatoms if one assumes that the diatoms provide the
stable food and that alternative food sources (ciliates,
dinoflagellates) complement the diet to make it nutri-
tionally complete. The field evidence may also, how-
ever, be consistent with the idea that diatoms are toxic
(at least if eaten), but only if one assumes that the
copepods feed mainly or solely on the non-diatom
components of the microplankton.

How can we distinguish between a nutritionally
insufficient and a toxic phytoplankton? Because of the
conceptual and practical problems with extract experi-
ments, the experimental examination of whether a
particular phytoplankton species is toxic or nutrition-
ally insufficient has to come from feeding experiments.
Experiments in which a good (X) and a suspected poor
(Y) (nutritionally insufficient or toxic) phytoplankter
are mixed (as in Schmidt & Jónasdóttir 1997) have the
potential to provide the evidence. Generally, in the
simplest case, if Y is nutritionally insufficient, mixing
with X would remove the 'deleterious' effects. On the
other hand, if Y is toxic, mixing with X would not
remove the effect. Consider an experiment in which
species X and Y are given in varying proportions for a
constant total biomass concentration, less the saturat-
ing concentration for egg production. The possible
responses are schematically presented in Fig. 1. A ref-
ence line is defined as the straight line connecting
observations (egg production or hatching) at 100% Y
(point A) and 100% X (point B) and can be anywhere
between line 1 and line 2 in Fig. 1. Depending on food
quality or toxicity, observations for the mixed diets will
fall on, above or below the reference line.

Fig. 1. Possible outcomes in terms of egg production and egg
hatching success of experiments in which a good (X) and a
suspected poor (Y) (nutritionally insufficient or toxic) phyto-
plankton species are offered in different proportions in mixed
suspensions to a copepod. Total biomass concentration is con-
stant and non-saturating for egg production. Data on line 1:
Y as good as X; data in area 2: Y non-toxic but of lower nutri-
tional value than X; data in area 3: Y is toxic, or Y is non-toxic
and of low nutritional value and the copepod exerts prey
switching. Reference line and line 2 explained in text.
(1) \(Y\) is as good as \(X\) for production of viable eggs:
Both egg production and hatching will fall on the reference line. If the clearance rates on the 2 species are the same, then the results would be independent of the proportion of \(X\) and \(Y\) in the mixture and the reference line will be horizontal, i.e. line 1 in Fig. 1. However, if the clearance rates on \(X\) and \(Y\) are different, for example owing to different sizes, then egg production rate would increase with increasing proportion of the alga which yields the highest clearance rates. Point \(A\) would be lower than point \(B\) (or vice versa) but the observations would still fall on the reference line, for example the one sketched in Fig. 1.

(2) \(Y\) is non-toxic, but of lower nutritional value than \(X\):
Both egg production and hatching success would fall on their respective reference lines. If \(Y\) has no nutritional value then the reference line would lie on line 2 in Fig. 1.

(3) \(Y\) is toxic:
In this case point \(A\) would likely be zero egg production and/or hatching success (i.e. reference line would equal line 2 in Fig. 1). Both egg production and egg hatching success would fall below their respective reference lines, and observations for the mixtures would cluster in area 3.

(4) \(Y\) has a nutritional supplement:
Egg production and/or hatching success would curve upward above their respective reference lines and fall in area 2 in Fig. 1.

Thus, toxicity would imply a 'type 3' response (Fig. 1). However, a type 3 response does not necessarily imply toxicity. Prey switching could yield a similar response if diet \(Y\) is inferior to diet \(X\). Prey switching, which implies that the predator feeds preferentially on the most abundant prey, has been demonstrated in several copepod species in laboratory experiments (e.g. Landry 1981). To distinguish between toxicity and prey switching requires measurements of actual ingestion rates (at least of species \(X\)). Fig. 2 demonstrates how the 3 different responses (i.e. \(Y\) is good, harmless or toxic) would become manifest if egg production or egg hatching is plotted as a function of ingestion rate of species \(X\). The response in egg production rate and hatching success depicted in Fig. 2 also applies to situations in which the combined concentration of \(X\) and \(Y\) becomes saturating for egg production.

There is 1 hypothetical exception to the patterns in Figs. 1 & 2. Assume that species \(X\) and \(Y\) provide an exactly balanced diet for production of eggs but that \(Y\) is deficient in some substance required for the produced eggs to hatch. Then, potentially, eggs produced on a mixed diet would all have too little 'hatching substance' and none would hatch. That would appear as a type 3 response. Therefore, to confirm that a type 3 response actually implies toxicity of species \(Y\) requires that the experiments be repeated with species \(X\) replaced by another nutritionally complete and non-toxic species.

In conclusion, then, neither field observations nor laboratory experiments have so far provided conclusive and ecologically meaningful tests of the hypothesis that diatoms in general are toxic to copepods and prevent their embryos from developing normally. However, the frequent observation that copepods do in fact feed on diatoms at high rates, both in laboratory experiments and in the field, as well as the apparent lack of a prey selection mechanism against diatoms (as has been demonstrated for toxic dinoflagellates, e.g. Huntley et al. 1986), makes the toxicity hypothesis difficult to understand in an evolutionary context. If this hypothesis were true, an entirely new interpretation of copepod feeding behavior would be required. We hope this comment will prompt conclusive experiments to be conducted.

---

![Fig. 2. Possible outcomes in terms of (A) egg production and (B) egg hatching success of experiments in which a good (X) and a suspected poor (Y) (nutritionally insufficient or toxic) phytoplankton species are offered in mixed suspensions to a copepod. Plotted as function of ingestion rate of species X. Line 2 in (A) is the functional response in egg production rate to ingestion rate of X. Data in area 1 (A) or on line 1 (B). Y is non-toxic and of some nutritional value; data on line 2 (A) or in area 2 (B); Y is non-toxic, but of no nutritional value; data in area 3 (A and B). Y is toxic.](image-url)
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