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We present a density functional theory based Bader analysis of the charge distribution in pure and hydrogenated SrTiO3. We find that the hydrogen defect carries a +0.56e charge and the OH defect carries a +0.50e charge compared to the host oxygen. Calculations on BaNbO3, CaTiO3, and SrZrO3 support these findings. The distribution of the remaining electronic density decays exponentially with distance to the hydrogen defect. Diffusional paths are calculated wherein the hydrogenic species retain a charge between +0.57 and +0.54e showing that hydrogen permeation should not be viewed as consisting of virtually independent protonic and electronic transport processes. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3536484]

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to increased interest in hydrogen based technologies, the subject of protons in oxides is currently receiving much attention. The main prospects are usage of solid oxides in energy related technologies, e.g., as hydrogen gas separation membranes1 or as electrolytes in solid oxide fuel cells2 where significant savings may be obtained by replacing present technologies based on noble metals and noble metal alloys.

The main hindrance for any application of proton conducting oxides is the low protonic flux of any material investigated so far.3 Depending on the material, a low protonic concentration or a low mobility of the protons may be limiting,4 but much remains to be understood about the basic mechanisms governing the protonic and electronic transport properties.

It is usually assumed that H is incorporated in the material through reaction with gaseous H2 or H2O,5 e.g., through

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) + 2\text{O}_6^+ \rightarrow 2\text{OH}_6^- + 2e^- + 1/2\text{O}_2(g), \]  

(1)

here presented in Kröger–Vink notation; the actual surface reaction may, however, be considerably more complex.6,7 Here, the proton is assumed to bind to a lattice oxygen, O6\(^{2-}\), and form a hydroxyl defect, OH6\(^{-}\), implying full dissociation of proton and electron. The electron, e\(^{-}\), is believed to transfer to the conduction band or associate with another defect.8,9

Hydrogenic defects in oxides are most commonly thought of as protons or hydroxides, but other charges are possible. Hydrides have been suggested, e.g., in mayenite (Ca\(_{12}\)Al\(_{14}\)O\(_{43}\)), SiO\(_2\), ZnO, and MgO,10–12 and recently an apparent hydride conductivity has been measured in several doped and undoped oxides.13–16 Even the existence of na-scent uncharged H has been suggested.5,12

To assist in resolving this matter, we have performed a density functional theory (DFT) study of the charge distribution and evolution during transport of hydrogen defects. We focus primarily on the SrTiO\(_3\) perovskite structure as this material is known to be well described by DFT methods, and proposed to display an apparent hydride ion conductivity,13,15 hereby making a more direct comparison of experiment and theory possible.

Determination of atomic charges is ambiguous since this is not an observable property and neither does a quantum mechanical operator for atomic charges exist. Although discussions of atomic charges are arguably arbitrary, this is a deeply rooted concept within physics and chemistry. The usability of atomic charges has routinely been demonstrated, e.g., for predicting materials properties and interpreting experimental results.17

Several methods for determining atomic charges are available. For decades the Mulliken charge analysis18 has been widely used, since the Mulliken charges are readily available from orbital based ab initio calculations. The Mul-likin charge partitioning method, however, has significant disadvantages arising from a weak theoretical basis. Further, the Mulliken method has problems with basis set consistency and occasional <0 and >2 orbital occupancy. Recently, the Bader charge partitioning method19 has become the method of choice. The system is thereby divided into atomic volumes by surfaces which are minima with respect to the electronic density. Besides a few special exceptions20,21 the electronic density peaks at the atomic nuclei and every atom is therefore assigned exactly one volume.

Three significant advantages of the Bader method are noted. (i) The method has a firm theoretical basis. Properties of the atoms are obtained by integration of the proper operator within the atomic volume and the sum of the atomic properties yields the total molecular/system property.22 (ii) The partitioning of the system is unbiased with respect to method of obtaining the electronic density. (iii) The elec-
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tronic density is an observable and results may be experimentally verified, e.g., using x-rays. See also Bachrach \textsuperscript{23} for an extensive review.

\section*{II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS}

The electronic structure calculations were performed using the \textsc{vasp} package and the projector augmented wave method (PAW)\textsuperscript{24,25} with kinetic energy cutoff for the plane waves set to 400 eV. The majority of the calculations were performed using the generalized gradient approximation exchange-correlation functional by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE).\textsuperscript{26} PBE-based functionals have been found to describe most properties of SrTiO\textsubscript{3} well,\textsuperscript{27} except for the band gap, which is underestimated by approximately 1 eV. Here, the computationally more expensive nonlocal LDA + U calculations\textsuperscript{28} are also performed in order to assess potential charge delocalization effects which might affect the Bader charges. Recently, Zhu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{29} have demonstrated the strength of the combination of DFT, DFT+U and the Bader method in a related system. Setting $U_{\text{eff}}=8.5$ eV yields a 3.2 eV band gap\textsuperscript{30,31} in agreement with experiment,\textsuperscript{32} but the results were not found to be sensitive to the value of $U_{\text{eff}}$. Where LDA+U has been applied, it is explicitly stated.

Only the gamma point was sampled in the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercells (135 atoms) while a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ Monkhorst–Pack k-point grid\textsuperscript{33} was used for the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells (40 atoms). For structural optimizations, a 10$^{-5}$ eV electronic convergence criterion and 10$^{-4}$ eV/Å ionic force convergence criterion have been applied.

The Bader charge analysis was performed with electronic cutoffs increased to 10$^{-7}$ eV, on already optimized structures. The wave functions were expanded on a 300 $\times$ 300 $\times$ 300 grid and the localized charges on a 450 $\times$ 450 $\times$ 450 grid. The algorithm by Henkelman \textit{et al.} was used for the actual charge partitioning.\textsuperscript{20,34,35}

Reaction paths for the partially delocalized defects\textsuperscript{36} were determined using the climbing image-nudged elastic band (NEB) method\textsuperscript{37–39}.

\section*{III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

The PBE determined unit cell lattice constant was determined to be 3.926 Å in good agreement with the 3.905 Å obtained experimentally.\textsuperscript{40}

Using PBE, the Bader charges were hereafter calculated for both $2 \times 2 \times 2$ and $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercells to determine the sensitivity towards varying computational parameters (k-points and grid). See Table I. Even though small changes are apparent, the consistency is satisfactory, implying that the results in either system will be descriptive of the other. We conclude that the atomic charges in cubic SrTiO\textsubscript{3} are best described as Sr$^{1.60}$, Ti$^{0.92}$, and O$^{-1.17}$; LDA+U calculations yielded charges to within a few percent.

A neutral hydrogen atom was hereafter introduced into both the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ and $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercells. The most stable H site was characterized by an OH bond of 0.988 Å, symmetrically located between the Sr anions. This is generally accepted as the most stable site in simple perovskites.\textsuperscript{34,41} The large unit cell is more in accordance with a real crystal due to the expected low H concentration,\textsuperscript{4} but NEB calculations become too expensive in this size cell. It has however been demonstrated that the errors in performing NEB in the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell are small for SrTiO\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{42}

The average charges are listed in Table I. We see that the H is carrying an approximate charge of +0.56e regardless of being in a small or large unit cell, confirming that the charges determined in one system is descriptive of the other. Inserting a proton only (and a compensating homogeneous negative background charge), instead of both proton and electron, did not change the charges significantly (<1.5%). This is in accordance with the findings of Xiong and Robertson\textsuperscript{43} and the LDA+U calculations only differed negligibly.

To clarify whether the +0.56e charge on the hydrogen atom in SrTiO\textsubscript{3} is a typical value in perovskite systems, three other perovskites were investigated. BaNbO\textsubscript{3}, CaTiO\textsubscript{3}, and SrZrO\textsubscript{3} were chosen since they frequently are mentioned as candidates for proton or hydrogen conducting membranes.\textsuperscript{44–46} $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells were considered based on experimentally obtained unit cells and geometries.\textsuperscript{47–49} The Bader charges were determined and the resulting hydrogen charges are displayed in Table II. Considering also the OH containing molecules it is clear that the charge on H is primarily determined by the bond to the oxygen and much less by the other atoms in the material and that the typical H charge is +0.54–0.60e.

It is clear that upon incorporation of 1/2 H\textsubscript{2} into the SrTiO\textsubscript{3} crystal, a full electron must be accounted for. Since H in itself only accounts for 44% of the electron density, the rest must be associated with other atoms. The oxygen bound to the hydrogen is the main carrier of the missing charge. The charge of this oxygen is increased from −1.17 to

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Average atomic Bader charges on perfect and hydrogenated SrTiO\textsubscript{3} (a.u.).}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Supercell & Formula & Sr & Ti & O  & H  \\
\hline
$2 \times 2 \times 2$ & (SrTiO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{8} & 1.59(7) & 1.92(7) & −1.17(5) & \ldots \textsuperscript{3} \\
 & (SrTiO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{8}H & 1.58(9) & 1.90(7) & −1.18(9) & 0.55(8) \\
$3 \times 3 \times 3$ & (SrTiO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{17} & 1.59(6) & 1.91(7) & −1.17(1) & \ldots \textsuperscript{4} \\
 & (SrTiO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{17}H & 1.59(4) & 1.91(6) & −1.17(7) & 0.55(8) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Bader charges on H in OH in various materials (a.u.). \textsuperscript{†} denotes data from this study.}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Material & SrTiO\textsubscript{3} & BaNbO\textsubscript{3} & CaTiO\textsubscript{3} & SrZrO\textsubscript{3} \\
\hline
Charge on H & 0.56$^a$ & 0.54$^a$ & 0.57$^a$ & 0.60$^a$ \\
\hline
Material & H\textsubscript{2}O & H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} & HCOOH & CH\textsubscript{3}COOH \\
\hline
Charge on H & 0.567$^a$ & 0.547$^a$ & 0.580$^a$ & 0.579$^a$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\textsuperscript{a}Reference 20.
\textsuperscript{b}Reference 34.
\textsuperscript{c}Reference 50.
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The hydroxyl species is thus formally written $\text{OH}_D^{0.50^+}$ and the formation of the defect occurs through

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}(g) + 2\text{O}_D^0 \rightarrow 2\text{OH}_D^{0.50^+} + e^- + 1/2\text{O}_2(g).$$

Comparing this to reaction (1) we see that the main difference is in the charge balance.

Searching for the remaining electronic density, a general increase with decreasing distance to the hydrogen was noticeable, but large variations were found as a consequence of lattice deformation shielding or exposing a given atom to the proton and hence extra charge. These effects are real and will be present in a real material, but in order to illuminate further on the distribution of the remaining charge, we performed similar calculations without relaxing the lattice upon insertion of the H atom. The Bader charge analysis on this undistorted cubic system showed that the increased electronic charge decays exponentially with distance to H as illustrated in Fig. 1. Not until approximately 8 Å have all charges converged.

We conclude that half of the electronic charge induced by the hydrogen is directly associated with the hydroxyl defect. The remaining electronic density is not evenly smeared, but decrease with distance to the H defect. The decrease can be viewed as an exponential decay from the hydrogen perturbed by a lattice distortion. While only the two closest Sr atoms are noticeably affected by the extra charge, the Ti and O atoms are affected at distances up to approximately 8 Å.

It is generally assumed that the proton diffuses via the Grotthuss mechanism wherein proton diffusion consist of H jumps and OH reorientations.31,52 These were both investigated using the NEB algorithm (see Fig. 2). The barriers were found to be consistent with previous studies at 0.16 eV and 0.28 eV for the rotation and jump, respectively.4 A charge analysis was hereafter conducted on all intermediate configurations to follow the charge distribution during diffusion.

The 0.56 equilibrium charge is, at the transition states only reduced to approximately 0.54 regardless of the mechanism, i.e., the H charge is virtually constant, even during jumps between different oxygen atoms. During an OH rotation, the charge dependence is smooth, while the charge dependence during H jumps is more abrupt. This is caused by geometrical changes during the reactions. While the OH distance slowly decreases until the transition state is reached during a rotation, the shortest OH distance of the jump increases more abruptly exactly when the H is being transferred from one O to another. From these results it is evident that the hydrogen defect remains partially charged during the dynamical transport processes. LDA+U calculations were also performed on the transition states, but did not yield significantly different results for the charge localization.

Figure 3 shows the change in electronic density imposed by a proton diffusing one perovskite unit cell length, corresponding to two OH rotations and two H jumps, in a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercell. For clarity, the lattice has been kept fixed. As the proton moves from left to right it is clear that electronic density disappear at the former protonic site and appear at the new protonic site. It is also obvious that the changes in electron density on the remaining atoms strongly depend on
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) Change in charge on Sr, Ti, and O atoms from perfect to singly hydrogenated but otherwise undistorted cubic $3 \times 3 \times 3$ SrTiO$_3$. Lines are fitted exponentials. Same trends are apparent in the relaxed system, but with larger scattering.

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Charge and energy profile of diffusing hydrogen in SrTiO$_3$. Diffusion is modeled as an OH rotation followed by a H jump.

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Change in electronic density from a proton diffusing one perovskite unit cell (left to right) through a rigid lattice in a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercell. The proton positions are indicated by “X.” The nearest oxygen atoms (red spheres) and titanium atoms (gray spheres) are indicated. Units are in $e/\text{Å}^3$. 

the distance to the H atom. This is consistent with the results from Fig. 1. Combined with Fig. 2 this signifies that the “protonic” transport effectively carries a near neutral, but partially delocalized charge. The electronic and protonic conductivities should therefore not be considered as separate properties.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated hydrogen charges in SrTiO$_3$ using the Bader charge analysis method based on DFT electronic structure calculations. We determine the hydrogen charge to approximately 0.56e, but best described as OH$_{0.50}$ due to increased charge on the host oxygen atom. These H charges are in agreement with results from other perovskites and in molecular systems, and we conclude that the OH bond is the main descriptor for H charge. Only small differences were found when comparing PBE and LDA+U calculations.

The remaining electronic charge is not evenly smeared on the surrounding atoms, but distributed mainly on the oxygen and titanium and decaying exponentially from the hydrogen. Variations caused by lattice deformation are however significant.

The diffusional paths of a Grothuss diffusional mechanism have been determined and the charge development during the diffusion has been investigated. We find the charge decreasing approximately $0.015e$ (2%–3%) at the transition states for both H jumps and OH reorientations. We conclude that hydrogen permeation in this model perovskite should not be viewed simply as decoupled protonic and electronic conductivities, since the hydrogen defect carries a semilocalized net charge close to neutral.
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