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The polysulfide reduction and oxidation activity of WS₂ electrocatalysts was studied. This was undertaken with a specific view to improve the efficiency of the polysulfide-bromine redox flow battery, for large scale energy storage. Using data from the literature, it is estimated that the catalysts would require exchange current densities of ~0.7 to 1 mA cm⁻² true microscopic surface area to enable them to become economically viable. The experiments were performed on three different forms of WS₂: platelet-like particles of the 2H polytype of WS₂ (2H-WS₂), ball milled WS₂ and inorganic fullerene-like WS₂ (IF-WS₂). The catalysts were characterized ex-situ using X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, energy dispersive X-ray analysis and N₂ gas adsorption methods. Electrochemical measurements were performed at 35°C in aqueous solutions of 1.8 M Na₂SₓBrₓ and 1 M NaOH, simulating the operating conditions of a half-charged polysulfide-bromine redox flow battery. The catalyst activity increased in the following order: IF-WS₂ < 2H-WS₂ < ball milled WS₂. We attempt to rationalize this trend on the basis of the morphological features observed ex-situ. The exchange current density of the ball milled WS₂ at ~0.012 mA cm⁻² falls short of the estimated target by a factor of ~60 to 70.
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There is an increasing need for energy storage on a large scale.¹–⁴ This stems from a number of requirements: to improve power quality, improve economic efficiency and to enable more widespread use of renewable energy sources. At present, the most widely deployed means of storing energy on a large scale is hydroelectric power. However, the prerequisite for the construction of a new hydroelectric power station is the flooding of large areas of land. This is no longer permissible in Europe or North America due to social, political and environmental considerations. Consequently, new technologies are needed with the high efficiency and the low cost of hydroelectric power.

The polysulfide bromine redox flow battery could represent a viable solution for the storage of energy on a large scale.⁵–⁹ Through the following reaction, electrical energy is stored in chemical bonds:

\[
x \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{1-x} + 3\text{NaBr} \rightarrow (x + 1)\text{Na}_2\text{S}_x + \text{NaBr}_3
\]

Upon charging, sodium tribromide is oxidized at the anode:

\[
3\text{NaBr} \rightarrow \text{NaBr}_3 + 2\text{Na}^+ + 2e^-
\]

The sodium polysulfide species are reduced to lower polysulfide species at the cathode:

\[
x \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{1-x} + 2\text{Na}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow (x + 1)\text{Na}_2\text{S}_x
\]

where (1 ≤ x ≤ 4). Alternatively, only taking into consideration the most abundant species in solution (the other species are in equilibrium with these species), the polysulfide reaction can be depicted as:¹⁰

\[
\text{S}_2^2- + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6e^- \rightleftharpoons 4\text{HS}^- + 4\text{OH}^- + \text{S}_2^2- + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6e^- \rightleftharpoons 4\text{HS}^- + 4\text{OH}^- + \text{S}_2^2-
\]

The bromide and polysulfide reactions proceed in separate half cells, divided by an ion conducting membrane. Upon discharging the above reactions go into reverse.

The distinguishing feature of redox flow batteries in comparison to conventional, static batteries is that the electroactive species are stored in a separate tank.¹¹,¹² Conversely, in a static battery, these always remain within the actual cell. This means that the total energy stored in a redox flow battery system is independent of its power rating. The total energy capacity is a function of the size of the electrolyte storage tank. Consequently, in redox flow batteries, economies of scale come into place when large amounts of energy need to be stored.

One of the chief advantages of the S₂⁻-Br redox flow battery, relative to other redox flow batteries is that both S and Br are cheap and abundant. Polysulfide species, S₂⁻, have a high solubility in alkaline solutions,¹³ which gives the Na₂Sₓ electrolyte a favorable energy density in comparison to some other aqueous electrolytes. Nonetheless, the toxicity of the electrolyte in the Br half cell could be of some concern: when the Br electrolyte is in its charged state, the Br⁻ anion will dissociate slightly to form Br₂.¹⁴

In order to evaluate the viability of the polysulfide bromine redox flow battery for large scale energy storage, two recent studies have employed numerical models. The first of these, by Denholm and Kulcinski,¹⁶ estimates the “life cycle losses” of polysulfide bromine redox flow batteries, vanadium redox flow batteries, hydroelectric power stations and compressed air energy storage. Not only did they take into account the losses incurred directly by the electrical conversion efficiency, but also the indirect energy losses. These indirect losses were those incurred by construction, operations and maintenance, and in the case of compressed air energy storage, from the fuel and its delivery. According to their analysis, the overall life cycle efficiency is highest for hydroelectric power and vanadium redox flow batteries, at ~73%. In comparison, the polysulfide bromine redox flow batteries and compressed air energy storage were estimated to have an efficiency of ~65%. Although the energy conversion efficiency of compressed air systems is high, their use of fossil fuels markedly increases the effective energy input. In the case of the S₂⁻-Br system, the majority of the energy losses seem to be caused by the low electricity conversion efficiency. Nevertheless, an increase in the electrical conversion efficiency from 65% to 75% would provide it with an overall efficiency close to that of hydroelectric power.¹⁶

The key to improving the efficiency of the polysulfide bromine redox flow battery can be found in a recent study by Scamman et al.¹⁷,¹⁸ They performed numerical simulations to evaluate the overall cost effectiveness of the polysulfide-bromide redox flow battery. In brief, Scamman et al.’s investigation predicted that Regenesys’ polysulfide-bromide redox flow battery would make a net economic loss.¹⁸ However, they also envisaged that the system would become profitable if an improvement was made to the kinetics of the polysulfide reaction. Arguably, the best way to achieve this would be to optimize the catalysis of the polysulfide half cell. Based on the rate constants stated above, this would require an exchange current density of ~0.2 A cm⁻² geometric surface area.¹⁹ In a redox flow battery, the electrodes are likely to
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be three dimensional, and the catalysts would be dispersed on a high surface area, conducting support such as C-black.9 This signifies that the true catalyst surface area should be appreciably higher than the 2D geometric surface area. For example, in a state of the art polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell, the true microscopic surface area of its Pt catalysts is 200 to 320 times greater than the 2D geometric surface area.20 Assuming that equivalent degrees of dispersion are achievable with the electrocatalysts of a $\text{S}_2^-$ Br redox flow battery, the exchange current density required to achieve Scaman et al.’s targets would be $<0.7$ to $1\text{ mA cm}^{-2}\text{ true microscopic surface area.}$

There are numerous experimental studies which suggest that Scaman et al.’s proposed improvements to the kinetics of the polysulfide bromine battery could be feasible. For instance, Zhang and co-workers showed that a polysulfide bromine redox flow battery with transition metal or metal sulfide catalysts at its electrodes had an improved efficiency over the same system with electrodes made entirely out of carbon.79 Moreover, there are several examples in the literature where the kinetics of polysulfide reduction and oxidation have been accelerated significantly through judicious use of electrode materials.3,21–27 However, very few, if any, studies have aimed to develop structure-activity relationships for electrocatalysts of polysulfide reduction and oxidation in particulate form.

In our work we compare two nanostructured variants of WS$_2$ in comparison to micron-sized particles of 2H-$\text{WS}_2$. In the first approach, edge planes and other defects are introduced into the 2H-$\text{WS}_2$ through the process of ball milling. In the second approach, we investigate a form of WS$_2$ which has very few edge planes: inorganic fullerene-like WS$_2$ (IF-WS$_2$).52 Both these forms of metal sulfides have previously been investigated as gas phase heterogeneous catalysts, where they showed promising activity.73-57 Moreover, a recent investigation also showed that doped nanotubes of WS$_2$ can efficiently photodecompose organics.89 However, to the best of our knowledge, they have not been investigated for their electrocatalytic properties.

### Experiment

#### Microstructural characterization.—X-ray diffraction.—X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques were used for phase identification and the determination of crystallite size. A Philips Vertical Diffracтомeter, model PW1050 was used, with the Bragg-Bretano geometry. This utilized Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation (with wavelength, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å), a single bounce carbon monochromator, a 0.5 mm divergence slit, a 0.2 mm receiving slit, a 0.5 mm anti-scatter slit, a dwell time of 30 s and a step size of 0.02°. The powdered samples were placed on an oriented Si wafer for analysis.

From the peak width, it is possible to determine the crystallite size and microstrain in the crystal structure, although sometimes these two effects may be convoluted.99 The crystallite size was estimated from the peak width using the Debye-Scherrer equation:

$$L_{\text{hkl}} = \frac{\lambda}{\beta_{\text{hkl}} \cos \theta_{\text{hkl}}}$$

where $L_{\text{hkl}}$ is the length of the crystallite perpendicular to the $(hk\ell)$ crystallographic plane, $\beta_{\text{hkl}}$ is the integral breadth of the peak, $\theta_{\text{hkl}}$ is the peak position and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the incident radiation.

In order to estimate microstrain (i.e. inhomogeneity in the lattice spacing) the following equation was used:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{hkl}} = \frac{\beta_{\text{hkl}}}{4\tan \theta_{\text{hkl}}}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\text{hkl}}$ is the microstrain. The values of $\beta_{\text{hkl}}$ were corrected for instrumental broadening by measuring a calibration sample of 10 wt% silicon powder and 90 wt% WS$_2$ ball milled for 24 hours, using the method given by Langford et al.60

#### Surface area by gas adsorption.—the surface area was determined using the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) method. This was achieved using a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 Instrument, using $\text{N}_2$ as an adsorbent at 77.3 K. Each catalyst powder was weighed so that its total BET surface area would come to the recommended amount of 5 to 10 m$^2$.61 Prior to the physical gas adsorption measurements, the samples were degassed overnight in a vacuum oven at 90°C at 1 mbar total pressure. The decrease in mass as a result of the degassing procedure was always below 0.5%.

#### Electron microscopy.—the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken in a field emission gun SEM JEOL-6340F, in secondary electron imaging (SEI) mode at an acceleration voltage of 5.0 kV. A FEI Tecnai F20 microscope was used at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The catalyst powders were first dispersed in acetone for five minutes using an ultrasonic probe, and then dropped onto holey carbon Cu TEM grids. The TEM grids were supplied by Agar Scientific. Images taken in high resolution mode shall be designated as HR-TEM images, whereas lower resolution images are designated as TEM images.

#### Catalysts.—inorganic fullerene like WS$_2$ (IF-WS$_2$) was supplied by NanoMaterials Ltd, courtesy of Reshef Tenne. The synthesis of the IF-WS$_2$ is described by Feldman et al.65 and involves the reaction of $\text{WO}_3$ nanoparticles with $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ at elevated temperatures.
The 2H-WS₂ (99.8%) was supplied by Alfa Aesar. The ball milling experiments were carried out using a Spex 8000 vibratory mill. A custom made, hardened steel vial was used for the grinding. Prior to each experiment, the mill was cleaned in isopropyl alcohol using an ultrasonic probe. For each experiment, 7.30 g of material was placed inside the mill, along with 2 × 1/2 inch and 4 × 1/4 inch hardened steel balls. The powder occupied approximately 20% of the internal volume of the vial, as recommended by the mill’s manufacturer.⁶³ The vial was closed using a screw top lid, and sealed using a Viton O-ring. Before the milling commenced, the mill was degassed and back filled with Ar (at a pressure of 2 bar) at least 6 times via a ball valve. A piece of filter paper was placed between the ball valve and the pipe leading to the main body of the vial in order to prevent the powder from being evacuated from the vial. After milling, the sample was left to cool, before being opened to air and then transferred to a vacuum desiccator.

Electrochemical measurements.—The water was purified using a Millipore water system, where the resistivity of the water was measured to be greater than 18 MΩ cm. All electrochemical glassware was washed and sonicated in this water, prior to usage.

Na₂S·9H₂O (ACS Reagent, >98%), powdered S (99.98%), NaOH (>97%), KCl (99.5% to 100.5%) and paraffin wax were all supplied by Sigma Aldrich. Isopropyl alcohol (>99%) were all supplied by Fisher Scientific. Acetylene black (99.99%) was supplied by Alfa Aesar.

The electrolyte was prepared as follows: the NaOH was dissolved in water in a flask placed on a magnetic heater/stirrer and deaerated for 30 minutes by bubbling it with Ar. An overpressure of Ar was maintained at all times. The Na₂S·9H₂O was then dissolved in the deaerated NaOH solution at 40°C. Once the Na₂S·9H₂O had completely dissolved, the S was added and stirred until it had also dissolved. The solution was made up to the required volume with de-aerated water in a volumetric flask.

The catalysts were immobilized on the electrode using the “sticky carbon” method.⁶⁵ This sticky carbon composite was made of a mixture of 35 wt% acetylene black and 65 wt% paraffin wax. The working electrode body was supplied by BioAnalytical Systems, model MF-2010. It was made from chlorotrifluoroethylene (CTFE), and incorporated an empty inset, 4 mm in diameter, 3 mm deep. A heat gun was used to raise the temperature of the wax to around 50°C, so that the composite could be pressed further into place, albeit protruding out of the inset slightly. The surface of the electrode was then rubbed against a clean sheet of weighing paper, until it was smooth and planar.

A small amount of catalyst (~0.2 mg) was then dispersed using an analytical sieve onto a glass optical microscopy slide. The electrode was weighed on an analytical balance, before being pressed lightly against the catalyst. The catalyst loaded electrode was then weighed to determine the amount of catalyst loaded on the electrode. The electrode was then transferred to the electrochemical cell.

All electrochemical measurements were controlled using a PGSTAT 30 from Autolab. All impedance spectroscopy measurements were taken from 1 M Hz to 0.1 Hz, with a peak to peak amplitude of 10 mV. The impedance spectra were fitted using Autolab’s FRA software.

A two compartment, three electrode cell was used. A built in water jacket was used to maintain the cell at 35°C; this is the operating temperature of the polysulfide bromine redox flow battery.¹⁷²⁶ All experiments were carried out under an inert, purified atmosphere of Ar, with a slight overpressure. The electrolyte was bubbled with Ar prior to the commencement of the experiments, and blanketed during the course of the experiment.

A Pt mesh of about 2 cm² in geometrical surface area, was used as a counter electrode. The reference was a 3.5 M KCl/calomel electrode. All potentials were corrected so that they correspond to that of a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) at 25°C.⁶⁶ The reference electrode was placed in a flask containing 3.5 M KCl, connected via an electrolytic bridge to the Luggin capillary.

An auxiliary ‘high frequency shunt’ was applied to the reference electrode, as described by Mansfeld et al.⁶⁷ This comprised a Pt wire, whose tip coincided with the tip of the Luggin capillary. The wire was connected to the reference electrode via a 1 nF capacitor.

Electrochemical characterization protocol.—Each catalyst was subjected to exactly the same electrochemical measurement protocol, in order to ensure adequate reproducibility. This can be described as follows: (a) The working electrode was placed into the cell. (b) The electrolyte was first purged with purified Ar for 45 minutes, and following this the Ar was blanketed over the surface of the electrolyte for a further 15 minutes. (c) The working electrode was repeatedly cycled potentiodynamically for one hour at 10 mVs⁻¹. Each scan proceeded in the following order: η = 0 V → −0.27 V → +0.22 V → 0 V. (d) The potentiostatic steady state current measurement then commenced, proceeding in the following order: η ~ −0.275 V → −0.23 V, increasing in 10 mV intervals. The current was measured using a chronoamperometric technique, for 50 s at each potential (apart from the initial potential, where it was held for 100 s). The steady state current was evaluated after 50 s, immediately before the electrode was stepped to the next potential. (e) The potentiostatic measurement was also interspersed with impedance spectroscopy measurements at selected potentials.

Results

Microstructural characterization.—The X-ray diffraction traces of the various WS₂ samples are shown on Figure 1. The traces have been plotted on a logarithmic scale; this scale was chosen simply because the (002) reflection is so much more intense than the other reflections that otherwise it would be difficult to view the smaller peaks, especially in the case of the 2H-WS₂. The predominance of the (002) reflection is a reflection of the anisotropic nature of the 2H-WS₂ material. Clearly, all the traces correspond quite closely to the reference pattern for 2H-WS₂. With increased milling time, the peaks become significantly broader, which (apart from instrumental broadening) could be either attributed to a decrease in crystallite size or increase in microstrain (as described in the Experimental section). In principle, these effects can be deconvoluted using Williamson Hall plots.⁸⁸⁹⁹ However, since the peaks on the XRD traces are broad and convoluted, it was not possible to converge to a meaningful fit using this type of analysis.

Nevertheless, Table I provides a rough approximation of the crystallite size and extent of microstrain, through analysis of the (002) peak, centered at around 14°. The integral value of the peak breadth, β(002), was evaluated using a Lorentzian fit. Using equation 5 and

![Figure 1. X-ray diffraction traces of WS₂ based electrocatalyst powders. Stacked peaks denote those that are not present in powder diffraction file 08-0237: ★ denotes an unknown peak; ☆ denotes the peak attributed by Zhu et al. to the (203) reflection of 2H-WS₂. The relative intensities are shown on a logarithmic scale, with each trace offset vertically from the other.](ecsdl.org/site/terms_use)
Table I. Analysis of (002) peak broadening in WS$_2$ samples, from XRD results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2H-WS$_2$</th>
<th>WS$_2$ ball milled for 2 hours</th>
<th>WS$_2$ ball milled for 12 hours</th>
<th>WS$_2$ ball milled for 24 hours</th>
<th>IF-WS$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{(002)}$ (°)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum crystallite size (nm)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum microstrain</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

assuming that the broadening of the (002) peaks is entirely due to decreased crystallite size, we estimate the lowest possible value of the average crystallite size. Conversely, by using equation 6 and assuming that the broadening is entirely attributable to microstrain, we estimate the maximum degree of microstrain. The data in Table I show that the milling brings about a large increase in microstrain or decrease in crystallite size, which develops further with milling time. There are some minor shifts in the peak positions between the different samples, suggesting a change in the size of the unit cell.

There is a significant unidentified peak on the WS$_2$ samples that were milled for 12 and 24 hours, centered at $\sim 24^\circ$. Most probably this is an artifact of the milling process which resulted in the formation of a second phase. This could either be due to contamination from the balls or the vials, or otherwise the segregation of a separate phase within the WS$_2$. However, its intensity is still only $\sim 5\%$ of the (002) peak, and given that there are no other unidentified peaks of significant magnitude, the concentration of this second phase is probably low. There are some small additional peaks on the traces shown on Figure 1 (e.g. at $\sim 27^\circ$ on the unmilled 2H-WS$_2$ and the sample that was milled for 2 hours); however, bearing in mind the logarithmic scale, their intensity is negligible, and comparable to the background noise.

Electron microscopy.—FEG-SEM, TEM and HR-TEM images of the 2H-WS$_2$ particles are shown on Figures 2–4. Figure 5 shows FEG-SEM images of the WS$_2$ milled for 24 hours. Based upon these images, there is a marked difference between the milled and unmilled 2H-WS$_2$. Conversely, the different milled samples (not shown) are largely indistinguishable from each other. The milling process has resulted in a roughened microstructure, and the particles have lost their platelet-like morphology. It would be difficult to specify the dimensions of the particles, as they are of such an ill-defined shape and distribution that it is meaningless to distinguish an individual particle from the agglomerated mass to which it is attached. The agglomerates themselves are also fairly polydisperse, their size ranging from $\sim 100$ nm to $\sim 20$ μm.

The HR-TEM images shown on Figure 6 and Figure 7 provide further evidence of the effect of ball milling on the microstructure of WS$_2$. The HR-TEM images of the WS$_2$ ball milled for 2 hours are clearly distinguishable from those of the as received 2H-WS$_2$. The particles are no longer shaped like platelets, and the edges are particularly distorted. However, it is still possible to observe some ordered, uninterrupted lattice fringes of the (000) plane that span tens of nanometres. This is similar in order of magnitude to the minimum

Figure 2. FEG-SEM images showing typical particles of 2H-WS$_2$ on carbon backing tape.

Figure 3. TEM images of the 2H-WS$_2$ particles, supported on a holey carbon film.

Figure 4. HR-TEM images of 2H-WS$_2$, (a) and (b) with basal plane lying perpendicular to the electron beam, and (c) with edge planes (hk0) lying perpendicular to the electron beam.

Figure 5. FEG-SEM images showing typical particles of WS$_2$ ball milled for 24 hours, on carbon backing tape.

Figure 6. HR-TEM images of the WS$_2$ ball milled for 2 hours, supported on a holey carbon film.
average crystallite size which was estimated in Table I from the (002) peak in the XRD data. Notably, Kouzu et al.’s HR-TEM images of ball milled 2H-MoS2 resemble those shown here.55

On the basis of the HR-TEM images, the WS2 that was milled for 24 hours, shown on Figure 7, seems more distorted than the sample that was milled for 2 hours, shown on Figure 6. Although it is still possible to see the lattice fringes from the (00) reflection on the WS2 ball milled for 24 hours, they are much distorted and there many dislocations present. Moreover, the extent of each ordered domain does not span more than about 4.5 nm, which is similar to the minimum crystallite size predicted from the XRD data, 4 nm (c.f. Table I).

The typical size and morphology of the IF-WS2 particles are depicted on the SEM and TEM images shown on Figures 8–10. These images are similar to those previously taken by Tenne and co-workers of the same material.62 The particles are somewhat agglomerated, but also fairly homogeneous. They are shaped like deformed, ellipsoid spheres, about 100–150 nm in diameter.

The HR-TEM images of the IF-WS2, shown on Figure 10, clearly show the “nest-like” structure of the particles.70 As expected, the surfaces almost completely consist of the basal (00l) planes, which can clearly be seen from the lattice fringes. The particles are angular and faceted, in contrast to the continuously curved shape of C based fullerenes. On a given facet, the basal planes are fairly linear, and it is not apparent that they have to accommodate much radial strain. They do appear to be some steps along the (00l) surfaces, along with some amorphous material, and it could be anticipated that these features contain some of IF-WS2’s most reactive sites.

Given that the catalytically active sites of these materials are generally acknowledged to be the edge planes,46,49–51 it is worth considering the abundance of these sites on the different materials. Although TEM and XRD are not surface sensitive techniques, the information they provide can be correlated to the surface termination.71, 73 The 2H-WS2 forms anisotropic platelets, oriented along the (00l) plane, with few edge planes, simply because the basal plane would have a much lower surface energy than the edges.72 Likewise, the closed nature of the IF-WS2 (as shown in the TEM images) means that they would have even fewer edge planes than the 2H-WS2; indeed the driving force for their formation is the removal of the edge planes.73 On the other hand, the ball milling would introduce metastable facets and defects which would be less thermodynamically stable than the basal plane. This interpretation is consistent with the HR-TEM images and XRD analysis, which together suggest that the structure of the ball milled WS2 is comprised of smaller crystallites, and contains many dislocations and stacking faults. It seems likely that at the surface these bulk defects would be manifested as defects such as edge planes or steps.51,71 The TEM images of the milled WS2 are qualitatively similar to those of recently reported “double-gyroid” MoS2, a catalyst engineered to have a high proportion of edge sites at its surface.74 In summary, it seems that the abundance of the edge planes and similar surface sites increases in the following order IF-WS2 < 2H-WS2 < milled WS2.

EDX analysis.— In order to determine the elemental composition of the WS2 samples, SEM-based EDX analyzes were performed. One of the primary motivations for doing this was to determine whether milling procedure had introduced metallic impurities into the material through its interaction with the balls and vial.

Typical spectra of the 2H-WS2, the WS2 milled for 24 hours, and the IF-WS2 are shown on Figure 11. The spectra of the 2H-WS2 and the IF-WS2 are close to identical. W and S are the most prominent peaks, as expected from the XRD data. There are some additional peaks due to Pt, C and O. The Pt is from the conductive coating sputtered onto the surface of the catalysts to prevent charging. The C is probably due to the backing tape which was used to support the particles. The O peak is so small that it can be neglected.

The WS2 that was milled for 24 hours exhibits the same peaks as the other two samples, with the exception of Pt. The S peak is slightly diminished whereas the O peak has increased in magnitude. Notably, no other metallic elements were detected that could have been introduced from the hardened steel vial and balls of the ball mill, such as Fe, Cr or Ni. However, it is possible that these elements are present in concentrations lower than ∼0.1%, the limiting sensitivity of EDX.75

Surface area by gas adsorption.— The BET surface area of all the catalysts is relatively low, ranging between 2.3 and 6.2 m² g⁻¹, as summarized in Table II. The ball milling effects an initial increase in the surface area, but then passes through a maximum before decreasing again. These values seem reasonable: a very rough estimation can be made of the expected surface area of the IF-WS2: assuming that the particles are 100 nm in diameter, completely spherical and have a
the literature,10,29,77,78 and suggests that this potential is the reversible minutes of immersion within the cell. This is consistent with reports in the same potential, including the carbon substrate, and the Pt counter electrode reached with milling time, as the current densities increase in the following and oxidation. The data also shows that there is an increase in activity considerably increases their activity for both polysulfide reduction and oxidation.21–27,29,78

Typical EDX spectra of 2H-WS2, WS2 ball milled for 24 hours and IF-WS2.

Electrochemical characterization.— All of the electrodes tested, including the carbon substrate, and the Pt counter electrode reached the same potential, −0.754 V with respect to an SCE, within a few minutes of immersion within the cell. This is consistent with reports in the literature,9,26,37,76 and suggests that this potential is the reversible Nernst potential for polysulfide reduction and oxidation. Moreover, we assume, unless otherwise specified that all voltammetric and potentiostatic dc currents are faradaic in nature, and are selective toward polysulfide reduction and oxidation.

The initial activity of the different samples toward polysulfide reduction is shown on Figure 12. The inset shows the response of the unmilled 2H-WS2 in comparison to the blank sticky carbon substrate. The unmilled 2H-WS2 and the milled WS2 show very little hysteresis between scans taken in the positive and negative directions, under cathodic polarization. This suggests that the current is faradaic in nature and approximates to its steady state value. The hysteresis is slightly more pronounced on the IF-WS2, but only at low cathodic overpotentials. On all WS2 samples there is a limited degree of hysteresis at anodic overpotentials. This hysteresis could possibly be attributed due to an adsorption pseudocapacitance, reconstruction of the surface, or the formation of elemental S.

In order: unmilled 2H-WS2 < WS2 milled for 2 hours < WS2 milled for 12 hours < WS2 milled for 24 hours.

It also appears that the IF-WS2 shows a lower activity than the 2H-WS2, especially at high anodic overpotentials. The anodic response of the IF-WS2 has been omitted from Figure 12 (as well as subsequent electrochemical data), as the catalyst loaded electrode was generally less active than the blank sticky carbon substrate.

The unmilled 2H-WS2 and the milled WS2 show very little hysteresis between scans taken in the positive and negative directions, under cathodic polarization. This suggests that the current is faradaic in nature and approximates to its steady state value. The hysteresis is slightly more pronounced on the IF-WS2, but only at low cathodic overpotentials. On all WS2 samples there is a limited degree of hysteresis at anodic overpotentials. This hysteresis could possibly be attributed due to an adsorption pseudocapacitance, reconstruction of the surface, or the formation of elemental S.

The initial activity of the different samples toward polysulfide reduction and oxidation is shown on Figure 12. The unmilled 2H-WS2 and the milled WS2 show very little hysteresis between scans taken in the positive and negative directions, under cathodic polarization. This suggests that the current is faradaic in nature and approximates to its steady state value. The hysteresis is slightly more pronounced on the IF-WS2, but only at low cathodic overpotentials. On all WS2 samples there is a limited degree of hysteresis at anodic overpotentials. This hysteresis could possibly be attributed due to an adsorption pseudocapacitance, reconstruction of the surface, or the formation of elemental S.

The initial activity of the different samples toward polysulfide reduction and oxidation is shown on Figure 12. The inset shows the response of the unmilled 2H-WS2 in comparison to the blank sticky carbon substrate, demonstrating that they are clearly distinguishable from each other, except at high anodic overpotentials. In the main plot, the current densities have been normalized according to their BET surface area. It is evident that ball milling the WS2 samples considerably increases their activity for both polysulfide reduction and oxidation. The data also shows that there is an increase in activity with milling time, as the current densities increase in the following order: unmilled 2H-WS2 < WS2 milled for 2 hours < WS2 milled for 12 hours < WS2 milled for 24 hours.

Table II. Summary of BET surface area and analysis for WS2 catalysts tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2H-WS2</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS2 milled for 2 hours</td>
<td>6.12 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS2 milled for 12 hours</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS2 milled for 24 hours</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF-WS2</td>
<td>6.23 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12. Initial potentiodynamic sweep of different WS2 samples. Normalized according to BET surface area. Taken in 1.8 M Na2S2.47 and 1 M NaOH at 35 °C. The scan proceeded in the following order: \( \eta = 0 \text{ V} \rightarrow -0.27 \text{ V} \rightarrow +0.22 \text{ V} \rightarrow 0 \text{ V}; \text{ sweep rate: } 10 \text{ mV} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \). The anodic response of the IF-WS2 has been omitted, as it was lower than that of the sticky carbon substrate. The inset shows the response of 0.16 mg unmilled 2H-WS2 in comparison to the blank substrate.

Table II. Summary of BET surface area and analysis for WS2 catalysts tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2H-WS2</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS2 milled for 2 hours</td>
<td>6.12 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS2 milled for 12 hours</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS2 milled for 24 hours</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF-WS2</td>
<td>6.23 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13. Potentiodynamic polarization response of different WS2 samples, after one hour of cycling, i.e. 32 cycles. Taken in 1.8 M Na2S2.47 and 1 M NaOH at 35 °C. The scan proceeded in the following order: \( \eta = 0 \text{ V} \rightarrow -0.27 \text{ V} \rightarrow +0.22 \text{ V} \rightarrow 0 \text{ V}; \text{ sweep rate: } 10 \text{ mV} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \). The anodic response of the IF-WS2 has been omitted, as it was lower than that of the sticky carbon substrate.
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to 50%. Conversely, the other samples retained their activity upon cycling, within a ∼5% margin.

The data presented thus far suggest that the microstructural and electrochemical characteristics of the samples milled for 2 hours and 12 hours seem to be intermediate between those of the unmilled sample 2H-WS₂ and the sample which was milled for 24 hours. Consequently, for the purpose of brevity, we shall focus on the unmilled sample and the sample that was milled for 24 hours, which shall henceforth be referred to as the milled WS₂.

Following the potentiodynamic measurements, the steady state current was also measured potentiostatically. These data are shown on Figure 14. Neither the milled WS₂ nor the IF-WS₂ show a marked change in cathodic activity between the potentiodynamic and potentiostatic measurements. In contrast, the 2H-WS₂ exhibited a three-fold increase in activity toward polysulfide reduction when measured potentiostatically.

On the other hand, under anodic polarization the potentiostatic activity of the milled WS₂ was up to ∼50% lower than the activity measured potentiostatically. In order to investigate whether this anodic deactivation of the ball milled sample was due to mass transport induced passivation, the experiment was also carried out in the presence of a magnetic stirrer. However, the activity of the unstirred sample was identical to that of the stirred sample. This suggests that the polarization of the milled WS₂ is not influenced by mass transport.

Table III summarizes the Tafel parameters evaluated from the potentiostatic steady state polarization data shown in Figure 14, fitted to the exponential approximation of the Butler-Volmer equation:

$$i_{SS} = i_0 \text{exp}(\eta/b)$$  

[7]

where $i_{SS}$ is the steady state current, $i_0$ is the exchange current density, $b$ is the Tafel slope, and $\eta$ is the overpotential. The exchange current densities varied somewhat between independent measurements of the same sample, with a standard deviation of up to ∼35%. However, this error is not significant enough to affect the overall trends observed between the Figure 14 shows that there is some curvature in the Tafel slopes for all samples. As summarized in Table III, at low cathodic overpotentials, both the IF-WS₂ and the milled WS₂ exhibited Tafel slopes of ∼130 mV decade⁻¹. At higher overpotentials, the IF-WS₂ and the milled WS₂ sample exhibited apparent Tafel slopes of ∼180 mV decade⁻¹ and ∼200 mV decade⁻¹, respectively.

Under anodic polarization, the Tafel slopes of the milled WS₂ were ∼210 mV decade⁻¹ at low overpotentials and ∼370 mV decade⁻¹ at high anodic overpotentials. The latter value is exceptionally high, and suggests that the current is not controlled purely by electrochemical charge transfer kinetics (a kinetically controlled current would typically have a Tafel slope up to ∼120 mV decade⁻¹).

The Tafel slopes alone can provide no additional information to understand the factors controlling the apparent activity of these catalysts. Consequently, we used impedance spectroscopy was used to shed further light upon the underlying electrochemistry of these materials.

**Impedance spectroscopy.**— The same general features were present on the impedance spectra of all samples. However, for the purpose of brevity, we will focus the discussion here on the impedance spectra of the most active sample, the milled WS₂.

Typical impedance spectra of the WS₂ that was milled for 24 hours are shown on Figure 15 and Figure 16, taken under anodic and cathodic polarization, respectively. There are two peaks: at high frequencies, between 10 and 100 kHz, and at low frequencies, between 10 and 100 Hz. Both relaxations are also clearly distinguishable from each other on the Nyquist plot, and are manifested as depressed, intersecting semicircles.

When fitting these data, the equivalent circuit shown on Figure 17 provided the best fit for all samples. Both relaxations in the impedance spectra can be modeled by parallel combinations of a resistance and a constant phase element, $R_1$ and CPE₁ for the low frequency relaxation and $R_2$ and CPE₂ for the high frequency relaxation. The fitting parameters for these spectra are summarized on Table IV.

With increasing cathodic overpotential, the low frequency intercept on the real axis, $Z'$ decreases, as shown on Figure 15, due to the lowering of kinetic barriers as the system is drawn away from equilibrium. On the other hand, Figure 16 shows that under anodic

---

**Table III.** Tafel parameters for different WS₂ samples, from the potentiostatic data presented in Figure 14. The errors shown are the standard deviation in each fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>$\eta$ (V)</th>
<th>$10^6b$ (V decade⁻¹)</th>
<th>$10^6i_0$ (A mg⁻¹)</th>
<th>$10^6i_0$ (A cm⁻² BET area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper cathodic region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmilled 2H-WS₂</td>
<td>−0.273 to −0.154</td>
<td>148 ± 5</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
<td>103 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS₂ milled for 24 hours</td>
<td>−0.248 to −0.109</td>
<td>179 ± 8</td>
<td>436 ± 9</td>
<td>1850 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF-WS₂</td>
<td>−0.280 to −0.120</td>
<td>200 ± 3</td>
<td>9 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower cathodic region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmilled 2H-WS₂</td>
<td>−0.154 to −0.059</td>
<td>100 ± 2</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS₂ milled for 24 hours</td>
<td>−0.109 to −0.055</td>
<td>128 ± 5</td>
<td>250 ± 20</td>
<td>1090 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF-WS₂</td>
<td>−0.120 to −0.060</td>
<td>128 ± 5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower anodic region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H-WS₂</td>
<td>+ 0.059 to + 0.089</td>
<td>115 ± 3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>19.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS₂ milled for 24 hours</td>
<td>+ 0.053 to + 0.106</td>
<td>210 ± 8</td>
<td>267 ± 9</td>
<td>1140 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF-WS₂</td>
<td>+ 0.106 to 0.217</td>
<td>370 ± 20</td>
<td>450 ± 25</td>
<td>1900 ± 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Figure 14.** Potentiostatic steady state polarization response of WS₂ ball milled for 24 hours, 2H-WS₂ and IF-WS₂. Normalized according to BET surface area. Taken in 1.8 mol dm⁻³ Na₂S₅ and 1 mol dm⁻³ NaOH, at 35 °C. Measurements proceeded in the positive (anodic) direction. Squares show measured data, dashed lines show fit. The anodic response of the IF-WS₂ has been omitted, as it was lower than that of the sticky carbon substrate.
polarization, the low frequency intercept on the real axis is virtually unchanged between the $\eta = 0$ V and $\eta = +0.108$ V.

This anomalous behavior can be traced back to the high frequency relaxation, due to $R_2$ and CPE$_2$. The depressed semicircle corresponding to this relaxation actually becomes more prominent as the electrode is polarized in the positive direction. It seems likely that the origin of this feature is a solid-state contact resistance. Evidence for this is provided by the capacitances, C$_2$, for this feature in Table IV, estimated using the relation:\(^{81,82}\)

$$C = Q^{1/\eta} R_{\text{SS}}^{(1/\eta)-1}$$

Where $Q$ and $\eta$ are the fitting parameters of the CPE. The values are roughly the same for all samples, at ~20 to 30 nF/cm$^2$; these values are far too low for an electrochemical double layer capacitance, but more likely to be related to a solid state contact.\(^{79,83}\) The notion that a solid state contact resistance could play such a significant role is unsurprising; the difficulties of making “Ohmic contacts” to layered semiconductor electrodes are well documented.\(^{83,84}\) A very similar feature was also observed by Conway and Liu upon their Co$_2$O$_3$ electrocatalysts, due to the interface with a Ti substrate.\(^{85}\) The low frequency capacitance, C$_1$, of the milled WS$_2$ is around an order of magnitude higher than the equivalent values for the unmilled WS$_2$ (not shown) This could suggest that the milled WS$_2$ has an intrinsically higher electrochemically active surface area or a larger concentration of active sites.

Figure 18 compares the resistances $R_1$ and $R_2$ for the different WS$_2$ catalysts. Clearly, the difference between $R_2$ and $R_1$ is much smaller for IF-WS$_2$ and the milled WS$_2$ than for the unmilled 2H-WS$_2$. Indeed, in the case of the milled WS$_2$, at $\eta > +150$ mV, the contact resistance, $R_2$, is greater than the charge transfer resistance $R_1$. This suggests that at these high anodic potentials, an increase in overpotential, $\Delta \eta$, is applied to a greater extent over the solid-solid interface than the electrochemical interface.

Using $R_1$ and $R_2$, we may estimate the relative contribution of the contact resistance, and the kinetic resistance, $R_1$, to the overpotential. Since:\(^{86}\)

$$\frac{dE}{d\eta_{SS}} = Z_f (f \rightarrow 0) = R_1 + R_2$$

\[ \text{Figure 15. Cathodic impedance spectra of WS}_2 \text{ milled for 24 hours in 1.8 M Na}_2S_2,47 \text{ and 1 M NaOH, at 35°C. Measured at overpotentials of } -0.256 \text{ V } (-\text{---}), -0.197 \text{ V } (-\cdots), -0.104 \text{ V } (-\cdots-); -0.076 \text{ V } (-\cdots-); -0.047 \text{ V } (-\cdots) \text{. Squares correspond to experimental data, lines correspond to simulation. Represented as (a) Nyquist plot and (b) Bode phase angle plot. } \]

\[ \text{Figure 16. Anodic impedance spectra of WS}_2 \text{ milled for 24 hours in 1.8 M Na}_2S_2,47 \text{ and 1 M NaOH, at 35°C. Measured at overpotentials of } 0 \text{ V } (-\cdots-)-0.256 \text{ V } (-\cdots-); -0.108 \text{ V } (-\cdots) \text{. Squares correspond to experimental data, lines correspond to simulation. Represented as (a) Nyquist plot and (b) Bode phase angle plot. } \]

\[ \text{Figure 17. Equivalent circuit used to fit impedance spectra. } \]
assuming linear behavior, for a small change in steady state current density, $\Delta i_{SS}$, there is a corresponding change in potential across the interface:

$$\Delta \eta = \Delta i_{SS} (R_1 + R_2) = \Delta \eta_1 + \Delta \eta_2$$  \[10\]

where $\Delta \eta_1$ and $\Delta \eta_2$ are the contributions to the change in potential from $R_1$ and $R_2$, respectively, when the current is increased by an amount $\Delta i_{SS}$. At each point where an impedance spectrum is taken, there will be a steady state DC current density, $i_{SS}$. By summing up the $\Delta \eta$ for each incremental increase in $i_{SS}$, it is then possible to estimate $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$, the contributions to the overpotential from the $R_1$ and $R_2$, as a function of $i_{SS}$. A similar approach was taken by Wagner to estimate the individual contributions to the total overpotential of a polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell.\(^8^6\)

The results of this analysis are shown on Figure 19a, 19b and 19c for the unmilled WS$_2$, the milled WS$_2$ and the IF-WS$_2$, respectively. For the unmilled sample only a small part of the overpotential seems to arise from the contact resistance. However, for the IF-WS$_2$ and the milled WS$_2$, it is much more significant, especially at high overpotentials; up to ~30\% of the overpotential is due to the contact resistance on the milled WS$_2$, and ~25\% for the IF-WS$_2$.

The insets on Figure 19a, 19b and 19c compare the polarization curves simulated from the impedance data, and the actual polarization curves from the potentiostatic data shown in Figure 14. In general, the simulated curves correspond closely to the actual polarization curves, validating this approach whereby impedance spectroscopy is used to describe the polarization of the WS$_2$ catalysts.

Using the data shown from Figure 19, it is now possible to estimate the hypothetical activity of the different catalysts in the absence of the contact resistance, in which case the current should be controlled by kinetics. The simulated kinetic polarization plots of the catalysts are shown on Figure 20. In the case of the milled WS$_2$, the plots are linear in both the anodic and cathodic regions, and show no inflection, similar to those of the 2H-WS$_2$. The simulated curve of the IF-WS$_2$ is not as linear, but nevertheless a single Tafel slope can be fitted over the entire cathodic range more satisfactorily than in the polarization plots shown in Figure 17.

The Tafel parameters from these plots are summarized in Table V. The simulated exchange current densities, and some of the Tafel slopes are very similar in magnitude to the low overpotential values from Table III. The simulated Tafel slopes all range between 100 and 135 mV decade$^{-1}$. These values are within the typical range for a kinetically controlled current,\(^8^0\) and much lower than the apparent Tafel slopes for these materials at high overpotentials on Table III.

Finally, it is also of interest to compare the kinetic activity of the different catalysts, using the data presented in Figure 20. The milled WS$_2$ is 30 to 40 times more active than the unmilled 2H-WS$_2$. Conversely, the 2H-WS$_2$ shows a 4 to 10 fold increase in activity over the IF-WS$_2$. 

---

**Figure 18.** Comparison of resistances $R_1$ and $R_2$ for different WS$_2$ samples, determined from fits of impedance data. Taken at 35°C in 1.8 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ and 1 M NaOH. Shaded gray area represents contributions from contact resistance, in which case the current should be controlled by kinetics. The simulated kinetic polarization plots of the catalysts are shown in Figure 17. The insets on Figure 18a, 18b and 18c compare the polarization curves from the potentiostatic data shown in Figure 14. In general, the simulated curves correspond closely to the actual polarization curves, validating this approach whereby impedance spectroscopy is used to describe the polarization of the WS$_2$ catalysts.

---

**Figure 19.** Comparison of $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$, for (a) unmilled WS$_2$, (b) the WS$_2$ milled for 24 hours, and (b) IF-WS$_2$, determined from impedance data. Taken at 35°C in 1.8 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ and 1 M NaOH. Shaded gray area represents kinetic contribution to overpotential, $\eta_1$ ( ), black squares show simulated total overpotential, $\eta_1$ ( ), with lines connecting data points to ease visualization. The inset compares the polarization curve simulated from the impedance data ( ), to the actual polarization curve measured from the potentiostatic data ( ).
### Discussion

Structure dependence of electrocatalytic activity.— Evidently, the materials under study exhibit a wide range of electrocatalytic activity for polysulfide reduction and oxidation, spanning over two orders of magnitude. These can be explained by considering the differences in structure between each material.

The microstructural characterization showed that each catalyst has roughly the same bulk composition and crystal structure. However, distinct morphological differences were observed between each catalyst, especially with respect to the predominance of edge planes on their surfaces. The proportion of edge planes increases in the same order as the catalyst activity: IF-WS₂ < unmilled 2H-WS₂ < milled WS₂. Thus the most simple and unambiguous explanation for the trends observed is that the edge planes are the active sites for polysulfide reduction and oxidation. On this basis, the differences in activity observed are primarily due to the number of edge planes present on each catalyst. This is completely consistent with previous theoretical and experimental investigations of similar materials for other catalytic reactions.

Nonetheless, more complicated explanations could also account for the trends we observed. We acknowledge that the sites that dominate the catalytic activity may not be equivalent on each material. This is especially true for the milled WS₂, since it was prepared at room temperature under conditions that were far from equilibrium. Therefore, a large number of metastable defects could have formed. Such defects would not be present on the unmilled 2H-WS₂ and the IF-WS₂, as these catalysts would have been synthesized at higher temperatures under more equilibrium conditions.

Moreover, each catalyst could contain different impurities that would strongly influence their activity. However, the EDX analyzes performed on all the catalysts under study were unable to detect any metallic impurities, within the detection limit of ~0.1%.\(^7\) Notably, there is a small additional peak in the XRD trace for the milled WS₂. Taking into account the EDX analysis, this feature can probably be attributed to the formation of an additional phase containing a combination of W, S and possibly O. In any case, the diminutive size of this XRD peak suggests that the phase it represents would only constitute a very small proportion of the total bulk composition. We cannot completely neglect the possibility that the small amount of O detected in our EDX analysis could play some role in the catalysis. However, it is worth noting that solution phase sulfide species will adsorb spontaneously onto most surfaces to form surface sulfides.\(^7\) Consequently, even though oxygen is detected on the catalyst, ex-situ, prior to exposure to the polysulfide electrolyte, we anticipate that the surface is more likely comprised of a sulfide, as opposed to oxide phase, as suggested by Licht\(^10\) and Hodes.\(^21\)

Solid state contact resistance.— Impedance spectroscopy elucidated the influence of the contact resistance on the polarization response of the catalysts tested. Non-metallic, poorly conducting electrocatalysts have received increasing attention in recent years for energy conversion processes, in particular those based on sulfides\(^34–36,97\) and oxides.\(^98–103\) However, in many cases, it seems possible that the role of the contact resistance on the performance of the catalyst could be overlooked. Without accounting for such solid state effects, it is difficult to assess the true electrocatalytic activity of a non-metallic surface.

Reaction kinetics.— It is interesting to note the similarities between the electrochemical response of all the different samples. The same equivalent circuit could be used to model each material’s impedance spectra. In particular, there only seems to be one relaxation attributable to electrochemical kinetics, due to the parallel combination of \(R_1\) and CPE₁. The absence of an additional relaxation at low frequencies suggests that \(R_1\) corresponds to a coverage independent charge transfer resistance, \(R_{CT}\). This would mean that the coverage term, \(\theta\), or \((1 - \theta)\) in the rate equation of the rate determining step should have reached its potential independent value. The linearity of the simulated kinetic polarization plots of Figure 20 indicate that a single kinetic regime prevails for polysulfide reduction and a single kinetic regime prevails for polysulfide oxidation.

Mass transport and anodic passivation.— Several authors have observed the ‘passivation’ of their electrodes during polysulfide oxidation due to the formation of a S film.\(^29,99,107–109\) Zhou et al. propose that efficient mass transport can prevent this anodic passivation.\(^3\) Presumably, mass transport alleviates the passivation as a result of the autocatalytic effect that polysulfides seem to exhibit upon the dissolution of the S layer.\(^29,107–109\)

On the catalysts tested here, there were no obvious signs that the current was controlled by mass transport, as the electrochemical response was unaffected by the absence of a stirrer. Indeed, a conventional mass transport limitation should manifest itself as a Warburg feature in the impedance spectra.\(^10\) It is possible that mass transport effects could be observable in more dilute solutions, or at higher current densities or potentials.

### Table V. Tafel parameters for different WS₂ samples, using simulated kinetic polarization plots from data presented in Figure 20. The errors shown are the standard deviation in each fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>(\eta) (V)</th>
<th>(10^3b) (V decade(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(10^6i_0) (A mg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(10^6i_0) (A cm(^{-2}) BET area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cathodic region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmilled 2H-WS₂</td>
<td>–0.253 to –0.059</td>
<td>103 ± 2</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>28 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS₂ milled for 24 hours</td>
<td>–0.176 to –0.061</td>
<td>112 ± 1</td>
<td>283 ± 8</td>
<td>1200 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF-WS₂</td>
<td>–0.214 to –0.060</td>
<td>125 ± 10</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anodic region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H-WS₂</td>
<td>+0.044 to 0.080</td>
<td>104 ± 4</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS₂ milled for 24 hours</td>
<td>+0.052 to 0.181</td>
<td>135 ± 4</td>
<td>240 ± 10</td>
<td>1020 ± 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 20.** Simulated kinetic polarization response of different WS₂, based on \(\eta\) data, determined from impedance spectroscopy measurements. Taken at 35°C in 1.8 M Na₂S₂₄ and 1 M NaOH. The straight lines show the fitted Tafel slopes.
Although we find no evidence to suggest that there are mass transport restrictions under our experimental conditions, we speculate that some other kind of passivation, unrelated to mass transport, could be the cause of the steady decrease in anodic activity of the milled WS\textsubscript{2}, with cycling. This effect can be observed by comparing Figure 12 and Figure 13. The lower anodic activity of the potentiostatic measurement, relative to the potentiodynamic measurement, on Figure 13 and Figure 14, respectively, could also be symptomatic of static measurement, relative to the potentiodynamic measurement, on the catalyst surface. This trend is consistent with other investigations of similar metal sulfides but for different reactions.\textsuperscript{34-40,51-55} This suggests that the factors governing their activity toward polysulfide reduction and oxidation are similar to those for other catalytic systems. We conclude our work by proposing several promising avenues toward the optimal electrocatalysis of polysulfide reduction and oxidation.
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