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The energy infrastructure for fossil fuels is well-established, accounting for approximately 87% of the 16 TW of power consumed globally. For renewable and sustainable energy conversion technologies to play a relevant role at the terrestrial scale, they must be able to scale to the TW level of deployment. This would place a significant demand on the current and future supply of raw materials (chemical elements) used by those technologies. Oftentimes, the average crustal abundance of a chemical element is cited as a measure of its scalability, however another important metric for scalability is the existence (or lack thereof) of mineable ores with a high concentration of the targeted element. This paper aims to provide an overview of the availability of all elements. This is accomplished via a compilation of data for global primary production rates for each element, as a measure of availability at the present time. This work also addresses the potential future availability based on current and possible future primary sources.

1 Introduction—materials for terawatt-scale deployment

Richard Smalley has named the global energy problem “The Terawatt Challenge”.1 This is an appropriate expression since society currently consumes 16.0 TWavg of primary power of which 87% is based on burning fossil fuels2 and it is estimated that by 2050 the demand for primary power will be on the order of 30 TWavg.3,4 For this reason, any technology for energy harvesting, conversion or storage in a sustainable future must be able to scale to the TW-level to make a relevant impact at the global scale. This scaling requirement is true for photovoltaics, wind turbines, solar fuels, biomass conversion catalysts, electrification of automotive vehicles, fuel cells, storage batteries, flow batteries and any other energy conversion technology.

1.1 The problem with crustal abundance

Within the broad field of energy conversion technologies there is an understanding that the limited availability of a material (chemical element) can potentially limit the deployment of a technology that relies on that material. Most researchers agree that elements with low crustal abundance like iridium or xenon are too scarce to be useful on a large scale. On the other hand, elements like gallium, or scandium which are about as abundant as lead in earth’s crust seem plentiful enough to be useful for energy transformation technologies. This study argues that basing availability assessments solely on crustal abundance can be potentially misleading. Indeed, there are elements with high (average) crustal concentration, but without the tendency to concentrate into ores with sufficiently high concentration to allow economical mining. The ability for an element to naturally up-concentrate itself into mineable ores is important since only about half of the naturally occurring chemical elements have an average crustal concentration higher then 5 g t−1 (5 ppm). A typical grade for platinum or gold ore (which represents the lowest grade ores currently mined for any primary product) is around 4 g t−1. Conversely, there are elements that can be mined economically despite very low average crustal abundance because they have a tendency to from ore bodies with a concentration much higher than the average crustal average.

Silver and indium exemplify this point: Both have an average crustal abundance of about 0.1 g t−1, but while the primary production of silver is 22 500 t yr−1 that of indium is only around 530 t yr−1. One important reason for the large silver production (relative to indium) is that silver is often found in certain minerals with thousands of times the average crustal concentration. Combined zinc–lead–silver ores often hold 100–400 g t−1 of silver (i.e. 1000 times the crustal average concentration) and “pure” silver ores may contain as much as 2000 g t−1 of silver (or ~20 000 times the crustal average concentration). Contrast this to indium which has a poor affinity for the common ore forming anions. In this case, the best known deposit in the world (Mt. Pleasant in New Brunswick, Canada) has an indium concentration of only 133 g t−1; and furthermore, the deposit is a very small resource—estimated to hold just 680 t indium (15 months of global production) at the stated...
Larger deposits such as Dachang in China contain indium deposits of much lower concentration, in the range of 40–60 g t⁻¹. Jack Lifton has described the issue in this manner:

“The distribution of a metal in the earth’s crust or ocean has absolutely nothing to do with its availability or accessibility.”

A critical parameter is the concentration of any given element in mineable ore bodies. In effect, an ore body where a given element is highly concentrated with respect to its average crustal concentration represents an exergy resource because the entropic work of concentration has been done by nature. Treating concentrated resource ores as available exergy is a powerful concept which allows comparison between ores of different elements and even fossil fuels (also an exergy source) on an equal footing.²

Martin Green has suggested the following empirical relation between market price and ore concentration:

\[
P = C c_{ore}
\]

where \(P\) is the market price of the product in $ kg⁻¹, \(C\) is an empirical constant \(\sim 170 \, 000 \, [S \, kg⁻¹ \times g \, t⁻¹]\) and \(c_{ore}\) is the ore concentration in g t⁻¹.³ If, for example, a given platinum ore contains 4 g t⁻¹, the resulting estimate for the cost of production is \(\sim 42 \, 000 \, kg⁻¹\) (or \$1300 tr oz⁻¹) which is close to the actual market price. The simple formula empirically describes the fact that it takes a certain amount of work and energy (exergy, actually) to dig out and crush the ore and to extract and concentrate the product. The required energy input for mining has been estimated to be \(\sim 0.4 \, MJ \, kg⁻¹\) for open pit mining and \(\sim 1 \, MJ \, kg⁻¹\) for underground mining.⁴ Combining this energy estimate with relation 1 gives a ratio between the product price and energy spent on extraction in the range of 0.05–0.2 MJ⁻¹. Comparison to the market price for fossil fuels (\(\sim 0.0046 \, MJ\) for coal and \(\sim 0.019 \, MJ\) for oil) suggests that energy costs in general represent a very significant fraction of the market price of minerals.

It is also important to distinguish between elements whose production is the main economic product of mining a given ore body and those elements which are produced as by-products of a different main product. In fact, \(\sim 36\) of the 81 non-radioactive elements are produced only (or mainly) as by-products of other elements. This is important because the supply of by-products tends to be very inelastic § to increases in demand (and price) once the available material in the tailings stream of the primary product are exhausted. Relation 1 therefore, only really applies to main products. A good example of this occurred from 2004–2008 where the industrial demand for rhodium (which is essentially only produced as a by-product of platinum) increased beyond supply and the de-stocking that resulted caused the price of rhodium to go from \$16 \, 000 \, kg⁻¹ in 2003 to over \$300 \, 000 \, kg⁻¹ in 2008.⁸,⁹ Interestingly, this near-twenty fold increase in the price of rhodium caused only a very modest increase in primary supply from 22.5 t in 2003 to 25.5 t in 2007 (in 2008 primary supply was down to 21.5 t due to reduced demand during the financial crash) and the increase was most likely due to modestly increasing platinum prices rather than exploding rhodium prices.

Clearly, crustal abundance taken alone gives only a vague indication of the availability chemical elements. Furthermore, the supply–demand dynamics for by-products mean that an increase in demand and price does not automatically lead to increased production.

### 1.2 How much is available now?

Recognizing that even high crustal abundance does not guarantee availability, this study presents an analysis of a compilation of data for current (2010) global production (kg year⁻¹) of the chemical elements. In effect, this represents the confirmed, here-and-now availability of every element, but comments about potential future availability are included where relevant. For completeness, almost all elements for which data can be obtained have been included even if they have no current applications for energy harvesting, storage or transformation. Information about primary production sources (particularly for by-products) is included whenever relevant and available.

Secondary production (de-stocking from stockpiles, recycling etc.) is not included in any figures since only primary sources can contribute in a meaningful way to TW-scale rollout of any of the energy technologies in question.

The overall economic significance of any given element may be estimated by the market value of the global annual production which is trivial to calculate when approximate market prices are available. Most low-volume elements have very volatile prices so the reader may want to look up current market prices for up-to-date data, but production figures are much less volatile. The relationship between current market price and global production rate for each element is shown in the supporting information, Fig. S1, ESI.†

### 1.3 Sources of data and methodology

Reputed sources such as yearbooks from the US Geological Survey, the British Geological Survey and Natural Resources Canada, have been consulted as primary information sources in order to estimate global production figures for most of the elements by averaging their estimates. Where relevant, well-regarded industry publications have also been consulted (e.g. annual reports from Johnson Matthey, the International Copper Study Group, the Copper Development Association, the International Energy Agency, Indium Corporation, the International Lead and Zinc Study Group, the International Nickel Study Group, the World Gold Council, the Silver Institute, the Minor Metals Trade Association and Lipmann Walton & Co Ltd.). For many elements, however, standardized primary supply originates from mining ore. Total supply, which must match total demand, equals primary supply plus secondary supply (recycling) plus net de-stocking from producers and investors. Particularly the lanthanides, the minor metals such as selenium, gallium, indium, rhenium and tellurium and the minor Platinum-Group Metals (PGMs): ruthenium, rhodium and iridium, all exhibit high volatility.

---

² In this context bismuth is regarded as stable since the half life of the main isotope, Bi-209, is very long \(\sim 1.9 \times 10^{10}\) yr.

³ Inelastic supply means that an increase in demand only causes little increase in supply despite large increases in price. Conversely, elastic supply means that supply follows demand with very small changes in price.

† Primary supply originates from mining ore. Total supply, which must match total demand, equals primary supply plus secondary supply (recycling) plus net de-stocking from producers and investors.

∥ Particularly the lanthanides, the minor metals such as selenium, gallium, indium, rhenium and tellurium and the minor Platinum-Group Metals (PGMs): ruthenium, rhodium and iridium, all exhibit high volatility.
data are not readily accessible and in these cases specialized reports from reputed sources such as the US Department of Energy (DOE) and JRC-IET (under the European Commission) have been consulted. As a secondary source of information, reports from specialized consultancies such as Technology Materials Research (TMR), Materials innovation institute (MI2) and Roskill Mineral Services (RMS) have been consulted.

Careful production estimates of certain elements (such as tellurium) can be found in the peer reviewed literature, but a few elements including the heaviest of the lanthanides (holmium–lutetium) and certain noble gases have been estimated in the present work after review of the available literature on production technology and elemental concentration in the primary product stream. Whenever possible, the data pertains to 2010 annual production, otherwise data from the most recent year is presented. Notes are provided in the tables whenever production figures are old or are regarded as more than 30% uncertain (0.1 on the log-scale) although production figures for most elements have much lower uncertainty than this (as judged by the degree of agreement between independent information sources).

Price estimates are compiled from various websites of metal exchanges and trade associations (London Metal Exchange, Commodity Exchange Inc., Minor Metals Trade Association, metal-pages.com, asianmetal.com, mineralprices.com, platinum.matthey.com, etc.). Whenever possible, the prices reported are approximate spot prices from October–November 2011. Up to date prices for a few of the minor elements are not published in the public domain and in these cases price estimates are provided based on chemical encyclopedia (Ullmann’s Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry and Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology) or from the sources mentioned in the preceding paragraph.

2 Data

2.1 Global annual production

This section contains figures and tables with data for all naturally occurring elements. The production figures always pertain to the total mass of the element contained in useful industrial forms (except for nitrogen, oxygen, hydrogen, silicon and carbon where only the following forms are included: ammonia, O2, H2, Si and graphite). The elements are classified into four groups according to annual production: The first group (Table 1) comprises 25 main industrial elements whose global annual production exceeds 1 Mt. These elements are colour coded as green in the figures. The second group (Table 2) comprises 15 low volume industrial elements whose annual production is less than 1 Mt, but exceeds 33 kt.** In the figures, these less-available elements appear in light-green colour. The third group (Table 3) comprises the 16 elements for which global production is very low (but exceeds 1 kt yr⁻¹) and these are colour coded as orange. The fourth and final group (Table 4) contains the 25 elements of which the production is extremely low (less than 1 kt yr⁻¹) and these are colour coded in red.

Fig. 1 shows a logarithmic plot of the estimated global annual production of each element as a function of its atomic number. To highlight the difference between elements which are mostly the primary economic product of their extraction (main products) from those which are mostly by-products of some other element, the data markers of the latter are open circles.

### Table 1 High volume chemical elements (green colour coding in the figures). At least 1 Mt yr⁻¹ of the individual elements is processed. The elements marked with †-symbols are those where the most important economic form is not the “pure” form, but one or more compounds. For example, the stated production figure for barium includes both the barium which is contained in compounds such as BaSO4 (main part) and metallic Ba (minor part). Details for the individual elements are given in the list in the main text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Log(p)</th>
<th>Log(P)</th>
<th>Log(F)</th>
<th>M/B</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl†</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NH3 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na†</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>&lt; 10.9</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>O2 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H2 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>OIL by-prod.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca†</td>
<td>&gt; 10.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ca compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P†</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Phosphate rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K†</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>K compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba†</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mostly BaSO4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg†</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mg compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mg metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si†</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Si only, no SiO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>M,B</td>
<td>Also a by-prod. of Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb†</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mostly CaF2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti†</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>TiO2 + FeTiO3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ti metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B†</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Graphite only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Table 2 also contains thorium despite unknown and low present production. This is because thorium historically has been produced at rates similar to other elements in the table and probably could be produced at similar rates again.

### Table 2 Low-medium volume chemical elements (light-green colour coding in the figures). Annual production is between 33 kt and 1000 kt yr⁻¹ of the individual elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Log(p)</th>
<th>Log(P)</th>
<th>Log(F)</th>
<th>M/B</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mostly ZrO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sr compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>B,M</td>
<td>By-prod. [Cu]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Radioactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>With La and Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>With Ce and Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Radioactive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|** Table 2 also contains thorium despite unknown and low present production. This is because thorium historically has been produced at rates similar to other elements in the table and probably could be produced at similar rates again.**
Table 3 Very low volume chemical elements (orange colour coding in the figures). Annual production is between 1 kt and 33 kt yr\(^{-1}\) of the individual elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>(\log(p))</th>
<th>(\log(P))</th>
<th>(\log(V))</th>
<th>M/B</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Mostly by-prod., (\sim 30%) prim. prod.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>By-prod. of natural gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>With La and Ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. of natural gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Zn]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREEs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Li,Li]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [LREEs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>&lt; 6.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Ar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>By-prod. [Ar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [LREEs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Cu]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREEs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREEs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>Co-prod. [Eu]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Extremely low volume chemical elements (red colour coding in the figures). Annual production is less than 1 kt of the individual elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>(\log(p))</th>
<th>(\log(P))</th>
<th>(\log(V))</th>
<th>M/B</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Be†</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>&lt; 5.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREE]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Zn]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Cu]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>&lt; 5.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREE]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>Co-prod. [Dy]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr</td>
<td>&lt; 5.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Ar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>&lt; 5.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREE]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREE]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Ni, Pt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Zn]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Al]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>&lt; 5.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREE]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>&lt; 5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [HREE]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Zr]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Mo,Cu]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Ar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Pt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Pt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod. [Pt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>&lt; 4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>By-prod. [Cu,Zn]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>&lt; 4</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>Co-prod. [Li,Ta,Pb]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>&lt; 3.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>By-prod. [Pt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>Co-prod. [Cs,Ta,Li]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>By-prod.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the following sections, the chemical elements are ordered by falling global annual production rate \(i.e.\) the highest volume elements are treated first.

2.2 High volume elements-the top 25

There are 25 chemical elements produced in quantities exceeding 1 Mt yr\(^{-1}\) which means that an energy-related technology which uses 1 gram of a given element for each Watt can be implemented at a rate of at least 1 TW yr\(^{-1}\) if all current production of that element could be diverted to that energy technology. An example of a technology which has a material intensity in the range of Table 1 is wind turbines: 1 TW\(_p\) of wind turbine requires about 83 Mt of mild steel. As may be deduced from Table 1 the 25 “megaton” elements are all of large economic significance \((\geq 10^6 \ $ yr\(^{-1}\))\) although iron/steel, nitrogen (ammonia), copper and aluminium dominate from an economic perspective and iron/steel stands out from a mass perspective.

Fe. Iron/steel is a primary product and by far the largest by mass and value. Largest producers are China (51%), Japan (8%) and USA, Russia and India (the latter three 4–5% each). Australia is a major supplier of iron ore.

Cl. Mostly in the form of NaCl, chlorine is a major commodity with trivial availability from sea water. A major derived product is elemental chlorine which is produced \(via\) electrolysis. Cl\(_2\) production was on the order of 50 Mt yr\(^{-1}\) \((\log(p) \sim 10.7)\) in the year 2000.

N. The stated production figure is the amount of nitrogen which was fixed to ammonia in 2010 (Haber–Bosch process). China (32%), India (8.9%), Russia (7.9%) and the USA (6.3%) are the largest producers. The hydrogen needed to perform fixation is produced from steam reforming of natural gas. Nitrogen is available directly from the atmosphere.

Na. The figure for produced sodium in Table 1 is the sodium content in produced NaCl (the main sodium-bearing industrial product). NaOH is a major derived product (corresponding to Cl\(_2\) of which it is a co-product).

O. The total global production of pure oxygen is not known, but since it is separated \(\text{together with nitrogen and argon}\) by distillation of liquefied air it has been estimated from the data for argon production.

H. The data for global production of H\(_2\) is from 2007. For the most part it is made \(via\) steam reforming of natural gas but partial oxidation of coal and coke (gasification) also finds use.

S. In recent years sulfur is mostly produced as a by-product during sulfur recovery treatment of natural gas and oil. It is produced at refineries throughout the world. Sulfur production will likely increase in the coming years due to ever more “sour” crude oil grades coming on stream and due to more stringent environmental requirements for low sulfur fuels.
**Al.** Global production of refined aluminium stands at about 41 Mt yr\(^{-1}\)^{10,12} but the aluminium contained in the mined bauxite ore is larger, corresponding to about 57 Mt yr\(^{-1}\) Al.\(^{10}\)

**Ca.** The main calcium-bearing industrial product is gypsum and the stated annual production in Table 1 is the calcium content thereof, but since there are other calcium bearing ores the stated production is a lower bound estimate. Calcium deposits are abundant across the globe.\(^{10,11}\)

**P.** The production figure for phosphorous in Table 1 is that which is contained in mined phosphate rock. China, the USA and Morocco produce 40%, 15% and 15% respectively and supply seems elastic.\(^{10,11}\)

**K.** Potassium content in compounds such as potassium carbonate is what is given in Table 1. Global reserves are very large—particularly in Canada and Russia.\(^{10,11}\)

**Cr.** The most important chromium product is ferrochromium for stainless steels, but the figure in Table 1 represents the total chromium from all streams. Main producers are South Africa (39%), India (17%) and Kazakhstan (15%). Reserves are very large and production appears scalable.\(^{10,11}\)

**Cu.** Global mine production (not refining) of copper is largest in Chile (34%), Peru (8%), China (7%) and the USA (7%). The South American continent supplies about 50% of total primary copper. Despite concerns over dropping ore grades (currently about 11 kg t\(^{-1}\)) copper seems to have some elasticity in supply. The market price of copper is within a factor of 2 of that predicted by eqn (1).\(^{10,17,18}\)

**Mn.** Manganese production is dominated by China (21%), the USA (18%) and South Africa (17%) and supply appears elastic.\(^{10,11}\)

**Zn.** Zinc production is globally distributed, but China (27%) and Peru (13%) are the largest producers. Zinc is a primary product and seems elastic in supply.\(^{10,11,19}\)

**Ba.** Compared to most other elements, heavier than zinc, the production of barium is unusually large (it is also unusually abundant in the earth’s crust compared to other heavy elements).
The largest barium product is barium sulfate, primarily used as drilling mud for oil and gas drilling due to its high density.\(^{20}\)

**Mg.** Global throughput of magnesium carbonate and other Mg compounds is large and reserves are also large, but only 10–12% is reduced to metallic magnesium (which is used mainly for making alloys and for reducing other metals from their oxides via the Kroll process).\(^{21}\) Table 1 shows both the magnesium content of ores and metallic magnesium. China produces 57% of magnesium compounds and more than 85% of metallic magnesium.\(^{10,11,22}\)

**Si.** Silicon dioxide is one of the most ubiquitous compounds and used in huge amounts in glass and concrete, so the figure in Table 1 only reports that which has been is reduced to metallurgical (or better) grade silicon.\(^{10}\)

**Pb.** Lead is a primary product, but also often a co-product of zinc mining. Like barium, lead is an outlier among the heavy elements in terms of production. China (43%), Australia (15%) and the USA (10%) are the major producers. Lead production seems price elastic, but it is also one of the more depleted elements in terms of reserves.\(^{10,11,19,23}\)

**Ar.** Argon is a primary product of the distillation of air which contains 9320 ppm of argon and supply follows demand.\(^{24}\)

**F.** Fluorspar (calcium fluoride), is a major source of fluorine, but phosphate rock could also become a major source. Table 1 displays the fluoride content. The most important producers are China (56%) and Mexico (19%). There are no obvious constraints on supply.\(^{10,11}\)

**Ti.** The major titanium products are Ilmenite (FeTiO\(_3\), 91%) and Rutile (TiO\(_2\), 9%). Table 1 lists the titanium content thereof and also reduced titanium metal. The largest producers are South Africa (18%), Australia (17%) and Canada (11%) and production is scalable.\(^{10,11}\)

**B.** Boron is a primary product and main producers are Turkey (34%), USA (24%), Argentina and Chile (both ~12%). Supply seems elastic.\(^{11}\)

**C.** Table 1 only includes graphite, since it is a very relevant industrial commodity for renewable energy (not least for lithium batteries). The estimate has ~50% uncertainty since it is the average of the USGS and BGS estimates which differ by a factor of 1.9. China supplies between 73- and 83% of all natural graphite while India supplies between 5 and 10%. Elasticity in supply is difficult to ascertain.\(^{10,11}\) The total carbon contained in all the fossil fuels burnt in 2010 is estimated as 8600 Mt (log(p) = 12.9).\(^{2,25}\)
Ni. Nickel is a primary product and the largest producers are Russia (18%), Indonesia (14%) and Australia and the Philippines (both around 11%). Nickel supplies seem elastic.10,11,26

2.3 Low volume elements

The elements in this section are numbers 26 through 40 in descending order of annual production ranging from just under 1 Mt yr⁻¹ to 33 kt yr⁻¹ (plus thorium). This range of annual production rates is relevant for a number of renewable energy technologies, including thin-film solar photovoltaics. For example, to produce 1 TWp of CdTe-based solar panels requires approximately 75 kt (log(ρ) = 7.9) each of cadmium and tellurium. Unfortunately neither cadmium nor tellurium is found in this group.

Zr. Zirconium is a primary product. Its largest producers are Australia (32%), South Africa (30%) and China (10%). Table 2 contains the production estimate for zirconium metal content in zirconium products (mostly ZrO₂).11,27 Very little of this zirconium is converted to metal and most of the zirconium is not separated from its (minor) hafnium content and thus cannot be used for nuclear reactor purposes.

Br. Bromine is a primary product. The USA, China and Israel dominate production with 44%, 27% and 25% market share, respectively. Bromine production should be able to scale with demand.10,11

Sr. China is the largest global producer of strontium, but Spain and Mexico also have significant production. Strontium is a primary product from Celestite ore and production should be able to scale with demand.10,11

Mo. Molybdenum is produced both as a primary product and as a by-product of copper (in both cases from porphyry ore). China, the USA and Chile dominate supply with market shares of 40%, 24% and 17%. There seems to be some scope for increasing production if needed.10,13

Sn. Tin is produced as a primary product from Cassiterite ore which contains 0.15–1% tin (and the price obeys eqn (1)). Tin is regarded as one of the more highly depleted minerals.7 The combined production of China and Indonesia supply about 67% of global primary production.10,13

Sh. Antimony is a primary product of sulfide ores. At ~90% of global production China dominates the antimony market. Little information is available about the scalability of Chinese antimony production.10,11

Co. Cobalt is almost exclusively produced as a by-product of nickel (~50%) and copper (~35%).11,28 The only primary production occurs in Morocco which is a minor producer. Largest producers are the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and Zambia with market shares of 51% and 12.5%, but China and Russia are also large producers. The supply of cobalt seems to have limited elasticity.10

Nb. Niobium is a primary product mostly of mining Pyrochlore ore which typically contains 1–2% of niobium (and price obeys eqn (1)), but niobium is also produced together with tantalum from Columbite ores. Brazil is the dominant producer with > 70% market share. Canada is the second largest producer. Primary output has some scope to follow increasing demand.10

W. Tungsten is a primary mining product, with reserves and production concentrated in China which supplies ~82% of global tungsten. Availability and price of tungsten are therefore largely controlled by the Chinese mining and export regulations. Potential geological constraints are not clear.10,11

V. Vanadium is produced as a by-product of iron from titaniferous Magnetite ores and from slags, but primary production of vanadium is also common. 98% of vanadium is produced by China, South Africa and Russia. Reserves of vanadium are large and supply should be elastic.10,11

U. Uranium is a primary product and mined in many countries. Kazakhstan, Canada, Australia and Namibia are the largest producers (27%, 20%, 16% and 9% respectively).11 Uranium is radioactive mostly due to the decay chain of the main U-238 isotope and only to a minor extent due to the U-235 chain. Global reserves are estimated as [3.3…5.5] Mt.11,29

Ce. Cerium is the first element in the lanthanide group whose members share a lot of commonalities. By mass, cerium is the largest lanthanide product, and more cerium is produced than all the other lanthanides (excluding lanthanum) combined. Cerium and lanthanum are the commonly mined together with the other “light rare earth elements” (LREEs): La, Ce, Pr, Nd, (Pm), Sm. At present, the main economic products of LREE mining are cerium, lanthanum and neodymium. A major source of LREEs is as a by-product of iron from the massive Bayan Obo deposit in inner Mongolia, China. The primary source of LREEs in Bayan Obo is Bastnaesiteare but the deposit also contains Monazite. Large scale production of cerium and the other LREEs also occurs in Jiangxi and Sichuan provinces in China where LREEs are the primary economic products. It is estimated that China supplied 96% of global cerium production in 2010 with the remainder being mostly Russia, India, Brazil and Malaysia. LREE mines are being brought on stream elsewhere in the world (notably in Australia and USA), and it is estimated that cerium production could potentially double in the 2015–2017 time frame.30–35

As. Arsenic is mostly a by-product of gold, copper and lead production, but it is also a main product of Arsenopyrite, Realgar or Orpiment ore. Main producers are China (46%), Chile (21%) and Morocco (15%). Elasticity of arsenic might be limited.10,11

I. Iodine is currently produced from iodine-rich brines which are a by-product of natural gas production in certain locations—particularly Chile which supplies ~60% of the global iodine and Japan which supplies ~34%. Iodine can also be extracted from
seawater and from Kelp, so production should be able to scale with demand albeit at higher than current prices.

La. After cerium with which it is always co-produced, lanthanum is the second-largest LREE product. China supplies about 95% of global production (see cerium for details and references).

Th. Currently the thorium market is very small and no production figures are available. Only one isotope of Thorium occurs naturally (Th-232) and since the half life is $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ yr it is not very radioactive. Thorium is not particularly scarce and has previously been commercially extracted from Monazite sand at $\sim 80$ kg $^{-1}$ (and could potentially become a by-product of lanthanide production from Monazite), but it can also be mined from Thorite ore. Global reserves of thorium are widely distributed around the world and estimated as being of the same order of magnitude as Uranium ([1.3…4.4] Mt).\textsuperscript{10,36}

2.4 Very low volume elements

This group contains elements 43 through 68 in order of descending production, from 33 kt yr$^{-1}$ to 1 kt yr$^{-1}$. Production rates of these elements are so low that only renewable energy technologies that use elements from this group in very small quantities can readily scale to the TW-level. An example could be dysprosium, an element which is added in small amounts to neodymium-based permanent magnets for use in certain modern wind turbines in order to increase their service temperature limit. It is estimated that 1 TWp of such wind turbines requires 12.5 kt of dysprosium ($\log(p) = 7.1$, i.e. about 12 times the current annual production.

Ag. Only 30% of silver mine output stems from primary mining. The remaining is mined as a by-product of Zn/Pb (36%), Cu (22%) and Au (11%). Combined reserves of silver are estimated to be $\sim 500$ kt corresponding to 20 years of current production so although silver has some elasticity, substantial price increases are needed for increased production.\textsuperscript{10,37}

Li. Lithium (carbonate and other salts) is the primary output of mining in Chile, Australia, China and other countries. Production can presumably be expanded significantly and global resources are estimated to be $\sim 30$ Mt with main deposits in Bolivia, Chile, China and Argentina.\textsuperscript{10}

Nd. Neodymium is of comparable economic importance to cerium and lanthanum and therefore qualifies as one of the main products of LREE production. A co-product of cerium, neodymium is mostly produced in China (> 97%), but this could drop to 50% by 2016 or 2017 if planned production in Australia, USA and Greenland (Denmark) come on stream.\textsuperscript{30}

He. Helium is very minor component of the atmosphere at 5.24 ppm (volume) concentration and recovery from air is not practical. All helium is thus recovered from natural gas which can have helium concentrations up to 8 000 times higher, but not all natural gas contains economic concentrations of helium.\textsuperscript{24} The largest producer is the USA (74%). Smaller producer countries include Algeria (17%), Russia (5%) and Poland (2%).\textsuperscript{10} Production is also starting up in Qatar which is thought to have a large reserve of about 1/3 that of the USA, but since helium availability is linked to natural gas the eventual decline in global natural gas production will take helium production with it—regardless of demand and price.

Cd. Essentially all cadmium is produced as a by-product of zinc and since the typical ore has a Cd to Zn ratio of 1 : 400 to 1 : 200 the scope for cadmium availability can be estimated as about 0.2–0.5% of the primary zinc output which indicates that there is limited scope for increased cadmium production (perhaps 2–3 times the current levels).\textsuperscript{10,13}

Y. Yttrium is not in the lanthanide group, but deposits rich in yttrium are also often rich in the “heavy rare earth elements” (HREEs): (Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu). For this reason, yttrium is often a co-product or even a by-product of the more valuable HREEs with which it is produced. Like the HREEs, yttrium is also normally produced in small amounts as a by-product of LREE mining (see the discussion of cerium in the section 2.3). Yttrium and HREE production is even more dominated by Chinese production than LREEs with >99% of yttrium originating in China. This is because the provinces of Guangdong, Jianxi and Fujian mines so-called Ionic-clay deposits very rich in HREE. Bayan Obo, on the other hand, supplies very little yttrium. There is some uncertainty in the estimate for total yttrium production (likely due to uncertainty in estimates for the illegal production from ionic clays which is significant).\textsuperscript{30} The entry in Table 3 contains the average of USGS, Technology Materials Research and the US Department of Energy (although the DOE recently increased their production estimate for 2010 by 25%).\textsuperscript{30,32,34,38,39} The uncertainty is estimated to be less than 30% (0.1 on the log scale). The only current source of yttrium outside China is Xenotime ore mined in Malaysia, but is estimated that it supplies only on the order of 0.7% of global output.\textsuperscript{30,32–35} A significant non-Chinese supply of yttrium is not expected before 2016–2017 when HREE projects in Canada and Greenland (Denmark) may come on stream.\textsuperscript{30}

Bi. Bismuth is mostly a by-product of lead (and lead–zinc) and tungsten and to a smaller extent, copper. A few primary bismuth deposits are known, but they are not economic at present. China is the largest producer, and since there is a large discrepancy in the reported figures on Chinese bismuth production (BGS reports 1460 t in 2009, while USGS reports 6000 in 2009 and 5500 t the following year)\textsuperscript{10,11} there is also a factor of 1.5 uncertainty in the estimate for global production (0.2 on the log scale). The figure quoted in Table 3 is the average of the BGS and USGS estimates. Mexico and Peru are the main non-Chinese producers. The scope for increased production is not clear.

Pr. Praseodymium belongs to the LREE group and as such its production and availability follows that of cerium.

Ne. Public domain production estimates and market price are hard to find for neon. Produced only as a by-product of distillation of air which contains 18.2 ppm by volume. Neon
output must be constrained below 18.2 ppm : 9320 ppm (concentration ratio) of argon production. 24

Au. Gold is mostly a product of primary mining (leading to elastic supply) and among the metals, it is exceeded only by steel and copper in monetary importance. Gold is sometimes reported as highly depleted, but most of the gold which has been mined historically (~165 kt) is still available in vaults around the world. 40

Sm. Samarium belongs to LREE group of elements and production scales with that of cerium.

Se. Like its rarer sibling, tellurium, selenium is almost exclusively a by-product of copper (from sulfide ores) although there is also some scope for by-production from lead and nickel. 10,11,41,42 Selenium (like tellurium) is recovered from anode slimes from electro-refining of copper. Careful estimates of the total selenium available from this source (at a hypothetical 100% extraction) is on the order of 5000 t yr−1—i.e. twice the current global output, but it seems highly unlikely that extraction efficiency could approach 100%. 51 Large quantities of selenium are contained in coal, but no economic recovery method is known. 44 There is thus very limited scope for increased selenium production—even with much higher prices.

Gd. Since gadolinium belongs to the HREE group its production follows that of yttrium so essentially all gadolinium currently originates in China. After 2015 it is expected that the Chinese share of global production will drop to around 83%. 39

Hg. Global mercury production peaked around 1970 and has since declined to about 15% of the peak. About 75% of the current production occurs in China. Primary mercury is viewed as a highly depleted mineral with a total cumulative production of at least 550 kt, but with only 67 kt of known reserves remaining. 10

Dy. Dysprosium is one of the two main HREE elements from a monetary perspective (the other is europium). Since production follows yttrium, >99% of all dysprosium currently originates in China and only after HREE projects in Greenland (Denmark) and Canada come on line in 2016 at the earliest will there be any significant non-Chinese supply. 30

Ta. Tantalum is produced mostly as a primary product from Tantalite ore, but often also together with niobium from Columbite-Tantalite ores. Main producers include Brazil, Rwanda, Mozambique and Australia. Tantalum is also a by-product from tin, which is thought to account for 20% of global supply. 11

2.5 Extremely low volume elements-bottom 26

This section discusses the 26 most scarcely produced elements, all <1 kt yr−1. These elements are only relevant for energy conversion technologies if less than 1 milligram is needed for each Wp. An example of an energy conversion technology with such a low material intensity is the platinum electrocatalyst used low temperature fuel cells.

Be. Beryllium is a main product of its ore. The global main producer is the USA (~80%) where Brush Wellman mines Bertrandite ore in Utah which has a beryllium grade of ~2,500 g t−1. Second largest producer is China. Production was much higher in the past (log(p) = 6.8 in 1997) and it can probably be increased significantly if needed. 55

Er. Belonging to the HREE group, the production of erbium production originates almost exclusively fromionic clays in China (like yttrium). The production figure in Table 4 is estimated in the present work based on dysprosium output and the relative concentration of the HREEs in Chinese ionic clay deposits published in the literature. 32,35

In. Indium very rarely forms ores of high indium content so current production is almost exclusively as a by-product of zinc and this source of indium is very price-inelastic. 53,44 ~52% of global primary supply originates from China. Deposits with an indium content of >100 g t−1 are very few, but a handful of deposits are known which have the potential to be economic with indium prices in the $500–$2000 kg−1 range. These deposits are, however, very small and the available (primary) resource is on the order of 5–10 kt. Indium supply thus seems highly inelastic to increased demand. 54,46

Te. Tellurium is almost exclusively produced as a by-product of copper and to a smaller extent, lead. There is little publicly available data on global production, but comprehensive upper-bound estimates based upon tellurium content of copper and lead ores and their extraction efficiencies have been published. This information indicates a global production in the range of 400–600 t yr−1 and if the extraction efficiency could somehow reach 100%, tellurium availability would reach the ~1300–3700 t yr−1 range (where the lower estimate seems more realistic), i.e. approximately 2–3 times the current production. 41–44. A smaller amount of tellurium is also available as a by-product from gold and lead mining. The scope for the primary production of tellurium is limited to just a couple of deposits with a tellurium grade of >100 g t−1 and these deposits are very small, containing only a few kt of tellurium. 5 Known sources of tellurium thus offer very little price-elasticity and tellurium supply seems severely constrained.

Yb. Ytterbium belongs to the HREE group and production occurs almost exclusively in China. Production has been estimated by the same method as that of erbiaum.

Eu. Europium is one of the two main economic products of ionic clays (the other is dysprosium). Since it belongs to the HREE group, production occurs almost exclusively in China. Production has been estimated by the same method as that of erbiaum. By 2014 some europium by-product should start to be produced in Australia and by 2017 global europium output could double as Canadian projects also come on stream. 30

Kr. As in the case of neon, there is no public domain data available for how much krypton is annually separated during air distillation so the data in Table 4 is an upper bound based on
global argon production and the ratio of krypton to argon in the atmosphere (1.14 ppm : 9320 ppm).  

Ho. Holmium belongs to the HREE group and production occurs almost exclusively in China. Production has been estimated by the same method as that of erbium.

Tb. From a monetary perspective, terbium (along with yttrium) is a by-product from HREE production. China dominates current supply. By 2016, however, new production in Greenland (Denmark), Canada and elsewhere should effectively double the current supply terbium.  

Pd. Almost all palladium is produced as an economic by-product of something else. The largest source of by-product palladium is nickel production in Russia. This source provides 40% of global production. The second largest source of palladium is as a by-product of African platinum production. South Africa supplies 36% of global palladium from this source and Zimbabwe 3%. Primary palladium production occurs in Canada and the USA, but only 8% of global palladium production occurred in North America in 2010. The Bushveld deposit in South Africa has in recent years increased the ratio of palladium to platinum by mining higher proportions of “UG2”-ore (Chromitite ore) than Merensky-ore (sulfide ore) since the ratio of Pd : Pt in the two ore types are roughly 0.83 and 0.42, respectively. Palladium supply is highly inelastic unless its price rises to levels similar to platinum, making it a co-product rather than a by-product.  

Pt. Platinum is one of the most scarcely produced main economic mining products. The largest source of platinum by far is the Bushveld complex in South Africa from which ~77% of primary platinum originates. Russia (14%) and Zimbabwe (5%) and to a smaller extent Canada and USA are minor producers. Since platinum is the main economic product from South African mining, a sustained increase in demand should lead to an increase in production.  

Ge. Despite medium-high crustal abundance, germanium is generally not found in high concentrations in ores and as a consequence it is produced as a by-product from zinc smelting operations where it is recovered from the flue gas. It is estimated that China is the largest producer country followed by Canada/USA. Large producers are Yunnan Chihong Zinc-Germanium Co. and Teck Cominco Ltd. The potential for increased germanium supply is hard to estimate, but the germanium concentration in zinc ores tends to be about 5 times higher than the indium concentration and germanium has also historically been recovered commercially from coal-ash so there is perhaps some scope for supply growth in case of sustained high price.  

Ga. A by-product of aluminium (and to a smaller extent zinc). Bauxite ore contains about 50 g t⁻¹ of gallium, but it is not known what fraction may practically be recovered when the primary product is aluminium although the total amount of gallium contained annually processed Bauxite ore is quite large at ~10 kt assuming a 50 ppm Ga content.  

Tm. Belonging to the HREE group, production of thulium occurs almost exclusively in China. Production has been estimated by the same method as that of erbium.

Lu. The final and heaviest member of the HREE group, lutetium is almost exclusively produced in China. Production has been estimated by the same method as that of erbium.

Hf. A by-product of zirconium. Typical ores have a Zr : Hf ratio of about 50, so production could in principle be expanded to about 2% of the zirconium production (potential log(p) = 7), but separating hafnium from zirconium is very difficult and expensive.  

Re. Rhenium is produced as a by-product of molybdenum which is itself mostly a by-product of (porphyry ore-based) copper production. As a global average, more than 300 t of copper is produced for each kg of rhenium. Rhenium is recovered by roasting of molybdenum sulfide concentrates. By far, the largest producer country is Chile which supplies 28 t yr⁻¹ out of a global total of 48 t yr⁻¹. The largest producer company is Molymet.  

Xe. The data in Table 4 is the reported xenon production figure in the year 1998, but estimates using the same method used for neon and krypton production (using an atmospheric concentration of xenon of 0.086 ppm) gives the same result. As a minor by-product of air distillation, xenon supply has nearly no elasticity.  

Ru. Ruthenium is always a by-product of platinum. South Africa supplies perhaps 90% of global primary ruthenium as estimated by the detailed composition of ore grades of various PGM ores of the world combined with data for global production of rhodium and platinum. The supply of ruthenium is extremely inelastic.  

Rh. Like ruthenium, rhodium is exclusively produced as a by-product of platinum. South Africa dominates production with ~86% share while Russia supplies 9%. Most rhodium from the South African Bushveld complex comes from the “UG2”-ore rather than “Merensky”-ore since the former has a Rh : Pt ratio of ~0.19, while it is ~0.05 for the latter. Rhodium has nearly no supply elasticity.  

Ir. No public domain figures are available for iridium production, but it can be estimated with reasonable accuracy from the composition of the main PGM ores (particularly those of the Bushveld complex in South Africa). This leads to a global iridium production of less than 9 t yr⁻¹ (~4.5% of platinum), and with more than 90% originating in South Africa. As a minor by-product, there is essentially zero supply elasticity for iridium.  

Tl. One of the most scarcely produced chemical elements, thallium is commercially recovered from flue gases from copper, zinc and lead production. Russia is the largest producer. A few primary ore-bodies of reasonably high concentration are known, but no information is available about primary thallium mining.
It seems that there is some scope for increased production of thallium if demand should increase.\textsuperscript{10,53}

\textbf{Cs, Rb.} The largest producer of cesium is Cabot corp. which operates the Tanco mine in Manitoba, Canada. Cesium from Pollucite ore is co-produced with tantalum, rubidium and lithium from other ores at the same site. It seems that there is some scope for increased production in case of increased demand.

Rubidium is produced from Lepidolite ore. The Tanco mine is also a major supplier of rubidium.\textsuperscript{10,54}

\textbf{Os.} The most scarce of all the PGMs, there is no public domain data for osmium production and use available, but since osmium is only produced as a by-product of platinum, an upper bound for possible osmium production can be estimated from the ore composition of the different PGM ores. From this, it is clear that the potential for osmium by-product at the current platinum production rates is perhaps half that of iridium which means $< 5$ t yr$^{-1}$. Beyond this level, osmium supply seems entirely inelastic.\textsuperscript{49}

\textbf{Sc.} Reliable production estimates for scandium are not available and the datum in Table 4 and in the figures could well be lower than the true global production. In any case, global production is surely very low and most of the current consumption $\sim 5$ t yr$^{-1}$ is supplied from scandium stockpiles from the former Soviet Union which was originally produced from uranium tailings. Main suppliers are China, Russia, Kazakhstan. Scandium has a poor affinity for ore-forming anions and large bodies of high concentration scandium ores are not known despite high crustal abundance and scandium is produced only as a by-product from tailings of other products. It is possible that scandium supply could be increased if sustained demand arises.\textsuperscript{10,55}

\subsection*{2.6 Correlation between crustal abundance and production}

In order to quantitatively estimate the correlation between production and crustal abundance of the elements, one is plotted as a function of the other in Fig. 3. In general, estimates of crustal abundance have an order of magnitude higher uncertainty than estimates for annual production. The estimates for crustal abundance used in the plot are calculated as the average of references\textsuperscript{56–58} and the production data used in the plot is the same (and carry the same assumptions) as those plotted in Fig. 1. The plot also shows the concentration range for typical platinum and gold ores. Since these ores represent the lowest-grade ores of any current main product, any of the 35 elements with a crustal concentration below this level can be extracted at prices below those of platinum or gold only if it is a by-product of some other element (leading to inelastic supply), or if natural ores exist in economic quantities in which the element is naturally concentrated many-fold.

While the plot shows that crustal abundance and production are roughly proportional to each other it is also clear that there is

![Fig. 3 Estimated crustal abundance plotted as a function of global primary production in 2010. Elements which are main economic products of their respective ores are shown in black font while blue (smaller) font is used for the elements which are mostly by-products of other elements. The general trend, that production and abundance are proportional to each other, is characterised by a very high degree of scatter, $\sim 4$ orders of magnitude which essentially precludes quantitative estimates of production (availability) from crustal abundance or vice versa. Elements far above the trend line are being “underproduced” with respect to the crustal abundance (but not necessarily with respect to technical availability) and, conversely, elements far below the trend line are being “overproduced”. The horizontal grey line indicates the typical ore-grade for platinum and gold ores as a reference. Carbon appears twice in the plot (as production of natural graphite alone and as graphite plus the carbon content of all fossil fuel production). The crustal abundance figure for carbon includes all carbon (i.e. also carbon in carbonate rocks). Note: The production figures for Ne, Kr, Cs, Sc, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu and Hf have the same assumptions as in Fig. 1.](image-url)
a very high degree of scatter in the data (even considering only the economically important main-product elements). In fact, given a value for annual production, the uncertainty in the resulting estimate for crustal production (or vice versa) is several orders of magnitude (the RMS of the residuals is about 1.7).

Notwithstanding the scatter, Fig. 3 reveals some interesting features. One of these is the outliers. Nitrogen (ammonia) is clearly being “overproduced” with respect to its modest average concentration in the earth’s crust. Nitrogen has this in common with the other elements harvested from the atmosphere, i.e. neon, argon, krypton and xenon are also overproduced which reflects the utility of these products, but it also reflects the fact that the atmosphere is an “ore” where these elements are immensely concentrated with respect their average in the crust. Including only graphite, carbon is not an outlier, but if all the fossil fuels are included, carbon is being “overproduced” by more than three orders of magnitude (both data are included in the plot). As an example of an “underproduced” outlier, an element like gallium illustrates that medium-high crustal abundance is no guarantee for high production. Despite being more abundant than lead-and 400 times more expensive-gallium is being produced at a rate 32 000 times lower than lead and has limited potential for increased production. Another, even more extreme example is rubidium which, despite being almost as abundant as carbon, is produced at more than 500 000 times lower rates than graphite and more than 10^6 times lower rates than fossil fuel carbon.

Some elements in the “underproduced” group, represent opportunity for increased availability. The obvious candidates include the extremely abundant elements such as silicon, aluminium, calcium, magnesium and titanium, but also comparatively rare elements like vanadium, lanthanum, cerium and neodymium and even a very rare element like beryllium could probably have production ramped up if needed.

A final note on Fig. 3 is that many of the “minor” metals which are regarded as being highly depleted (e.g. silver, tin, antimony, lead and zinc) are found in the “overproduced” or highly “overproduced” region of the plot.7

3 Discussion

To put the production data into perspective it is useful to compare with data for materials usage (kg W\textsuperscript{-1}) for various energy conversion technologies in order to assess possible constraints on scalability. Several examples (photovoltaics, fuel cells, solar fuels, storage batteries, passenger cars, wind turbines and biofuels) are provided below.

The estimates given in these examples are designed to provide readers with an approximate level of materials intensity for various energy technologies, i.e. an order-of-magnitude analysis. The specific assumptions on efficiency, loading requirements, energy density, etc. will require a more detailed analysis to produce more accurate figures for specific technologies. Though improvements to technologies will reduce the materials intensities estimated in these examples, the conclusion remains unchanged that to produce just one T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{avg}} of renewable power requires enormous amounts of materials. The list of examples given here is not meant to be exhaustive, but rather to illustrate the mechanics as to how a researcher can begin to assess the availability and accessibility of the elements needed to scale-up a renewable technology to the TW level.

3.1 Photovoltaics

Thin-film photovoltaics serve as a first example to illustrate scalability issues with chemical elements. To supply just 1 T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{avg}} of primary energy (power) with photovoltaics, an area of about 70 000 km\textsuperscript{2} (27 000 mi\textsuperscript{2}) must be covered with a 10% efficient solar collector in a decent location like southern Spain, Florida or Wyoming where the total insolation is about 1700 kWh m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1}. The best locations in the USA like south-east California or Arizona get ~2400 kWh m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1}. A standard performance ratio of 0.75 has been assumed. (This corresponds to a capacity factor of 19%, i.e. 1 T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{avg}} \approx 5.3 T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{p}}\textsuperscript{59,60} This area is equivalent to almost 14% of the entire land mass of Spain. For a reasonably optimistic solar absorber thickness requirement of 1 \mu m, this 1 T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{avg}} photovoltaic unit, therefore, needs 70 000 m\textsuperscript{3} of absorber. Assuming an absorber density of 5 t m\textsuperscript{-3} \ StringBuilder\n
\* Density of some conventional absorbers (t m\textsuperscript{-3}): CdTe: 6.2, CIGS: 5.7, CZTS: 4.6, GaAs: 5.3.

3.2 Fuel cells

Flow batteries and fuel cells constitute another area-specific example in that they are often characterized by a power density. Assuming a power density of 1 W cm\textsuperscript{-2}, 1 T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{p}} requires 100 km\textsuperscript{2} of fuel cell membrane. For a Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) with a 45 \mu m thick electrolyte and electroactive region and a 300 \mu m anode current collector and support, the 1 T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{p}} materials requirement is 17 000 m\textsuperscript{3} of oxide-ion conducting material, which for a typical yttrium-stabilized zirconia (YSZ)-type electrolyte corresponds to approximately 63 kt of zirconium and 12 kt of yttrium (corresponding to 1 month of zirconium production and 21 months of yttrium production).66,67

If, on the other hand, we consider Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs) with an optimistic precious metal loading of 0.3 mg cm\textsuperscript{-2}, some 300 tonnes of platinum is required for 1 T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{p}} of peak capacity equivalent to 20 months of platinum production (presently available commercial PEMFC technology uses about 800 tonnes of platinum T\textsubscript{W\textsubscript{p}}\textsuperscript{-1}68–70), but of course utilization will be substantially below 100% in the real world (P\textsubscript{avg} \ll P\textsubscript{p}) which increases the PGM requirement correspondingly.

3.3 Solar fuels: hydrogen by photoelectrochemical (PEC) water-splitting

Solar-water splitting has been a long-time goal for renewable H\textsubscript{2} production.71 These devices are not yet commercialized, however techno-economic analyses of large-scale solar H\textsubscript{2} production facilities have revealed that cost-effective H\textsubscript{2} can be produced if
appropriate materials can be developed. PEC planar arrays for 
\( \text{H}_2 \) production essentially consist of PV-type solar absorbing 
materials coupled to fuel-cell like catalysts. Thus elemental 
abundance/production constraints found in those devices per 
TW will apply similarly to solar fuels, i.e. approximately 
350 kT \( \text{TW}_{\text{avg}}^{-1} \) for the absorber (and double that for a tandem 
absorber system) and approximately 300 tonnes \( \text{TW}_{\text{avg}}^{-1} \) for 
each of the two catalysts involved in water-splitting: the \( \text{H}_2 
\) evolution catalyst and the water oxidation catalyst.

3.4 Storage batteries

Electrochemical storage of energy in batteries is an example of a 
mass-specific problem. 24 h of 1 TW of power corresponds to 
8.6 \( \times 10^{16} \) J and since a lead–acid storage battery holds about 
140 kg kg\(^{-1} \) and a Li-ion battery holds up to 610 kg kg\(^{-1} \) this 
corresponds to 6.1 \( \times 10^{11} \) kg of lead–acid (~100 years worth of 
current lead production) or 1.4 \( \times 10^{11} \) kg of Li-ion batteries 
representing (~15 years worth of current graphite production 
for the anodes and ~160 years of current lithium production).

3.5 Electrified passenger cars

Since passenger cars are unused most of the time, the relevant 
parameter is not average power, but peak power. The global 
annual sales of cars is roughly 75 million units with perhaps 
50 kW engine power each which gives a total annual engine 
power capacity of 3.8 TWp. The global passenger car fleet 
(770 million in 2007)\(^4\) has a stunning combined engine power of 
approximately 40 TWp. Replacing this power, currently provided 
by internal combustion engines, with present-day commercial 
PEN fuel cells would require on the order of 34 kt of platinum 
(180 years worth of current platinum production).

As an example of a full hybrid car, the Toyota “Prius” has an 
electrical power of roughly 40 kW and a 53 kg battery (Nickel–
metal–hydride type), so 770 million cars need 31 TWp worth of 
materials for wiring, magnets and batteries. For the permanent 
magnets for the electric motors, the requirement is perhaps 40 g 
dysprosium and 240 g neodymium for each vehicle, equivalent to 
30 years and 10 years of current production, respectively. The 
requirement for the batteries is 4.1 \( \times 10^{10} \) kg of NiMH batteries 
which would contain about 8–12 years of global nickel 
production and 28–40 years of LREE (‘‘Mischmetal’’) production.\(^5,6\)

The raw materials requirement for a global fleet of full-electric 
cars is comparable to the 24 TWh battery described previously 
since just 90 MJ of battery capacity/car (like the Nissan “Leaf”) 
corresponds to 6.9 \( \times 10^{16} \) J of electrochemical storage in 
770 million cars-again on the order of 130 years worth of current 
lithium production.

3.6 Wind turbines

Present-day wind turbines require a lot of materials for 
construction. It is estimated that 1 TW\(_{\text{avg}} \) \( \sim 4 \) TW\(_p \) requires 
perhaps 3 \( \times 10^{11} \) kg of mild steel, 3 \( \times 10^{10} \) kg of alloy steel, 8 \( \times 
10^{10} \) kg of stainless steel in addition to smaller, but significant, 
amounts of copper.\(^\text{13} \) Something like 2 months worth of steel 
production is enough to provide 1 TW\(_{\text{avg}} \) of wind turbines. 
However, if the generator is a modern medium or high speed 
permanent magnet design, there is a further requirement of 
\(~750 \) kt of neodymium (40 years of production) and \(~50 \) kt of 
dysprosium (50 years of production) for magnets. Low speed/ 
gearless permanent magnet generators require about 9 times 
more neodymium and dysprosium than high speed generators.\(^\text{13} \)

3.7 Biofuels

The dominant biofuel technology in the world today is corn-
derived ethanol.\(^\text{22} \) In the US, (largest producer of bio-ethanol) 
a corn field of 4047 m\(^2 \) (1 acre) yields an average of about 
\(~3800 \) kg (150 bushels) of corn every year. 1 bushel of corn gives 
\(~11 \) l of ethanol using current technology, so each acre yields 
\(~1650 \) l of ethanol with a total lower heating value (LHV) of 
\(~38 \) GJ.\(^\text{77–79} \) Thus, in order to produce 1 TW\(_{\text{avg}} \) of corn-derived 
ethanol, using current technology, an area of 3.36 \( \times 10^{6} \) km\(^2 \) 
(830 million acres) corresponding to 35% of the total US land 
area would need to be reserved for this purpose.\(^\text{77} \) Furthermore, 
the (economic) optimum fertilizer use is comparatively high for 
corn production. According to the USDA statistics service the 
average use of N, P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and K\(_2\)O for corn growth in the US was 
15.7 t km\(^{-2} \), 6.7 t km\(^{-2} \) and 8.8 t km\(^{-2} \) (140; 60; 79 lbs acre\(^{-1} \) 
respectively) so the annual fertilizer requirement to grow 1 TW\(_{\text{avg}} \) 
works out to log(N TW\(_{\text{avg}}^{-1} \)) = 10.7 (42% of global nitrogen 
production), log(P TW\(_{\text{avg}}^{-1} \)) = 10.3 (~69% of global phosphorous 
production) and log(K TW\(_{\text{avg}}^{-1} \)) = 10.5 (~120% of global 
potassium production).\(^\text{80} \)

An alternative source of biomass-derived fuel is to gasify 
biomass such as cellulose and run conventional Fischer–Tropsch 
(FT) synthesis on the resulting syngas to make hydrocarbons. 
In this case, one possible limitation could be the availability of 
the necessary catalysts such as ruthenium for promoting cobalt-
Based FT catalysis (see Table 5). 

4 Conclusion—material challenges

According to the International Energy Association,\(^\text{85} \) the 
production of iron and steel accounts for 21% of industrial 
energy consumption (~6.4 TW\(_{\text{avg}}^{\text{25}} \)), while chemicals and 
petrochemical production (which importantly includes ammo-
nia, polymers and chlorine) accounts for 29%.\(^\text{85} \) There are other 
industrial processes which consume large amounts of energy 
(exergy). These include the production of cement, of 
aluminium and of other non-ferrous metals (mostly copper) 
and of paper.\(^\text{7,86} \) The point is that there are very significant 
energy costs (several TW\(_{\text{avg}} \)) associated with providing the 
current flow of raw materials so it seems prudent to start 
building a sustainable energy infrastructure sooner rather than 
later. It requires significant energy in the present to build the 
infrastructure needed to supply energy in the future.

As the examples in the previous section and in Table 5 serve to 
show, any given technology needs large amounts of materials 
to reach the necessary TW-scale. Based on the analysis presented 
herein, it is challenging for any single renewable energy 
technology to scale to the 10+ TW level, based solely on 
elemental availability and accessibility and not even including 
other technological challenges such as efficiency and cost. On the

\(^\text{†† Note: The land area requirement for 1 TW}_{\text{avg}} \) of bio-ethanol 
corresponds to more than 40 times the area needed for 1 TW\(_{\text{avg}} \) of 
photovoltaic electricity.\)
other hand there are big differences among the technologies as to which specific materials are needed, and therein lies some hope that complementary technologies can be found which, in combination, will allow sustainable energy inputs to society on the multi-TW scale. It is, however, clear that only the most available elements can be relied upon for these crucial technologies if they are to scale to the TW-level. Elemental availability cannot be judged by crustal abundance alone. A more detailed analysis of the nature of production is needed for estimating potential scalability in supply and today’s numbers for global production rates provide extremely relevant information that must be factored into the analysis.
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