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Using first-principles calculations we analyze the electronic transport properties of a recently proposed anthraquinone-based electrochemical switch. Robust conductance on/off ratios of several orders of magnitude are observed due to destructive quantum interference present in the anthraquinone but absent in the hydroquinone molecular bridge. A simple explanation of the interference effect is achieved by transforming the frontier molecular orbitals into localized molecular orbitals thereby obtaining a minimal tight-binding model describing the transport in the relevant energy range in terms of hopping via the localized orbitals. The topology of the tight-binding model, which is dictated by the symmetries of the molecular orbitals, determines the amount of quantum interference. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3451265]

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular electronics is a very active area of research which holds the promise of continuing the miniaturization of active devices beyond the limits of standard silicon technologies.1–3 Of particular interest are molecules which can be switched between two distinct states with different electronic conductances.4 Reversible switching between the “on” and “off” states can be mediated with light,5–8 bias voltage,9 or by changes in the electrochemical environment.10,11 It was recently proposed by van Dijk et al.12 to use an anthraquinone (AQ) based molecule as an electrochemical switch. Cyclic voltametry showed that the AQ could be reversibly reduced to hydroquinone (HQ) with significantly different UV-visible absorption spectra. In this paper we calculate the conductance properties of the proposed switch and show that very large on/off ratios should be expected due to destructive quantum interference effects in the off-state (AQ) molecule.

It has previously been shown that quantum interference effects can have a dramatic impact on the electronic transport through a single molecule.13–16 As an example, experiments have shown that benzene has very different transport properties depending on the position of the anchor groups.17,18 This has been confirmed by theoretical works19 and explained in terms of interfering pathways. Interference effects in benzene have also been studied for the incoherent Coulomb blockade regime.20,21 Related theoretical studies have recently been concerned with interference effects in nitrobenzene22 and in cross-conjugated molecules23,24 showing transmission antiresonances close to the Fermi energy, thus potentially making the destructive quantum interference features useful in molecular electronics applications. Contrary to the previously discussed cross-conjugated molecules, the AQ-HQ setup offers the possibility to electrochemically switch between a situation with and without transmission antiresonances.

We show in this work that the interference effects in AQ electrochemical switches can be understood from a minimal tight-binding (TB) model constructed from the frontier molecular orbitals (MOs) of the free molecule. Such simple models are clearly desirable for an intuitive understanding and for a fast screening of molecules exhibiting interesting interference features.

II. DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY-LANDAUER TRANSMISSIONS

We calculate the electronic conductance of the molecular contacts shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), using a combination of density functional theory (DFT) and Green’s function (GF) methods. In Fig. 1(a) the molecule is terminated with two S atoms that bind to both Au surfaces. This contact resembles the situation in, e.g., mechanical break junction experiments. Figure 1(b) represents a scanning tunneling microscope (STM) experimental situation, where the molecule is only bound to one Au surface, while the other contact is a STM tip.

For both setups, we initially relax the molecule and the two closest Au layers using a double zeta with polarization (dzp) atomic basis set25 using the grid-based projector augmented wave method26 with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation exchange-correlation functional.27 In the relaxed configuration, the S atoms bind to Au at a bridge site slightly shifted toward the hollow site. Following the standard DFT-Landauer approach as described in Ref. 28, we calculate the zero-bias transmission function, \( T(E) \). The low-bias conductance can finally be obtained from the Landauer formula, \( G = (2e^2/h)T(E_F) \), where \( E_F \) is the Fermi energy.
The two hydrogens in the circles can reversibly be attached or removed electrochemically forming HQ (with H atoms) and AQ (without H atoms). Panel (b) shows a STM setup where the molecule is bound to only one Au surface. Panels (c) and (d) show the electronic transmission functions for the two molecular contacts in panels (a) and (b), respectively. Solid curves correspond to HQ while dashed curves show AQ results. Both setups give large on-off ratios at the Fermi level.

Figure 1(c) shows the electronic transmission function for the AQ (dashed black) and HQ (solid red) molecular bridges shown in panel (a). There is a strikingly large difference of six orders of magnitude in the transmission around the Fermi energy. While the HQ has a relatively large transmission of around 0.01, the AQ displays a clear transmission antiresonance with a minimum transmission of $10^{-8}$.

Figure 1(d) shows the transmission functions of the HQ and AQ in the STM setup [Fig. 1(b)]. The transmission values are generally lower by a factor 100 compared to the contact in Fig. 1(a). This is due to the weak tunneling contact between the molecule and the tip. We again observe a clear transmission dip for the AQ but not for the HQ. Both the transmission peaks and dip are shifted toward lower energies in the STM setup and the antiresonance is no longer right at the Fermi level. We attribute this to the different couplings to the Au contact which cause different shifts of the molecular levels. It might still be possible that even the transmission antiresonance away from the Fermi level can be observed experimentally by tuning the molecular levels with the electrochemical gate voltage. The qualitative agreement between the two different contact situations shows that the interference feature in the AQ is an intrinsic feature of the molecule, which is only quantitatively affected by the coupling to the metal. The large on/off ratio should therefore be a robust feature of the AQ-HQ switch. In a recent STM conductance measurement on quinone-oligo(phenylene vinylene) (Q-OPV) molecules in an electrochemically controlled environment, it was found that the reduced HQ-OPV molecule has a 40 times larger conductance than the Q-OPV.

This result is in qualitative agreement with our finding that HQ has a larger conductance than AQ.

It is well known that DFT, in general, does not provide an accurate description of molecular energy gaps and level alignment at metallic surfaces. In order to verify that the interference effect is not sensitive to changes in the molecular level positions we have changed “by hand” the MOs using a scissors operator technique similar to that described in Ref. 32. Shifting the energies of the occupied states down by 1 eV and the energies of the unoccupied states up by 1 eV slightly shifts the transmission minimum of the AQ but does not change the overall picture. The large on/off ratio should thus be a rather robust feature of the AQ-HQ switch.

In the remainder of this paper we analyze the AQ-HQ switch in terms of two simplifying models that offer complementary insights to the underlying physics of the interference effects.

II. HÜCKEL $\pi$ MODEL

In order to gain insight in the physics underlying the interference effects, we describe the AQ/HQ molecule with a Hückel $\pi$-electron model, in which there is one $p_z$ orbital at every carbon atom. We assume only nearest neighbor interaction with a hopping parameter, $t=-3$ eV, and constant on-site energy $\varepsilon_0=0$ eV. In the oxidized AQ state, we further assume that the oxygens contribute with one $p_z$ orbital each with the same on-site and hopping parameters as the C atoms. In the reduced HQ state this extra electron will be passivated by the hydrogens, and does not contribute to the $\pi$ system. The schematics of the central parts of the AQ and HQ molecules in the $\pi$ model are shown as insets in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. The transmission in the $\pi$ model is calculated using a wideband limit approximation for the electrode self-energies, which adds an imaginary part, $i\gamma$, to

FIG. 1. (a) Atomic structure of HQ molecule coupled to gold electrodes. The insets show the structure used in the Hückel $\pi$ model. (b) The electronic transmission function for the AQ-HQ molecule calculated with a Hückel $\pi$ model (dashed red) and compared with the DFT-GF results (solid black). The insets show the structure used in the Hückel model.
the on-site energy of the C atoms connected to the sulfurs. The sulfur atoms themselves are not included in the model.

Figure 2 shows the full DFT transmission (solid black) together with the $\pi$-model transmission (dashed red) for the AQ (a) and HQ (b) molecules. Both the transmission anti-resonance in the AQ and the relatively large transmission for the HQ found in the DFT-GF calculations are well reproduced by the $\pi$ model. The good agreement between the DFT-GF and the $\pi$-model results is not obvious as it might be expected that both the on-site energy of and the hopping to the oxygens are quite different from the values for pure carbon. However, it is encouraging that the simple $\pi$ model reproduces the DFT results so well. The almost exact coexistence of the transmission minimum at the Fermi energy might not be a general result since the exact position of the DFT transmission minimum is sensitive to the bonding geometries, as illustrated by the differences in transmission between the two setups in Fig. 1.

The results of the $\pi$ model show that switching from HQ to AQ can be thought of as effectively adding an orbital to the $\pi$ system. An electron in AQ traveling from left to right can either do it directly or via the extra side orbital, with the two pathways interfering destructively at the Fermi energy. In the HQ, on the other hand, there is no direct path and no interference effects should be expected.

### IV. LOCALIZED MOLECULAR ORBITALS MODEL

While the Hückel model successfully explains the interference effects in terms of an extra $\pi$ orbital in the oxidized AQ state, it is desirable to understand the interference effects in terms of the frontier orbitals. To this end, we develop in this section a simple and general method for analyzing quantum interference in molecular junctions using the AQ/HQ switch in Fig. 1(a) as a specific example. In the physically relevant energy range around the Fermi level, the transmission through a molecular junction is governed by the frontier MOs and their overlap with the electrode states. Often a qualitatively correct description can be obtained by considering only the single molecular level closest to the Fermi energy, i.e., either the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) or the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). Assuming a constant density of states (DOS) in the electrodes (the so-called wideband limit), the transmission function of a single-level model becomes a Lorentzian centered at the energy of the MO and with a width $\Gamma$ determined by the electrode DOS and the spatial overlap of the MO with the states in the electrode. The frontier MOs of the free (i.e., not coupled to gold) AQ and HQ are shown in Fig. 3, together with the level energies.

In Fig. 1(c) the transmission function of HQ can approximately be described by a sum of two Lorentzians centered at the HOMO and LUMO energies, respectively. In contrast this is not the case for AQ. The pronounced difference in the transmission properties of AQ and HQ is difficult to detect from the shape and energies of the frontier MOs (see Fig. 3). The difference, however, becomes clear if we transform the frontier MOs, $\psi_{\alpha}$, into localized molecular orbitals (LMOs),

$$\phi_{\alpha} = \sum_{m} U_{\alpha m} \psi_{m}. \quad (1)$$

The expansion coefficients are determined following the standard procedure for constructing maximally localized Wannier functions (for solids) or maximally LMOs (for molecules). We thus seek to minimize the spread of the LMOs measured by the sum of their second moments,

$$S = \sum_{m} \left( \langle \phi_{\alpha m}^{*} | \phi_{\alpha m} \rangle - \langle \phi_{\alpha m} | \phi_{\alpha m} \rangle \right)^{2}. \quad (2)$$

The mapping from the molecular eigenstates ($\psi_m$) to the LMOs ($\phi_{\alpha}$) described by the unitary matrix $U$ is uniquely determined by the requirement that the resulting LMOs should be maximally localized as defined by Eq. (2). Usually, one maps the entire set of occupied MOs into an equivalent set of LMOs. In the present case, however, we are interested in describing the physics in the vicinity of the Fermi level and thus we transform only the MOs closest to $E_F$. Using the LMOs as basis states we can construct an effective TB-like Hamiltonian for the molecule. As an example Fig. 4(a) shows the LMOs obtained from the HOMO-1, HOMO, and LUMO of the AQ. The corresponding TB Hamiltonian is obtained as

$$H = U^{T} \text{diag}(E_{H-1}, E_{H}, E_{L}) U = \begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_{0} & \beta_{L} & \alpha \\
\beta_{L} & \varepsilon_{1} & \beta_{R} \\
\alpha & \beta_{R} & \varepsilon_{0}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $E_{H-1}, E_{H}, E_{L}$ denote the molecular energy levels. In the considered case the TB Hamiltonian has certain symmetries which derive from symmetries of the LMOs: The two bottom LMOs of Fig. 4(a) both have on-site energy $\varepsilon_{0}=0.07$ eV (relative to the AQ HOMO level) but are localized on the left and right parts of the molecule, respectively. The topmost LMO has on-site energy $\varepsilon_{1}=1.56$ eV and is localized at the center of the molecule with a vanishing weight at the terminating S atoms. Assuming a wideband approximation, the lead self-energies only add an imaginary part to the left and right on-site energies, $\varepsilon_{0} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{0} + i\gamma$. This expresses the fact that the molecule is only coupled to the leads via the two
LMOs located at the ends of the molecule. The topology of the effective three-site TB model is sketched in the upper panel of Fig. 4(a). The hopping parameters are $\alpha_0=0.07$ eV and $\beta_L=\beta_R=0.25$ eV. It is intuitively clear that the two pathways through the molecule, i.e., hopping from the left to the right LMO either directly or via the central LMO, can lead to interference effects in the transmission.

The LMOs and corresponding TB model obtained through the procedure described above depend on the initial set of MOs used for the mapping. In Fig. 4(b) we show the LMOs and the corresponding TB topology obtained when the mapping is performed from only the HOMO and LUMO of the AQ. The obtained TB parameters are $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0=0.15$ eV, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_1=1.56$ eV, and $\tilde{\beta}=0.35$ eV. As for the three-state case one can anticipate interference effects due to transmission though two different pathways: either direct hopping or hopping via the LMO localized at the center.

Figure 5(a) shows the transmission of the AQ (on a log scale) calculated using the full DFT-GF setup (dotted black), which is the same as shown in Fig. 1(c). Also shown are the transmissions obtained from the three- and two-site TB models in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). As we do not have any reference to the electrode Fermi energy in the TB models, we have aligned the LUMO transmission peak (at $E=0.6$ eV) of the TB transmissions to the DFT-GF result. Evidently, the three-site model (solid red) qualitatively reproduces the transmission antiresonance and the overall shape of the transmission function is better reproduced by the three-site model than the two-site model (dashed blue), which in general yields a too large transmission and the transmission antiresonance is too high in energy. It can readily be found that the two-site model has a transmission zero at energy $E=\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$, whereas the three-site model has the antiresonance at $E=\varepsilon_1-\tilde{\beta}_L\tilde{\beta}_R/\alpha$. Note that in both models the antiresonance energy is independent of the on-site energies, $\varepsilon_0$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$, and of the LMOs coupling to the electrodes. It is not surprising that the three-site model gives a better description of the full calculation as the energies and wave functions of the HOMO and HOMO-1 are very similar (see Fig. 3). We note that the quantitative differences between the full and model calculations are due to (i) the neglect of all but a few MOs in the construction of the LMOs and (ii) the wideband approximation.

We now turn to the HQ molecule. Figure 4(c) shows the LMOs constructed from the HOMO and LUMO orbitals, and the corresponding TB model. The on-site energies are $\varepsilon_0=0.55$ eV, $\varepsilon_1=0.97$ eV (relative to the HQ HOMO level), and $\beta=-0.73$ eV. The topology of the HQ two-level model is qualitatively different from the AQ topologies in that there is only one possible pathway through the molecule and thus interference effects are not anticipated. Figure 5(b) compares the transmission through the HQ obtained from the full calculation (dotted black), the two-site TB model in Fig. 4(c) (dashed blue), and a four-site model including also the HOMO-2 and HOMO-1 (solid red). Both TB models reproduce the full result, and neither of them shows interference around the Fermi level. The four-site model gives an antiresonance at energies below the HOMO level which correspond to the antiresonance in the AQ.
A. Application of LMOs to a cross-conjugated molecule

To further validate the simple model calculations using LMOs of the isolated molecules, we consider the cross-conjugated molecule shown in Fig. 6(b). The transmission properties of similar molecules have previously been analyzed and clear transmission antiresonances were observed, similar to the AQ transmission shown above. In Fig. 6(a) we compare the transmission through the cross-conjugated molecule attached to Au(111) electrodes as above and calculated with DFT-GF (dotted black), and with two TB models. Our calculated transmission agrees with the results in Ref. 35. The three-site model (solid red) gives again a qualitatively correct description of the transmission antiresonance. The corresponding LMOs, constructed from the HOMO-1, HOMO, and LUMO, are shown in Figs. 6(e)–6(g). The two-site orbitals are shown in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d). As for the AQ, the two-site model yields a transmission antiresonance higher in energy than both the three-site model and the full calculation. The good agreement between the three-site TB model and the full DFT-GF calculation further illustrates that the simple minimal TB model provides a transparent and qualitative correct description of the full transport problem. The molecular level energies for the free cross-conjugated molecules are \( \varepsilon_{\text{HOMO-1}} = -0.4 \text{ eV} \), \( \varepsilon_{\text{HOMO}} = 0.0 \text{ eV} \), and \( \varepsilon_{\text{LUMO}} = 2.0 \text{ eV} \), relative to the HOMO level. Contrary to the AQ, the HOMO-1 and HOMO levels are clearly separated in energy, and the better results obtained with the three-site model including the HOMO-1 orbital indicate that quantum interference effects in molecules more generally involve the HOMO-1, HOMO, and LUMO orbitals and not only the HOMO and LUMO, as might be anticipated.

V. SUMMARY

In summary, we have performed \textit{ab initio} transport calculations for a recently proposed AQ-based electrochemical molecular switch.\textsuperscript{12} Due to destructive quantum interference effects the conductance in the reduced (off) state is dramatically suppressed by several orders of magnitude as compared to the oxidized (on) state. The destructive interference was explained within a simple Hückel \( \pi \) model by the addition of two extra \( \pi \) orbitals on the oxygen atoms in the oxidized AQ state. The interference effects were further rationalized by mapping the full transport problem to an effective minimal TB model obtained via a transformation of the frontier MOs into LMOs. The approach can be used to categorize any given molecular junction according to the topology of the effective TB model and offers a transparent and fast method for computational screening for molecules exhibiting interference features.
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