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Highlights:

- A regime-shift occurred in the Limfjord ecosystem in the mid-1990s
- The shift is parallel to those seen in adjacent seas
- Ecosystem under stress possibly trigged by extreme climate events
- Regime shift towards lower trophic level
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ABSTRACT

An integrated ecosystem assessment was carried out for the Limfjord over the period from 1984 to 2008 to describe changes in ecosystem structure and potentially important drivers. The Limfjord is an eutrophic transitional Danish fjord system with the main inflow from the North Sea in the west and main outflow to the Kattegat in the east. We showed that from 1990 to 1995, the ecosystem structure shifted from dominance by demersal fish species (eel pout,
whiting, flounder, plaice) to that of pelagic fish species (sprat, herring, sticklebacks), small-bodied fish species (black goby, pipefish), jellyfish, common shore crab, starfish and blue mussels. We interpret this change as a regime shift that showed a similar temporal pattern to regime shifts identified in adjacent seas. The observed changes in trophic interactions and food web reorganisation suggested a non-linear regime shift. The analyses further showed the regime shift to be driven by a combination of anthropogenic pressures and possible interplay with climatic disturbance.
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1. Introduction

Marine ecosystems worldwide suffer from increasing anthropogenic pressures. Major changes in ecosystem structure and function have been reported from several regions, affecting the human use of resources. These changes are sometimes called regime shifts. Regime shifts, as defined by Collie et al. (2004), are ‘low-frequency, high-amplitude changes in aquatic systems that may be especially pronounced in biological variables and propagate though several trophic levels’. Moreover, some ecosystems respond with hysteresis, while others may be irreversible at the decadal scale (or more). An integrated assessment of system changes provides the background for understanding ecosystem dynamics, processes and state, and is thus important for adapting resource management accordingly (Rothschild and Shannon, 2004; Folke et al., 2004; Shannon et al., 2008).

Regime shifts have been reported from the Black Sea (Daskalov et al., 2007), the Bering Sea (Rodionov and Overland, 2005), the Benguela ecosystem (Cury and Shannon, 2004; Howard et al., 2007), the Gulf of Alaska (Heymans et al., 2007), and the Northern Pacific Ocean (Hare and Mantua, 2000). In waters adjacent to the Limfjord (Denmark), regime shifts
have been detected in the North Sea in the late 1980s (Alheit et al., 2005), the Central Baltic
Sea in the late 1980s (Möllmann et al., 2008), the Sound, Øresund, between Sweden and
Denmark in the late 1980s and mid-1990s (Lindegren et al., 2010) and in the Danish
Ringkøbing Fjord in 1997 (Petersen et al., 2008).

Hitherto, a regime shift has not been proven to have occurred in the Limfjord, neither
empirically nor at the integrated assessment level, although changes in the ecosystem have
been reported (Hoffmann, 2005, Christiansen et al., 2006; Krause-Jensen et al., 2011b). In the
Limfjord, total nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) loadings have increased six-fold since the
early 1900s, and peaked in the mid-1980s. The Danish Parliament decided in 1987 upon a
water action plan to reduce N and P loadings in order to protect the groundwater and prevent
structural changes in aquatic systems. Since then, loadings have decreased by 33% (N) and
67% (P) (Krause-Jensen et al., 2011b). Nutrients have, therefore, been and still are considered
a major bottom-up forcing factor in the system. The Limfjord finfish fishery declined
dramatically in the 1980s and a concurrent increase in blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis* L., biomass
supported a thriving mussel fishery, which then became the largest ever economic harvest
yield from the fjord (Hoffmann, 2005).

The aim of this paper is to investigate the hypothesis that a regime shift had occurred in the
Limfjord since the mid-1980s. We investigated rapid changes in the Limfjord ecosystem at a
decadal scale, focusing on a probable regime shift in the biotic system component using an
Integrated Trend Assessment (ITA) framework.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The study site

The Limfjord is the largest Danish fjord system with a surface area of 1,500 km², and a
mean depth of ~6 m. It connects to the North Sea in the west and to the Kattegat in the east
(Fig. 1) with a small tidal amplitude (<1 m). Due to large freshwater influxes, salinity ranges
between 0-34 in some embayments. However, an overall salinity gradient decreases from west to east (from 34 to 26). The fjord system is eutrophic and suffers from frequent and extensive periods of hypoxia and anoxia during the summer months (Maar et al., 2010).

2.2. Time series data

Time series data for the ITA covered the period 1988-2008 and were selected based on their ecological importance and completeness of the time series. In total, 19 biological variables, 4 fisheries related variables and 21 abiotic variables related to environmental and climatic conditions were included in the ITA (Table 1). There were initially 85 possible abiotic variables, but due to cross-correlations we only included variables with pairwise correlation coefficients <0.8 (see Appendix Table 5). The abiotic variables were separated into 9 anthropogenic and 12 climatic pressures. Time series of the selected climatic variables started earlier in 1984 to account for a time-lag in the response of biological variables. Since the ITA needs complete time series, missing values in the data sets were replaced by averages based on the neighbouring ±2 years. For statistical analyses, data were transformed \( \ln (x+1) \) to improve linearity between variables and reduce the relationship between the mean and the variance. For visualising individual time series temporal development and preliminary identification of extreme values, anomalies are displayed in the Appendix (Figures 5 to 7).

2.3. Biological variables

Data for phytoplankton Chl. \( a \), polychaetes, molluscs, crustaceans and echinoderms originated from national and regional environmental monitoring programmes, and were retrieved from the common national marine database accessible online (http://MADS-en.dmu.dk). The ‘Hoffmann jellyfish-index’ is the percentage of trawls that could not be made due to overloading of the fishing nets by jellyfish in the Limfjord (Hoffmann, 2005; Møller and Riisgård, 2007; Riisgård et al., in press) and can be used as a proxy for jellyfish...
biomass. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) estimates from fish survey data were used as a proxy for fish biomass. CPUE time series data for targeted and non-commercial fish species originated from monitoring survey cruises in the Limfjord and were retrieved from the DTU Aqua (Technical University of Denmark, National Institute of Aquatic Resources) database (BABELFISH, www.aqua.dtu.dk). Time series data for herring and flounder were calibrated to adjust for a change in 1995 in type of fishing gear used to monitor fish (Dinesen, unpublished results).

2.4. Abiotic and fisheries variables

Environmental time series data (Table 1) related to nutrient levels (nutrient loadings and winter concentrations) and climate impacts (temperature, salinity, oxygen, water visibility, primary production) were retrieved from the common national marine database accessible online (http://MADS-en.dmu.dk). Phytoplankton primary production was considered as a proxy for the effect of bottom-up processes on food supply to higher trophic levels. Wind data were obtained from the Danish Metrological Institute (www.dmi.dk, Karup). Fisheries data on landings of blue mussels, decapods, pelagic and demersal fish species in the Limfjord were retrieved from account statistics of the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries (www.fvm.dk). Fisheries yields were not treated as a biological variable because they do not necessarily reflect changes in stock biomass, but also depend on many other factors such as market price, catch quotas and other management regulations.

2.5. Integrated Trend Assessment approach and statistical methods

The ITA was developed under the umbrella of the ICES/HELCOM Working Group on Integrated Assessment of the Baltic Sea (WGIAB) (ICES, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010; Möllmann et al., 2009; Diekmann and Möllmann, 2010). In this study, a series of statistical methods and
plotting procedures were applied as described in Diekmann and Möllmann (2010) and Lindegren at al. (2010): 1) Sequential t-test analyses of regime shifts (STARS) on raw time series, 2) Principal Component Analyses (PCA), 3) STARS on PCA scores, 4) Chronological Clustering (CC) and 5) Traffic Light Plotting (TLP).

2.5.1. STARS on raw time series data

STARS is a discontinuity analysis, which generate Regime Shift Indices (RSI). RSI were calculated for each time series in order to detect significant shifts in mean values. The two parameters that control the scale and magnitude of potential regime shifts were set a priori. The significance level (α) was set to 0.05, and the cut-off length (l) to eight years. The latter defines the minimum length of the time period to be identified by the test. Shifts with a higher magnitude, however, can still be detected for shorter periods. The average value of the regimes was also affected by the handling of outliers. For this, Huber’s weight parameter, which controls the identification and weights assigned to outliers, was set to 3. This means that if the deviation of a measurement from the expected regime average, normalized by the standard deviation for the respective section is >3, its weight is inversely proportional to the distance from the expected mean value of the new regime. Cumulative RSI based on the raw time series data (see method in Rodionov, 2004) were estimated and displayed for visual inspection of the timing of possible changes. The STARS software is available as an MS EXCEL add-in (at www.BeringClimate.noaa.gov).

2.5.2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

PCA was used to extract the most important modes of variability in the time series and to estimate a combined index of ecosystem states and impacts (Möllmann et al., 2009). Standardized PCAs, based on a correlation matrix, were carried out for the transformed values
of time series of entire dataset (all), and for each of the subsets described above. Combined scores of PC1 for the biological variables were interpreted as an index of food-web state (biol) and those for the abiotic variables (abiol) as an index of pressure (Möllmann et al., 2008, 2009; Diekmann and Möllmann, 2010). Subset combined scores of PC1 of anthropogenic (anthropo) and climatic (clim) variables respectively, served as separate indices to improve the understanding of different pressures, and thereby improve management application. Yearly scores along the two principal components, PC1 and PC2, were plotted against time to visualize temporal relationships and the occurrence of abrupt ecosystem changes. The first factorial plane was visualised as correlation biplots, where the variable loadings, i.e. the length and orientation of the vectors, visualise the degree of correlation with the respective PC. The PCAs were performed using R (www.r-project.com).

2.5.3. STARS on PCA scores

A further STARS was carried out to detect sudden changes in the PC1 scores to identify whether abrupt changes had occurred in the overall system (ICES, 2008; Möllmann et al., 2009). Parameters for this analysis are the same as described in section 2.6. Because of the overall length of the time-series and the embedded regimes, a pre-whitening procedure to remove red-noise component from the time-series was not used (Rodionov, 2006).

2.5.4. Chronological Clustering (CC)

A second type of discontinuity analysis, CC, was carried out to identify the years in which the largest shifts in the mean value of the time-series occurred, independently of the STARS results. This method is a grouping of sequential years based on a time-variable matrix. This method describes discontinuities in a multivariate series of samples and takes into account the sequence of sampling, which makes it possible to eliminate singletons (Legendre et al., 1985;
Legendre and Legendre, 1998). To demonstrate the most important breakpoints in the dataset, the significance level (\(\alpha\)), which can be considered as a clustering-intensity parameter, was set to 0.05 and the connectedness level to 50%. In accordance with the use of the correlation coefficient in the PCA, data were first normalized and the Euclidean distance function then calculated to determine between year similarities. The CC analyses were carried out using BRODGAR 2.5.6 (www.brodgar.com).

2.5.5. Traffic Light Plot (TLP)

TLPs were generated to visualise overall systematic patterns based on single time-series (Link et al., 2002). The raw values of each variable were categorized into quintiles and each one of these was assigned a specific colour: green for the lowest (0-20%), red for the highest (80-100%) and a gradation of colours in between. The variables were then sorted in descending order according to their PC1 loadings and plotted against years to visualize temporal patterns. The TLP was performed using R (www.r-project.com).

3. Results

In the first inspection of data from STARS applied to each raw time series data, the cumulative RSI indicated the following potential periods of regime shifts: 1991-1994, 1997-1998 and 2003-2007 (Fig. 2). Interpretation of the changes occurring in 2006-2007 was uncertain because it was towards the end of the time series.

3.1. Ecosystem changes

The results of the PCAs are shown in Figure 3. The first column of graphs presents the temporal development of PC1 and PC2 scores. A positive or negative trend in the scores
indicates changes in pressures or states. The second column of graphs presents time trajectories of PC1 and PC2 scores, and the third column shows the degree of correlation of each given variable with the respective PC (see also Appendix Table 6).

PCA results for all variables are shown in Figure 3A-C. PC1\textsubscript{all} explained 26% and PC2\textsubscript{all} 13% of all variability. PCA results showed an increase in PC1\textsubscript{all} scores with a shift to positive values around 1995 and no clear pattern for the importance of the variables. The variables with the highest eigenvalue were for PC1\textsubscript{all} (Fig. 3C): landings of benthic and pelagic fish species and crustaceans, phosphorus concentrations (winter and summer) and eelpout biomass. For PC2\textsubscript{all} these were: salinity, oxygen and nitrogen concentrations (average annual salinity, annual average of water column oxygen and winter nitrogen concentrations), phytoplankton Chl. \(a\) and plaice biomass.

The biological variables (Fig. 3D-F) showed a similar temporal trend for both PC1\textsubscript{biol} and PC2\textsubscript{biol}. PC1\textsubscript{biol} explained 21% and PC2\textsubscript{biol} 15% of all variability. The shift to positive values occurred between 1995 and 2000. The variables with the highest eigenvalue were for PCA\textsubscript{biol} (Fig. 3F): biomass of plaice, dab and eel pout. For PC2\textsubscript{biol} these were: jellyfish, polychaetes, echinoderms, black goby, and pipefish. These results thus revealed the two main assemblages of organisms that exhibited the largest changes over the time period in the ecosystem.

For the abiotic variables (Fig. 3G-I), PC1\textsubscript{abiol} and PC2\textsubscript{abiol} explained 35% and 16% of the variance. The pressure index showed a negative trend with a shift to negative values in 1995 and with highest correlations to the following variables: phosphorus concentrations (summer and winter), nitrogen loadings (spring) and landings (crustaceans and benthic and pelagic fish). These results indicated the main groups of pressures which simultaneously affected the system.

PCA on climatic variables are shown in Figure 3J-L. The first two PC\textsubscript{clim} explained 39% and 28% of the variability, respectively. Variables most correlated with PC1\textsubscript{clim} index were:
water column oxygen concentrations (summer and annual average), wind power and winter water column temperatures. Climatic related PC indices showed no clear temporal trends, however both PCs displayed abrupt extreme changes to positive values in the late 1980s, after which they fluctuated both highly and frequently around zero. These results demonstrated the main relationships between the climatic variables. They also highlighted the extreme climate fluctuations sometime observed in connection with regime shifts.

For the anthropogenic variables, the first two PCs explained 46% and 20%, respectively (Fig. 3M-O). The PC1\textsubscript{anthro} showed an overall decreasing trend, indicating that human pressures in the late 1990s were different to those in the 2000s. The most important anthropogenic variables in the PC1\textsubscript{anthro} (Fig. 3O) were: winter phosphorus concentration, landings of crustaceans, and of pelagic and benthic fish. For PC2\textsubscript{anthro} these were: nitrogen loadings (winter and summer) and water visibility.

Results of STARS on PC1 showed a sudden system change in 1996 (Table 2), which is interpreted as a regime shift. The results showed that changes in climate and anthropogenic variables occurred before the changes in the biota in 1996. This suggested a system, disturbance by climate events in 1988 and 1994 superimposed on anthropogenic impacts in 1996.

Most of the shifts in the 1990s were detected by CC (Table 3). In comparison to the STARS on PC indices, changes in biota were detected only in 1991, possibly as an effect of changes in climatic drivers in 1987. At a lower significance level (p<0.1, not shown), however, CC on biological variables gave similar results to the STARS analyses with discontinuity in 1997 and 2001. This indicated further system changes.

3.2. Characteristics of changes
To give a more detailed visualization of the detected regimes and temporal changes, the TLPs of the biological variables and abiotic pressures from the Limfjord are shown in Figures 4A-D. For biota (Fig. 4A, Appendix), one group of variables, (plaice, dab, eelpout as well as whiting and molluscs) generally displayed a decreasing biomass trend, while another group (crustaceans, herring, three-spined stickleback, horse mackerel, pipefish, smelt, polychaetes and echinoderms) showed an increasing temporal biomass trend. Hence, there was a clear domination of demersal fish species in the period 1986-1991. After 1996, a second group of organisms more associated with pelagic food-web components and benthic opportunistic species became dominant. In the period between 1991 and 1996 there was no clear pattern and the system seemed to be in a transitional state.

Parallel to this, one group of abiotic variables (landings of crustaceans and pelagic fish, and oxygen) displayed a generally increasing temporal trend, while another group (landings of benthic fish, phosphorous and nitrogen loadings, primary production) showed a generally negative trend (Fig. 4B). For the climatic subset, it is difficult to distinguish and characterize separate periods (Fig. 4C). However, there is a short period from 1987 to 1993, where wind speeds, temperatures (winter and spring), salinities and oxygen concentrations all generally became higher than the mean values. This combination may have acted as a short-term, high frequency, system disturbance possibly triggering a regime shift in the Limfjord.

By separately considering the manageable, anthropogenic variables and the shifts detected in the mid-1990s and 2004 by STARS and CC, we can distinguish different human driving conditions (Fig. 4D). In 1996, we noticed a shift in the pressure characteristics. It changed from a system with high nutrients levels, high fishing pressures on demersal fish species and blue mussels, low fishing pressures on pelagic fish species and poor oxygen conditions, to a system characterised by increased fishing pressures on pelagic fish species, lower nutrients
levels and improved oxygen conditions. The last period after 2004 could be characterized by low nutrients and higher importance of landings of pelagic fish species and crustaceans.

4. Discussion

There are several definitions of a regime-shift (Lees et al., 2006). Most of them state that regime shifts include phenomena such as changes in species abundance, community composition and trophic organisation that occur concurrently with physical changes in the climate system, resulting in an alternate stable state (McKinnell et al., 2001, Wooster and Zhang, 2004, Cury and Shannon, 2004). Collie et al. (2004) defined regime shifts as low-frequency, high amplitude, changes in oceanic conditions that may propagate through several trophic levels and that were especially pronounced in biological variables. Regime shifts may be classified according to different driver-response relationships, as linear, sudden or discontinuous (Collie et al., 2004.). Regime shifts may be caused by climatic, biogeochemical or ecological changes (Lees et al., 2006), as well as changes in management (Folke et al., 2004). Our findings satisfy the conditions of a regime-shift perception over the investigated time frame.

The present study demonstrated for the first time a regime shift in the Limfjord, which took place in the early 1990s and continued to mid-1990s. This regime shift resulted in an altered ecosystem structure dominated by lower trophic levels. The ecosystem changed from a dominance of larger demersal fish species to that of pelagic fish, small demersal fish, blue mussels, crustaceans and jellyfish. The change took a place after high amplitude occurrence in some climatic variables in the late 1980s.

4.1. Data and the Integrated Trend Assessment (ITA) approach

The approach for ecosystem assessment was developed by the ICES Working Group on Integrated Assessment of the Baltic Sea (ICES, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010) and broadly
discussed in several studies (Möllmann et al., 2008; Diekmann and Möllmann, 2010; Lindegren et al., 2010). These studies emphasised the importance of including data that represents major forcing factors and all trophic levels of a system. Data used in the present study fulfilled these criteria, except for the lack of zooplankton time series data, and the use of a proxy index for jellyfish. The lack of zooplankton data may cause a delay in the detection of a regime shift due to a fast turnover rate of the zooplankton community and response to climatic variables, such as temperature. On the other hand, the inclusion of several benthic invertebrate time series data increased the strength of our analysis relative to other regime shifts studies, such as the Baltic Sea (Möllmann et al., 2009), the North Pacific Ocean (Overland et al., 2008) and the Southern Benguela (Cury and Shannon, 2004). Inclusion of benthic invertebrate data was crucial in our study because the results showed a regime shift towards lower trophic levels in the entire ecosystem and not only of the pelagic variables and the fish community.

4.2. Changes in the Limfjord system

The persistent anthropogenic forcing variables observed in this study indicated that the regime shift included both bottom-up (nutrient loadings and climate) and top-down (fishery) forcing in accordance with previous studies (Möllmann et al., 2008, 2009; Lindegren et al., 2010).

In the Limfjord, nitrogen and phosphorous loadings have been, and still are, major bottom-up forcing factors in the system. The increase in nutrients during the last century caused a decrease in water clarity, recurrent events of anoxia and a decrease in the depth distribution of eel grass (Krause-Jensen et al., 2011a, b). Eelgrass serves as a nutrient sink and sediment stabiliser (Pedersen, 1995; Carr et al., 2010) and is generally considered to be an important juvenile fish habitat (Pihl et al., 2006). Since the 1980s, loadings have decreased by 33% (N)
and 67% (P) (Krause-Jensen et al., 2011b), without any noticeable improvement in ecosystem state (Christiansen et al., 2006). The results also identified extreme high amplitude climate disturbances in temperatures, wind speeds and oxygen conditions in 1987-1989 and again in 1993-1994 that most likely triggered the regime shift in a system already under long-term anthropogenic pressure.

The top-down anthropogenic pressure was caused by commercial finfish fisheries in the beginning of the study period where after there was a constant decline of fisheries until a collapse in the mid-1990s. These fisheries were based on eel, cod, flatfish, and adult herring, and date back to the 19th century (Hoffmann, 1994, 2005). It is noted that the decline in the fisheries had started prior to the study period, and being gradually replaced by a blue mussel fishery since the 1980s (Hoffmann, 2005, 2009). In order to restore the function of the Limfjord ecosystem towards a thriving fish community, it is necessary to understand the underlying causes of the regime shift.

The shift from a dominance of equilibrium species (long lived fishes with low tolerance for bad environmental condition) to more opportunistic pelagic species (small, short lived with high tolerance for bad environmental conditions) demonstrated in this study, suggests changes in the internal structure of the ecosystem. This ecosystem reorganisation is possibly an indicator of a non-linear regime shift. This study was not able to determine whether bottom-up or top-down processes were the most important drivers of this regime shift. But as a first step, it was important to identify whether or not a regime shift had indeed occurred. Further investigations using alternative modelling techniques are, however, necessary to distinguish between different regime shift types as described by Collie et al. (2004). Nevertheless, if this was a non-linear regime shift, restoring the ecosystem to its original state would probably require larger changes in the forcing variables than the magnitude of forcing that caused the change. If the system exhibits hysteresis, it is not possible to predict the path back to the
original steady state under conditions of a continued decrease in nitrogen loading (Scheffer et al., 2001; Collie et al., 2004).

4.3. Regime shifts in the Limfjord and adjacent seas

In the mid-1980s, the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the Baltic Sea Index (BSI) shifted sharply from a negative to a positive phase, giving rise to anomalous temperatures, salinities and oxygen conditions throughout the whole area (Hänninen et al., 2000; Ottersen et al., 2001; Reid et al., 2003). In the North Sea, sea surface temperature and the distribution of key zooplankton species were the main driving forces of the regime shift (Kenny et al., 2009). In the Central Baltic Sea, the main driving forcings were salinity, oxygen conditions, the BSI and cod fisheries (Möllmann et al., 2009). These climate anomalies, by means of direct and indirect biological feedbacks, most probably induced the simultaneous regime shift observed from 1987 to 1989 in the North Sea, central Baltic Sea and the Sound (Reid et al., 1998; Beaugrand et al., 2002; Wasmund and Uhlig, 2003; Kröncke et al., 1998; Möllmann et al., 2003; Durant et al., 2004; Möllmann et al., 2009; Lindegren et al., 2010). Analogously, climate anomalies possibly also induced the regime shift in the Limfjord. The regime shift observed in the nearby Ringkøbing Fjord in 1997, was on the other hand, caused by a small increase in salinity facilitated by changed sluice management and subsequent survival of annually recruiting clams, *Mya arenaria* L. (Petersen et al., 2008).

The timing of the Limfjord regime shift detected in this study, showed a similar temporal pattern to those identified in adjacent seas (Table 4). Furthermore, there seemed to be two phases of a regime shift lasting for about four to five years (Table 4). In adjacent seas, regime shifts occurred in the late 1980s and early 1990s, i.e. a few years earlier than in the Limfjord. This delay may be due to several reasons. The lack of zooplankton time series data may have caused a small delay in the detection of the regime shift as described above. Another reason could be differences in scale, system characteristics, trophic structure (i.e. low number of
species of the Limfjord compared to the North Sea) or trophic control (Kenny et al., 2009, Casini et al., 2008). Finally, the delay could be due to a not fully recognised stochastic interplay of multiple drivers at the local scale.

4.4. Management perspectives

Understanding the occurrence of regime shift is important for management. This is especially important in communicating with the general public and politicians about the changes that have occurred and the predictability of restoring the ecosystem. Because regime shifts are distinguished by persistent pressures over decadal time scales, the restoration of ecosystem functioning may necessitate management over similar time scales often conflicting with local short term political ones.

If the regime shift identified in this study is non-linear (i.e. discontinuous), the ramifications for management are large because restoring the ecosystem to its original state could require a much larger change in the forcing variables than the magnitude that caused the change. It is difficult to determine which management actions are required to restore the once thriving finfish fisheries in the Limfjord, which historically provided a steady income for the local populations. The decline in larger demersal fish abundance has also negatively affected recreational fishermen (Sparrevohn et al., 2009) and their cultural traditions related to this activity. The finfish fishery was replaced by a blue mussel fishery since the late 1980s that became the largest ever economic harvest yield from the fjord, albeit with fewer participants (Hoffmann, 2005, 2009). Recently, the blue mussel fishery has declined possibly due to decreased nutrient loadings in response to implementation of the national water action plan (Dinesen et al., 2011).

The goals of the national water action plan are to reduce the N and P loadings with 50% and 80%, respectively (Kronvang et al., 1993) that are somewhat higher than the historical levels (50 to 100 years ago) (Christiansen et al., 2006). Additionally, the major basins of the
Limfjord behave differently in response to nutrient loads due to a gradient in the levels of stratification and eutrophication emphasising the need for a more differential sub-basin management (Maar et al., 2010; Krause-Jensen et al., 2011b). Potential time lags of ecosystem responses to reduced nutrient emissions may be a further complication for management, both in achieving its goals and communicating results. It would thus be appropriate for management to explore different management scenarios as described in Dinesen et al. (2011) and Hopkins et al. (2011).

The complexity of the ecosystem, multiple potential forcing variables and ecosystem resilience masking responses to human activities (and climate change) requires the functional integration of resource management and nature conservation including both socio-economic and ecological perspectives.

4.5. Concluding Remarks

We conclude that at a decadal scale a regime shift occurred recently in the Limfjord according to the definition and classification by Collie et al. (2004). We further conclude that it was possibly a non-linear regime shift. Regime shifts at a decadal scale may appear only as small fluctuations on a millennial scale. They are, however, highly important for management in terms of the use of natural resources. This study has demonstrated a shift, which occurred between early and mid1990s, changing from a biota characterised by a dominance of demersal fish to a system dominated by planktivore feeders and benthic opportunistic species.

In the Limfjord, the climatic driver is considered to be superimposed on anthropogenic drivers; including bottom-up (nutrient loadings) and top-down (fisheries) forcing that caused main changes in the ecosystem.

The timing, phasing and duration of the detected Limfjord regime shift were similar to the shifts occurring in adjacent seas, where regional climatic anomalies have been proposed as
drivers. Identification of regime shifts is important for management of human activities that may cause either reversible or irreversible changes that substantially impact ecosystem goods and services.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. The study area, the Limfjord is located in northern Denmark (inserted map). Fish and fisheries data originated from fish survey transects and account statistics of landings from the central broads. Environmental data originated from a national environmental monitoring station located in Løgstør broad.

Figure 2. Cumulative Regime Shift Indices (RSI) from STARS on the raw time series data, including all variables. RSI on the Y axis is unit-less.

Figure 3. Results of Principal Component Analyses. The first column shows the temporal trend PC1 and PC2 axis scores, the second column shows the time trajectory of PC1 and PC2 scores combines, and column three shows the dependencies between variable (for detailed values see Appendix, Table 6). Rows, from top to bottom, show the results of analyses of data sets including: all variables, biological, abiotic, climatic and anthropogenic, respectively. Please note that the scale differs between axes.

Figure 4. Traffic light plots representing the development of the Limfjord system. The time-series were transformed into quintiles and sorted according to the PC1 axis scores: (a) biota; (b) abiotic variables; (c) climatic impact; and (d) anthropogenic pressure. Solid lines represent regime shifts detected by Chronological Clustering, whereas dashed lines show the main shifts detected from the PC1 index. For abbreviations of variables, see Table 1.

Tables

Table 1. Data variables used in this study, including abbreviations, units and the period of the time series.
Table 2. Significant shifts in the PC 1 scores detected by STARS with RSI.

Table 3. Shifts in multivariate data sets detected using Chronological Clustering.

Table 4. The timing of the Limfjord regime shift compared with regime shifts detected in adjacent seas: CBS) the Central Baltic Sea; GoR) Gulf of Riga; GoF) Gulf of Finland; BoS) Bothnian Sea and BoB) Bay of Bothnia.

Appendix

Figure 5. Anomalies from the mean of biological time series subset. Note the different scales.

Figure 6. Anomalies from the mean of abiotic time series. Note the different scales.

Figure 7. Anomalies from the mean of climatic time series (begins in 1984). Note the different scales.

Table 5. Cross-correlations between used variables

Table 6. PC loadings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Time series period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffmann Jellyfish index</td>
<td>JEL</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychaetes</td>
<td>POL</td>
<td>g/m²</td>
<td>1984, 1986-2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscans</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>g/m²</td>
<td>1984, 1986-2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td>CRU</td>
<td>g/m²</td>
<td>1987-2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinoderms</td>
<td>ECH</td>
<td>g/m²</td>
<td>1984, 1986-2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoplankton chlorophyll a, spring</td>
<td>Chla_spr</td>
<td>g/l</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoplankton chlorophyll a, summer</td>
<td>Chla_sum</td>
<td>g/l</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprat (<em>Sprattus sprattus</em>)</td>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse mackerel (<em>Trachurus trachurus</em>)</td>
<td>HMK</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiting (<em>Merlangius merlangus</em>)</td>
<td>WHI</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dab (<em>Limanda limanda</em>)</td>
<td>DAB</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eel pout (<em>Zoarces viviparous</em>)</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaice (<em>Pleuronectes platessa</em>)</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herring (<em>Clupea harengus</em>)</td>
<td>HER</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flounder (<em>Platichthys flesus</em>)</td>
<td>FLO</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smelt (<em>Osmerus eperlanus</em>)</td>
<td>SML</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black goby (<em>Gobius niger</em>)</td>
<td>BGO</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipefish (<em>Syngnathus typhle</em>)</td>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticklebacks (<em>Gasterosteus aculeatus aculeatus</em>)</td>
<td>STI</td>
<td>t/0.5h</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropogenic (fisheries)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mussel yield, landings</td>
<td>Y_mus</td>
<td>ton</td>
<td>1988-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decapods yield, landings</td>
<td>Y_cru</td>
<td>ton</td>
<td>1988-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthic fish yield, landings</td>
<td>Y_ben</td>
<td>ton</td>
<td>1988-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelagic fish yield, landings</td>
<td>Y_pel</td>
<td>ton</td>
<td>1988-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropogenic (abiotic)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved inorganic nitrogen, summer</td>
<td>DIN_sum</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved inorganic nitrogen, winter</td>
<td>DIN_win</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved inorganic phosphorus, summer</td>
<td>DIP_sum</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved inorganic phosphorus, winter</td>
<td>DIN_win</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen loadings, spring</td>
<td>N_lo_spr</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen loadings, summer</td>
<td>N_lo_sum</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen loadings, winter</td>
<td>N_lo_win</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus loadings, spring</td>
<td>P_lo_spr</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1988-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen total, winter</td>
<td>Ntot_win</td>
<td>µg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Climate (abiotic)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom oxygen, annual average</td>
<td>O₂b_ann</td>
<td>mg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom oxygen, summer</td>
<td>O₂b_sum</td>
<td>mg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water column oxygen, annual average</td>
<td>O₂wc_ann</td>
<td>mg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water column oxygen, summer</td>
<td>O₂wc_sum</td>
<td>mg l⁻¹</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoplankton primary production, spring</td>
<td>PP_spr</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² day⁻¹</td>
<td>1984-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoplankton primary production, summer</td>
<td>PP_sum</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² day⁻¹</td>
<td>1984-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity, annual average</td>
<td>Sal_ann</td>
<td>unit-less</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility, annual</td>
<td>Vis_ann</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface temperature, summer</td>
<td>T_sum</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface temperature, winter</td>
<td>T_win</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface temperature, spring</td>
<td>T_spr</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind, annual</td>
<td>Wind_ann</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>1984-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sets</td>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>RSI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all variables</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotic</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climatic</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climatic (1984-2007)</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronological cluster</td>
<td>shift time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euclidian dist.</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all variables</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotic</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climatic</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climatic*</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>North Sea***</th>
<th>Limfjord</th>
<th>Kattegat**</th>
<th>The Sund*</th>
<th>CBS*</th>
<th>GoR*</th>
<th>GoF*</th>
<th>BoS*</th>
<th>BoB*</th>
<th>Kvädfjärden*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shift 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1984/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1982/83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002/03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* ICES 2010</td>
<td>** ICES 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td>*** Kenny et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>