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With a growing number of cell-based biotechnological applications, there is a need for particle separation systems capable of multiparameter separations at high purity and throughput, beyond what is presently offered by traditional methods including fluorescence activated cell sorting and column-based magnetic separation. Toward this aim, we report on the integration of microfluidic acoustic and magnetic separation in a monolithic device for multiparameter particle separation. Using our device, we demonstrate high-purity separation of a multicomponent particle mixture at a throughput of up to $10^8$ particles/hr. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3275577]

As cell-based biotechnological applications continue to increase in prominence, there is an urgent demand for cell sorting approaches that offer consistently high purity, recovery, and throughput. Fluorescence activated cell sorting allows multiparameter separation but is limited by low throughput potential damage to cells and cost. In contrast, column-based magnetic separation enables higher throughput but only allows for single-parameter separation. Continuous flow separation approaches based on microfluidics technology present a compelling alternative; microfabrication techniques offer precise control and integration of multiple separation forces in a noninteracting manner, thereby enabling high-performance, multiparameter cell sorting in disposable devices.

We report here on the integration of acoustic and magnetic separation forces in a monolithic device, demonstrating high-purity, multiparameter particle separation in a continuous flow manner. This pairing of forces is especially useful because it combines label-free separation (acoustophoresis) with molecular label-based separation (magnetophoresis). In addition, neither separation force is significantly affected by pH, salinity, temperature, and other characteristics of the suspension medium, such that the method can be used for a wide range of cell types and samples.

The integrated acoustic-magnetic separation (IAMS) device has two inputs and three outputs, and integrates the acoustic and magnetic separation in a serial manner. A sample containing a mixture of acoustic target, magnetic target, and nontarget particles is injected into the sample inlet and maintained close to the channel wall by a parallel buffer stream (Fig. 1). All particles then pass through an acoustic separation region, where the nontarget particles are separated from the sample via acoustophoresis and elute into the waste outlet (Fig. 1, inset left). Acoustic and magnetic target particles are subsequently separated by a series of microfabricated ferromagnetic structures, which selectively deflect the magnetic target particles into a different flow-stream (Fig. 1, inset right). In this way, particles are separated to elute through three separate outlets. The IAMS device (Fig. 2) is fabricated using standard microfabrication techniques. The device is placed over an inverted microscope and monitored during separation. Outlet fractions are independently collected and the purities are analyzed via flow cytometry [Fig. 2(b)].

Quantitatively, the acoustic primary radiation force exerted on a particle surrounded by fluid in our channel geometry is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ac}} = \frac{\rho v^2}{c_0} \left[ \frac{5\alpha - 2}{2\alpha + 1} - \frac{1}{\alpha \beta^2} \right] \sin(2kx) \mathbf{e}_y,$$

where $\langle E \rangle$ is the time averaged acoustic energy density, $\omega$ the angular frequency, $V$ the particle volume, $k$ the wavenumber, $\alpha = \rho_p / \rho_f$ and $\beta = c_p / c_w$ where $P_{\text{p}(\omega)}$ is the particle (fluid) density and $c_{\text{p}(\omega)}$ the speed of sound in the particle (fluid).

FIG. 1. (Color online) Overview of the IAMS device separation principle. The target and nontarget particles are introduced at the sample inlet alongside a buffer stream. Next, both acoustic and magnetic target particles are acoustically separated. The target particles are then magnetically separated by a series of microfabricated ferromagnetic structures. As a result, the acoustic and magnetic targets are eluted through two independent outlets.
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FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Photograph of the assembled device, showing the acoustic and magnetic components and fluidic connections. (b) Cross-sectional schematic of the device, showing the relative locations of the magnets, micropatterned Ni and piezo, and the orientation of the acoustic field. (c) Schematic of the experimental setup. The sample containing acoustic target, magnetic target, and nontarget particles is loaded into inlet tubing and introduced alongside buffer solution into the IAMS device via dual programmable syringe pumps (PhD 2000, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA). The microfabricated ferromagnetic structures within the device are magnetized by three neodymium iron boron permanent magnets. Acoustic resonances are excited by a single piezotransducer that is attached onto the back of the chip and driven by a function generator (33120A, Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, CA) and custom-built amplifier based on an LT1210 op-amp (Linear Technology, Milpitas, CA). The separation process is observed via an inverted fluorescence microscope for monitoring during separation. The purities of the eluted fractions are analyzed via flow cytometry (FACSAria, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

acoustic separation region of the device (width 750 μm), two acoustic nodes exist at positions y=187.5 μm (node 1) and y=562.5 μm (node 2) at the operation frequency of 2.00 MHz. Thus, all particles are attracted toward node 1, which is nearer to the sample inlet. Because inertial effects are negligible at the low Reynolds number (ReIAMS≈10) within our system, the acoustic radiation force (Fac) acting on a particle is always counterbalanced by the Stokes hydrodynamic drag force Fac=6πηav, where η is the fluid viscosity and a is the particle radius. Thus, the instantaneous transverse particle velocity may be expressed as v∥(y)=(Fac)/6πηa. Using this relationship, we note large differences in the relative separation velocities between the target and nontarget particles, enabling efficient separation; the ratio of velocities between the acoustic target and nontarget was v∥,target/v∥,nontarget=12.5 to 25, and that between the magnetic target and nontarget ranged from v∥,target/v∥,nontarget=17.5 to 35, allowing for effective separation.

After nontarget particle depletion, the remaining particles are sorted in the magnetic separation region, where acoustic and magnetic targets get purified into independent outlets. The magnetic separation was performed as previously described by Adams et al.,13 Inglis et al.,14 and Smisstrup et al.17 Briefly, a set of three external NeFeB permanent magnets are used to create long-range magnetic field gradients that serve to attract all magnetic particles toward the bottom plane of the IAMS device, where large, short-range magnetic gradients are generated by microfabricated ferromagnetic structures.13,17 We estimate the maximum time for the long-range force to move the particles to the bottom plane of the device as

\[ t_{\text{max}} = \frac{2h}{v_z} = \frac{6\pi \eta a h}{m \frac{\partial B}{\partial z} + Vg(\rho_p - \rho_s)} \]

where h is the channel height and B is the magnetic field. In our device, using calculated values of the long-range magnetic field [Fig. 3(a), we estimate tmax to be of order 40 ms, which is significantly shorter than the average particle residence time in the separation channel (t≡400 ms). This ensures that all magnetic particles reach the device bottom and are subsequently subject to short-range magnetic field gradients of order 10 T/m within 5 μm of the ferromagnetic structures [Fig. 3(b)]. As described previously,13 separation of magnetic targets from acoustic targets is achieved by balancing the magnetic force Fmag and the hydrodynamic drag force Fhyd. Due to the fact that the Ni lines are oriented at an angle of 5° to the fluid flow, magnetic particles are selectively deflected and directed into the magnetic outlet if Fmag>1.0 T/m within 5 μm of the magnetic structures. Figure 3(b) shows the behavior of the magnetic and acoustic forces as a function of position within the channel, illustrating the separation process. The attractive magnetic force dominates in the device bottom, whereas the magnetic targets experience no magnetic force, and thus pass undeflected to the acoustic outlet.

Multiple design features of the IAMS device help enable high purity multitarget separation. First, the Ni patterns are not fully extended toward the separation channel edge (i.e., y=0) but begin at position y=157.5 μm. This ensures that the magnetically labeled targets are subject to both acoustic and magnetic forces, and must respond to both in order to reach the magnetic outlet. Second, to reduce the acoustic radiation force during magnetic separation, we have disrupted the acoustic resonance in the magnetic separation area by locally varying the width of the microchannel.18 The separation process is observed via an inverted fluorescence microscope for monitoring during separation. The purities of the eluted fractions are analyzed via flow cytometry (FACSAria, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).
Scientific fluorescent polystyrene particles as nontargets labeled with SA-phycoerythrin (4/H₂₀⁸₄₉) and acoustic targets (5/H₂₀⁸₅₁) were introduced into the device at throughputs of 0.5 ml/hr and 20 ml/hr, respectively. The piezo was driven at 2.00 MHz and 26 Vpp. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the IAMS device achieved very high-purity separation; output at the magnetic outlet consisted of 94.8% magnetic target, 0.2% acoustic target, and 5.0% nontarget. The fraction at the acoustic outlet contained 89.2% acoustic target and 2.4% magnetic target at 8.4% nontarget. 91% of all target particles were separated from the waste stream, demonstrating that particles entering the magnetic outlet must respond to a combination of both separation forces.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the integration of acoustic and magnetic separation in a monolithic device. Microfabrication of channels and ferromagnetic structures allowed precise control of acoustic resonances, fluidic drag, and magnetic forces, enabling high-purity particle separation at high throughput (=10⁸ particles/hr). We believe that our integrated architecture could be suitable for a wide range of biological applications. Magnetic deflection has been previously applied toward targets ranging in size from bacteria to mammalian cells, via labeling with either magnetic nano or microparticles. Furthermore, because \( F_{ac} \approx V/λ \), for micromscale systems, particle sizes ranging from one up to tens of micrometers generate sufficient acoustic force to be separable. To achieve acoustic separation of smaller bacteria and viruses, particles displaying specific surface markers could be used as labeling agents. Finally, in order to ensure that neither separation force dominates, proper adjustment of the relative magnitudes of each could be accomplished through changes to the piezo driving voltage and the external magnetic field strength.
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