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A simple and high-sensitivity differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) unit operating under magnetic field has been built for indirect determination of the magnetocaloric effect. The principle of the measuring unit in the calorimeter is based on Peltier elements as heat flow sensors. The high sensitivity of the apparatus combined with a suitable calibration procedure allows very fast and accurate heat capacity measurements under magnetic field to be made. The device was validated from heat capacity measurements for the typical DSC reference material gallium (Ga) and a La0.67Ca0.33MnO3 manganite system and the results were highly consistent with previous reported data for these materials. The DSC has a working range from 200 to 340 K and has been tested in magnetic fields reaching 1.8 T. The signal-to-noise ratio is in the range of 10²–10³ for the described experiments. Finally, the results have been compared to results from a Quantum Design® physical properties measuring system. The configuration of the system also has the advantage of being able to operate with other types of magnets, e.g., permanent magnets or superconducting coils, as well as the ability to be expanded to a wider temperature range. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2957611]

I. INTRODUCTION

The heat capacity of a material as a function of temperature is important for the determination of the thermodynamic properties of a material. The use of calorimetry to investigate the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) is an important tool in the development and testing of new materials, e.g., magnetic refrigeration. Magnetic refrigeration is an emerging technology that has the potential for high energy efficiency. Recent research and development of magnetic refrigerants have demonstrated large magnetocaloric effects in the vicinity of room temperature in several materials and the need for fast and reliable characterization techniques of the MCE is increasing. As pointed out by Pecharsky and Gschneidner, calorimetry provides a method to minimize the systematic errors in the determination of the isothermal magnetic entropy change from heat capacity data as a complement to magnetization data.

Experimentally, the heat capacity is measured by calorimetry. Although a variety of commercially available instruments are used for this purpose, the ability to conduct thermal measurements as a function of applied magnetic field is still quite rare. Apart from the obvious advantage of minimizing systematic errors by using heat capacity measurements, the calorimetric approach also provides a fast way to obtain information on magnetocaloric effects as well as structural changes and charge ordering.

Different methods to determine the MCE have been suggested in literature. (1) Direct measurement. Measurements of the adiabatic temperature change, Δₜₘ, by moving the sample in and out of a magnetic field region and recording the changes in the temperature. (2) Indirect measurement. Magnetization method: by determining the magnetization curves at various temperatures, the magnetic contribution to the entropy change, ΔSₘ, can be extracted. (3) Indirect measurement. Calorimetric method: by measuring specific heat capacity as a function of temperature at various magnetic field strengths.

The second method is the most commonly used, while methods 1 and 3 require specialized equipment. Clearly, the determination of the thermodynamic properties of materials, which exhibit a MCE, requires a reliable and accurate determination of the heat capacity of a sample under magnetized conditions. By knowing the heat capacity, C, as a function of temperature, T, and as a function of applied magnetic field, H, it is possible to calculate the isothermal magnetic entropy change ΔSₘ:

\[
\Delta S_M(T) = S(T)_{H=F} - S(T)_{H=I},
\]

\[
S(T)_{H=F} = \int_0^T \frac{C(T)_{H=F}}{T} dT + S_0 H_{I=F},
\]

where the subscripts I and F denote initial and final states. S₀ is the zero temperature entropy normally assumed to be zero.

In this paper, we present a simple and very accurate differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) for the determination of the specific heat of samples under magnetic field.
II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Construction of the calorimeter

When designing the calorimeter, a large effort was devoted to optimization according to these criteria:

1. accurate heat capacity measurements under magnetic field,
2. determination of the MCE must be optimized around room temperature, and
3. the measurement should be performed in near-adiabatic conditions.

A description of the calorimeter is schematically depicted in Fig. 1. The calorimetric block is a solid copper cylinder of high thermal conductivity. This configuration allows for the use of any standard laboratory electromagnet, a superconducting coil magnet, or, alternatively, a permanent magnet. The temperature is measured to within $\pm 0.1$ K by means of a platinum resistance thermometer placed in the DSC cavity. The Peltier element acts like 16 thermocouples placed thermally in parallel and electrically in series, which puts the calorimeter into the family of Calvet calorimeters. This idea to use Peltier elements as heat flow sensors was proposed by Plackowski et al., and has the advantage of obtaining highly reproducible data with high sensitivity in a very inexpensive manner. As opposed to Plackowski et al., and other types of insets to superconducting magnets, this setup was designed to be more flexible in the adaption into the applied magnetic field. By keeping the measuring unit as small as 20 mm in diameter and the cold finger reaching 110 mm, this setup can be adapted into various sorts of magnets including electromagnets, which have a much lower running cost than the superconducting magnets. Furthermore the temperature control was optimized to have maximum stability in the room-temperature range contrary to temperature controls heating from liquid nitrogen or helium temperatures. The cavity is surrounded by a cover, which ensures thermal homogeneity and near-adiabatic conditions via thermal isolation from the environment. The design of the temperature control system was based on the ability to choose the temperature range by a secondary cooling liquid (see Fig. 1) in order to obtain maximum temperature stability during the measurements. The temperature, process control, and the data acquisition are controlled from a LABVIEW interface.

A detailed scheme of the cavity is shown in Fig. 2 and the basic design parameters are given in Table I. In order to minimize heat losses to the surroundings the calorimeter unit is placed in a vacuum chamber equipped with a gold radiation reflection shielding. The DSC signal in the cavity is acquired by two differentially connected heat flow sensors, which represent the sample ($S$) and the reference ($R$). In the

![FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of the DSC setup. In this setup the temperature of the sample (heating and cooling) is controlled by a Peltier element with an additional separate cooling unit. The DSC can be operated with and without magnetic field. The running parameters of the DSC are controlled from a computer. In order to ensure a complete isolation of the sample from the surroundings, the sample is also kept in vacuum using a turbopump system which is coupled to the DSC unit.](image1)

![FIG. 2. Schematic of the measuring unit. (a) The complete DSC unit and (b) a close-up of the sample holder. (a) The temperature is controlled by a water-cooled baseplate mounted with a Peltier element. The Peltier element is PID controlling the temperature of the cold finger by a LABVIEW® interface. The thermoelement for the PID control is placed distant to the measuring unit to level out temperature fluctuations caused by the PID control, and the sample temperature is then logged close by the sample ($s$) and reference ($r$) by another thermoelement. The two small Peltier elements marked as sample ($s$) and reference ($r$) are independently used as heat flow sensors for measuring of the sample and the reference to provide a differential signal.](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. Design parameters for the experimental setup.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Element</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Peltier elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature control Peltier element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold finger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoresistor element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grease for mounting of the sample</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
present setup the samples were mounted directly on the upper surface of the sample holder without the use of a pan, therefore, in order to ensure a good thermal contact thermal grease (Apiezon® H-grease) is used. The thermal grease was investigated for a possible phase transition well below the operating temperature range of the DSC, and no visible indication of a phase transition was found in this range. This observation combined with the fact that a very small amount of grease (~0.1 mg) was used allowed us to treat the grease as part of the background. The heat capacity of the grease is subtracted from the sample signal by including the grease used to mount the sample, when measuring the empty sample holder in the addenda measurement. The cavity temperature is simultaneously recorded by a resistance temperature detector which is situated in the proximity of the sample/reference (Thermoelement) [Fig. 2(b)]. A signal from a second sensor is used as feedback signal for the temperature proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller [Fig. 2(a)].

Since the operation temperature range of this equipment was designed to be around room temperature, it was sufficient to control the temperature in the range of 200–340 K. The temperature range of this device can be expanded in the future to lower or/and higher temperatures.

B. Data acquisition

When heat passes through a Peltier element it generates a voltage drop $U_p$, which is proportional to the heat flow $Q_p$ passing through the specific element to the heat sink:

$$U_p = \frac{S}{k} Q_p,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $S$ is the Seebeck coefficient and $k$ is the thermal conductance of the Peltier element. At constant external conditions (temperature, pressure, and magnetic field) $S/k$ is constant and $U_p$ can therefore be used directly as a measure of the heat flow. The heat capacity $C_p$ is then derived by the classical three-step procedure\textsuperscript{12} from three successive temperature scans of the sample: (1) scan of the sample, (2) scan of the reference sample (for the calibration of the heat capacity we have used copper (99.999% Cu) as a reference material\textsuperscript{12,15}), and (3) scan of an empty sample holder for determining the background signal. As described above the background signal includes the amount of Apiezon H-grease used to mount the sample subsequently. During these scans the temperature is ramped at a constant rate. Keeping the experimental conditions identical, i.e., sample weight and heating/cooling rates, in all three scans the heat capacity can then be derived point by point for a range of temperatures\textsuperscript{2}

$$C_{p,\text{sample}}(T) = \frac{U_{\text{sample}}(T) - U_0(T)}{U_{\text{ref}}(T) - U_0(T)} \frac{m_{\text{ref}}}{m_{\text{sample}}} C_{p,\text{ref}}(T).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

![FIG. 3. Temperature calibration using the onset melting point (303.05 K) of gallium as reference material. Inserted figure shows the peak of the melting point magnified 20 times.](https://example.com/fig3.png)

C. Calibration

The temperature measured in the setup has been calibrated by using the onset melting point of gallium (303.05 K) (Ref. 14) with high purity (99.999%). The onset of the melting temperature used for the calibration is determined by the crossing point of the base level and slope of the peak during heating as shown in Fig. 3. The base level and the slope of the peak are determined by linear regression of the data below and above the melting peak and the linear range of the melting peak between 303.5 and 306.5 K, respectively. The temperature calibration is only valid for a specific heating rate, and in our experiments, we kept the heating rate constant at 1 K/min and the sample and reference of comparable sizes.

Besides the temperature calibration Fig. 3 demonstrates the excellent reproducibility of the measurements. In this case an endothermic process within the sample is recorded and illustrates the melting behavior of the material. Changes in ramping rate, heat loss, and thermal conductance would show up in the position of the melting peak. The very good reproducibility is illustrated by the inset, where three successive runs are shown. Furthermore, the melting enthalpy of gallium has been calculated and compared to values from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The enthalpy of melting given by the NIST database\textsuperscript{15} is 80.097 J/g in a 99.9999% pure Ga standard sample, whereas we calculated the enthalpy from our heat capacity data to be 80.8 ± 0.2 J/g in a 99.999% pure Ga sample, which implies a deviation of less than 1% including deviations due to differences in purity.

III. RESULTS

Since this setup was built for the purpose of characterizing the heat capacity of magnetocaloric materials as function of temperature and applied magnetic field, the sensitivity of the DSC needs to be tested on a much weaker signal e.g., from a magnetic phase transition. The magnetic phase transition from the ferromagnetic (FM) to paramagnetic (PM)
state in the La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ system represents a signal, which is more than 50 times weaker than the melting of gallium. La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ (LCMO) was chosen since it is considered to be one of the possible candidate refrigerants in the field of room-temperature magnetic refrigeration and has a transition just around 267 K (Ref. 16) depending on the exact way of synthesis and heat treatment.

Figure 4 shows the results from ten successive runs on a 15.1 mg LCMO sample and heating/cooling rate of 1 K/min. The signal due to the FM to PM transformation is measured to be only around 0.3 mV, whereas the signal from the melting of gallium was of the order 20 mV, i.e., the FM to PM signal is nearly two orders of magnitude smaller. The reproducibility of the signal and an excellent signal-to-noise ratio is, however, upheld, which demonstrates very well the capabilities of this measuring technique and the setup. The characteristic “lambda shape” of the phase transition is also clearly recognized.

The signal-to-noise ratio of the new DSC developed in our laboratory can be estimated by defining a noise band covering all measured data values in a linear part of the data set. This noise band includes the electrical noise of the measurement as well as the main contribution to the noise, namely, fluctuations in the signal derived from thermal fluctuation during the heating/cooling experiment. The width of the noise band can then be evaluated with the signal strength in a given phase transition investigated at a given heating rate. The width of the noise band has been estimated to be maximum 2.5 μV over a 7 K range during heating at 1 K/min. With signal strength similar to the magnetic phase transition peak shown in Fig. 4 peaking around 0.3 mV, this will lead to a signal-to-noise ratio above 100/1. The much stronger signal from the melting of Ga shown in Fig. 3 is exceeding 20 mV at the peak, leading to a signal-to-noise ratio of more than 800/1 in that experiment. A zero-field heat capacity measurement of the same sample (Fig. 4 inset) measured in a commercial DSC setup (Seiko 120-DSC) led to a signal-to-noise ratio of less than 10/1 for the same sample and experiment conditions.

Sample sizes between 10 and 100 mg are typically used in conventional DSC measurements depending on the exact material and experiment. As demonstrated when comparing the results in Fig. 4 and the inset in Fig. 4, the relative low weight when considering conventional DSC measurements of the 15.1 mg LCMO sample results in a very high signal-to-noise ratio in the setup described in this work, whereas to obtain equally good results in the commercial DSC it would be necessary to increase the sample size significantly or to change the heating rate.

On the results presented in Fig. 4 it furthermore becomes evident that even smaller samples would still give a satisfactory signal-to-noise ratios when measuring on FM to PM transitions.

The reproducibility and an excellent signal-to-noise ratio is hereby validated, confirming the results from the Ga runs (Fig. 3). Further, the enthalpy corresponding to the magnetic phase transition for the LCMO sample is more than 50 times smaller than that of the melting enthalpy of Ga, and the reproducibility of the LCMO transition is therefore an important figure of merit for the performance of the new calorimeter.

The calorimeter has also been tested under applied magnetic fields. Figure 5 shows the influence of an applied magnetic field on the magnetic phase transition for the LCMO sample. As expected, the applied magnetic field changes the Curie temperature ($T_C$) to higher temperatures and tends to broaden the shape of the phase transition. These results correspond well with the results obtained by direct measurements previously reported. The absolute comparison of our results and the results reported in the literature for the same material composition are not possible since the properties of these materials are very sensitive to the preparation procedure, e.g., heat treatment.

For a direct comparison with alternative measuring techniques the same ceramic material was measured in a Quantum Design® physical properties measuring system (PPMS). The results are shown in Fig. 6 and demonstrate an excellent agreement between the two techniques. The PPMS uses a relaxation technique and requires up to 40 min per measuring.
point, whereas scans with the Risø DSC is normally carried out with a scan rate of 1 K/min and 2 Hz data logging frequency. This difference is also easily recognized in Fig. 6 where the data set from the Risø DSC shows much higher density of the data.

IV. CONCLUSION

A novel DSC was constructed for the purpose of characterizing magnetocaloric materials in the near room-temperature range (200–340 K). A significant improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio has been observed when compared to a conventional zero magnetic field DSC. Test results on pure Ga and La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ have been presented to demonstrate the performance of the setup. Test runs as a function of applied magnetic field demonstrate the ability of this device to operate correctly under applied magnetic fields up to 1.8 T. The results from the DSC are in good agreement with results obtained in a commercial PPMS system from Quantum Design®.
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