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Resonant scattering by gold nanostrip antennas due to constructive interference of counterpropagating slow surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) is analyzed, including the quasistatic limit of ultrasmall antennas, and experimentally demonstrated. The phase of slow SPP reflection by strip ends is found from scattering spectra revealing the influence of non-SPP field components. Experimental scattering spectra of gold 17- and 23-nm-thin strips of 100–500 nm widths placed on quartz and covered with index-matching oil are in excellent agreement with theoretical predictions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Resonant interactions in metal nanostructures are widely exploited to strongly localize and enhance electromagnetic fields. Optical resonances of nanometer-thin metal wires of finite length (rods) have recently attracted considerable attention1,2,4,5 allowing one to efficiently control field enhancement effects via the structure geometry. Furthermore, nanorods can be thought of as optical analog to antennas in, e.g., the microwave regime6–10 with the difference that optical “half-wavelength”9 or “quarter-wavelength”10 antennas can be considerably shorter than a half or quarter of the free-space wavelength due to the excitation of surface charge waves.9 Related quasi-two-dimensional resonator structures are metal nanostrips,11 and gap-resonator structures.12 These resonant configurations have in common a very recent interpretation of the resonances as an effect of constructive interference between counterpropagating slow surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) being bound to and propagating along either nanometer-thin metal wires9 and strips11 or in nanometer-thin gaps between metal surfaces.12 This interpretation does account for the aforementioned decrease in the antenna and/or resonator length and relates the latter to the slow SPP wavelength. Similar to a microwave half-wavelength antenna, a few examples of the optical analog based on a thin rod matched a half of an effective SPP wavelength.9 This is not a general trend, however, as we found examples of the half-wavelength metal nanostrip resonator having the length of only one-third of the slow SPP wavelength.11 It is apparently necessary (and very important) in the resonator model to accurately take into account a phase shift acquired by the SPP upon reflection at rod, strip, or gap terminations.

Calculating the phase shift is not trivial and, while the reflection phase has been calculated in the case of ordinary and gap SPPs,13,14 no estimate of the phase exists for the reflection of slow SPPs at thin metal film terminations. In this work, the latter phase shift is determined, albeit indirectly, from scattering spectra calculated for gold nanostrips. The calculations are validated by showing excellent agreement with experimental spectra obtained with gold nanostrips in the course of carefully conducted measurements that also serve as an experimental demonstration of gold nanostrip antennas and/or resonators.

II. ESTIMATE OF SLOW SURFACE PLASMON POLARITON REFLECTION PHASE

It has been argued11 that resonance wavelengths λ of metal strip resonators of thickness t and width w (see inset Fig. 1) are determined approximately by the following condition:

\[ w \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} n_{slow} = m \pi - \phi, \]  

where \( n_{slow} \) is the real part of the mode index of slow SPPs bound to and propagating along a metal film with the same thickness (t) as the strip, \( m=1,2,3,\ldots \) is the order of the resonance, and \( \phi \) is the phase of the reflection coefficient (mod \( \pi \)) for (slow) SPP reflection at strip terminations. This model was verified without considering \( \phi \) directly but by showing instead that increasing \( w \) by \( \lambda/2n_{slow} \) resulted in a strip exhibiting the resonance at a similar wavelength \( \lambda \).11 However, the above condition cannot be used to predict the

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Scattering cross section vs wavelength for a plane p-polarized wave incident on a gold nano-strip of thickness t and width w embedded in glass. For w=67–155 and 479 nm, and the quasistatic (QS) case, the incident wave propagates along the y axis. For w=217 and 306 nm, the incident wave propagates at 45° relative to the x axis.](image-url)
parameters \( w \) and \( t \) ensuring the resonance at a given \( \lambda \), unless the phase \( \phi \) is known. Here, we quantify the phase \( \phi \) that satisfies the resonance condition [Eq. (1)] for various structure parameters.

Let us consider scattering spectra (Fig. 1) that were obtained using a Green function surface integral equation method for the magnetic field\(^{15} \) and a \( p \)-polarized plane electromagnetic wave of wavelength \( \lambda \) (propagating in the \( xy \) plane) being incident on a gold strip. The strip corners were rounded by 2 nm (0.2 in the quasistatic case). The resonators with \( w \) in the range 67–155 nm are first order resonances, those with \( w=217 \) and 306 nm are second order resonances, and the one with \( w=479 \) nm is a third order resonance. We found that, in the limit of ultrathin structures \(( t \leq 2 \) nm), the scattering spectra except for a factor \( w^2 \) depend only on the aspect \(( t \text{-to-} w) \) ratio, becoming thereby similar to those of electrostatic (shape) resonances. The presented quasistatic case of \( w=8t \) is an \( m=1 \) resonance. From \( t \) and \( \lambda \), and the dielectric constants of the metal \( \varepsilon_m \) (Ref. 16) and the surrounding dielectric \( \varepsilon_d \) (here \( \varepsilon_d=1.452^2 \)), we can calculate the mode index \( n_{\text{slow}} \) either from the exact dispersion relation (see, e.g., Ref. 17) or simply by using an approximate formula for thin strips being an excellent approximation for \( t \leq 200 \) nm.\(^{18} \) In the quasistatic limit of very small \( t \), we find\(^{18} \)

\[
k_{\text{slow}} \frac{\pi}{\lambda} (n_{\text{slow}} - \imath n'^{\text{slow}}) = -\frac{2\varepsilon_d}{\varepsilon_m'^{\text{slow}}},
\]

where the dependence on \( \lambda \) enters only via the material dispersion (in \( \varepsilon_m \) and \( \varepsilon_d \)). Consequently, the resonance condition [Eq. (1)] in the ultrathin limit [Eq. (2)] becomes indeed scale invariant, as is expected in the quasistatic case. We also found that increasing \( w=8t \) by \( 2\lambda/2n_{\text{slow}} \) estimated using Eq. (2) results again in a scattering resonance at approximately \( \lambda=700 \) nm. The factor of 2 is necessary as \( m=2,4,\ldots \) resonances do not radiate efficiently in this limit due to the field symmetry. The above considerations show that the concept of counterpropagating slow SPPs, i.e., retardation-based resonances, also applies to the quasistatic limit of ultrasmall resonators.

We can now extract \( \phi \) by inserting \( w \), \( \lambda \) (at resonance), and \( n_{\text{slow}} \) in Eq. (1). We have estimated \( \phi \) for the peak scattering wavelengths 600, 700, and 800 nm versus \( t \) (by adjusting \( w \)) for gold strips surrounded by air (Fig. 2) and glass (Fig. 3). Figures 2 and 3 were obtained using \( n_{\text{slow}} \) obtained from the exact dispersion relation.\(^{15} \) Using the approximate formula\(^{18} \) instead shifts the curves by no more than 0.025 rad.

Note that none of the first order resonators considered in Figs. 2 and 3 are close in length to a half of the slow SPP wavelength which requires \( \phi=0(\pi) \). However, the phase decreases with increasing wavelength, which is not surprising as we know that \( \phi=0(\pi) \) for much longer wavelengths as, e.g., microwaves. The phase is also sensitive to the strip thickness, and by comparing Figs. 2 and 3, one notices the influence of the surrounding medium (glass or air) as well. Overall, we may conclude that the phase depends in a complicated way on \( \lambda \), \( t \), and \( \varepsilon_d \).

FIG. 2. (Color online) Reflection phase versus strip thickness \( t \) according to Eq. (1) for a gold nanostrip surrounded by air for various resonance orders and the wavelengths 600, 700, and 800 nm.

Surprisingly enough, at least from the first glance, the phases obtained using the first to third order resonances deviate from one another. This is related to the circumstance that not only the slow SPP fields are incident at strip terminations but also near field and other (non-SPP) propagating field components generated at one strip termination influence the total field at the other termination, which especially for small strip widths \( w \) (first order resonators) influence the reflection of slow SPPs. At least for thicker strips \(( \geq 20 \) nm) the result for the first order appears shifted toward smaller values compared to second and third orders, where the results for the latter two orders agree reasonably well. This is consistent with the recent theory on interference resonances between slits,\(^{19,20} \) where the excitation of a surface plasmon polariton is also accompanied by a near field contribution at the site of excitation. We should mention that the angle of incidence can also affect the resulting phase of reflection because the incident field excites the (counterpropagating) SPPs at both strip ends. Turning this issue around, one can reason that, in the general, the “true” reflection phase
can be determined only for semi-infinite strips not illuminated from the outside, so that the only incident wave (on the strip termination) is a slow SPP mode.

For the case of gold and glass (Fig. 3), we see a phase deviation of approximately 0.25 between first and second order resonances for the wavelength 700 nm (just about the worst case), which should be compared to the range of $\pi$. The deviation is significant but not to an extent that renders Eq. (1) invalid as an approximate model of the physics of the resonances. The phase uncertainty of 0.25 transforms into an wavelength uncertainty can be several times larger than the width uncertainty.

III. EXPERIMENTS AND THEORY OF NANOSTRIP ANTENNA SCATTERING

We have conducted careful investigations to experimentally demonstrate scattering resonances of strip resonators and validate the theoretical model. Several $100 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$ arrays of gold strips, each containing 5-$\mu m$-long strips of one fixed width $w$ and positioned at 1 $\mu m$ separation along and 10 $\mu m$ across the strips, were fabricated on quartz substrates by electron beam lithography and investigated using reflection spectroscopy. Our experimental setup for reflection spectroscopy [Fig. 4(a)] is based on a conventional optical microscope equipped with a Mitutoyo infinity-corrected long working distance objective (50X, numerical aperture 0.55) and a possibility to access the light reflected from the sample with a fiber spectrometer recording between 540 and 1150 nm. The illumination is a standard 50 W halogen bulb producing sufficient light up to $\sim 850$ nm, and a polarizer is inserted both before the sample and in front of the spectrometer entrance to fully control the polarization. The sample is covered with oil matching the refractive index (1.452) of the quartz substrate and positioned on top of a 1-cm-high glass cube, ensuring that only the reflected part of the light focused onto the gold strips and a small defocused part reflected from the top oil-air interface are collected by the spectrometer. For each spectrum measured from the strips we immediately record individual references at positions $\sim 250 \ \mu m$ away from the concerned gold strip array in order to minimize the influence of any fluctuations in radiation spectra from the lamp. The gold strip arrays were fabricated for several strip widths from 100 to 500 nm at 50 nm increments, two different gold thicknesses (17 and 23 nm), and each array being separated from the other by at least 500 $\mu m$. Both scanning electron microscopy and dark-field images from the sample show well defined and reproducible gold strip arrays [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]. Using the experimental data to compute the ratio $[R_{strip} - R_{ref}] / R_{ref}$, where $R_{strip}$ is the reflection measured from the strip array and $R_{ref}$ is the corresponding reference, the experimental reflection spectra can be directly compared with theoretically estimated scattering cross sections for the same gold strip parameters (Figs. 5 and 6). It should be noted that the experimental spectra shown in Figs. 5 and 6 were obtained with $p$-polarized illumination (electric field across the strip) and that the spectra obtained with $s$-polarized illumination were essentially flat (as expected).

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Schematic of the experimental setup for reflection spectroscopy along with exemplary (b) SEM and (c) dark-field images from an area with 500-nm-wide and 23-nm-thick gold strip resonators on a quartz substrate.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Measured and calculated scattering spectra for 17-nm-thin gold strips of different widths $w$ placed on quartz and covered by index-matching oil.
order resonance is seen in both theory and experiment for wavelengths around 560 nm in Fig. 6 and wavelengths around 600 nm in Fig. 5. In the case of Fig. 6, the shoulder is apparently much more pronounced in the experiment than in the calculation. This is not the case in Fig. 5 though and the much more pronounced shoulder (Fig. 6) is probably a feature related to the specific sample.

Notice that the rather good agreement of theory and experiments is found even though the calculations neglect any variation of fields and structure along the $z$ axis, which is a very good approximation since $n_{\text{slow}}$ [Eq. (1)] is only marginally affected by a confinement over 5 $\mu$m (the strip length) along the $z$ axis.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have studied a model of nanometer-thin submicron-wide gold nanostrip resonators, where resonances are described as counterpropagating slow surface plasmon polaritons forming standing-wave resonances. We found that the picture of retardation-based resonances works even in the quasistatic limit of ultrasmall resonators. The model involves a reflection phase as slow SPPs are reflected at strip terminations. This phase was quantified via scattering calculations for strip resonators and was found to depend in a complicated way on the wavelength, the strip thickness, and the surrounding medium (glass or air). The estimated phase was found to depend on the resonance order. This nontrivial fact indicates apparently that slow SPP reflection at one strip termination is influenced by the presence of near field and non-SPP propagating field components both incident and originating from the other termination. Finally, gold nanostrip resonators were experimentally demonstrated via reflection spectroscopy of gold strips of thicknesses 17 and 23 nm surrounded by glass and index-matching oil, showing excellent agreement with the theoretical predictions. We believe that the considered physical phenomena are of general nature and thereby of crucial importance for other optical antenna and/or resonator configurations, such as thin metal wires and gap structures.
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FIG. 6. (Color online) Same as Fig. 5 but for gold strips of thickness 23 nm.

The nanostris are placed in the focal plane of the illumination where the wave front is flat. The beam waist is furthermore much larger than a single strip (the whole array of strips is illuminated). Note that except for a scaling factor, the scattering calculations considering scattered light in all directions (Figs. 5 and 6) were similar to calculations including only scattered light in the limited angular range that the setup can collect.

We notice that for the same strip width, the wavelength of spectral peaks are clearly different for the two strip thicknesses 17 and 23 nm. The reflection phase is not much different for these two thicknesses (Fig. 3) but $n_{\text{slow}}$ is smaller for the thicker strips, which results in smaller resonance wavelengths [Eq. (1)]. Also, in agreement with Eq. (1), the peaks shift to shorter wavelengths as the strip width decreases. The primary peak seen for widths in the range 200–500 nm is a third order resonance. The peaks seen for the widths 100 and 150 nm are first order resonances. No second order resonances are excited, neither in theory nor experiment, due to the symmetry mismatch of incident and resonant fields. They can be excited with the illumination at other angles of incidence. Also note that, e.g., for the waveguide width 500 nm, a shoulder being a signature of a fifth order resonance is seen in both theory and experiment for wavelengths around 560 nm in Fig. 6 and wavelengths around 600 nm in Fig. 5. In the case of Fig. 6, the shoulder is apparently much more pronounced in the experiment than in the calculation. This is not the case in Fig. 5 though and the much more pronounced shoulder (Fig. 6) is probably a feature related to the specific sample.

Notice that the rather good agreement of theory and experiments is found even though the calculations neglect any variation of fields and structure along the $z$ axis, which is a very good approximation since $n_{\text{slow}}$ [Eq. (1)] is only marginally affected by a confinement over 5 $\mu$m (the strip length) along the $z$ axis.
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FIG. 6. (Color online) Same as Fig. 5 but for gold strips of thickness 23 nm.

The nanostris are placed in the focal plane of the illumination where the wave front is flat. The beam waist is furthermore much larger than a single strip (the whole array of strips is illuminated). Note that except for a scaling factor, the scattering calculations considering scattered light in all directions (Figs. 5 and 6) were similar to calculations including only scattered light in the limited angular range that the setup can collect.

We notice that for the same strip width, the wavelength of spectral peaks are clearly different for the two strip thicknesses 17 and 23 nm. The reflection phase is not much different for these two thicknesses (Fig. 3) but $n_{\text{slow}}$ is smaller for the thicker strips, which results in smaller resonance wavelengths [Eq. (1)]. Also, in agreement with Eq. (1), the peaks shift to shorter wavelengths as the strip width decreases. The primary peak seen for widths in the range 200–500 nm is a third order resonance. The peaks seen for the widths 100 and 150 nm are first order resonances. No second order resonances are excited, neither in theory nor experiment, due to the symmetry mismatch of incident and resonant fields. They can be excited with the illumination at other angles of incidence. Also note that, e.g., for the waveguide width 500 nm, a shoulder being a signature of a fifth order resonance is seen in both theory and experiment for wavelengths around 560 nm in Fig. 6 and wavelengths around 600 nm in Fig. 5. In the case of Fig. 6, the shoulder is apparently much more pronounced in the experiment than in the calculation. This is not the case in Fig. 5 though and the much more pronounced shoulder (Fig. 6) is probably a feature related to the specific sample.

Notice that the rather good agreement of theory and experiments is found even though the calculations neglect any variation of fields and structure along the $z$ axis, which is a very good approximation since $n_{\text{slow}}$ [Eq. (1)] is only marginally affected by a confinement over 5 $\mu$m (the strip length) along the $z$ axis.