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We witness experimentally the presence of macroscopic coherence in Gaussian quantum states using a recently proposed criterion [E. G. Cavalcanti and M. D. Reid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 170405 (2006)]. The macroscopic coherence stems from interference between macroscopically distinct states in phase space, and we prove experimentally that a coherent state contains these features with a distance in phase space of 0.51 ± 0.02 shot noise units. This is surprising because coherent states are generally considered being at the border between classical and quantum states, not yet displaying any nonclassical effect. For squeezed and entangled states the effect may be larger but depends critically on the state purity.

Quantum mechanics has led to many peculiar effects that were not easily conceivable with everyday perception. Famous examples are the concept of quantized energy [1], the double-slit experiment [2], and the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) gedanken experiment [3]. Another striking example was introduced by Schrödinger in 1935, when discussing quantum superpositions of macroscopically distinct states. In his famous gedanken experiment a cat could be in a state of being neither dead nor alive [4]. By assigning the two quantum states “dead” and “alive” by |Ψ⟩ and |Ψ⟩, the envisioned state of the cat is the coherent superposition |Ψ⟩ +|Ψ⟩ until it is observed and collapses into one of the two macroscopically distinct states, thus determining the fate of the cat. Microscopic superposition states such as in two-level atoms are readily accepted, whereas the macroscopic superposition state such as the Schrödinger cat state is considered counterintuitive and hardly imaginable. However, in recent years there have been several attempts to produce superposition states approaching the macroscopic regime [5]. A severe hindrance for the production of these states, however, is decoherence associated with the unavoidable coupling to the surrounding reservoir which causes the system to evolve into a classical mixture [6].

Recently Cavalcanti and Reid introduced the concept of generalized macroscopic superpositions [7]. Instead of the original example of |Ψ⟩+|Ψ⟩ with two macroscopically distinct states, the generalized state is a three-component coherent superposition of the form |Ψ⟩ +|Ψ⟩ +|Ψ⟩. Thus in addition to the two macroscopically distinct states, an “intermediate” state |Ψ⟩ was introduced. The neighboring pairs of states—that is, (|Ψ⟩, |Ψ⟩) and (|Ψ⟩, |Ψ⟩)—might be macroscopically distinct as witnessed by nonzero off-diagonal density matrix elements close to the diagonal. It is, however, still possible to have the two outer states |Ψ⟩ and |Ψ⟩ macroscopically distinct. This macroscopic coherence, hidden in the overall microscopic state, is reflected by the most off-diagonal element ⟨Ψ|ρ|Ψ⟩ in the density matrix (ρ) being nonzero. As shown by Cavalcanti and Reid, such macroscopic coherences appear in various common states and can be witnessed through simple homodyne measurements of conjugate variables [7].

In this Rapid Communication we experimentally witness the presence of macroscopic coherence in various states employing the criteria put forward in Ref. [7]. The states under interrogations are coherent, squeezed, and entangled states, all of which are proven to contain macroscopic coherence to some extent. We also investigate the sensitivity of the macroscopicity with regard to the degree of squeezing and purity of the squeezed states.

We start by shortly reviewing the definition of a generalized superposition state as presented in Ref. [7]. This state is given by

\[ \Phi = c_+ |Ψ_+⟩ + c_0 |Ψ_0⟩ + c_- |Ψ_-⟩, \]  

with the probability amplitudes \( c_+, c_- \neq 0 \). Measuring the state \( |Φ⟩ \) with the projectors \( |Ψ_+⟩⟩, |Ψ_0⟩⟩, \) and \( |Ψ_-⟩⟩ \) results in the outcomes +1, −1, and 0 (with probabilities \( |c_+|^2, |c_-|^2, \) and \( |c_0|^2 \)) where the +1 and −1 outcomes are macroscopically or mesoscopically distinguishable. In the case of quadrature measurements, a possible separation of the outcomes to yield an appropriate positive-operator-valued measurement is illustrated in Fig. 1. The results of the measurement of a quadrature variable \( x \) are divided into three distinct regions \( I = -1, 0, +1 \) corresponding to the outcomes of the states mentioned (with the probabilities \( P_-, P_0, \) and \( P_+ \) to get a result in that region). The \( I = -1 \) and \( I = +1 \) regions are separated by a distance \( S \), giving a measure for the macroscopicity of the generalized superpositions.

The next question is how to measure the existence of these superpositions. It is neither realistic nor feasible to construct a measurement device that projects directly onto the superposition state \( c_+ |Ψ_+⟩ + c_- |Ψ_-⟩ \); such an apparatus would be highly complex and the projected state necessarily highly sensitive to decoherence [8]. Alternatively, the presence of macroscopic coherence can be witnessed by tomographic reconstruction of the state’s density matrix in the basis spanned by the eigenstates \( |Ψ_+⟩, |Ψ_0⟩, \) and \( |Ψ_-⟩ \). The nonzero values
of the relevant off-diagonal elements witness the superposition. A much simpler approach to prove the existence of macroscopic coherence was developed by Cavalcanti and Reid [7]. They showed that by applying a certain criterion, the presence of a macroscopic superposition state can be verified through simple ensemble measurements of conjugate quadratures.

We will now sketch the basic idea used in [7]. If phase space is divided into the three subspaces as indicated in Fig. 1 and no correlations between the subspaces are assumed, the overall variance of the $p$ variable in the mixed state is the weighted sum of the variances of $p$ in the individual regions:

$$\Delta^2_{\text{mixed},p} \geq P_{-}\Delta^2_{p} + P_{0}\Delta^2_{p} + P_{+}\Delta^2_{p}. \quad (2)$$

Imagine for a moment there were no coherences between subspaces. In that case Heisenberg’s uncertainty relation would apply to each subspace separately and $\Delta^2_{i,p} \geq \Delta^2_{p}$ for $i=-,0,+, +$ and $\Delta^2_{p}$ calculated from $\Delta^2_{p}$ according to the uncertainty principle (see Fig. 2). A violation of inequality (2) is therefore evidence for coherences between the different subspaces. At this point microscopic superpositions would suffice to violate the inequality. Therefore, Cavalcanti and Reid [7] replaced $\Delta^2_{p}$ by the smaller variance $\Delta^2_{p}$, etc., which takes any microscopic superpositions between neighboring regions into account. The resulting new inequality is now only violated if there are macroscopic superpositions between the two outer well-separated subspaces. The final step is expressing the various variances of $p$ by quantities which are straightforward to measure. A violation of the resulting inequality

$$(\Delta^2_{\text{mix},x} + P_{0}\delta)\Delta^2_{p} \gg 1 \quad (3)$$

is sufficient to prove the presence of generalized superpositions of the form (1) with a distance $S$ in phase space. The variance $\Delta^2_{\text{mix},x}$ is defined as $\Delta^2_{\text{mix},x} = P_{-}\Delta^2_{x} + P_{0}\Delta^2_{x} + P_{+}\Delta^2_{x}$, with $\Delta^2_{x}$ and $\Delta^2_{\text{mix},x}$ being the variances of the distributions associated with the regions $I=+1$ and $I=-1$ (see Fig. 1), and $\Delta^2_{p}$ is the variance of the conjugate variable $p$. The distance $S$ contributes to $\delta = (\mu_{+} + S/2)^2 + (\mu_{-} - S/2)^2 + S^2/2 + \Delta^2_{x} + \Delta^2_{\text{mix},x}$, where $\mu_{+}$ and $\mu_{-}$ are the mean values of the distributions associated with the regions $I=+1$ and $I=-1$.

Inequality (3) determines the maximum distance $S$, for which a generalized superposition can be proven for squeezed Gaussian states. $S_{\text{max}}$ depends on the degree of squeezing as well as on the purity of the squeezed states [9]. For pure squeezed states generalized superpositions exist for an $S_{\text{max}}$ of 0.51 of the standard deviation of the marginal probability distribution of the antisqueezed quadrature. Therefore, by squeezing the $p$ quadrature, the associated antisqueezing of $x$ enables the violation for larger distances $S$, eventually reaching a truly macroscopic regime for large degrees of squeezing. However, in practice, the production of highly squeezed states is often accompanied with decoherence, which on the other hand makes it harder to violate the inequality (3) with large $S$. Hence there exists a trade-off between squeezing and purity which is illustrated in Fig. 3.

Interestingly, even the ubiquitous coherent state contains generalized superpositions with a distance of half a shot.
noise unit (SNU) [7], although it is generally believed not to display any measurable nonclassical properties. As the statistic of a coherent state is not altered by attenuation, these superpositions are immune to loss.

We now proceed with the experiment proving macroscopic coherence of the Gaussian-squeezed states sketched in Fig. 4. For the generation of squeezed states we used a periodically poled KTiOPO 4 (PPKTP) optical parametric oscillator (OPO) [10]. The OPO was pumped by the second harmonic of a cw Ti:sapphire laser (Coherent MBR110) at 430 nm, and the oscillation threshold was 180 mW. The squeezed states generated at 860 nm were measured using a balanced homodyne detector (HD). To ensure a high spatial overlap between the local oscillator and the squeezed states, the former was spatially cleaned using an empty cavity with a configuration identical that of the OPO. The output signal of the homodyne detector was digitized at a sideband frequency of 1 MHz with a resolution bandwidth of 30 kHz using an analog-to-digital converter (ADC, NI PXI-5124) and subsequently fed into a computer. The total quantum efficiency was between 93.6% and 94.4% depending on the pump powers of the OPO.

To prove the presence of macroscopic superposition states we record time series of the quadrature distributions of conjugate quadratures (x and p) in separate runs. Subsequently we compute the variance of p as well as the variances and mean values for the distinct regions resulting after binning the outcomes of the x measurements.

First we experimentally demonstrated the proof of generalized superpositions with distances of 0.51 SNU for vacuum (coherent) states. The vacuum state was measured by blocking the input beam of the homodyne system and measuring conjugate quadratures as mentioned above. After calculating the relevant variances and using inequality (3), generalized superposition states were proven with a distance of S=0.51 SNU.

For the squeezed states we proved generalized superpositions with a maximum distance of 0.83±0.02 shot noise units at 30 mW pump power, which is significantly above the limit of what can be proven with a coherent state. In Fig. 5 the probability density distribution $P(x)$ of the measurement values in the antisqueezing direction is plotted. The distributions $P_\pm(x)$ for the $I=1$ and $I=1$ regions are separated by the distance $S=0.83±0.02$ SNU.

We studied the data with respect to the distance $S$ and different squeezing and purity levels. In Fig. 6 we show the value of the left-hand side of inequality (3) versus the distance $S$. Values calculated from the measurement data are depicted as symbols. The solid and dashed lines denote theoretical calculations of the behavior of Gaussian-squeezed states with the measured variances. A value less than 1 (indicated by the horizontal dotted line) proves the existence of generalized superposition with this distance. The distance $S = 0.5$ marks the border of what is achievable with a coherent state. The behavior is investigated for the vacuum coherent...
state and different squeezed states, which were generated by different pump powers of the OPO. As the pump power is increased more squeezing is obtained. At the same time excess noise increases and the purity of the states drops. The best trade-off between squeezing and purity is found for a pump power of 30 mW, which results in squeezed states of −5.7 dB squeezing and a purity of 0.85. The purity shows its importance especially at the state of highest squeezing (pump power of 70 mW). Although −7.7 dB of squeezing is measured, generalized superpositions were only proven with a maximum distance of $S=0.40\pm0.02$ SNU, because the purity of the state dropped to 0.66. The vacuum coherent state shows a steep slope because of lack of squeezing and rises above 1 in inequality (3) for distances larger than 0.51 SNU. For the entangled beams the maximum distance of, respectively, 0.30±0.02 and 0.51±0.02 SNU. For the entangled beams the S value was limited by the impurity of the states used. For the coherent beam with $10^{10}$ photons per measurement time interval we reproduced the result obtained for the vacuum state in Fig. 6. Details will be reported elsewhere.

We also studied two other cases. In the first one two entangled beams were generated by being two OPOs using a setup described in [11]. In the second one we studied coherent states emitted from a low noise laser, such as the one used in [12]. We demonstrated the violation of inequality (3) in both cases with a maximum distance of, respectively, 0.30±0.02 and 0.51±0.02 SNU. For the entangled beams the S value was limited by the impurity of the states used. For the coherent beam with $10^{10}$ photons per measurement time interval we reproduced the result obtained for the vacuum state in Fig. 6. Details will be reported elsewhere.

It is intriguing that in the ubiquitous coherent states sizable generalized superpositions can be proved. They serve as a signature of the quantumness of a coherent state, regardless of its displacement in phase space. For several quantum states we proved the existence of generalized superpositions with distances between the $\Psi_+$ and $\Psi_-$ regions approaching one shot noise unit, which is comparable to the distances obtained in recent efforts on generating a Schrödinger cat-like state known as a “kitten” state [13]. Finding a generally applicable definition of macroscopicity of such superpositions is not an easy task. The question is much debated also for other systems in quantum optics [14]. It is often not the overall number of photons in a mode which indicates macroscopicity but rather the effective number required to create the nonclassical nature of the field [15]. In a number of special cases measures of macroscopicity were suggested [16]. A connection to the definition of generalized superpositions as defined in [7] will be the goal of future investigations.
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[9] We define the purity as $P = 1/\sqrt{\Delta^{2} + \Delta^{2}}$.