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Abstract — We describe a concatenated coding system with iterated sequential inner decoding. The system uses convolutional codes of very long constraint length and operates on iterations between an inner Fano decoder and an outer Reed-Solomon decoder.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider a concatenated system with a convolutional inner code, a block interleaver of degree I, and I outer RS codes of the same length, but with different redundancies/error correcting capabilities. After encoding by the outer codes and interleaving, the frame is split into a number of subframes. These are encoded by a memory M convolutional code, which is terminated by M input zeroes. The decoding for the inner code is performed by a number of sequential Fano decoders, which perform forward and backward (i.e. starting from the end of the subframe) decoding simultaneously, and on all the subframes in parallel. The process is monitored such that decoded symbols from the inner code in each of the RS words are counted. The non-decoded symbols are treated as erasures.

In a chosen implementation we use \( I = 8 \), the error correctional profile for the outer code \([16 \ 50 \ 16 \ 6 \ 16 \ 6 \ 6 \ 6] \) and \( M = 23 \). The three first decoders, and the 6th RS decoders are errors-and-erasures decoders which can correct \( e \) erasures as long as \( e + 2t < 100 \) and \( e < 68 \), respectively; \( e + 2t < 32 \) and \( e < 16 \). The other RS words are errors-only decoders.

The first RS decoding attempt is then performed on the second RS word when 187 decoded symbols in this word are available from the inner decoders, and in case of a decoding failure (more than 50 errors detected) a new attempt is performed each time a new decoded symbol is available from the inner decoders. When the word is decoded the result is fed back and used to guide the sequential decoders in the continued decoding, i.e. the sequential decoders are forced to follow paths in the trellis which agree with the RS decoded data. Decoding more and more RS words and feeding the results back to the inner decoders will in this way iterate the process towards a successful decoding of the full frame. If 3 consecutive RS words (24 bits \( \times M \)) are decoded, the forced inner decoding will effectively split the full frame into sub-sub-frames of length 48 bits, which can be decoded independently in both directions. If the decoding in one of these is stuck, a jump to the next sub-sub-frame can be made with only a small penalty.

II. RESULTS FOR ITERATED SEQUENTIAL DECODING

In a system where sequential decoding is used the code should have a good (or optimum) distance profile (DP) together, of course, with a large free distance. However, if decoding is performed forward and backward on a frame (or subframe) a suitable code must also have a good distance profile in its reversed form, since this is the code used in the backward decoding. From a code search we obtained the following ODP memory \( M = 23 \), \( d_L = 54 \) code written in hexadecimal form

\[
G = [96A77B \ B7EA67 \ D0A25D \ E1C4D9]
\]

which also has a very good DP in reversed form.

In the simulations we have used an AWGN channel quantized into 16 levels, and the quantizing thresholds are \( E_b/N_0 \)-dependent as is common practice. The Fano decoders use an ordinary FANO metric, which in our case is unquantized (32 bit floating-point words). As a preliminary value of \( \Delta \) we have used the ratio \( \Delta/bm_{\text{max}} = 6 \), where \( bm_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum branch metric. We have chosen to use an interleaving degree \( I = 8 \), but a further gain may be available by increasing the interleaving degree. A good choice for the number of subframes was determined through simulations to be 15. The choice of profile (and rate) for the outer codes is by no means obvious and deserves further investigation. Our simulation approach includes a number of different profiles, and the one chosen here, has proven the best results. Very good profiles with only two different outer codes does also exist.

In Figure 1 we have shown the average number of computations \( C_{\text{av}} \) found by simulation runs of 1000 frames (a total of 14,368,000 information bits) with different signal to noise ratios. No errors appeared at all. The \( E_b/N_0 \) values specified are the net values for the entire system. Since the overall rate of the system is \( R_{\text{overall}} = 0.216 \) (including the small loss introduced by the termination of subframes) we notice that the inner sequential decoder operates at an \( E_b/N_0 \) which is 6.66 dB below \( E_b/N_0 \). With a computational cut-off rate \( R_{\text{comp}} \) that falls below the convolutional code rate of 1/4 for \( E_b/N_0 < 2.55 \) dB these results support our claim that a sequential decoder can operate well above \( R_{\text{comp}} \) if some kind of side information is available. In this case the side information is achieved by using an outer code.

We notice that for \( E_b/N_0 = 1.0 \) dB we can build a decoder with a \( C_{\text{av}} \) that is at least 100 times smaller than the 16,384 decoding operations used by the Viterbi decoder for the \( n=4, M=14 \) code used in the Galileo mission. For \( E_b/N_0 = 1.0 \) dB no frame required more than 2000 computations per bit and very few frames required more than 500 computations per bit. When we consider the \( E_b/N_0 = 0.6 \) dB case 3% of the frames requires more than 10,000 computations, and 2% of the frames requires more than the Galileo code Viterbi decoder.

III. CONCLUSION

We have described a very efficient scheme utilizing iterated sequential decoding. However, the number of computations depends on the profile chosen and on the strategy used for the inner decoding, but as demonstrated, very good results can be obtained.
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