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Abstract

In the paper, the design of optimal input signals for detection and diagnosis in a stochastic dynamical system is investigated. The design is based on maximization of Kullback measure between the model under fault and the model under normal operation conditions. It is established that the optimal input design for change detection when the magnitude of change is small is equivalent to optimal input design for parameter estimation.

1. Kullback measure and input design

Definition 1.1 The Kullback-Leiber information for discriminating between two models $M_0$ and $M_1$ is defined by

$$K(M_1, M_0) = \int \log \frac{p_1(y)}{p_0(y)} p_1(y)dy$$

where $p_0(y)$ and $p_1(y)$ are the probability densities of the data under $M_0$ and $M_1$ respectively.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that the two SISO models:

$$M_0 : y(k\Delta T) = G_{1,0}(\delta)u(k\Delta T) + G_{2,0}(\delta)e(k\Delta T)$$
$$M_1 : y(k\Delta T) = G_{1,1}(\delta)u(k\Delta T) + G_{2,1}(\delta)e(k\Delta T)$$

are to be tested against each other where the $\delta$-operator is defined by (cf [1])

$$\delta = q^{-1} \Delta T$$

$q$ is the forward shift operator and $\Delta T$ is the sampling time. Also assume that

- $\{e(k\Delta T)\}$ is a sequence of uncorrelated zero mean Gaussian random variables.

The input signal admits a spectral representation and is power restricted.
- The length of the experiment is large.
- There is no feedback in the system.

Then maximizing the Kullback-Leiber measure $K(M_1, M_0)$ with respect to the power restricted input is equivalent to the optimization problem

$$\max \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{G_{2,0}(e^{j\omega \Delta T} \delta)}{G_{1,0}(e^{j\omega \Delta T} \delta)} \right|^2 d\xi(\omega)$$

subject to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\xi(\omega) = 1$$

where for each transfer function, we denote $G(\delta) = G'(q)$. $\xi(\omega)$ is the power distribution of the input defined over the range of frequencies $[0, \frac{\pi}{\Delta T}]$. The solution is given by

$$\xi(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & \omega < \omega^* \\ 1 & \omega \geq \omega^* \end{cases}$$

where

$$\omega^* = \arg \max_\omega \left| \frac{\Delta G_1(e^{j\omega \Delta T} \delta)}{G_{2,0}(e^{j\omega \Delta T} \delta)} \right|$$

and

$$\Delta G_1(\delta) = G_{1,1}(\delta) - G_{1,0}(\delta)$$

Proof: The proof is based on direct computation of the Kullback measure. For details see [2].

Remark 1.1 If we denote the parameter in $G_{1,0}$ by $\theta$, then for small changes $\Delta \theta$, the criterion given by Eq(1) will be approximately proportional to

$$\Delta \theta^T \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{G_{2,0}^{\prime}(e^{j\omega \Delta T})}{G_{1,0}^{\prime}(e^{j\omega \Delta T})} \right|^2 d\xi(\omega) \right\} \Delta \theta$$

$\Delta \theta^T \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \int_0^{2\pi} G_{2,0}^{\prime}(e^{j\omega \Delta T}) \times \\
\text{Re}\{[G_{2,0}^{\prime}(e^{j\omega \Delta T})] \frac{\partial G_{2,0}^{\prime}(e^{j\omega \Delta T})}{\partial \theta} \} \times \\
G_{2,0}^{\prime}(e^{-j\omega \Delta T}) \right\} \Delta \theta$
Letting $\Delta T \to 0$, we obtain the optimization criterion for change detection in continuous-time models. It will be given by

$$
\Delta \theta^T \left\{ \int_0^\infty G_{z,0}^{-1}(j\omega) \times \right.
\left. \text{Re}\left[ \left( \frac{\partial G_{z,0}(j\omega)}{\partial \theta} \right) \left( \frac{\partial G_{z,0}(-j\omega)}{\partial \theta} \right)^T \right] \times G_{z,0}^{-1}(-j\omega) d\xi(\omega) \right\} \Delta \theta
$$

(5)

For easy reference, we denote Eq(4) or Eq(5) by $A_B M_A A_B$.

2. Connection to Input Design for Parameter Estimation

It can be shown [3] that under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the information matrix corresponding to $M_\theta$ can be written as $M_u + M_e$ where $M_e$ is not input dependent and $M_u$ is proportional to $M_\theta$. The information matrix asymptotically gives the covariance of any unbiased efficient estimator of $\theta$. Hence, maximizing a scalar function of the information matrix with respect to design variables is the topic of the statistical experiment design for precise estimation of parameters.

Now assume that only the changes in a subset of $\theta$ is of interest and should be monitored. We have the following theorem

**Theorem 2.1** Assume that the parameter vector $\theta$ is partitioned into $\theta = (\theta_1^T, \theta_2^T)^T$, and $M_\theta$ is partitioned

$$
M_\theta = \begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{12}^T & M_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(6)

accordingly. It is desired to test the small changes in $\theta_1$. The minimum (worst) value of $\Delta \theta^T M_\theta \Delta \theta$ with respect to $\Delta \theta_2$ is assumed at $\Delta \theta_2 = -M_{22}^{-1} M_{12} \Delta \theta_1$. This minimum value is

$$
\Delta \theta^T \left( M_{11} - M_{12} M_{22}^{-1} M_{12}^T \right) \Delta \theta_1
$$

(7)

**Proof:** The proof is straightforward and based on minimizing the Kullback information with respect to $\Delta \theta_2$. For easy reference, we denote Eq(7) by $\Delta \theta^T M_\theta' \Delta \theta_1$.

Optimal input design is thus reduced to maximization of $\Delta \theta^T M_\theta \Delta \theta$ or $\Delta \theta_1^T M_\theta' \Delta \theta_1$ subject to constraints. It requires a priori knowledge about the change $\Delta \theta$ or $\Delta \theta_1$. In absence of such prior information, one may for example maximize the determinant of $M_\theta$ or $M_\theta'$.

These choices of optimization criterion are respectively known as $D$ and $D_1$ optimality in the statistical experiment design literature [4].

3. Optimal Inputs for Diagnosis

Assume that the parameter of the model $\theta$ is a function of some physical parameter denoted by $\beta$, i.e. $\theta = F(\beta)$. It is of interest to monitor changes in $\beta$. Replacing $\Delta \theta \approx \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} \Delta \beta$, the optimization criterion is approximately given by $\Delta \beta^T M_\beta \Delta \beta$ where

$$
M_\beta = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} \right)^T M_\theta \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} \right)
$$

Now, partition $\beta = (\beta_1^T, \beta_2^T)^T$ and assume that only the subset $\beta_1$ is of interest. Partition $M_\beta$ as in Eq(6) and obtain the criterion $\Delta \beta_1^T M_\beta' \Delta \beta_1$ defined similar to Eq(7), cf [2].

4. Conclusion

In the paper, we have discussed the question of design of optimal input signals for detection and diagnosis. Our suggested design of inputs is based on full knowledge of the process in the normal operating conditions. However, no information about the possible faults is assumed given except for that they are small. The equivalence between optimal input design for fault detection and parameter estimation is established.
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