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Abstract

An L-band polarimetric SAR system has been developed as part of the dual frequency (L- & C-band), polarimetric, airborne EMISAR* system. The SAR features a unique combination of fine resolution (2 x 2 m) and wide swath (9.3 km). The transmitter power is 6 kW. From a flight altitude of 41,000 ft the range of the radar is 64 km with a noise equivalent sigma naught of ~20 dB. The antenna is a stacked microstrip patch array with the feed structure on the back side of the antenna panel to reduce unwanted radiation. The cross polar level is below -35 dB. The polarization switch is a relatively conventional PIN diode switch matrix able to sustain the 6 kW peak power from the transmitter still exhibiting low loss (0.3 dB) and high isolation (more than 50 dB). Thus system cross talk (between polarizations) is dominated by antenna cross talk and is some -35 dB. Polarimetric imagery has been acquired over the EMISAR calibration scene: An agricultural site in Denmark featuring a range of different fields and forested areas as well as several tribedrals and dihedrals. Based on the imagery data, sensor performance is assessed. The L & C-band polarimetric EMISAR instrument is one of the key sensors in the JRC EARSEC initiative aiming at supplying state-of-the-art remote sensing data to European scientists.

Introduction

Since 1989 Electromagnetics Institute (EMI) has flown a C-band, vertically polarized, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) with a 2 by 2 m resolution and an 80 km range. [1]. A full swath, full resolution real-time processor was completed in 1992, [2] and [3]. A fully polarimetric version of the C-band system was implemented, and first flown in the fall of 1993, [4]. Finally the L-band system with full polarimetric capability was completed and tested early 1995.

The EMISAR system is presently operated on a Gulfstream G-3 aircraft of the Royal Danish Air Force. The G-3 is a twin engine jet, with a 6000 km range. The SAR is nominally operated at an altitude of 41,000 ft. The antenna system and the radar INU are installed in a pod mounted below the fuselage, which facilitates rapid system installation and dismount. Typically the installation time is 2 hours.

One of the applications of the system is EMI’s own radar research which is to be carried out as part of the research plan for the “Danish Center for Remote Sensing” which was established early 1994 at EMI by the Danish National Research Foundation. Furthermore, the upgrading to polarimetry and dual frequency capability has been supported by Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Community and it is planned that EMI will operate the polarimetric SAR for JRC in connection with EARSEC (European Airborne Remote Sensing Capabilities), a remote sensing program managed and sponsored by JRC. Also, the sensor is used in connection with EMAC (European Multi-sensor Airborne Campaigns) organised by ESA.

SAR System Overview

A block diagram of the polarimetric SAR is shown in Fig. 1. Each of the shaded rectangles represents one physical unit. The Pod holding the 3-axis stabilized, dual polarized antenna (shown as two antennas to illustrate the principle) is mounted under the fuselage of the aircraft. The receiver and upconverter (RX-UPC), the transmitter (TX), the High Speed Digital Processor (HSDP), and the System Control Unit (SCU) are 19" boxes located in the aircraft cabin.

The function of the system can be explained as follows: A frequency modulated baseband pulse is computed and stored in the DSG (Digital Signal Generator) buffer. At 200 MHz rate this pulse is D/A converted, I-Q modulated onto a 300 MHz IF, up-converted to the SAR frequency, amplified, and finally transmitted by the antenna. Echoes are received, amplified, down-converted, demodulated and digitised. An optional range pre-filtering (RPF) can then be performed whereafter the peak data rate is reduced by the buffer in the Digital Front End (DFE), thus facilitating the optional azimuth pre-filtering (APF). The pre-filtered data are recorded on a High Density Digital Tape (HDDT) as well as processed by the real-time processor (one channel only) for display on a monitor simultaneously with the data acquisition.

The H/V switch ensures that the pulses are alternately transmitted with horizontal and vertical polarization. Thus one single transmitter is used, while a dual receiver system is needed to record both like and cross polar signals.

A feature of EMISAR is the internal calibration loops [5], [6]. Just before and after mapping a scene, pulses having the same coding as the transmitted pulses, can be routed through all units of the radar excluding only the antenna. Thus the complex transfer function of practically the com-

* Development of the EMISAR system has been sponsored by the Thomas B. Thriges Foundation, the Danish Technical Research Council (STVF), the Royal Danish Air Force (RDAF), the Technical University of Denmark, and the Joint Research Centre (JRC). Data acquisitions have been sponsored by the RDAF, STVF, JRC, and the European Space Agency, ESA.
plete radar is measured and the results used to correct the echo data recorded between calibrations. Stability between calibrations is enhanced by temperature control of the analog sections of the radar.

The System Control Unit (SCU) is the unit which controls the entire radar system. Its purpose is to provide an interface to the SAR system operator, communicate with all units of the SAR system including setting them up as desired for the mission and checking their status, communicate with aircraft navigation instruments, and implement a wide range of system verification tests to facilitate troubleshooting. Table 1 lists the basic parameters of the L-band SAR.

Table 1. EMISAR L-band system parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Parameters</th>
<th>L-Band, 1.25 GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>1.25 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitted power</td>
<td>6 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver noise figure</td>
<td>1.5 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System loss</td>
<td>4 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse length</td>
<td>0.64 - 20 μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>100 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna gain</td>
<td>18 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth 3 dB beamwidth</td>
<td>10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation 3 dB beamwidth</td>
<td>42°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>Fully polarimetric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna cross polarization</td>
<td>&lt; -35 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth ambiguity</td>
<td>&lt; -30 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution in range</td>
<td>2, 4 or 8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution in azimuth</td>
<td>2, 4 or 8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swath width</td>
<td>12, 24 or 48 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight altitude</td>
<td>Typically 41,000 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-time processing (1 channel)</td>
<td>Full resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Max. 64 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSLR</td>
<td>-30 dB (TBC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISLR</td>
<td>-25 dB (TBC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic cross-talk terms</td>
<td>&lt; -35 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibrated cross-talk terms</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Antenna Design**

The L-band antenna design is basically the same as for the C-band system antenna [7, 8]. The antenna size is 1.35 x 0.31 x 0.11 m (LxHxD), and consists of 4 identical panels. Each panel contains 4 probe-fed stacked microstrip patches.

The patch configuration is shown in Fig. 2. The upper and lower patches are squares with side lengths ~ 85 and 100 mm respectively. The lower patch is fed using probes, offset D = 27 mm from the edge. The patches are etched on 0.381 mm Rogers RT/duriod 5870 substrate. The dielectric between the upper and lower patch, and between the lower patch and the ground plane is Rohacell 31 HF low permittivity (ɛr = 1.08 @ 1.25 GHz) substrate, 16 and 8 mm respectively. The lower substrate is glued onto a 3 mm silver-plated aluminum ground plane. On the other side of the aluminum ground plane the patch feed network, made on 1.52 mm Rogers RO3003, is located.

The patch feed network, which feeds the 4 patches in a
panel, is designed to excite the patches with equal amplitude and phase, and to give a 50 Ω input impedance for both the H- and V-port of a panel. The panel feed network feeds the four panels with a 0.6 1.0 1.0 0.6 amplitude taper in order to improve the SAR-system azimuth ambiguity performance. 

The measured radiation patterns (directivity) in the azimuth and elevation planes for the vertical polarization are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. The notation used is that $E_{H}$ is the H-polarized field component from the antenna fed at the V-port (the H-port patterns are quite similar). The outstanding cross-polarization suppression should be noted.

Fig. 5 shows the measured input reflection coefficients for the H- and V-ports, and the transmission between the ports. The exceptionally good isolation between the H- and V-ports is one of the main reasons for the good cross-pol performance of the antenna.

**Polarization Switch**

The polarization switch has been realized in microstrip technology. It is a conventional SPDT switch which utilizes high power microwave PIN diodes as switching elements. The basic structure of the switch is shown in Fig. 6. Each branch in the SPDT switch contains three shunt diodes and DC-blocks in both ends. These DC-blocks consist of two high-power capacitors each. N-type stripline launchers have been used as both input and output connectors.

A low loss path is established between the input and one of the outputs by applying reverse bias to the diodes in that branch. High isolation is obtained by applying forward bias to the branch instead. In order to achieve the SPDT switch effect, reverse bias is applied to one branch and forward bias is applied to the other branch. The SPDT unit includes an external bias circuit. Bias is supplied via feed-thru capacitors and air coils to each branch of the switch. The external bias circuit and the bias feed arrangement is not shown in Fig. 6 but the bias feeding points have been indicated. The external bias circuit is controlled by a TTL compatible signal and the switching time is appr. 10 μs.

Receiver protection has also been implemented with PIN diodes. An SPST switch arrangement has been used for this purpose. It is basically a one-branch version of the SPDT switch. This SPST switch unit includes a built-in bias circuit controlled by a TTL compatible signal and it is capable of switching from low-loss mode to high-isolation mode in appr. 1.5 μs. Switching the opposite way is done in appr. 10 μs.

It has been verified that the SPDT switch is capable of handling the 6 kW from the transmitter. The switch exhibits an insertion loss of 0.3 dB and an isolation of more than 50 dB. The receiver protection unit exhibits a similar insertion loss and an isolation of appr. 40 dB.

**Performance Assessment**

The first test flight was conducted on March 2. The system itself functioned as it was expected to, but a variety of interfering radars and navigation systems appeared to operate within EMISAR's bandwidth. The interference problem was also observed during the EMAC campaign to Northern Scandinavia, March 22-23. Some images are badly contam-
inated, while others barely reveal the interference. Unless it is eliminated by a dedicated filter, the interference rather than the thermal noise and the quantisation noise governs the signal-to-noise ratio. At the time of writing, a first attempt has been made to eliminate the interfering signals, but still they have an impact on the performance assessment summarized in the following.

Due to the wide elevation pattern of the microstrip antenna, the issues of right-left ambiguity and multipath propagation caused by wing reflections had been devoted special attention during the design of the L-band system [9]. So far the imagery has only been visually inspected, but none of the phenomenons have been found.

The performance assessment is based on two scenes acquired on March 2 and March 22, respectively. They both cover the Danish calibration test site at Foulum where three 2 m trihedrals and four 0.9 m dihedrals were deployed at three different ranges.

The 3dB resolution has been found to be 2.11 m in azimuth and 2.07 m in range. Relying almost exclusively on the pre-map and post-map internal calibrations, the system must be very stable during the period of data acquisition in order to meet the calibration requirements. This is indeed the case, as the maximum absolute amplitude drift of the calibration signals has been found to be 0.1 dB. For the channel balance, an amplitude drift of 0.04 dB and a phase drift of 0.3'' has been observed.

It is questionable to base a cross-talk estimation on one of the algorithms using distributed targets, because these targets in the spring tend to have a fairly low backscatter coefficient at L-band and hence a very low signal-to-interference ratio. However, it can be shown [10] that by using the trihedrals it is possible to estimate the sum of the transmit and receive H to V cross-talk terms as well as the sum of the transmit and receive V to H cross-talk terms. At L-band, the radar cross section of the present trihedrals are really not large enough to justify this procedure, but it will anyway give an upper limit. The above-mentioned sums are found to be below some -20 dB. In case the cross-talk terms add up in-phase, the cross-talk is found to be better than -26 dB. By design the cross-talk is known to be below -35 dB and this method is clearly too crude for the present radar.
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