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We present evidence from neutron diffraction measurements and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of three different monolayer phases of the intermediate-length alkanes tetracosane (\( n \)-C\(_{24}\)H\(_{50}\) denoted as C24) and dotriacontane (\( n \)-C\(_{32}\)H\(_{66}\) denoted as C32) adsorbed on a graphite basal-plane surface. Our measurements indicate that the two monolayer films differ principally in the transition temperatures between phases. At the lowest temperatures, both C24 and C32 form a crystalline monolayer phase with a rectangular-centered (RC) structure. The two sublattices of the RC structure each consists of parallel rows of molecules in their all-trans conformation aligned with their long axis parallel to the surface and forming so-called lamellas of width approximately equal to the all-trans length of the molecule. The RC structure is uniaxially commensurate with the graphite surface in its [110] direction such that the distance between molecular rows in a lamella is 4.26 Å = \( \sqrt{3} a_p \), where \( a_p = 2.46 \) Å is the lattice constant of the graphite basal plane. Molecules in adjacent rows of a lamella alternate in orientation between the carbon skeletal plane being parallel and perpendicular to the graphite surface. Upon heating, the crystalline monolayers transform to a “smectic” phase in which the inter-row spacing within a lamella expands by \( \sim 10\% \) and the molecules are predominantly oriented with the carbon skeletal plane parallel to the graphite surface. In the smectic phase, the MD simulations show evidence of broadening of the lamella boundaries as a result of molecules diffusing parallel to their long axis. At still higher temperatures, they indicate that the introduction of gauche defects into the alkane chains drives a melting transition to a monolayer fluid phase as reported previously. © 2009 American Institute of Physics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Films of intermediate-length alkane molecules (\( \text{C}_{n}\text{H}_{2n+2}; \) \( 15 < n < 40 \)) are of interest as prototypical systems for understanding the interfacial behavior of more complex polymers. These chainlike molecules are large and flexible enough that their conformational changes can significantly affect the translational order within a monolayer film; and yet they are small enough to be tractable in detailed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.

From a fundamental standpoint, it is of interest to investigate how the monolayer structure of intermediate-length alkanes may depend on the molecular conformation. Such structural characterization may aid in understanding the microscopic mechanism by which a flexible-chain molecule adsorbs on a surface\(^1\) and desorbs upon heating.\(^2\) It may also help explain whether or not complete wetting of the solid film occurs at a higher coverage.\(^3\) Knowledge of the monolayer structure is also essential for understanding its dynamical properties, including both vibratory\(^4\) and diffusive\(^5,6\) motion.

Intermediate-length alkanes are also of considerable technological interest as the principal constituents of commercial lubricants. Progress in understanding their monolayer structure and dynamics could potentially impact technologically important issues such as evaporation of thin lubricant films from the surfaces of magnetic storage disks and the lubricating characteristics of alkane films.

Almost 40 years ago, Groszek\(^7\) proposed a structural model for \( n \)-alkane monolayers adsorbed on the graphite basal-plane surface based on his adsorption isotherm measurements. He noted that it would be energetically favorable for a single \( n \)-alkane molecule in an all-trans configuration to adsorb with its carbon skeletal plane parallel to the graphite surface and with an outer plane of hydrogen atoms occupying the centers of the graphite carbon hexagons, as shown in Fig. 1(a). Using this model, he was able to estimate the area occupied by the alkane molecule and to argue why the
longer alkane molecule would adsorb preferentially from a heptane solution.

Previous experimental studies of the monolayer structure of intermediate-length alkanes have focused on high-quality solid surfaces of graphite,7–21 Ag(111),22,23 and SiO2.3,24,25 Of these systems, probably the best characterized are monolayers of C32 and C24 on high-quality graphite basal-plane surfaces that have been investigated by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM),10–14 x-ray diffraction,15 and neutron scattering.16–21 Graphite substrates also have the advantage that relatively reliable atom-atom potentials are available for representing the molecule/graphite basal-plane interaction.

Neutron diffraction measurements on C24 and C32 adsorbed on graphite basal-plane surfaces16–19,21 have found two different solid monolayer structures at room temperature, depending on whether the film is deposited from its vapor phase or from a solution with heptane. Both structures appear to be thermodynamically stable and share a rectangular-centered (RC) unit cell containing two molecules oriented with their long axis parallel to the graphite surface. The long side of the unit cell a is approximately equal to twice the all-trans length of the molecule, 87 ± 1.5 and 65.0 ± 1.5 Å for C32 and C24, respectively.

However, these two monolayer structures found for both C24 and C32 differ in several respects.16 In the case of the vapor-deposited monolayer, the molecules orient with their carbon skeletal plane parallel to the graphite surface, as shown in Fig. 1(b) with b lattice constant in the range of 4.53–4.66 Å, depending on coverage. In contrast, when the C24 or C32 monolayer is deposited from solution or when at least a monolayer of heptane is adsorbed above the vapor-deposited phase, the longer alkane monolayer contracts uniaxially in the b-direction. The skeletal plane of the molecules now alternates in this direction from parallel to perpendicular to the graphite surface, as shown in Fig. 1(d), resulting in a doubling of the unit cell.16 This structure is partially commensurate with the graphite surface with b = 8.52 Å = 2√3a, where a = 2.46 Å is the lattice constant of the graphite basal plane. As discussed in Ref. 16, neutron diffraction measurements are inconsistent with the model in Fig. 1(c) in which all molecules are oriented with their carbon skeletal plane perpendicular to the surface.

In addition, the vapor-deposited C24 and C32 monolayers have a shorter coherence length Lb (typically ~40 Å) along the b-direction compared to a value of Lb ~120 Å for the monolayer deposited from solution as determined from the width of the dominant Bragg peak in the monolayer diffraction patterns.16,21 Annealing of the vapor-deposited monolayer does not increase its coherence length, indicating that the shorter-range translational order could be intrinsic to these films.

As noted in Ref. 16, neither monolayer structure is fully consistent with Groszek’s model of molecular registry on the graphite (0001) surface. The intermolecular spacing in the vapor-deposited monolayer is too large for long-range commensurability with the graphite surface, whereas alternate molecules in the solution-deposited monolayer have their skeletal plane perpendicular to the surface.

In the present work, our aim is to elucidate the reason for the difference in the monolayer structure of these intermediate-length alkanes at room temperature depending on whether they are vapor deposited or deposited from solution. This effort led to investigating the monolayer structure of vapor-deposited films at somewhat higher coverage. Under these conditions, we expected to compress the alkane monolayer fully and thereby replicate the effect of the heptane solvent molecules adsorbing within the monolayer when depositing from solution. The sequence of phases revealed in these measurements led to a study of the monolayer structure below room temperature. Because of the similarity of the structures found for both the C24 and C32 films, we chose to concentrate our measurements on C24 monolayers. Except for the transition temperatures between phases, we do not believe the monolayer structures differ in any essential way.

II. METHODS

A. Sample preparation

Both the C24 and C32 films used in the present study were deposited onto a graphite substrate from the vapor phase. The sample preparation technique has been described in detail elsewhere.16,19,21,23,26 Briefly, the substrates were re-

FIG. 1. (a) Groszek’s model of an all-trans alkane molecule adsorbed on a graphite basal-plane surface (from Ref. 7). Open circles represent hydrogen atoms located nearest to the graphite surface and solid circles represent carbon atoms in the alkane molecules. (b) Model of a low-density incommensurate monolayer phase of an intermediate-length alkane molecule. The molecules are oriented with the plane of the carbon backbone parallel to the graphite surface but are not in registry with the graphite surface as in (a). (c) Model of an alkane monolayer commensurate with the graphite surface in the b-direction and in which the plane of the carbon backbone is perpendicular to the graphite surface (see Refs. 12 and 13). (d) Model of a high-density alkane monolayer phase that is commensurate with graphite surface in the b-direction, but in which the plane of the alkane carbon backbone alternates between being parallel and perpendicular to the graphite surface (from Ref. 16). In (b)–(d), the left panels show an end view looking along the long axis of the molecule. The right panels depict a top view of the monolayer.
compressed exfoliated graphite (Grafoil), whose surface area was calibrated from a nitrogen vapor pressure adsorption isotherm at 77 K. The $n$-C$_{24}$D$_{50}$ and $n$-C$_{32}$D$_{66}$ adsorbates were 98% deuterated and used as supplied. Appropriate charges of these alkanes were placed in a stainless steel cell containing the Grafoil substrate and sealed by a conflat flange fitted with a copper gasket. Vapor deposition of the films occurred upon heating the evacuated cell for 48 h to temperatures of 210 °C (C24) and 280 °C (C32).

**B. Neutron diffraction**

The use of completely deuterated alkane films greatly reduced the large incoherent neutron scattering from hydrogen. Our diffraction patterns from the polycrystalline monolayers on the highly uniform Grafoil substrates typically contain several Bragg peaks whose relative intensity is sensitive to the molecular orientation. In general, these peaks can be observed to a higher wave vector transfer $Q$ than is possible with x-ray diffraction where the effect of the molecular form factor attenuates the scattering at high $Q$. We conducted our neutron diffraction experiments on C24 and C32 monolayers on the two-axis diffractometer located at the C-port of the University of Missouri Research Reactor Facility. The neutron beam with wavelength of 4.35 Å scattered from the sample placed in a transmission geometry before reaching a five-detector data acquisition system. The temperature range investigated extended from 86 to 420 K. It took approximately 3 days to collect a diffraction pattern at each temperature.

In order to correct for the background scattering coming from the Grafoil substrate, we collected diffraction patterns from the bare Grafoil at selected temperatures before the monolayers were deposited. The small temperature dependence of the Grafoil scattering did not warrant taking a background measurement at every temperature at which a film was investigated. To obtain the difference pattern from the monolayer film, we subtracted the diffraction pattern from the bare Grafoil measured at the temperature nearest to that of the vapor-deposited sample. Due to the large coherent cross section of our deuterated alkane films, we found it necessary to scale the bare Grafoil background scattering before subtraction in order to take into account the shielding of the Grafoil by the adsorbed film. The scale factor was selected to minimize the intensity in the difference pattern of both the graphite (002) Bragg peak and the intense small-angle scattering from the Grafoil particles. If the scale factor is too small, the graphite (002) peak intensity in the difference pattern will be large; on the other hand, if the scale factor is too large, the difference intensity becomes negative at low $Q$. In practice, we found that a scale factor of $\sim 0.85$ provided an acceptable intensity in the difference pattern for both the graphite small-angle scattering and its (002) peak.

To illustrate the background correction procedure, we show in Fig. 2(a) the diffraction pattern at 295 K from a 1.15-layer C24 film vapor deposited on a Grafoil substrate before subtraction of the bare-substrate background. The only scattering visible from the film is the small (11) Bragg peak at $Q = 1.5$ Å$^{-1}$. In Fig. 2(b), after subtracting the back-
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**FIG. 2.** Neutron diffraction pattern from a deuterated 1.15-layer $n$-C$_{24}$D$_{50}$ film at a temperature of 295 K: (a) before subtraction of the background scattering from the bare Grafoil substrate and (b) after background subtraction. In (b), several Bragg peaks in the $(h0)$ series of reflections and the (11) peak from the C24 monolayer are visible. The solid line is the best fit to the data in the range of $0.25 < Q < 1.65$ Å$^{-1}$. The graphite (002) intensity is due to imperfect background subtraction.

The background-corrected monolayer diffraction patterns were fit with a Warren lineshape using a refinement program for analyzing the structure of adsorbed polycrystalline films developed by one of us (K.W.H.). This profile analysis program obtains the best fit to the diffraction pattern by indexing it to a RC unit cell and varying the crystalline structural parameters as well as a linear background. The structure parameters include the $a$ and $b$ lattice constants, the coherence lengths $L_a$ and $L_b$ in these directions, and the rotation angles each molecule in the unit cell makes with three primary axes.

**C. MD simulations**

Details of our MD simulations have been described previously. We utilized a united atom model that replaces each methyl (CH$_3$) and methylene (CH$_2$) group by a pseudatom of the same mass located at the group’s carbon atom position. Bonds between pseudatoms were constrained to a length of 1.53 Å, and molecular flexibility was modeled using angle-bend and dihedral-torsion potentials given by Weber. Intermolecular and molecule-substrate interactions were represented by Lennard-Jones 6-12 potentials. The simulations were conducted at constant number of molecules, volume, and temperature (NVT ensemble). They began with all molecules in their all-trans configuration and all bend angles at their equilibrium value of 109.0°. The simulation cell dimensions were selected to be commensurate with the graphite basal plane so that periodic boundary
conditions could be applied. We adjusted the number of molecules and the size of the simulation cell to give a spreading pressure approximately equal to zero for the lower-density monolayer at 50 K. For the higher-density monolayer, we used a larger spreading pressure resulting in a decrease in the monolayer $b$ lattice constant of 7%–8%.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we describe neutron diffraction measurements on vapor-deposited C24 and C32 films at two different coverages: slightly above monolayer completion and slightly below. At the higher coverage, we expected to compress the alkane monolayer fully, thereby replicating the effect of the heptane solvent molecules adsorbing within the monolayer when depositing from solution. In the case of the lower coverage, we wished to determine whether a transition to a denser phase might also occur upon cooling below room temperature.

A. Phase transitions in a film at a coverage just above monolayer completion

Figure 2(b) contains the diffraction pattern measured at room temperature from a 1.15-layer vapor-deposited C24 film where unity coverage is defined to be a complete layer having a rectangular unit cell with dimensions of $a=65$ Å and $b=4.53$ Å. Recall that these cell dimensions correspond to a monolayer structure in which all molecules are oriented with their carbon skeletal plane parallel to the graphite surface, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Profile analysis of the pattern in Fig. 2(b) (solid curve) yielded a denser monolayer structure with lattice parameters of $a=66.0$ Å and $b=8.52$ Å =2$\sqrt{3}$ag, where $a_g=2.46$ Å is the graphite lattice constant. Here, we have assumed that the unit cell is doubled in the $b$-direction due to the alternating orientation of molecules within a lamella [see Fig. 1(d)]. The nearest-neighbor distance of molecules in this direction is 4.26 Å, which is in good agreement with the nearest-neighbor distance found in our previous neutron scattering measurements on both C32 and C24 monolayers deposited from a heptane solution onto graphite.16,19 It is also close to the value of 4.28 Å found previously by x-ray diffraction from a C32 monolayer adsorbed from solution onto a graphite substrate.15

The intensity of the (h0) series of Bragg peaks is too weak in Fig. 2(b) to confirm the alternating orientation of the molecules from the profile analysis of the diffraction pattern as could be done for C24 and C32 monolayers deposited from solution.16,19 However, the agreement of the nearest-neighbor distance of 4.26 Å with that found for solution-deposited monolayers, together with the evidence from MD simulations to be presented below, supports an alternating orientation of the molecules in the 1.15-layer vapor-deposited C24 film.

Thus, we see that a small increment in coverage, just enough to initiate growth of a second layer, has the effect of uniaxially compressing the C24 monolayer into registry with the graphite basal surface. This behavior is the same as that observed for both C24 and C32 monolayers in the presence of a monolayer of C7 solvent.16,19 We suggest, then, that a small fraction of the solvent molecules enter the first layer of the film just as additional C24 molecules would and thereby increase the spreading pressure in the C24 layer. The compressive effect of the solvent forces the C24 layer into registry with the graphite surface in the $b$-direction.

In our earlier study,18 it was difficult to investigate the melting transition of the C24 and C32 monolayers in the presence of the C7 solvent molecules due to their desorption above room temperature. However, the low vapor pressure of the C24 film allows us to measure diffraction patterns from the 1.15-layer sample beginning in the compressed phase at room temperature [Fig. 1(d)] and upon heating up to its melting point. Figure 3 shows a series of diffraction patterns from the 1.15-layer film up to a temperature of 398 K in a range of wave vector transfers $Q$ near the monolayer (11) Bragg peak. The open circles are the data points, and the solid line is the best fit to a Warren line shape.34 As the temperature increases up to 311 K, the (11) peak position remains fixed, indicating a nearly constant $d$-spacing, $d_{11}$, and $b$ lattice constant ($b=d_{11}$ for $a\gg b$). However, we see the (11) peak width increases, corresponding to a decrease in the monolayer coherence length $L_b$ [note that with the large aspect ratio of the rectangular unit cell, the reciprocal lattice vector corresponding to the (11) Bragg reflection is nearly in the $b$ direction]. Above 311 K, thermal expansion begins with the (11) peak shifting to a lower $Q$ but with little change in $L_b$. The thermal expansion continues above 345 K along with a decrease in $L_b$.

The film’s structural parameters upon heating can be viewed more easily in Fig. 4 where we have plotted (a) the $b$ lattice constant and (b) the coherence length $L_b$ as a function of temperature. While the onset of the film’s thermal expansion is clearly seen at $\sim 310$ K [Fig. 4(a)], the temperature dependence of $L_b$ is more revealing of the structural changes.
occurring in the film. As shown in Fig. 4(b), $L_b$ drops continuously from a value of 95 Å at a temperature of 295 K to a value of 35 Å at 320 K and then remains constant up to about 350 K, where it drops to a value of $\sim$16 Å. This behavior of $L_b$ suggested that there could be three monolayer phases: (1) the crystalline phase that is uniaxially commensurate with the graphite surface at room temperature and which begins to expand on heating above $\sim$310 K, (2) an incommensurate intermediate phase characterized by the plateau of $\sim$35 Å in $L_b$ (320 K $\leq T < 350$ K), and (3) an isotropic fluid phase at high temperature ($T > 360$ K).

In support of this interpretation, we note that the coherence length $L_b$ $\sim$35 Å and the range of $b$ lattice constants (4.4–4.6 Å) in the putative intermediate phase are close to those that we have found for vapor-deposited C24 and C32 monolayers at lower coverages and room temperature. Moreover, the drop in coherence length at $\sim$350 K in Fig. 4(b) is qualitatively similar to that observed at the melting transition for the lower-coverage vapor-deposited monolayers [see Fig. 2(b) in Ref. 18].

It is interesting to compare our neutron diffraction results with a study by Askadskaya and Rabe using STM of a C24 monolayer adsorbed on graphite from a phenylmethylcane solution. They found a lattice constant of $b = 4.25$ Å at room temperature close to the value of 4.26 Å for our 1.15-layer C24 film as well as for our C24 and C32 monolayers deposited from solution. Upon heating, they observed the lamella boundaries to broaden continuously until at $\sim$318 K they could not resolve any molecular order. Their apparent transition temperature near 318 K is close to our temperature of 320 K at which we see the coherence length level off in the intermediate phase.

In addition to these STM measurements, both our MD simulations to be discussed below and our quasielastic neutron scattering experiments indicate some translational diffusive motion within the lamellas of C24 monolayers below the melting transition. Therefore, we are motivated to identify the intermediate phase of the 1.15-layer C24 film with a “smectic” phase of shorter-range translational order than the low-temperature crystalline phase and characterized by broadened lamellar boundaries. We note that our use of the term smectic differs somewhat from that of Askadskaya and Rabe who apply it to an apparently metastable monolayer phase of C24 on graphite at 329 K. The molecular mobility in this phase is too high to achieve molecular resolution in the STM images; but they were able, nevertheless, to observe lamellas with the same width and orientation as the low-temperature crystalline phase. Surprisingly, they found that the lamella boundaries in this smectic phase are not broadened as in the smectic phase that we have identified in our MD simulations.

B. Phase transitions in films at a coverage just below monolayer completion

The evidence of three different monolayer phases of the 1.15-layer C24 film presented in Sec. III A suggested that a lower-coverage C24 or C32 film might also exhibit three phases but in a lower temperature range. In particular, a crystalline phase characterized by a larger coherence length might occur at a lower temperature than previously investigated. We therefore began experiments below room temperature on lower-coverage films.

We show diffraction patterns from a 0.94-layer vapor-deposited C24 film in the range of 140–300 K in Fig. 5. As anticipated, we see that the behavior upon heating is qualitatively similar to that of the 1.15-layer C24 film in Fig. 3. The position and width of the (11) peak do not change significantly up to a temperature of $\sim$208 K, at which point the peak begins to shift gradually to a lower $Q$ and broaden abruptly.
Similarly, gradually increases upon heating up to room temperature. The intensity from both coexisting phases was included in the fit of the smectic transition. However, in the temperature range of 208–220 K, which is about 100 K below this behavior as indicating that the 0.94-layer C24 film uncoexists with the crystalline phase close to the crystalline-to-

small fraction of C24 in the lower-density smectic phase that represents this shoulder. We suggest that it is produced by a diffraction pattern from the 0.94-layer C24 film at a temperature of 208 K. On comparing these results with those of the 1.15-layer C24 film in Fig. 4, we interpret this behavior as indicating that the 0.94-layer C24 film undergoes a crystalline-to-smectic phase transition in the temperature range of 208–220 K, which is about 100 K below the corresponding transition temperature for the 1.15-layer film.

We attribute the small upturn in the intensity of the peak of both films is consistent with a smectic-to-fluid transition.17,19 In Fig. 9, we show the shape of the leading edge of the (11) peak. This Gaussian component may be due to coexistence of the low-density phase (see text).

In Fig. 6, we have plotted the temperature dependence of the lattice constant and coherence length $d_b$ for the 0.94-layer C24 film obtained from the best fit to the diffraction patterns shown previously for C24 and C32 monolayers deposited from solution16,17,19 and for our 1.15-layer C24 film. We have found evidence that a submonolayer of the somewhat longer alkane, C32, vapor deposited onto a Grafoil substrate also undergoes a smectic-to-crystalline phase transition upon cooling below room temperature. In Fig. 8, we see that the diffraction pattern at 86 K shows a shift in the position of the (11) peak to higher $Q$ and a decrease in the width of its leading edge compared to the pattern at room temperature. These features are consistent with a transition upon cooling to a uniaxially commensurate monolayer ($b=4.26 \, \text{Å}$) with a longer coherence length ($\approx 100 \, \text{Å}$).

Previous studies have investigated the melting transition of monolayer C24 and C32 films on graphite, which, with our identification of the smectic phase, can now be termed the smectic-to-fluid transition.17,19 In Fig. 9, we show the diffraction patterns above room temperature from vapor-deposited films of (a) 1.00-layer C32 and (b) 0.97-layer C24 from Ref. 17. We find good agreement with the room-temperature diffraction patterns shown previously for C24 and C32 monolayers in Figs. 5 and 8, respectively; the position of the (11) peak of both films is consistent with a smectic phase ($d_{11}=4.6 \, \text{Å}$). As was true for the smectic phase of the 1.15-layer C24 film, the peak position and width are relatively insensitive to temperature up to the melting points of 350 K (C32) and 340 K (C24) where they broaden abruptly and shift gradually to lower $Q$.

In Fig. 10, we summarize in a one-dimensional diagram...
the phase transition temperatures inferred for the lower-coverage (0.94-layer) and higher-coverage (1.15-layer) C24 films. As discussed above, the higher-coverage film exhibits all three monolayer phases, crystalline, smectic, and fluid above room temperature, whereas the lower-coverage film is already in the smectic phase at 300 K. We see that the crystalline-to-smectic phase transition temperature is very sensitive to coverage, occurring at a temperature about 100 K lower in the submonolayer film compared to the fully compressed monolayer (1.15 layers).

C. Comparison with MD simulations

Figure 11 shows “snapshots” from C24 monolayer simulations at three different temperatures. Each snapshot is a top view of the simulation cell containing 32 C24 molecules adsorbed on a graphite basal-plane surface and arranged in four lamellas. The panels on the left correspond to a low-density C24 monolayer phase for which the spreading pressure is approximately zero at a temperature of 50 K; it is intended to simulate a submonolayer C24 film. The panels on the right correspond to a higher-density phase obtained by increasing the spreading pressure.

These simulations provide some insight into the sequence of phase transitions that we have observed for C24 the phase transition temperatures inferred for (a) the lower-coverage (0.94-layer) and (b) higher-coverage (1.15-layer) C24 films. As discussed above, the higher-coverage film exhibits all three monolayer phases, crystalline, smectic, and fluid above room temperature, whereas the lower-coverage film is already in the smectic phase at 300 K. We see that the crystalline-to-smectic phase transition temperature is very sensitive to coverage, occurring at a temperature about 100 K lower in the submonolayer film compared to the fully compressed monolayer (1.15 layers).
densities show relatively well-ordered lamellas of 300 K, the simulation snapshots for both C24 monolayer and C32 monolayers adsorbed on graphite. At a temperature from the simulation of 11084707-8 Diama et al. of 325 K and 12110849 these molecular reorientations are substantiated in Figs. 11(a) and 12110849b, which show the distribution of the pseudoatom distances from the surface calculated from the simulation of (a) the low-density C24 monolayer at a temperature of 325 K and (b) the high-density C24 monolayer at 300 K.

At a higher temperature of 325 K, the snapshots of the simulation cells in Fig. 11(b) show the lamella boundaries beginning to meander in the lower-density simulation and the lamellar structure virtually disappearing at higher density. The greater disorder at the higher density may result from gauche defect creation to relieve the larger spreading pressure. At both densities, the molecules contain a large number of gauche defects still largely confined to the chain ends. There is also a noticeable increase in the intermolecular separation within the lamellas from that at lower temperature. We associate these more poorly ordered lamellar structures with the smectic phase where we observe the coherence length to level off at ~35 Å [see Figs. 4(b) and 6(b)]. For the C24 films, the smectic phase occurs in the temperature range from 318 to 350 K (1.15 layers) and from 220 to 340 K (0.97 layer) as diagrammed in Fig. 10. Again, we note the substantially wider temperature range of the smectic phase at the submonolayer coverage.

At still higher temperature, the lamellar structure of the C24 monolayer disappears at both densities as shown in Fig. 11(c) at 350 K. We identify this change with a transition to a fluid phase. The molecules now have a more globular shape due to the presence of gauche defects in the central region of the molecular chains. The fluid phase is characterized in the diffraction patterns of the C24 films by a decrease in coherence length below ~15 Å, which is observed to occur above 350 K at a coverage of 1.15 layers and above 340 K at a coverage of 0.97 layer (see Fig. 10).

The MD simulations also give some insight into the type of diffusive motion occurring in the smectic phase. From following trajectories of the molecules in the simulation snapshots [not shown in Fig. 11(b)], one finds that the motion of the C24 molecules within a lamella is highly correlated. In particular, there is a tendency of the molecules to translate parallel to their long axis. Evidence of this diffusive motion can also be seen in the center-of-mass velocity autocorrelation functions, $V_x$, $V_y$, and $V_z$, for motion of the molecules in the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions, respectively, as calculated from the simulations. In Fig. 13, we show the velocity correlation functions of a low-density C32 monolayer at 300 K, which we identify as being in the smectic phase. In the $z$-direction normal to the surface, the molecules perform a bouncing motion as indicated by the damped oscillatory behavior of $V_z$. Similarly, in the $y$-direction, the molecules move toward and away from each other so that $V_y$ also has oscillatory behavior but one that is more strongly damped than in the $z$-direction. In contrast, in the $x$-direction that is
nearly parallel to the long axis of the molecule, $V_z$ in Fig. 13, has an exponential decay indicating the molecular motion is diffusive in character.

The presence of highly correlated motion in the smectic phase found in the MD simulations also provides an explanation of why we observe a diffraction peak above ~318 K of the 1.15-layer C24 film even though STM images in a similar film showed no translational order at these temperatures.\(^\text{14}\) Longitudinal diffusive motion parallel to the long molecular axis preserves the nearest-neighbor spacing of the molecules within a lamella well enough for the (11) Bragg peak to be observable in a diffraction experiment, although it is broader and weaker than in the crystalline phase. On the other hand, due to its slow scan speed (~1 ms/line), STM cannot distinguish between the smectic phase in which there is correlated motion within a lamella and a fluid phase.

While the MD simulations are helpful in suggesting the sequence of C24 and C32 monolayer phases observed upon heating as well as reconciling the neutron diffraction and STM measurements, there are some limitations in using them to interpret our neutron diffraction measurements at this point. The simulations of the low-density C24 monolayer in a constant NVT ensemble do not quantitatively reproduce the crystalline-to-smectic phase transition temperature. Also, it is unclear whether the greater spreading pressure used in the high-density simulation actually reproduces the effect of a partial second layer present in the case of the 1.15-layer C24 film. Although we performed a simulation on a 1.15-layer film (i.e., by increasing the number of molecules in the low-density simulation by 15%), the additional molecules did not enter the first layer to form a high-density monolayer upon equilibration at low temperature. In addition, the high-density monolayer simulations did not yield a structure with a unit cell that was uniaxially commensurate with the graphite surface.

\section*{IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION}

Our neutron diffraction measurements on a C24 film having a coverage slightly above monolayer completion show the same structure as that found for a C24 submonolayer deposited from a solution with heptane. We attribute this similarity to an increase in spreading pressure caused by the solvent molecules entering the first layer of the film.

For C24 and C32 monolayers vapor deposited on graphite basal-plane surfaces, we find evidence of three different phases: a crystalline phase at low temperature that transforms upon heating to an intermediate or smectic phase, followed by a melting transition to an isotropic fluid phase. The low-temperature crystalline phase has the same lamellar structure as that of a submonolayer deposited from solution at room temperature. Within a lamella, the molecules alternate between an orientation in which the carbon skeletal plane is parallel to the surface and perpendicular to it, as shown in Fig. 1(d). The smectic phase preserves the lamellar structure of the crystalline phase; however, there is diffusive motion of the molecules within the lamellas. In particular, the lamella boundaries are broadened due to sliding of the molecules in a direction parallel to their long axis. The temperature range over which the smectic phase is stable depends strongly on coverage near monolayer completion.

We speculate that the much lower crystalline-to-smectic phase transition temperature in the 0.94-layer sample (see Fig. 10) may result from nucleation of the smectic phase at the boundaries of submonolayer islands where the molecules have fewer nearest neighbors. This feature is not reproduced in the simulations because the low-density phase is modeled as a homogenous medium filling the simulation cell (see Fig. 11). However, in the 1.15-layer sample, the expansion in the b-direction required for the transition from the crystalline to the smectic phase necessitates promotion of some of the molecules or parts of them to the second layer. This layer promotion could broaden or delay the transition on heating. Again, the simulations could not corroborate this behavior.

To summarize, our present results demonstrate two routes to reaching the crystalline monolayer phases of C24 and C32 with alternating molecular orientations. Beginning...
in the smectic phase at room temperature, one can transform the monolayer to this crystalline phase either by cooling the film or by compressing it through increasing coverage. Our previous results have shown that the necessary compression can also be achieved through the addition of solvent molecules.

We conclude by suggesting that the smectic phase mediating the crystalline and fluid phases of these monolayers of intermediate-length alkanes may be considered as the two-dimensional (2D) analog of the solid rotator phases that precede the melting of three-dimensional alkane crystals. In particular, nanoparticles of C32 supported on a solid surface are believed to undergo a transition from an orthorhombic structure at low temperature to a nearly hexagonal phase in which the molecules diffuse rotationally about their long axis and translate parallel to it. Quasielastic neutron scattering measurements and MD simulations are consistent with both low-temperature crystalline phase. We have presented here indicate that these diffusive motions occur within a 2D lamellar structure characterized by a shorter coherence length than the low-temperature crystalline phase.
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