Origin of nondetectable x-ray diffraction peaks in nanocomposite CuTiZr alloys
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In 1995, the Cu–Ti–Zr–Ni system, the first Cu-rich bulk metallic glass forming alloys,1 was discovered and then Cu–Ti–Zr–Ni–Si and Cu–Ti–Zr–Ni–Sn systems were reported.2–4 Very recently, the report of ternary Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ and Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{15}$Hf$_{25}$ systems,5,6 exhibiting excellent mechanical properties, has triggered considerable research activity in this area.5–26 Especially in the microstructure of the ternary systems,5–12 Inoue et al.,5,6 reported that bulk glassy alloys with a rod diameter of 4 mm can be formed in the ternary Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ and Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{15}$Hf$_{25}$ systems. However, only the x-ray diffraction (XRD) technique was applied to characterize the samples. Louzguine and Inoue7,8 further studied the microstructures of the samples annealed at various stages using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Formation of nanocrystals in annealed samples was observed. Electron microscopic studies9–11 on both as-cast and as-spun Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ samples clearly demonstrated that as-prepared samples contain significant volume fraction (about 5%–10%) of nanocrystals with diameters ranging from 5 to 15 nm. It is concluded that the as-prepared ternary samples are classified as nanocomposites: nanocrystals embedded in an amorphous matrix. However, one experimental fact reported in the literature5–12 still remains a puzzle for the community, i.e., no diffraction peaks from the nanocrystalline component were detected in the XRD patterns recorded for the as-cast or as-spun Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ alloys. In this letter, we uncover the origin of the puzzle by performing TEM, XRD and differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) measurements of as-prepared and annealed Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ and Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{20}$Zr$_{20}$ alloys.

Alloy ingots with the composition of Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ and Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{15}$Hf$_{25}$ were prepared by arc melting pure metals of 99.99% copper, 99.9% zirconium, and 99.9% titanium in a purified argon atmosphere. Cylindrical Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ and Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{20}$Zr$_{20}$ samples of 2.5 mm in diameter were prepared by a copper mold casting method. Ribbons of Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ and Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{20}$Zr$_{20}$ samples were prepared by the melt-spinning method. Heating treatments of the as-cast rod was carried out in a vacuum of $10^{-5}$ mbar at 708 K for various times from 0 to 42.3 ks. Room-temperature XRD measurements were carried out with Cu $K_{a}$ radiation and in situ high-temperature XRD measurements were carried out at beamline Petra1, HASYLAB in Hamburg, Germany, using a wavelength of 0.3542 Å and an image plate detector. Samples in capillary tubes with a vacuum of $10^{-5}$ mbar were heated with a heating rate of 3 K/min. Thermal analyses were performed in a Seiko DSC6300 DSC at a heating rate of 0.33 K/s under a flow of purified argon. Both Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10}$Zr$_{30}$ and Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{20}$Zr$_{20}$ ribbon samples show a similar thermal behavior. The microstructures of the alloy were examined by using field-emission gun high-resolution transmission electron microscopy with an accelerating voltage of 300 kV.
(JEOL JEM-3000F). TEM specimens were prepared by low-energy ion milling at 2.5 kV and 5 mA with liquid nitrogen cooling.

Figure 1 shows DSC curves and the time dependence of the first and second exothermic peak areas for the as-cast and annealed Cu_{60}Ti_{10}Zr_{30} rods. The DSC curve for the as-cast rod is in good agreement with data reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{5-12} With increasing annealing time, the enthalpy of the first exothermic peak monotonically decreases from 25 J/g for the as-cast rod to about 5 J/g for the sample annealed for 7.8 ks, while the second exothermic peak remains unchanged within experimental uncertainty. With further increasing annealing time, the second exothermic peak starts to decrease and its position shifts to low temperatures. For 42.3 ks, the first exothermic peak disappears and the enthalpy of the second exothermic peak has a 35% reduction. Figure 2 shows TEM dark-field images of the as-cast Cu_{60}Ti_{10}Zr_{30} rod and the sample annealed at 708 K for 7.8 ks. The nanocomposite microstructure for the as-cast rod is seen to consist of nanocrystals ranging 7–15 nm embedded in an amorphous matrix, which is consistent with the data reported in Refs. 9–11. Two striking features were detected from the image for the annealed sample: (1) the sample is dominated by nanocrystals and (2) the average size of nanocrystals of about 5 nm is smaller than that for the as-cast rod. The results strongly suggest that the first exothermic peak in Fig. 1 may be due to the primary nanocrystallization of the amorphous matrix of the as-cast sample. Figure 3 shows XRD patterns of as-cast Cu_{60}Ti_{10}Zr_{30} rod and samples annealed at 708 K for various times. It is surprisingly found that samples annealed at 708 K for a time less than 8 ks have a similar XRD pattern with the as-cast rod although the enthalpy of the first exothermic peak drops by 80% as compared to the as-cast rod in Fig. 1. No crystalline diffraction peaks were detected in the sample annealed at 708 K for 7.8 ks. By fitting the broad peak located at $2\theta \approx 40^\circ$ using both Lorentz and Gauss line profiles, it is clear that the width at the half maximum (FWHM) in Fig. 3(b)(ii) decreases by a factor about 16% from as-cast to the sample annealed for 7.8 ks. The XRD pattern [Fig. 3(c)] recorded for the sample annealed for 42.3 ks shows diffraction peaks, which can be indexed to a hexagonal phase (hereafter marked H2), space group $P6_3/mmc$ (194) and lattice parameters $a = 5.105$ Å and $c = 8.231$ Å. We believe that this crystalline phase corresponds to the reduction of the second exothermic peak in Fig. 1. \textit{In situ} high temperature XRD measurements for both Cu_{60}Ti_{10}Zr_{30} and Cu_{60}Ti_{20}Zr_{20} ribbons were performed. Both alloys have similar DSC curves, indicating a similar crystallization process.\textsuperscript{11,12} It found that the first detectable diffraction peaks for the Cu_{60}Ti_{10}Zr_{30} glass are from the H2 phase. However, for the Cu_{60}Ti_{20}Zr_{20} glass, the first detectable diffraction peaks are not from the H2 phase, as shown in Fig. 4. The peak recorded at 741 K looks very broad, similar to an amorphous-like pattern, but slight narrower than the pattern recorded at 295 K. The intensity at $2\theta = 10^\circ$ is higher than the pattern recorded at 295 K. Diffraction peaks, superimposed on the broadened amorphous peak, appear at 756 K, which can be indexed as a new hexagonal phase (hereafter marked H1), space group $P6/m$ (175) and lattice parameters $a = 11.235$ Å and $c = 8.271$ Å. This phase is similar to a Cu_{51}Zr_{14} phase. At temperatures above 790 K, the H2 phase appears. We further simulated the XRD patterns for the pure H1 phase with various grain sizes as shown in Fig. 4(b), in which we did not take into account defects and strain effects. For small grain sizes, diffraction peaks for the H1 phase strongly overlap. Consequently, the simulated patterns for grains less than 5 nm look indeed similar to an amorphous-like pattern as the pattern recorded at 741 K in Fig. 4(a). The peak width at around $2\theta = 8.8^\circ$ does get narrower as shown in Fig. 3(b) and the intensity at $2\theta = 10^\circ$ does increase as observed in Fig. 4(a). Note that defects, e.g., nonstoichiometric composition, interfaces, and microstrain, which most likely exist in the nanocomposite alloys, could further broaden diffraction peaks. Therefore, although the TEM results in Fig. 2 reveal that the average grain size of nanocrystals in the Cu_{60}Ti_{10}Zr_{30} rod sample annealed at 708 K for 7.8 ks is about 5 nm, diffraction peaks from the H1 phase could still not be visible, as experimentally observed in Fig. 3. It also found that the average crystal size of the H1 phase in the Cu_{50}Ti_{20}Zr_{20} alloy is larger than that in the Cu_{60}Ti_{10}Zr_{30} alloy, which results in the appearance of diffraction peaks from the H1 phase prior to the H2 phase in the XRD patterns in the Cu_{50}Ti_{20}Zr_{20} alloy. In addition, Xing et al.\textsuperscript{27}
observed a similar phenomenon in a nanostructured Zr$_{54.5}$Ti$_{7.5}$Cu$_{20}$Ni$_{58}$Al$_{10}$ alloy, in which they also suggested the particle size effect for the undetectable x-ray diffraction peaks for quasicrystals.

In conclusion, microscopic structures of Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10-x}$Zr$_{30}$ alloy (x = 0 and 10) alloys have been investigated by TEM, XRD, and DSC. The first crystalline phase formed during constant rate heating is a Cu$_{51}$Zr$_{14}$-like phase [space group P6$_3$/mmc (194) and lattice parameters $a = 8.231$Å and $c = 8.271$Å] with nanometer-sized grains. The first exothermic peak found in DSC curves corresponds to the amorphous-to-nanocrystalline Cu$_{51}$Zr$_{14}$-like phase transition. Due to overlapping of the diffraction peaks, XRD is unable to distinguish a single amorphous phase from the nanocomposite of Cu$_{51}$Zr$_{14}$-like nanocrystals (with small grain sizes and defects) embedded in an amorphous matrix. The second crystalline phase is also a hexagonal phase, space group P6$_3$/mc (194) and lattice parameters $a = 5.105$ Å and $c = 8.231$ Å, which occurs at the second exothermic peak found in DSC curves. The puzzle in the CuZrTi system, i.e., that no diffraction peaks from the nanocrystalline component were detected in the XRD patterns recorded for the as-cast or as-spun Cu$_{60}$Ti$_{10-x}$Zr$_{30}$ alloy and for the alloys annealed at lower temperatures, in which the enthalpy of the first exothermic peak has a significant reduction, has been solved.