Optical label encoding using electroabsorption modulators and investigation of chirp properties
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Abstract—A novel scheme of optical label encoding by wavelength conversion based on electroabsorption modulators (EAMs) is reported. Based on the experimental observations, the chirp properties of the wavelength-converted signal are discussed and a wide dynamic range of the chirp \( \alpha \)-parameter is found allowed. Compared with cross-gain modulation (XGM) in a semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA), the EAM has several advantages, which make it attractive for optical label encoding or other applications as a wavelength converter.
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I. INTRODUCTION

ULTRA-HIGH speed data-centric networks will likely evolve into an Internet Protocol (IP) network on a wavelength-division-multiplexing (WDM) physical infrastructure [1]. With respect to efficiency and cost effectiveness, new switching technologies are required to route individual packets without converting the packet from optical to electrical format. All-optical packet switching is therefore most likely to be the key technology for the implementation of future IP-over-WDM networks [2]. In addition to the optical wavelength that can serve as an optical label in the multiple protocol wavelength switched (MPAS) scheme, a second level of optical label is still necessary for provisioning, maintaining, and restoring switched light-paths. This second level optical label can be realized by subcarrier multiplexing (SCM) [2], [3] or by an orthogonal modulation format [4]–[8] combining amplitude shift keying (ASK) and differential phase shift keying (DPSK) of a single carrier.

Based on the two-level optical label, all-optical processing may be used to realize the wavelength swapping as well as the adding and dropping of the second level label. One promising all-optical processor is the electroabsorption modulator (EAM) [9], [10], which has proven to be a versatile component in ultra fast WDM and OTDM systems with its ability to perform several different functionalities, yet remaining a simple structure. Recently, various all-optical functionalities based on cross-absorption modulation (XAM) [11], [12] have been demonstrated, such as demultiplexing [13]–[15], wavelength conversion [14], [16]–[18] and all-optical regeneration [19]–[22].

In this paper we report, for the first time to the best of our knowledge, on a novel optical label encoding scheme employing EAM-based wavelength conversion. The two-level labeled signals consist of a 10 Gb/s ASK payload and a 2.5 Gb/s DPSK label. Through wavelength conversion based on an EAM, the payload is duplicated on a new wavelength, which in advance has been phase-modulated with a new DPSK label, while the old labels, i.e., the original wavelength and the DPSK data on the original wavelength, are completely dropped off. Our experiment also provides a simple approach to evaluate the phase distortion and thus the frequency chirp of the converted signal. By comparing the experimental data with simulated results using a simple model for the chirp, we arrive at an estimate for the chirp \( \alpha \)-parameter. The influence of dynamic changes of the \( \alpha \)-parameter is analyzed.

This paper is organized as follows. Experimental set-up and results are given in Section II. A chirp model of EAMs is presented and used to analyze its impact on the phase detection in Section III. In Section IV, we compare the wavelength conversion characteristics of an EAM and an SOA, and possible advantages of the EAM are discussed. Conclusions and acknowledgment are given in Section V and VI, respectively.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

The experimental set-up is shown in Fig. 1. A continuous-wave (CW) light beam generated by tuneable laser #1 (TL1) working at 1550 nm is intensity-modulated by a Mach–Zehnder (MZ) interferometer with a PRBS pattern length of \( 2^4 - 1 \) forming a nonreturn-to-zero (NRZ) signal at.
10 Gb/s that serves as the pump, hereafter referred to as an amplitude shift keyed (ASK) signal. Wavelength conversion is performed through cross-absorption modulation (XAM) induced by the pump beam on a probe signal. The probe beam is generated by tuneable laser #2 (TL2) working at 1555 nm and is phase-modulated at 2.5 Gb/s with a pseudorandom bit sequence (PRBS) pattern length of $2^7 - 1$, hereafter referred to as a differential-phase-shift-keyed (DPSK) signal. Parasitic phase modulation in the EAM, due to refractive index changes induced by the ASK-pump signal, will thus affect the quality of the DPSK signal. The optical power of the pump and probe beams are 20 and 10 dBm, respectively. The reverse bias of the EAM is $-2.4$ V. After wavelength conversion, the probe beam is filtered out through an optical filter with a bandwidth of 1.6 nm. In the case of conversion to the same wavelength, counterpropagation of the pump and probe can be used to separate the original and the converted signals. Using a 3 dB coupler, the converted signal is divided into two arms, one for intensity detection and the other for phase detection. The phase detector is a fiber-based delay interferometer (DI) consisting of two 3-dB couplers, which converts the DPSK modulation as well as the frequency chirp into intensity variation. One arm of the interferometer is 8 cm longer than the other, corresponding to an extra time delay of 400 ps. The transmission loss difference between the two arms is very small ($\sim 0.17$ dB), therefore no loss imbalance effect is observed on the DPSK detection.

The EAMs used in this paper are multiple-quantum-well (MQW) devices with 10–15 quantum wells. The fiber-to-fiber loss at zero bias is about 16 dB for an uncoated device.

Fig. 2 depicts the ASK eye diagrams of the original signal and the converted signal, clearly showing that the ASK information is successfully duplicated onto the probe beam. Since both mark bits and space bits of the wavelength converted signal carry phase information, the power level of space bits should not be too low to facilitate detection of the phase variation. Therefore a relatively low extinction ratio (ER) of the converted signal is required. Theoretically, an ER up to 9.5 dB is allowed for DPSK detection. In our experiment, however, we adjust the ER to be about 3.5 dB because the fiber-based DI is temperature and polarization sensitive and therefore difficult to optimize. Compared to a normal ASK signal with an ER of 10 dB, the power penalty due to low ER is measured to be $\sim 6$ dB. The ER of the ASK signal can be improved by using a high-stability DPSK detector and/or a balanced receiver.

The DPSK eye diagrams before and after wavelength conversion are shown in Fig. 3; open eyes of the converted signal are observed. As expected, however, the upper eyelids are broadened considerably while the lower eyelids get only slightly thicker. This can be attributed to the 256 different combinations of ASK bits in one DPSK bit-time of the two arms of the DI, as will be discussed in the following section.

BER measurements as shown in Fig. 4 confirm that the ASK and DPSK components of the converted signal can both have BERs as low as $10^{-9}$, and optical label encoding/recognition is...
Fig. 4. BER of the payload and the label before and after wavelength conversion.

thus successfully realized. The converted signal is then transmitted over either 50-km SMF or 80-km NZDSF; in both cases error-free operation was obtained for the payload as well as the label.

III. PULSE CHIRP ANALYSIS

In this section the influence of the frequency chirp of the wavelength converted signal on the DPSK demodulation will be discussed. Following this discussion, our experimental results will be used to evaluate the chirp.

In the delay interferometer one arm provides an extra delay of 400 ps to the signal, i.e. one bit-time of the DPSK signal, before it is combined with the other arm at the output. The interference between the two arms is generally governed by

\[ E = P_A e^{j(\omega t + \phi_1)} + P_B e^{j(\omega t + \phi_2)} \]  
\[ P = |E|^2 = P_A + P_B + 2\sqrt{P_A P_B} \cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \]

where \( E \) denotes the output electric field, \( P \) the compound optical power, and \( \omega \) the optical angular frequency. \( P_A \) and \( \phi_1 \) are the optical power and the phase of one arm, \( P_B \) and \( \phi_2 \) of the other arm. \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dpsk}} \) is the phase difference between the two arms induced by the original DPSK modulation and \( \Delta \phi_{\text{chirp}} \) is the phase difference caused by chirp originating from wavelength conversion (i.e., XAM in the EAM).

In one DPSK bit-time, four ASK bits of one arm overlap with their counterpart in the other arm, resulting in a total of 256 combinations. This is understandable because each arm has \( 2^4 \) (= 16) combinations of ASK bits and a direct calculation leads to \( 16 \times 16 = 256 \). However, some combinations have the same consequence, e.g., (1100:0011) and (0011:1100) make no difference, this leads to a dividing factor of 2. Since the DPSK modulation results in a zero or \( \pi \) phase difference between the two arms, they may interfere constructively or destructively, resulting in a multiplicative factor of 2. These two factors counteract each other leading to a total number of 256.

If a photodiode with a bandwidth of 2.5 GHz is used for the detection of the DPSK label, power levels averaged within one DPSK bit-time will be shown due to the slow response, instead of the subtle ASK structure. The averaged power levels depend on the number of the mark bits involved in the interference of the two arms, but different combinations may have different consequences even with the same number of mark bits. For instance, considering the case of 4 mark bits under constructive interference, three different average power levels result from (1111:0000), (1110:1000) and (1100:1100) according to (2), while all other possibilities of combinations do not generate any new levels. This corresponds to the number of different unordered possibilities for filling \( r \) slots by \( n \) different elements. The solution for this problem is shown in (3)

\[ A(n, r) = C_{n+r-1}^r \]

Table I shows the power levels formed in DPSK eye diagrams due to ASK pulse interference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASK Bits</th>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 PSK phase shift between two arms:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm 1</td>
<td>Arm 2</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{4}P_A + \frac{1}{4}P_B + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{P_A P_B} \cos(\Delta \phi_{\text{chirp}}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PSK phase shift between two arms: | | |
| Arm 1 | Arm 2 | \( \frac{1}{4}P_A + \frac{1}{4}P_B - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{P_A P_B} \cos(\Delta \phi_{\text{chirp}}) \) |
| 1 | 0 | Four |
| 0 | 1 | Four |
| 0 | 0 | Five |

In our case, \( r \) is the number of ASK bits in one DPSK bit-time and equals 4, and \( n \) is the number of levels within one ASK bit-time. As will be shown in Table I, \( n \) equals 3 for constructive interference and 2 for destructive interference. It is thus found that \( C_3^0 \) (= 15) power levels result from constructive interference and \( C_2^3 \) (= 5) power levels from destructive interference.

For the purpose of chirp investigation, however, it is convenient to express the interference on the basis of a single ASK bit. The identification of the various signal levels and the corresponding power are given in Table I. Here \( P_1 \) and \( P_0 \) denote the optical power of "1" b and "0" b of the ASK signal, respectively. The three higher levels form the upper eyelids and the two smaller levels form the lower eyelid. As seen in Table I only level 2 and level 4 are influenced by chirp-induced phase distortion.

The calculated internal structure of DPSK eyes under zero chirp is shown in Fig. 5 (left), where four ASK bit-times within one DPSK bit-time are depicted. The measured DPSK eye diagrams (right) are also given for a direct comparison. Here, the
ER of the ASK signal is taken from experimental data recorded when the DPSK eyes were measured.

As indicated in Table I, five distinct power levels result due to the interference between the two arms of the DPSK demodulator. However, as seen in the right part of Fig. 5, level 2 of the measured DPSK eyes is not distinguished. This is well understood and happens because the DPSK and ASK signals are not synchronized in our set-up. Therefore, only level 4, as one of the chirp-sensitive levels, can be investigated for comparison purposes. A later discussion will reveal that, compared to level 2, the impact of level 4 on the DPSK eyes is more important due to its immediate contribution to the closure of the DPSK eyes. Fig. 5 also indicates certain disagreement in level 4 between the left and right parts, suggesting the existence of frequency chirp.

A. Constant Chirp $\alpha$-Parameter

To gain a basic understanding of how the frequency chirp influences the DPSK demodulation we first employ the assumption of a constant value for the chirp $\alpha$-parameter during an ASK pulse. Equation (4) defines the chirp $\alpha$-parameter according to [23], as follows:

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{\alpha}{2P} \frac{dP}{dt}$$

where $\varphi$ and $P$ denote the instantaneous phase and intensity of the output light, respectively.

Since this definition, initially defined for electrical modulation of an EAM, expresses the frequency chirp in terms of optical pulse characteristics (intensity and phase), it can also be used for optical modulation occurring in an EAM, e.g., wavelength conversion.

The simulation results under various constant chirp $\alpha$ values are shown in Fig. 6. It is found that in the presence of chirp, level 4 increases and level 2 decreases, corresponding to an “attraction” of the two chirp-sensitive levels. From this observation it becomes apparent that the change of level 4 is dominant in degrading the DPSK eyes, especially under small frequency chirp [Fig. 6(a)] when level 2 has no contribution at all. From Fig. 6 we find that the DPSK eyes tend to close as the chirp $\alpha$-parameter increases. If the absolute value of $\alpha$ reaches 0.68, the DPSK eyes become completely closed, as shown in (b). Then it becomes evident that chirp-induced phase distortion is naturally detrimental to DPSK demodulation and will ultimately make the DPSK signal levels indistinguishable; this also means the chirp must be reasonably small ($|\alpha| < 0.68$) if the DPSK eyes are to be clearly open.
B. Varying Chirp $\alpha$-Parameter

Now we consider a more realistic case with the chirp $\alpha$-parameter varying along the ASK pulses. Our earlier measurement of the chirp induced by optical-to-optical (o/o) modulation in an EAM [24], as shown in Fig. 7, suggests a linear variation of the $\alpha$-parameter for reverse voltages lower than 3 V. In this measurement we modified the fiber response method proposed by Devaux [25] by inserting an EAM-based wavelength converter into the transmission line; then the chirp $\alpha$-parameter of the converted signal can be measured with the same principle designed for electrical-to-optical modulation.

If we assume that the change of the effective field seen by the probe beam instantaneously follows the power change of the pump beam, due to the screening effect of pump-photon-generated carriers, then the small signal $\alpha$ will have a profile similar to the pump pulse. This is illustrated in Fig. 8, where the normalized pulse is given for reference.

We set the start value of $\alpha$ corresponding to the highest electrical field, i.e., lowest pump power, to be $-0.1$. Then with the maximum chirp $\alpha$ as a variable parameter, we calculate the internal structure of the DPSK eye diagrams as shown in Fig. 9. It is found that a much wider dynamic range of chirp $\alpha$ is allowed than for the case of constant $\alpha$ parameter. Thus, as seen in Fig. 9(b), the DPSK eyes completely close for a maximum of 3.6, whereas in the case of constant $\alpha$ we obtained 0.68.

In case of PRBS where successive “1”s or “0”s may occur with various pattern length, the chirp $\alpha$-parameters have more complex profiles depending on the patterns. The leading and trailing edges of various patterns still follow the model presented in this sub-section while the flat top regions resulting from more than one mark bit acquire constant chirp $\alpha$ values. However, according to (4), the flat top regions have negligible contribution to the phase change ($\Delta \phi_{\text{chirp}}$) due to the zero amplitude variation ($dP/dt = 0$). Therefore only the two edges of different
patterns need to be considered, as addressed in the previous discussion.

Our experimental results are compared to this varying chirp \( \alpha \)-parameter model which applies to an EAM. The fairly good agreement between Fig. 9(a) and the measured DPSK eye diagrams (Fig. 5, right-hand side) suggests an approximate range of chirp \( \alpha \) from \(-0.1\) to \(1.6\) for EAM-based wavelength conversion.

Based on the above discussion, we conclude that phase distortion induced by wavelength conversion may be very harmful to DPSK detection of the converted signal. For a constant value of \( \alpha \) a restricted small value is required. However, in the case of using an EAM, where \( \alpha \) varies dynamically along the wavelength converted pulse, the requirements are relaxed and may be met by a carefully designed EAM.

In addition, when using an EAM as a label encoder (wavelength converter in our scheme), operational parameters like wavelength and reverse bias play an important role in practical cases. With reference to our previous investigations [26], higher pump power (up to \( \sim 20 \) dBm) and larger reverse bias (up to \( \sim 2.5 \) V) are generally desirable in terms of conversion efficiency and frequency chirp. Longer probe wavelengths lead to high conversion efficiency (high extinction ratio) in contrast to shorter wavelengths, while the latter is preferred for low-chirp operation.

IV. DISCUSSION

Compared to SOAs, which have been used extensively for wavelength conversion through cross gain modulation in the single pass configuration [27], EAMs have several advantages.

First, an EAM allows wavelength conversion with direct data mapping from the pump to the probe beam without any data inversion.

Second, an EAM offers low chirp operation. In our optical labeling/recognition experiment where attempts were also made to use an SOA for label encoding, we found that wavelength conversion based on an SOA distorted the phase of the probe beam to the extent that it was impossible to detect the DPSK signal.

V. CONCLUSION

We have reported on a novel optical label encoding/recognition scheme using EAM-based wavelength conversion, where an orthogonal modulation method is employed for generating the optical packets. We also investigate the chirp properties of the converted signal and analyze its influence on the phase detection. In case of using an EAM as a wavelength converter, we found the phase distortion due to chirp to be relatively small. This is attributed to the variation of \( \alpha \) with voltage, allowing relatively high values for the maximum value of \( \alpha \).
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