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Abstract—The electrical behavior of anisotropic BSCCO single crystals is modeled by mutually coupled long Josephson junctions. For the basic fluxon modes with one fluxon per layer, the fluxons will arrange themselves in an anti-phase configuration (triangular lattice) because of the mutual repulsion. We are interested in the in-phase modes (square lattice) desired for many potential applications. We consider two mechanisms (i) intrinsic locking by out of phase oscillations at the trailing edge and (ii) locking by an external high-\(Q\) resonator with a resonance frequency corresponding to fluxon in-phase motion. The resulting model is a set of coupled nonlinear partial differential equations. By direct numerical simulations we have demonstrated that the qualitative behavior of the combined intrinsic Josephson junction and cavity system can be understood on the basis of general concepts of nonlinear oscillators interacting with a resonator. For some region of the parameter space it is possible to reach the desired synchronous state, making the system potentially suitable for applications. We also consider the system in the flux flow mode under a high magnetic field.

Index Terms—BSCCO, cavity, fluxons, THz oscillator.

I. INTRODUCTION

RECENTLY attempts to fabricate a microwave oscillator based on fluxon motion in intrinsic Josephson junctions of the highly anisotropic BSCCO type have been reported [1]. The frequency range is potentially in the hundreds of gigahertz—or even terahertz range. The basic physical mechanism to be exploited is the emission of electromagnetic radiation by a Josephson fluxon when it hits an edge of the junction. In an intrinsic junction there are many junctions on top of each other and potentially the power can be enhanced by several orders of magnitude if in-phase motion of the fluxons in the different layers can be obtained. Such coherent fluxon motion is sometimes referred to as the ‘square lattice’ situation, and has been observed experimentally in BSCCO single crystals [1]. The difficulty in obtaining in-phase motion arises from the fact that same polarity fluxons basically repel each other and therefore would rather favor anti-phase motion. In this paper we discuss two mechanisms that may contribute to in-phase fluxon motion: (i) intrinsic nonlinearities leading to oscillations in the fluxon line shape and (ii) frequency locking using a cavity with a resonance frequency corresponding to the in-phase fluxon frequency. The latter mechanism has been observed experimentally in BSCCO single crystals [1].

The standard model for inductively coupled stacks is a set of Partial Differential Equations coupled via the magnetic flux [7]:

\[
\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \phi_{\text{ext}}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & S & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ S & 1 & S & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & S \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & S & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(1)

where

\[
J_i = \phi_{it}^{\hat{i}} + \alpha \phi_t^{\hat{i}} + \sin \phi_t^{\hat{i}} - \gamma_i
\]

(2)

Here \(\phi_t\) is the gauge-invariant phase difference across junction \(i\), \(\alpha = (1/R_j) \sqrt{\hbar/2eI_0C_j}\) is the dissipation parameter, \(R_j\), \(I_0\), and \(C_j\) are the normal resistance, the critical current and the capacitance, respectively, \(\gamma_i^+\) is the bias current normalized to the critical current \(I_0\) of the individual junctions and the normalized coupling term among the junctions in the stack reads

\[
S = \lambda \phi_t^{\hat{i}} \sinh(t/\lambda_L), \quad d = d + 2\phi_t^{\hat{i}} \sin(t/\lambda_L) \] [7]. We only consider the case where \(\gamma_i^+ = \gamma_3^+ \equiv \gamma^+\). Time is normalized to the cavity as shown schematically in Fig. 1. Preliminary work in that direction has recently been reported in [6]. In the last section we apply a large magnetic field through the boundary conditions and investigate the ordering of the flux lattice in the flux flow mode.

II. THE BSCCO MODEL

The standard model for inductively coupled stacks is a set of Partial Differential Equations coupled via the magnetic flux [7]:
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Fig. 1. Geometry of the Josephson stack (top) and schematic drawing of the BSCCO—cavity system (bottom).
the inverse of the Josephson frequency \( \omega_j = \frac{\sqrt{2eI_0/\pi C_j}}{2} \) and space with respect to the Josephson length \( \lambda_j = \sqrt{\pi/2e\mu_0d^2f} \). The resonator at one edge of the junctions (see Fig. 1) gives a boundary condition [7] expressing that the spatial derivative of the phase is equal to the current fed by the resonator, i.e.,

\[
\frac{\partial \phi^i(L,t)}{\partial x} = \Gamma^i \tag{3}
\]

Here \( \Gamma^i = \dot{I}/I_0 \) is the normalized current at the right hand side of the LJJ (see Fig. 1). Such current is connected to the normalized (with respect to \( I_0/\omega_j \)) charge \( q \) in the capacitor of the RLC circuit via the equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^i &= \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{2a}{\Omega} \frac{d^2q}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{dq}{dt} \right) + \frac{C}{N} \phi^i_0(L,t) \\
&= \frac{\ddot{q}}{N} - \frac{\dot{q}}{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \phi^k_0(L,t) - \phi^l_0(L,t) \right)
\end{align*}
\tag{4}
\]

Here \( \Omega \) is the cavity resonance frequency normalized to the Josephson frequency \( \omega_j \), \( \Omega^{-1} = \sqrt{NC_0L_0^2} \), \( Q \) its quality factor, \( a = \Omega^2/2Q \) is the dissipation in the cavity and \( c \) is the total capacitance normalized to the Josephson capacitance, \( c = NC_0/C_j \). The charge in the resonator is given by the standard linear equation for the charge of a RLC circuit:

\[
\frac{d^2q}{dt^2} + 2a \frac{dq}{dt} + \Omega^2 q = \Omega^2 \frac{C}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi^j_0(L,t) \tag{5}
\]

Eqs. (1)–(5) have been integrated with a standard fourth (sometimes fifth) order Runge-Kutta routine for the time dependence. The spatial derivative has been approximated by the two-point discrete finite difference for the first derivative and the three-point finite difference for the second derivative.

### III. Numerical Results—Intrinsic Locking

Fig. 2 shows some results for an intrinsic Josephson stack without any coupling to a cavity. We have chosen the smallest nontrivial stack, \( N = 3 \), and kept the length short in order to discover the essentials of the problem without needing too excessive calculations. In the following we will need the characteristic velocities for electromagnetic waves in the stack considered as a linear resonator. For an \( N \)-stack there are \( N \) characteristic velocities for the \( N \) different modes of propagation for linear modes. These velocities depend on the coupling parameter \( S \), and the simple formula for calculating these velocities may be found for example in [7]. Here we will consider only the so-called in-phase velocity \( C^+ \) and the anti-phase velocity \( C^- \), which for the case of \( N = 3 \) are given by:

\[
C^\pm = \frac{C^0}{\sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{2S}}} , \quad C^0 = \sqrt{\mu_0d^2C_j} \tag{6}
\]

Fig. 2 shows for \( N = 3 \) the two most important plasma oscillation modes out of the three possible. As was demonstrated in [8] the fundamental fluxon modes lend their symmetry from the simpler plasma modes, and the figure also shows the fundamental fluxon modes together with the plasma modes of similar symmetry. Fig. 2 also defines the difference between in-phase modes (‘square lattice’) and anti-phase mode (‘triangular lattice’). The first column of Fig. 2 shows how the anti-phase mode with essentially undisturbed fluxons manifests itself in the three junctions. The top/bottom fluxons move together but in anti-phase with the center fluxon. This is the stable and natural mode since the fluxons in the different layers repel each other. The desired mode for microwave applications is the in-phase mode seen in the second column. As can be seen, although the three fluxons move coherently, the natural fluxon pulse shape is modified by strong oscillations in the trailing edge. We note that these oscillations are in anti-phase in adjacent layers such that a maximum in one layer correspond to a minimum in the neighboring layer. Such oscillations are intrinsic to the nonlinear equations and have been noted very early in connection with soliton locking [9]. Here it was noted that with 2 solitons (fluxons) on the same line, the solitons could bunch by a mechanism in which a soliton was trapped in the potential created by trailing oscillations of the other soliton. Also similar oscillations have been seen in connection with the so-called Cerenkov oscillations in Josephson stacks [10]. The appearance of such anti-phase oscillations is a dynamic effect and is the mechanism that keeps fluxons phase locked in spite of their natural repulsion. This mechanism exists even for the stack without a cavity; but as we shall see later, a resonant cavity represents another locking mechanism that may enhance in-phase motion. The third mode (not shown) has the top and bottom fluxons and plasmons in anti-phase and the middle junction has neither a fluxon or a plasma oscillation [8].

To get an understanding of the nature of the trailing edge oscillations we note that for \( N = 3 \) an approximate analytical
expression can be derived [8]. We assume the phases of the top/bottom and middle junctions respectively are expressed by
\[
\phi^1 = \phi + \delta \phi = \phi^3 \\
\phi^2 = \phi - \kappa \delta \phi
\]
Inserting this in (1) we find
\[
\delta \phi \approx e^{\delta \xi} (A_1 \cos(\omega \xi) + A_2 \sin(\omega \xi)), \quad \kappa = \sqrt{2} \tag{7}
\]
with \(\xi \equiv x - x_0 - vt\) and where \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are some unknown constants. A plot showing the above formula together with a numerical solution of the full system can be seen in [8]. Such damped anti-phase oscillations can also be seen in the second column of Fig. 2. The analytical result shows what happens if we have the bunched state and then introduces some small difference between the top/bottom and center junction. The system reacts with anti-phase oscillations in the two junctions trying to preserve fluxon locking, for example with \(\phi^2\) being trapped in a local minimum of \(\phi^3\). The appearance of an amplitude of \(\sqrt{2}\) in the amplitude of \(\phi^2\) is a natural consequence of the middle layer interacting with both the top and bottom layers. Several authors have investigated this locking scenario i.e. for example [11].

The role of the oscillations discussed for \(N = 3\) are generic for the locking mechanism leading to in-phase fluxon motion.

As discussed above the basic idea is that the fluxons get locked in the in-phase configuration through the trailing edge oscillations. Since the fluxons in the neighboring layers naturally repel each other there is a competition between the two mechanisms. With more and more layers in the stack it was found in [8] that particularly the top and bottom junctions has a tendency to switch to the McCumber curve and thus loose the fluxons in the outer layers. Such an example is shown in Fig. 3 for a 6 layer stack. Fluxons in junctions 2,3,4,5 are in phase—locked together by the anti phase trailing oscillations (2, 5 and 3, 4 are identical for reasons of symmetry). In layers 1, 6 the anti-phase trailing oscillations were not sufficient to lock these fluxons, and the top and bottom junctions switched to finite voltage. (For clarity we show here the phase \(\phi\) rather than the voltage \(\phi_t\).) It is however important to note that the fluxon structure from the internal junctions are repeated in the switched top/bottom junctions. Thus in the voltage \(\phi_t\) there would still be an in-phase voltage pulse—although with a slightly reduced amplitude. When the total voltage is found by adding all the voltage pulses from all the junctions, we find that the switching of the top and bottom junctions has negligible effect on the amplitude of the total voltage pulse, and thus on the potential power to be generated in the oscillator mode [12].

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS—LOCKING BY EXTERNAL RESONATOR

Besides the anti-phase trailing oscillations discussed above the other mechanism for in-phase locking of the fluxons in the stack is the interaction with a cavity when the fluxon frequency is close to the resonator frequency. The basic idea is that the fundamental oscillators (the fluxons moving back and forth in the individual junction layers) emit pulsed radiation at a frequency close to the resonance frequency of the cavity. At each collision some small amount of power—depending on the coupling coefficient, determined essentially by \(C_1\) is transferred to the cavity. The current waveform in the (linear) cavity is essentially sinusoidal, and the current amplitude builds up over many fluxon oscillation periods until a power balance is obtained, see Fig. 4. In this situation the power transferred from the fluxons to the cavity in each oscillation period is equivalent to the power transferred from the cavity to the junctions in the same period. When the frequency of the oscillators is close enough to the resonance frequency of the cavity, the amplitude of the cavity oscillations become large enough to furnish the clock that forces the junction fluxon oscillators to phase-lock to the cavity resonance frequency. The mechanism is rather equivalent to the case of applying an external microwave signal to phase lock all the junctions in a stack [13], [14].

If the junction parameters are such that intrinsically the in-phase motion is favored at a frequency close to the cavity resonance frequency, the cavity—junction interaction will stabilize the fluxon in-phase motion and contribute even further to the build up of power in the cavity. We note as a general feature of our system that it is an unusual variant of the classical problem of a nonlinear oscillator coupled to a linear resonator. Here all the individual oscillators are each coupled to the cavity. In addition the individual oscillators are coupled to each other by inductive coupling, defining a number of different intrinsic oscillation modes. The competition between the two different types of coupling of the junctions will be essential for the outcome of the dynamics, and the possibilities for utilizing the combined system for applications.
VI. CONCLUSION

The system consisting of a stack of Josephson junctions has been investigated with the purpose of understanding the in-phase modes. Both the intrinsic origins and the coupling of the stack to a cavity were considered. We find that anti-phase oscillations in the trailing edges of neighboring fluxons play an essential role in the locking process. With a resonator we find that if it has a resonance frequency corresponding to the in-phase intrinsic resonance of the stack, large amounts of power can be coupled to the cavity. We investigated the fluxon ordering in a magnetic field and found only the anti-phase ordering (triangular lattice). This part is not conclusive since we investigated only a limited number of parameter values. The BSCCO system with in-phase fluxon ordering has a potential for practical applications in microwave generation using high $T_c$ BSCCO single crystals at hundreds of Gigahertz.
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