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Abstract—Here we present a detailed characterization of the critical current density of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ (YBCO) two level undercut-profile substrate (2LUPS)-based samples made at the Technical University of Denmark (DTU) using Hastelloy substrate. The CC architecture was produced at Bruker HTS by means of alternating beam assisted deposition (ABAD) and pulsed laser deposition (PLD). The magnetization of different samples having all the same size, but with various numbers of filaments, including a non-filamentary reference sample have been measured in applied magnetic fields up to 16 T and at temperatures ranging from $T = 2$ K to 77 K.

A simple multiple rooftop model is proposed to estimate the critical current density of the filaments and it has been observed that filaments of 0.25 mm and 0.5 mm width are providing similar critical current densities in the order of $J_c \approx 2.3$ MA/cm$^2$ at $T = 4.2$ K and $B = 10$ T as well as the reference samples without filaments.

This result indicates that the filaments have been fabricated with no degradation of the superconductor and that the filaments are decoupled with non-superconducting material. Finally, the lift factor of the critical current $I_c$ with respect to $T = 77$ K and self field of the 2LUPS samples is estimated. This is reaching 10 at $T = 4.2$ K and $B = 10$ Tesla.

I. INTRODUCTION

High temperature superconductors (SC) are being considered for applications where a good performance in high-field is required[1]. However, the hysteresis losses associated with AC applications need to be reduced by subdividing the SC tape into smaller filaments, and possibly transposition of the filaments as in Roebel cables or CORC cables[2]. The two level undercut-profile substrate (2LUPS) geometry is a way to subdivide the tape into filaments without reducing considerably the engineering-critical current[3], [4]. In this work we present a magnetic characterization approach based on vibrating sample magnetometry, and we apply the method to 2LUPS samples and non-filamented reference samples.

II. METHODS

A. Fabrication

The 2LUPS geometry, shown in Fig. 1(a), consists of two levels of vertically separated plateaus which are realized by means of electrochemical etching.

When the buffer layers and the YBCO layer are deposited onto the substrate, the 2LUPS geometry causes the SC layers of the upper plateaus to be decoupled from the SC layers of the adjacent lower plateaus, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). The resulting tape is composed by many narrow filaments directed along the tape rolling direction $x$. The subdivision into filaments is especially advantageous for AC applications, as it reduces the hysteresis losses [14], and for strong DC magnets, as it increases field stability [15]. In this study we compare two different 2LUPS tapes, characterized by filament-widths of 0.25 mm and 0.5 mm, as well as two non-filamentary reference tapes. The CC architecture was realized at Bruker HTS employing alternating beam assisted deposition (ABAD) and pulsed laser deposition (PLD), finalized by Ag capping layer[5]. Complete coverage with Ag/Cu is beneficial for DC magnet stabilization.

B. VSM Measurement

An approximately square sample of 5 mm side length has been cut from each tape for VSM analysis. The characterization has been performed using an vibrating sample magnetometer in a cryogenic-free measurement system. For each sample the characterization has been performed at different temperatures $T$ spanning from 2 K to 77 K, and with field magnitude up to 16 T. The applied field is normal to the...
As example, the curves corresponding to $T = 4.2\, K$ are shown in Fig. 2. Since the dimensions of the two reference samples are not exactly identical, they generate a magnetic moment with slightly different magnitude. The magnetic moment generated by the 2LUPS samples is considerably less intense: the 0.25 mm sample is the one producing the smallest signal. This behavior is expected, and can be explained by the subdivision into electrically decoupled filaments.

**C. Removal of base signal**

Since we wish to isolate the magnetic moment generated by the screening currents running in the SC layer, we need to remove the baseline signal corresponding to the ferromagnetic or paramagnetic response. The baseline signal is primarily due to the ferromagnetic response of the substrate.

In order to subtract the baseline signal, we remove from each data-point the middle point between the upper and lower curves of the hysteresis loop. This operation is equivalent to considering the vertical distance $\Delta m = (m^\uparrow - m^\downarrow)$ between the upper and lower branches. As explained in Sec. II-D, this procedure is justified when the high-field approximation is assumed, since in this limit the curve is expected to be perfectly symmetrical with respect to a vertical reflection.

![Fig. 2. Comparison between the hysteresis loops of the different samples at $T = 4.2\, K$. The two reference samples almost give the same response (the difference is due to the slightly different dimensions of the samples). Because of the subdivision into filaments the 2LUPS samples generate a much lower signal.](image)

An example of corrected hysteresis loop is shown in Fig. 3. The raw data corresponds to the red curve, the baseline curve to the black curve and the corrected loop to the blue curve. This example corresponds to the 0.25 mm sample at 6 K.

![Fig. 3. Removal of substrate magnetic response. The red curve is the original hysteresis loop. The black curve is the baseline signal, i.e. the middle point between the upper and lower branches of the loop. The blue curve is the difference which is assumed to be the magnetic moment generated by the SC currents.](image)
D. Data interpretation

The magnetic behavior of superconducting material is modeled by assuming a microscopic $J\cdot E$ relation between the electric field $E$ and the current density $J$. Commonly it is assumed that the vectors $J$ and $E$ are aligned, and that the relation between their magnitudes obeys the following power law\cite{11,12}:

$$\left(\frac{J}{J_c}\right)^n = \left(\frac{E}{E_c}\right)$$

(1)

The critical field $E_c$ is set to $10^{-6} \text{ V/cm}$, and the critical current $J_c$ depends on the magnetic flux density $B$ and the temperature. In general, the resulting set of partial differential equations can only be solved by numerical approaches.

However, in the conditions of a high-field VSM measurement, it is realistic to simplify the treatment by considering approximate models.

- **Critical state model** (Bean’s model)\cite{6,7}, corresponding to the limit $n \to \infty$, where $n$ is the exponent appearing in the power law expressing the $E\cdot J$ relation. In this limit the process is still history-dependent, but rate-independent.

- **High field limit** - the magnitude $B_0$ of the applied magnetic flux density is much more intense than the magnitude of the flux density $B_J$ generated by the screening currents. The norm of the current is thus determined directly by $B_0$ via the $J_c(B)$ relation. The magnetic flux density is thus assumed to be always normal to the superconducting layer.

- **Electrical decoupling** - with respect to the super-currents, the filaments are considered perfectly electrically insulated from each other: the continuity equation applies separately to each filament. Eddy currents in the Ag layer are not considered.

- **Rooftop model** - we assume that the current distribution is given by the rooftop model\cite{8,9,10}; the current is always parallel to one of the sides of the rectangular filaments as shown in Fig. 4.

Applying these approximations we can readily interpret the VSM data. Denoting by $x$ a generic point of space and by $J(x)$ the current density in that point, the magnetic moment $m$ about the origin is defined as

$$m = (1/2) \iiint_{\Omega} x \times J(x) \, dV,$$

(2)

where the integration domain $\Omega$ extends over the region occupied by the sample. Assuming the current distribution corresponding to the rooftop model, the $z$ component of the total magnetic moment is \cite{8}

$$m_z = (1/2) \, d \, J_c \sum_i \left( \frac{(ba_i^2)}{2-a_i^3/6} \right)$$

(3)

where we denoted by $a_i$ the width of each filament, by $b$ their length, and by $d$ their thickness.

We measured from high-magnification pictures the individual widths $a_i$ of each filament and the length $b$ of each of the VSM samples. As shown in Fig. 4, we estimated a spacing of $\delta = 0.06 \text{ mm}$ between the filaments, and a damaged area of thickness $\Delta = 0.1 \text{ mm}$ running along the external border of the sample. We assumed that the thickness of the superconducting layer is given by $d = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mm}$ \cite{5}. These values are used to compute $J_c$ from $m$ employing Eq. 3.
The critical current $I_c$ corresponding to a 1 cm wide tape is obtained by multiplying $J_c$ by $d$ and $W = 1$ cm.

The resulting curves are shown in Fig. 5. The colors indicate the temperature and the different shapes of the markers correspond to different samples. For each temperature all the $J_c$ curves corresponding to the different samples are well-overlapped to each other.

The lift factor of a tape at temperature $T$ and with applied field $B$ is the total current transported by the tape in such conditions, normalized by the current $I_0$ carried by the same tape at 77 K in self-field. In order to calculate the lift factor we estimate $I_0$ from the VSM data, obtaining the value $I_0 = 54$ A for a 1 cm wide tape. Applying this normalization we calculated the lift factors shown on the right-hand side of Fig. 5.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Similarly to the hysteretic losses in AC applications, the magnetic response of a tape to the time-varying field applied during a VSM measurement decreases when the number of filaments increases [13], [14]. On the contrary, the net current transported by a tape in DC applications is expected to be almost the same as for a non-filamented sample.

In this paper we discussed a simple way to estimate the in-field critical current of filamented tapes from VSM data [8]. As can be seen from Fig. 5, the critical current density of the filaments of the 2LUPS samples is independent of the filament width, and is also similar to the non-filamented reference samples.

This observation is indicating that the filamentation is not causing a severe decrease of the superconducting properties except from the edge region. Secondly, the results seem to indicate that the underlying approximations are correct, and that all the filaments are decoupled by non-superconducting materials.

Other possible collateral causes for the lower VSM signal given by filamented tapes could be the presence of defects in the surface of the Hastelloy substrate [3], or damaging of the SC layer occurred during cutting of the VSM samples. One possible approach that would further corroborate the procedure would be to perform transport measurement in DC conditions and compare the result with the VSM signal. Such an investigation would confirm that the reduction of the VSM signal is entirely due to the subdivision into filaments.
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