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Experimental evidence suggests that FeSe is close to a magnetic instability, and recent scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements on FeSe multilayer films have revealed stripe order locally pinned near defect sites. Motivated by these findings, we perform a theoretical study of locally induced magnetic order near nonmagnetic impurities in a model relevant for FeSe. We find that relatively weak repulsive impurities indeed are capable of generating short-range magnetism, and we explain the driving mechanism for the local order by resonant εF-orbital impurity states. In addition, we investigate the importance of orbital-selective self-energy effects relevant for Hund’s metals, and show how the structure of the induced magnetization cloud gets modified by orbital selectivity. Finally, we make a concrete connection to STM measurements of iron-based superconductors by symmetry arguments of the induced magnetic order, and the basic properties of the Fe Wannier functions relevant for tunneling spectroscopy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The understanding of the electronic properties of the material FeSe continues to pose an interesting challenge to the research community of iron-based superconductors. Controversial current topics include the reasons for its modified electronic structure (compared to DFT calculations), the nature of the nematic phase, and the origin of the highly anisotropic superconducting gap structure [1]. There is considerable interest in resolving these issues both for our general understanding of correlated superconductors in general, and FeSe in particular, due to the ability to significantly enhance its superconducting transition temperature Tc by pressure, intercalation, or dosing [2–8]. In addition, while bulk FeSe exhibits Tc ~ 9 K, a single monolayer of FeSe on STO has been shown to superconduct up to ~65 K [9]. On the other hand, thicker films suppress superconductivity and exhibit a strong nematic phase for reasons that remain unclear at present [10].

A striking difference between FeSe and most of the iron-based superconductors is the lack of magnetic ordering in FeSe. Even though the tetragonal to orthorhombic transition takes place around Tc ~ 90 K, there is no evidence for long-range static magnetic order setting in at lower temperatures. However, there is experimental evidence that FeSe is close to a magnetic instability at low temperatures, as seen by the diverging spin-lattice relaxation rate 1/T1T versus T by NMR [11]. For example, modest pressures exceeding ~0.8 GPa induce static stripe antiferromagnetism indicating that FeSe at ambient pressure is parametrically close to the ordered magnetic phase [2–5]. The resulting temperature-pressure phase diagram describing the pressure dependence of nematic, magnetic, and superconducting orders has been recently described theoretically in terms of pressure-dependent electronic interactions [12]. This study included longer-range Coulomb interactions, which have been proposed to be important for FeSe [13]. The importance of low-energy magnetic fluctuations in FeSe (at ambient pressure) has been also pointed out by recent inelastic neutron scattering experiments revealing a rich temperature and momentum dependence of the scattering intensity [14–17]. As a function of temperature, spectral weight is shifted from Néel-like fluctuations to stripe-like (π, 0) fluctuations. Thus at low temperatures the magnetic fluctuations at low energies are entirely dominated by the stripe-like fluctuations.

The proximity to a stripe magnetic instability suggests the possibility of disorder-induced magnetism in FeSe. Naively various imperfections such as impurities and twin boundaries may relatively easily induce weak local magnetic order by the presence of a nearby magnetic quantum critical point [18]. Despite the fact that very high quality FeSe crystals can be made [19], and disorder-generated magnetism does not appear to be widespread in those samples, a number of recent experimental results do find some evidence of local magnetism. For example, the close similarity in the behavior of the magnetostriction and uniform susceptibility between BaFe2As2 and FeSe in the nematic phase, led He et al. [20] to propose that short-range stripe magnetic order exists in FeSe. Evidence of dilute static magnetism possibly arising from impurities has also been recently put forward by μSR measurements on high quality single crystals [21]. Earlier μSR studies of FeSe0.85 also found evidence of a dilute and randomly distributed static magnetic signal [22]. Related to these findings, an STM study of FeSe multilayer films found clear evidence of charge stripe order centered near Fe vacancy sites [23]. This study reveals a clear example of impurity-induced local order, and it was suggested by the authors that the observed charge stripes are the natural associated charge modulations induced by the magnetic fluctuations pinned
by the defect sites [23]. The presence of disorder-pinned antiferromagnetic order was also recently suggested to be at play in parent as-grown films of FeSe on STO [24]. Finally, we point out recent NMR studies of FeSe finding evidence of static short-range nematic order above \( T_N \) [25, 26]. Similar effects have been seen in P-doped BaFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) [27]. It remains an interesting question if and how local magnetic order may be connected to these NMR observations.

From a theoretical perspective, the low-energy magnetic fluctuations in bulk FeSe have been described within an itinerant approach which successfully captured the temperature and momentum dependence of the spin excitations [28–30]. However, this is only true if one includes so-called orbital-selective effects in the theory, i.e., the fact that distinct orbitals experience different self-energy renormalizations leading to orbital-dependent mass enhancements and quasiparticle weights [31–41]. These properties are characteristics of Hund’s metal, and agree with recent STM quasiparticle interference measurements both in the normal state and superconducting phases [42–44].

In terms of impurity physics in unconventional superconducting materials, a number of theoretical works have pointed out the interesting role of electronic interactions in dressing bare impurity potentials [45–54]. In addition, there are nontrivial effects from the multiband electronic structure of this family of materials. For example, in the nematic state, nonmagnetic disorder may lead to short-range anisotropic magnetic order which has been proposed to explain unusual effects from the multiband electronic structure dressing bare impurity potentials [45–54]. In addition, there are novel suggested impurity effects including disorder-enhanced \( T_c \) due to local density of states (LDOS) enhancements from bound states generated in off-Fermi level bands [56].

In this paper we combine realistic microscopic modeling of FeSe with impurity studies to address the role of local nucleated short-range magnetic order in this material. We apply the so-called Chebyshev–Bogoliubov–de Gennes method to study large real-space systems, and map out the phase diagram of local magnetic order as a function of on-site Coulomb repulsion \( U \) and impurity potential \( V_0 \). We find a favorable impurity potential range for induced local order. In addition, we discuss the role of orbital selectively in the self-consistency equations, and show how the associated self-energy effects are directly tied to the local internal structure of the induced magnetization clouds surrounding impurity sites with favorable potentials able to generate induced order. We suggest that the experimental evidence of local magnetism in FeSe may be caused by a particular class of disorder in this material.

II. METHOD

We start from a fitted tight-binding model for the nematic phase of FeSe with the Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{i,j,\mu,\nu,\sigma} \left( t^{ij}_{\mu\nu} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{\mu\nu} \right) c_{i\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\nu\sigma} + \text{H.c.},
\]

where \( i, j \) are unit cell indexes, \( \mu, \nu \) span the \( d \) orbitals of the two inequivalent iron atoms in the unit cell, and \( t^{ij}_{\mu\nu} \) denote the hopping elements detailed in the ten orbital model of Ref. [44]. For further details on the band structure and the Fermi surface, we refer to Refs. [42–44]. A pointlike impurity on a single site \( i' \) is described by the term

\[
\mathcal{H}_\text{imp} = V_0 \sum_{\mu,\sigma} c_{i'\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i'\mu\sigma},
\]

where the sum \( \sum_{i'} \) spans the orbitals of a single iron site. Interactions are initially included using the usual multiorbital Hubbard-Hund model

\[
\mathcal{H}_\text{int} = U \sum_{i,\mu} n_{i\mu\uparrow} n_{i\mu\downarrow} + U' \sum_{i,\mu<\nu,\sigma} n_{i\mu\sigma} n_{i\nu\sigma}
\]

\[
+ (U' - J) \sum_{i,\mu<\nu,\sigma} n_{i\mu\sigma} n_{i\nu\sigma}
\]

\[
+ J' \sum_{i,\mu<\nu,\sigma} c_{i\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\nu\sigma} c_{i\mu\sigma} c_{i\nu\sigma}
\]

\[
+ J' \sum_{i,\mu<\nu,\sigma} c_{i\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\mu\sigma} c_{i\nu\sigma} c_{i\nu\sigma},
\]

where we set \( J = J' = U/4 \) and use spin-rotational invariant interactions \( U' = U - 2J \), and the sums \( \sum_{i} \) only give a contribution when the indices \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) label an orbital on the same iron atom.

The interactions are included at the mean field level, yielding the mean field Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H}_\text{MF} = \sum_{i,\nu,\sigma} \left[ U \langle n_{i\nu\sigma} \rangle + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \left( U' \langle n_{i\mu\sigma} \rangle + (U' - J) \langle n_{i\mu\sigma} \rangle \right) \right] c_{i\nu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\nu\sigma}
\]

\[
- \sum_{i,\mu \neq \nu,\sigma} \left[ (U' - J) \langle c_{i\nu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\mu\sigma} \rangle - J' \langle c_{i\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\nu\sigma} \rangle - J \langle c_{i\nu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\mu\sigma} \rangle \right] c_{i\mu\sigma} c_{i\nu\sigma}
\]

\[
- \sum_{i,\nu,\sigma} \left[ U \langle c_{i\nu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\nu\sigma} \rangle + J' \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \langle c_{i\nu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\mu\sigma} \rangle \right] c_{i\nu\sigma} c_{i\nu\sigma} - \sum_{i,\mu \neq \nu,\sigma} U' \langle c_{i\nu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\mu\sigma} \rangle + J' \langle c_{i\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\nu\sigma} \rangle \right] c_{i\mu\sigma} c_{i\nu\sigma}. \tag{4}
\]

The Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_\text{imp} + \mathcal{H}_\text{MF} \) defines the “bare” version of our model, where effects of orbital selectivity (discussed further below) are not included. The results derived from this bare model will serve as a comparison basis for another model defined below which includes the effects of orbital selectivity.
Unrestricted self-consistent calculations of the density and magnetization mean fields for the tight-binding models are performed using the Chebyshev–Bogoliubov–de Gennes (CBdG) method [57], wherein the electronic Greens function of a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is expanded in a series of orthogonal polynomials. We will provide a brief outline of this procedure below. The starting point of the expansion procedure is the estimation of extremal eigenvalues $E_{\text{min}}$, $E_{\text{max}}$ which are obtained by explicitly diagonalizing the Hamiltonian in a small system. We can then define the rescaled Hamiltonian
\[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathcal{H} - b)/\alpha, \] (5)
with $b = (E_{\text{max}} + E_{\text{min}})/2$ and $a = (E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}})/(2 - \delta)$, where $\delta = 0.001$ is a small parameter introduced to avoid divergence at the edges of the domain. The rescaled Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ has eigenvalues in the interval $(-1, 1)$, which coincides with the domain of the Chebyshev polynomials.

Defining the rescaled energy $\tilde{\omega} = (\omega - b)/a \in (-1, 1)$, the Greens function can then be expanded as
\[ G_{\mu\nu}^\sigma(i, j, \tilde{\omega}) = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega} + i\hbar - \tilde{\mathcal{H}}} |c_{j\nu\sigma}^\dagger\rangle, \]
and the expansion coefficients
\[ a_{\mu\nu\sigma}^\dagger(i, j) = \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{0,n}} G_{\mu\nu\sigma}^\dagger(i, j) \exp[-in\arccos(\tilde{\omega})], \] (7)
where $T_n$ is the $n$th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. The problem has therefore been reduced to finding the expansion coefficients, which are obtained using the recursion relation of the Chebyshev polynomials. Defining the intermediate states $|j_n\rangle = T_n(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})|c_{j\nu\sigma}^\dagger\rangle$, we can generate coefficients recursively starting from an initial state
\[ |j_0\rangle = |c_{j\nu\sigma}^\dagger\rangle, \] (8a)
\[ |j_1\rangle = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}|c_{j\nu\sigma}^\dagger\rangle, \] (8b)
\[ |j_{n+1}\rangle = 2\tilde{\mathcal{H}}|j_n\rangle - |j_{n-1}\rangle. \] (8c)

The full expansion coefficients can be then obtained as the inner product $a_{\mu\nu\sigma}^\dagger(i, j) = \langle c_{i\mu\sigma} | j_n \rangle$. An artificial broadening of $\eta = 1$ meV is included in the Greens function by applying the Lorentz kernel during the expansion [57]. The mean fields in Eq. (4) and the local density of states (LDOS) then follow from
\[ \langle c_{i\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{i'\nu\sigma} \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} d\tilde{\omega} \Im G_{\mu\nu\sigma}^\dagger(i, i, \tilde{\omega}) f(\tilde{\omega}), \] (9)
\[ \rho_{\mu\sigma}(i, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im G_{\mu\nu\sigma}^\dagger(i, i, \omega), \] (10)
with $f(\tilde{\omega})$ the Fermi-Dirac distribution function which is evaluated at a temperature of 1 K in all following calculations. (For the study of FeSe below this implies that we are deep within the nematic phase in the undoped system.) The energy integrals for the mean fields can be obtained efficiently using Chebyshev-Gauss quadrature in a similar fashion as Ref. [57], leaving the sparse matrix-vector products as the limiting part of the full calculation. We find that these mean fields are converged at $N = 1000$ expansion coefficients, and use this value for all calculations apart from when we plot the LDOS at high energy resolution (then $N = 20\,000$). In agreement with Ref. [57], we find that this procedure is extremely efficient for self-consistent calculations in large multiorbital systems such as our considered ten orbital model, while yielding results consistent with the conventional BdG procedure. We stress that all calculations below are fully unrestricted in all orbitals and sites.

### III. RESULTS

The phase diagram of magnetization versus $V_0$ and $U$ is obtained by initializing a $12 \times 12$ system (containing $2 \times 12^2 = 288$ iron sites) with a central impurity surrounded by a small uniformly spin polarized region, and then converging the mean fields for given Hubbard $U$ and impurity potentials $V_0$. Convergence is defined as a maximal variation of the set of mean fields $\eta$ of $n$ from $\eta_{m-1}$ to $\eta_m < 10^{-7}$ between iteration steps $m - 1$ and $m$, for at least 100 iteration steps. This is usually accomplished within 1500 iteration steps of the CBdG procedure. The phase boundary found from this procedure is checked in a larger $24 \times 24$ system to eliminate any finite size effect. Consistent with previous susceptibility calculations [44], we find that the homogeneous system ($V_0 = 0$) displays a transition to a global $(\pi, \pi)$ antiferromagnetic phase at a critical $U_c = 295$ meV. Approaching this transition from below, we find the possibility of local magnetic order nucleated by the impurity site for a range of potentials $V_0$ displayed in Fig. 1(a). At the phase boundary the local order sets in at $V_0 \approx 70$ meV, and extends until $V_0 \approx 560$ meV, with only repulsive potentials being able to induce local magnetic order. The local magnetic structure inside this phase mirrors the bulk $(\pi, \pi)$ phase as demonstrated in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), displaying the real space magnetization (b) and its Fourier transform (c). The orbital splitting included in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ to describe the nematic order of FeSe at low $T$, induces a negligible degree of $C_4$-symmetry breaking in the magnetization, and hence the results in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) appear $C_2$ symmetric even though they are, strictly speaking, only $C_2$ symmetric.

We now turn to the underlying reason for stabilization of local magnetic order. Previous studies of impurity-induced magnetization have found a link between local magnetic order and impurity resonant states formed at the Fermi level just below the local magnetic transition [53,58]. This suggests a mechanism of locally enhanced LDOS providing a local Stoner transition to a magnetic state [59]. In Fig. 2(a) we investigate this local Stoner scenario. We fix $U = 150$ meV, i.e., just below the local magnetic transition and show the LDOS near the Fermi level for varying values of the impurity potential $V_0$, as marked by the line of colored crosses in Fig. 1(a). We find that the point in $(U, V_0)$-phase space where the system is most susceptible to local magnetic order, i.e., where the critical coupling line $U_c(V_0)$ has its lowest value, corresponds exactly to the impurity potential where the resonant state crosses the Fermi level ($V_0 \approx 220$ meV). This indicates that the onset of local magnetic order can be understood as a local...
from which we see that impurity bound states correspond to poles of the $T$ matrix. If the impurity and local Greens function are diagonal matrices, we find five independent criteria for the formation of bound states:

$$\det[(V_0)^{-1} - g_0(\omega)] = \prod_{\mu} \left[\frac{1}{V_0} - g_0^{\mu\mu}(\omega)\right] = 0, \quad (14)$$

i.e., a bound state appears at an energy $\omega = \xi$ if for any orbital $\mu$,

$$\frac{1}{V_0} = \text{Re} g_0^{\mu\mu}(\xi). \quad (15b)$$

Solutions to these equations for any energy $\xi$ correspond to true bound states with impurity site LDOS $\delta\rho(0, \xi) \propto \delta(\xi)$, while resonant states are allowed as complex solutions $\xi = \xi^\prime + i\xi^\prime\prime$ with a broadened Lorentzian shape in the impurity site LDOS [58]. If we consider a quasigapped region where $\rho_0^{\mu\mu}(0, \omega) \approx 0$ for some orbital $\mu$, the $T$-matrix solution predicts resonant states with orbital character $\mu$, and the resonant state energy $\xi$ determined by the impurity strength $V_0$.

Figure 2(b) shows the graphical solution to these equations obtained from a converged homogeneous system ($V_0 = 0, U = 150$ meV). The three $t_{2g}$ orbitals all have finite spectral weight at the Fermi level, leaving only the two quasigapped $e_g$ orbitals, $d_{x^2} - dz^2$, as candidates for the resonant state. Of these only the $d_{x^2}$ real part of the Greens function fulfills the second condition in this energy interval. This results in resonant states of purely $d_{x^2}$ character as shown in Fig. 2(c) where each orbital component of the LDOS is plotted. Since the $d_{x^2}$ LDOS is quasigapped in an extended energy interval, the location of the resonant state varies smoothly with the impurity potential as evident in Fig. 2(a).

We note that while the progression of resonant state energies matches the quasigapped region and the slope of the real part of the orbital Greens function, a discrepancy of $\approx 80$ meV in the exact position of the resonant state predicted from the $T$-matrix solution and the result of our self-consistent procedure exists. This shift stems from the fact that the $T$-matrix solution only applies exactly for a purely $d_{z^2}$ impurity $V_0^{\mu} = 0$, neglects the effect of self-consistent density modulations, while the CBdG result includes a multiorbital impurity and self-consistently converged the mean fields. Repeating the CBdG procedure without self-consistency and assuming a pure $d_{z^2}$ impurity exactly reproduces the expected resonant state positions as seen in Fig. 2(d). The close correspondence between the $T$-matrix predictions of resonant states and the obtained phase diagrams indicate that these regions of local order can be efficiently obtained by first considering the homogeneous Greens function. The search of pockets of local magnetic order in $(U, V_0)$ space is thus made much simpler as approximate phase diagrams can be obtained from a single calculation in the clean system.

IV. EFFECTS OF ORBITAL SELECTIVITY

The physics of orbital selectivity has been studied quite extensively in correlated multiorbital models relevant for FeSCs [39–41]. In particular several groups have applied
DMFT [31,32] and slave-spin methods [33,36] to investigate self-energy effects on, e.g., the band structure. Such studies have found strong orbital dependent mass renormalizations and quasiparticle weights $Z_{\mu}$. Motivated by the recent experimental evidence for orbital selectivity in FeSe, we construct also a “dressed” version of the above mean field model. This can be done most simply by the prescription

$$c_{\mu i}^\dagger \rightarrow \sqrt{Z_{\mu}} c_{\mu i}^\dagger,$$

where $Z_{\mu}$ denotes the quasiparticle weight for the orbital $\mu$. The orbitally selective ansatz in Eq. (16) leads to a modified mean field theory where all effects of orbital selectivity are contained in dressed interaction parameters

$$U_{\mu} \rightarrow Z_{\mu}^2 U_{\mu},$$

$$U'_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow Z_{\mu} Z_{\nu} U'_{\mu\nu},$$

with similar expressions for $J, J'$. We stress that this is an approximation that only incorporates the coherent part of the spectrum. Based on earlier studies of FeSe, in the following we fix the values of the quasiparticle weights $\{\sqrt{Z_{\mu}}\} = [0.2715, 0.9717, 0.4048, 0.9236, 0.3916]$ for the five Fe 3$d$ orbitals $\{d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2}, d_{xz}, d_{yz}, d_{z^2}\}$. We note that these values are within the confidence interval of the experimentally extracted values of $Z_{\mu} [30,44]$.

Making this orbitally selective ansatz and including the quasiparticle weights $Z_{\mu}$ defined above changes the magnetic phase diagram as shown in Fig. 3(a). In Ref. [44] the splitting of $Z_{y^2}, Z_{xz}$ quasiparticle weights was shown to result in a leading $(\pi,0)$ stripe order instability, in agreement with the $(\pi,0)$ ordered bulk phase of our self-consistent calculations. Close to the phase transition we again find local magnetic order as displayed in Fig. 3(b), which inherits the bulk $(\pi,0)$ structure as seen in the Fourier transform of the magnetization in Fig. 3(c) that exhibits only a peak at $(\pi, 0)$ and is strongly $C_2$ symmetric. We find the ordering structure of this local magnetism to vary with the Hubbard $U$, starting out strongly $C_2$ symmetric just below the bulk phase transition, and then transitioning to a nearly $C_4$-symmetric $(\pi,0) + (0,\pi)$ structure when approaching the lower local order boundary line. This result is in sharp contrast to the omnipresent nearly $C_4$-symmetric magnetization exhibited by the bare model. Similar to the results from the bare model, we find that this region of local magnetic order can be understood from the emergence of resonant states just below the transition.

V. DETECTION AND DIFFERENTIATION OF LOCAL MAGNETISM

Specifically for the material FeSe, there exists evidence for local magnetism from bulk experimental probes as discussed...
FIG. 3. (a) Phase diagram of the orbital-selective model showing the transition to a bulk (π, 0) phase above $U_c = 560$ meV (red), and the region of local magnetic order (blue) as a function of the impurity potential strength $V_0$ and interaction $U$. Apart from shifting the phase boundaries, the orbitally selective interaction parameters also fundamentally alter the bulk and local magnetic orderings. (b) Zoom of the local magnetic structure nucleated around the impurity site for potentials close to the bulk transition, $U = 550$ meV and $V = 120$ meV (black cross in the phase diagram). (c) Fourier transform of the local magnetic order revealing the highly anisotropic local (π, 0) structure.

in the Introduction [20–22]. However, given the high quality of the available crystals [19], local probes like STM may be more suitable for direct investigation of the nature of the electronic state in the vicinity of impurities. For the appearance of static local magnetic order, an obvious experimental technique would be spin-polarized STM measurements. While such approaches have been carried out and are developed recently, it is worth pointing out that also a non-spin-polarized experiment can be used to discriminate between the two scenarios of local magnetization which we have investigated. At this point we do not intend to perform a quantitative simulation of topographies and conductance maps [60,61,63–65]. In Fig. 4(a) we show the positions of the atoms at a cleaved surface of FeSe, where the Fe atoms form the lattice as used in our Hamiltonian, while the Se atoms above the Fe plane are arranged in a rotated lattice with larger lattice constant which is also observed in STM experiments [23,42,43]. For the case of FeSe, a Fe centered impurity leads to the observation of a dumbbell originating from the tails of the Wannier functions that have weights close the positions of the Se atoms at the surface of the material [60].

Now, let us turn to the symmetries of the magnetic order parameter as presented in Figs. 1 and 3 by considering a mirror plane $m$ along the diagonals of the Fe lattice, as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 4. The Wannier functions of the five relevant states at one Fe atom of course have definite symmetry properties under this operation, which is shown explicitly in Fig. 4(f) where in the top row, maps of Wannier functions in FeSe above the surface, i.e., at the STM tip are presented, and in the lower row, a mirror operation has been applied. First, we note that the shape of the wave functions have lower symmetry than the corresponding atomic wave functions and second, the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ Wannier functions exhibit a chiral structure of opposite direction. Turning now to the two patterns of local magnetic order, we see that for the order of (π, π) type, Fig. 4(b), the mirror plane is a symmetry and therefore the

FIG. 4. Symmetries of the order parameter and the Wannier functions on the surface of FeSe. (a) Positions of the Fe atoms (red circles) and the Se atoms (yellow squares) at the surface of FeSe. (b) Magnetic order parameter around an impurity for the case without orbital selectivity which exhibits an (approximate) symmetry for a mirror plane $m$ along the diagonals (dashed lines). (c) Expected pattern of the local density of states at the STM tip position for case (b). (d) Magnetic order parameter in the orbital selective case which does not exhibit the mirror symmetry such that the expected pattern in an STM experiment shows deviations of the maxima from the symmetry axis (dotted line) (e). (f) Cuts through the five Wannier functions for FeSe [60,61] (red/blue: negative/positive) centered at one Fe atom which have definite symmetry properties with respect to mirror plane $m$ (lower row: mirror operation applied to function).

elements [60,61]. For single impurities, it has been shown that the properties of the elementary cell have imprints on the observed shapes in topographies and conductance maps [60,61,63–65]. In Fig. 4(a) we show the positions of the atoms at a cleaved surface of FeSe, where the Fe atoms form the lattice as used in our Hamiltonian, while the Se atoms above the Fe plane are arranged in a rotated lattice with larger lattice constant which is also observed in STM experiments [23,42,43]. For the case of FeSe, a Fe centered impurity leads to the observation of a dumbbell originating from the tails of the Wannier functions that have weights close the positions of the Se atoms at the surface of the material [60].

Now, let us turn to the symmetries of the magnetic order parameter as presented in Figs. 1 and 3 by considering a mirror plane $m$ along the diagonals of the Fe lattice, as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 4. The Wannier functions of the five relevant states at one Fe atom of course have definite symmetry properties under this operation, which is shown explicitly in Fig. 4(f) where in the top row, maps of Wannier functions in FeSe above the surface, i.e., at the STM tip are presented, and in the lower row, a mirror operation has been applied. First, we note that the shape of the wave functions have lower symmetry than the corresponding atomic wave functions and second, the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ Wannier functions exhibit a chiral structure of opposite direction. Turning now to the two patterns of local magnetic order, we see that for the order of (π, π) type, Fig. 4(b), the mirror plane is a symmetry and therefore the
expected pattern in an STM experiment will be symmetric with respect to the mirror plane \( m \) as well, such that one should expect that enhancements at Se positions should be along the diagonal (dotted line) as presented schematically in Fig. 4(c) [66]. As for the chiral components of the \( d_{zx} \) and \( d_{zy} \) Wannier functions, these will enter with equal weights in the calculation of the LDOS such that the final pattern does not exhibit any chiral character. This situation is different for the local magnetic order parameter of \((\pi, 0)\) type which obviously does not have a definite symmetry under the mirror operation \( m \) [Fig. 4(d)] and therefore should produce a pattern in the LDOS where the maxima are away from the dotted line in Fig. 4(e). Similar features have been found in bulk FeSe [42] and have been recently analyzed quantitatively in experiments reporting local impurity-induced magnetization in thin films of FeSe [23]. The direction of the deviation depends on the details of the orbital structure of the local order parameter, and could also switch as a function of bias voltage.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have explored theoretically the induction of local static magnetic order by nonmagnetic impurity potentials in a model relevant for FeSe. We have mapped out the regions of the phase diagram where such order is present, and investigated the role of orbital selectivity. The latter may strongly alter the magnetic structure of both long-range and short-range magnetism. Finally, we discussed the detection of local magnetic order by non-spin-polarized STM measurements and provided simple symmetry-based arguments to illustrate how this technique may be used to differentiate between distinct forms of induced local magnetic order.
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[66] Strictly speaking, the magnetization profile in Fig. 4(c) breaks $C_4$ symmetry since it is generated from the nematic FeSe band structure. This, however, is a tiny effect not important for the general arguments for differentiation between different magnetization patterns by STM.