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Experimental and Kinetic Modeling Study of C$_2$H$_4$ Oxidation at High Pressure
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A detailed chemical kinetic model for oxidation of C$_2$H$_4$ in the intermediate temperature range and high pressure has been developed and validated experimentally. New ab initio calculations and RRKM analysis of the important C$_2$H$_3$ + O$_2$ reaction were used to obtain rate coefficients over a wide range of conditions (0.003-100 bar, 200–3000 K). The results indicate that at 60 bar vinyl peroxide, rather than CH$_2$O and HCO, is the dominant product.

The experiments, involving C$_2$H$_4$/O$_2$ mixtures diluted in N$_2$, were carried out in a high pressure flow reactor at 600–900 K and 60 bar, varying the reaction stoichiometry from very lean to fuel-rich conditions. Model predictions are generally satisfactory. The governing reaction mechanisms are outlined based on calculations with the kinetic model. Under the investigated conditions the oxidation pathways for C$_2$H$_4$ are more complex than those prevailing at higher temperatures and lower pressures. The major differences are the importance of the hydroxyethyl (CH$_2$CH$_2$OH) and 2-hydroperoxyethyl...
(CH₂CH₂OOH) radicals, formed from addition of OH and HO₂ to C₂H₄, and vinyl peroxide, formed from C₂H₃ + O₂. Hydroxyethyl is oxidized through the peroxide HOCH₂CH₂OO (lean conditions) or through ethenol (low O₂ concentration), while 2-hydroperoxyethyl is converted through oxirane.
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Introduction

Ethylene (C$_2$H$_4$) is an important intermediate in combustion of hydrocarbons as well as in atmospheric chemistry. Previous studies of C$_2$H$_4$ oxidation have been conducted in static reactors [1], flow reactors [2–7], shock tubes [8–12] and premixed laminar flames [13–17], covering a wide range of stoichiometries and temperatures. Most of the reported work, however, have been carried out at near atmospheric pressure. A few results are available from flow reactor studies at 5-10 bar [6], but despite their relevance for the chemistry in engines, gas turbines, and gas-to-liquid processes, data at high pressures are limited.

The objective of the present study is to obtain experimental results for the oxidation of C$_2$H$_4$ at high pressure (60 bar) as functions of temperature (600–900 K) and stoichiometry (lean to fuel-rich) and analyze them in terms of a detailed chemical kinetic model. The oxidation pathways for C$_2$H$_4$ under these conditions are different from those prevailing at higher temperatures and lower pressures and the results of the current work help to extend the validation range for chemical kinetic modeling of C$_2$H$_4$ oxidation. This paper is part of a series investigating the high-pressure, medium temperature oxidation of simple fuels: previously work has been reported for CO/H$_2$, CH$_4$, and CH$_4$/C$_2$H$_6$ mixtures [18,19]. The present kinetic model draws on this work, as well as recent results in tropospheric chemistry. Furthermore, the important reaction of C$_2$H$_3$ with O$_2$ was characterized from ab initio calculations over a wide range of pressure and temperature.
Experimental

The experimental setup is a laboratory-scale high pressure laminar flow reactor designed to approximate plug-flow. The setup is described in detail elsewhere [18] and only a brief description is provided here. The system enables well-defined investigations of homogeneous gas phase chemistry at pressures from 10 to 100 bar, temperatures up to 925 K, and flow rates of 1–5 L min$^{-1}$ (STP). The reactions take place in a tubular quartz reactor, enclosed in a stainless steel tube that acts as a pressure shell. The steel tube is placed in a tube oven with three individually controlled electrical heating elements that produce an isothermal reaction zone ($\pm 5$ K) of 43 cm. The reactor temperature is monitored by type K thermo-couples ($\pm 2.2$ K or 0.75 %) positioned in the void between the quartz reactor and the steel shell.

The reactant gases are premixed before entering the reactor. All gases used in the experiments are high purity gases or mixtures with certified concentrations ($\pm 2\%$ uncertainty). The system is pressurized from the feed gas cylinders. Downstream of the reactor, the system pressure is reduced to atmospheric level prior to product analysis, which is conducted by an on-line 6890N Agilent Gas Chromatograph (GC-TCD/FID from Agilent Technologies). The GC allows detection of O$_2$, CO, CO$_2$, C$_2$H$_6$, C$_2$H$_4$, C$_2$H$_2$, and CH$_4$ with an overall relative measuring uncertainty in the range $\pm 6\%$.

Experimental data are obtained as mole fractions as a function of the reactor temperature measured at intervals of 25 K. The reactor operates in the laminar flow regime, but under conditions tailored to approximate plug flow [18].
Detailed Kinetic Model

The starting mechanism and corresponding thermodynamic properties were drawn from previous high-pressure work on oxidation of CO/H$_2$, CH$_4$, and CH$_4$/C$_2$H$_6$ [18, 19]. The only change in the C$_1$ subset was the omission of the reaction HOOCO+OH ⇌ CO+H$_2$O$_2$, pending further investigation. In the present work the C$_2$H$_4$ oxidation subset of the mechanism was updated, with particular emphasis on a number of oxygenated C$_2$-species important under low-temperature conditions. The thermodynamic properties for some of these species are shown in Table 1, while Table 2 lists key reactions in the C$_2$H$_4$ oxidation scheme. The full mechanism is available as supplemental material. The low-to-medium temperature oxidation chemistry for ethylene at atmospheric pressure was previously discussed by Wilk et al. [1] and Doughty et al. [5]. However, while these early studies correctly identified important features of the system, they had to rely on rough estimates for several key reactions. In developing the present mechanism we have been able to draw on a number of recent experimental or high-level theoretical studies, mostly prompted by the interest in C$_2$H$_4$ oxidation in the troposphere [30, 38]. Furthermore, we conducted a theoretical study of C$_2$H$_3$+O$_2$, as discussed below.

The reactions of C$_2$H$_4$ with O$_2$ and HO$_2$ are expected to be important for initiation. There are no measurements of the C$_2$H$_4$ + O$_2$ reaction. Benson [39] proposed low-barrier (39 kcal/mol) pathways to vinoxy radicals and formaldehyde; these estimates were adopted in our previous work [19]. However, a recent theoretical study [40] indicate barriers of 60 kcal/mol or more for all product channels. In the present study, we have only included the abstraction channel (R10), but formation of c-C$_2$H$_4$O+O, CH$_2$CHO+H, and CH$_2$CH$_2$OO should be considered at higher temperatures [40]. Here, the
reaction numbering refers to the listing in Table 2. The reaction of C$_2$H$_4$ with HO$_2$ is expected to lead tooxyrane, either directly (R9) or through a sequence involving the 2-hydroperoxyethyl radical (R39b, R40) [36]. The activation energy for the C$_2$H$_4$ + HO$_2$ reaction has been in discussion [1,27], but recent theoretical work [41] confirms that even the association channel has a fairly high barrier. Still, the reaction is important at high pressure and an accurate determination of the rate constant and branching fraction is desirable. Due to the low barrier of dissociation for CH$_2$CH$_2$OOH, the reaction with O$_2$ is not expected to be significant under the current conditions. A subset for oxyrane (c-C$_2$H$_4$O) and the oxyranyl radical (c-C$_2$H$_3$O) were drawn from literature [31,35].

After initiation, the key step under the investigated conditions is the reaction of C$_2$H$_4$ with OH. Experimental data for the overall reaction over a wide temperature range [27] indicate non-Arrhenius behavior and multiple product channels. The reaction is also important for consumption of C$_2$H$_4$ in the atmosphere; this has spawned experimental and theoretical work also at below ambient temperatures [42]. Recent theoretical studies [43,44] identify three important channels, CH$_2$CH$_2$OH (R8), H+CH$_2$CHOH (ethenol) (R7), and C$_2$H$_3$+H$_2$O (R4). The relative importance of the three channels is a complex function of pressure and temperature. At atmospheric pressure and temperatures <500 K, the reaction almost exclusively proceeds via (R8) to form CH$_2$CH$_2$OH with a slight negative temperature dependence [45–47]. At temperatures roughly between 800 and 1000 K, both bimolecular channels, (R7) and (R4), become competitive. The rate constant governing the path to C$_2$H$_3$+H$_2$O shows a strong positive temperature dependence, which makes (R4) the predominant reaction channel above 1000 K. The addition channel (R8) has mostly drawn attention due to its role in atmospheric chem-
istry [30,38], but results have been reported also at higher pressures and temperatures of up to 800 K [48]. Recently, Senosiain et al. [44] did a thorough master equation study of the $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4+\text{OH}$ reaction. Their results are supported by a wide range of experimental data, including the recent high-temperature shock tube results from Srinivasan et al. [49]. We have obtained data for the relevant temperature and pressure range by interpolation between the rate coefficients for specific pressures from Senosaian et al. (see Table 2).

Under the conditions of the present work, with high pressure and temperatures of 600–900 K, the recombination reaction to form hydroxyethyl (R8) is the dominant channel for $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4+\text{OH}$. The $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ radical may decompose thermally, react with the O/H radical pool, or with stable species such as $\text{O}_2$. The rates for reactions of unsaturated alcohols with a $\text{CH}_2=\text{CHROH}$ structure are generally somewhat faster than those of the corresponding alkenes [30], indicating that the ROH substituent activates the C=C bond [30,50,51]. However, the difference in rates are roughly within a factor of two at 298 K. By analogy with oxidation of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5$ under similar conditions [19], we would expect the reaction with $\text{O}_2$ to be the major consumption step for $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$. The reaction has a number of accessible product channels, including stabilization of $\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OO}$, hydroxyoxirane $+\text{OH}$, vinyl alcohol $+\text{HO}_2$, and two formaldehyde $+\text{OH}$ [52]. In the present work we have included only two of these channels,

$$\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_2\text{CHOH} + \text{HO}_2 \quad (\text{R25})$$

$$\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{O}_2(+\text{M}) \rightleftharpoons \text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OO} (+\text{M}) \quad (\text{R26})$$

with rate constants estimated by analogy to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5+\text{O}_2$. These values are in reasonable agreement with the single room-temperature, low pressure measurement for $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}+\text{O}_2$ [53], but a factor of five below the high-
pressure limit estimated by Zador et al. [52]. The fast reaction of CH₂CHOH with OH leads to addition to form the diol HOCH₂CH₂OH (R22) [30]. This and other reactions of CH₂CHOH with the O/H radical pool cannot compete with the O₂ reaction under lean conditions but may gain importance under fuel-rich conditions. Another consumption channel that could become important under oxygen-deficient conditions is the isomerization to ethoxy,

\[
\text{CH}_2\text{CHOH} \rightleftharpoons \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{O}
\]  

We have drawn the rate constant for this step from the recent ab initio study of the reverse reaction by Zhang et al. [29].

Rate constants for the CH₂CHOH subset were estimated by analogy to reactions of C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH. The HOCH₂CH₂OO radical, once formed, may undergo thermal dissociation, as proposed by Waddington and coworkers [54,55], or reaction. Recent theoretical work [25,37] indicate that the thermal dissociation,

\[
\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OO} \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}
\]  

which involves a 1,5 hydrogen shift, is indeed quite fast and presumably the main consumption channel. By analogy with other peroxy radicals, HOCH₂CH₂OO may also react by either abstracting an H atom from HO₂ (R42) or CH₂O (R43), or by delivering an oxygen atom to C₂H₄ (R44). Under the present conditions the HOCH₂CH₂OOH adduct would be expected to dissociate to form an alkoxy radical, HOCH₂CH₂O, and OH. Also the diol formed by (R22) yields HOCH₂CH₂O by a rapid reaction with OH [30]. The alkoxy radical may, similarly to tropospheric chemistry [30,38], dissociate by C–C bond fission HOCH₂CH₂O(+M) \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}(+\text{M}) or react with O₂, HOCH₂CH₂O+O₂ \rightleftharpoons \text{HOCH}_2\text{CHO}+\text{HO}_2. The thermal dissociation has
an estimated barrier of 10 kcal/mol [56–58], somewhat higher than for other $eta$-hydroxy alkoxy radicals. It has been measured to be $1.3 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$ at 298 K and 1 atm, about a factor of four below the estimated high-pressure limit at this temperature [58]. The reaction with O$_2$ can be expected to proceed with a rate constant of roughly $k$(RCH$_2$O+O$_2$) $= 3.6 \times 10^{10} \exp(-550/T)$ cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [59]. In the troposphere, these two reactions compete [56,60–62], but at the higher pressures and temperatures of the present study, thermal dissociation dominates, and we assume it to occur instantaneously in the mechanism.

Despite recent progress [6,24,63–65], details of the C$_2$H$_3$ + O$_2$ reaction are still in question and reliable rate constants over a wider range of pressure and temperature are not available. Initially density functional theory geometries (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)) taken from the literature [64,66] were used in the CBS-QB3 composite approach [67] to derive the potential energy diagram (PED) shown in Fig. 1. The stable intermediates and reaction pathways are mostly taken from the dominant channels identified in the work of Mebel et al. [64] on C$_2$H$_3$ + O$_2$. These are formation of collisionally stabilized C$_2$H$_3$OO, C$_2$H$_2$ + HO$_2$, C$_2$H$_3$O + O and isomerization to the dioxyranyl-methyl radical which can then further dissociate. Formation of CH$_2$O + HCO along with a minor path to CH$_3$ + CO$_2$ has been considered previously; here we add a new path to CH$_3$O + CO based on the work of Wang et al. [66]. This preliminary PED was analyzed via RRKM theory as implemented in the MultiWell program [68,69], and detailed in the Supplemental Material, which showed that the branching ratio for products arising from dioxyranyl-methyl decomposition was essentially independent of temperature and pressure, and was about 95% CH$_2$O + HCO with about 4% CH$_3$O + CO and less than 1% CH$_3$ + CO$_2$. Next, critical energies were refined at
higher levels of ab initio theory. Geometries and frequencies (scaled by a standard factor of 0.954) were computed at the QCISD/6-311G(d,p) level of theory, and these results are detailed in the Supplemental Material (Tables S1 and S2). The energies indicated on Fig. 1 were then obtained by extrapolation of coupled cluster (CCSD(T)) results, based on spin restricted open-shell wavefunctions with the aug-cc-pVTZ and aug-cc-pVQZ basis sets, to the complete basis set limit. Corrections were made for core-valence electron correlation and scalar relativistic effects (Supplemental Material, Table S3). These more sophisticated calculations largely confirm the CBS-QB3 data, to within around 1 kcal mol$^{-1}$, with the exception of the barrier for dioxiranylmethyl dissociation (TS3) which was lowered by ca. 4 kcal mol$^{-1}$.

Rate constants over 0.003 - 100 bar of N$_2$ at 300 - 2000 K were obtained via RRKM analysis and are summarized in the Supplemental Material. The channel to CH$_2$O+HCO (R12), generally the dominant product channel in combustion [6], is under the present conditions secondary to stabilization of the peroxy radical CH$_2$CHO. Little has been reported about the reactivity of CH$_2$CHO, so in the present work rate constants have been estimated by analogy to other peroxides. Its enthalpy of formation (Table 1) was assessed via the computed CCSD(T) enthalpy change for the isodesmic process C$_2$H$_3$OO + CH$_4$ → CH$_3$OO + C$_2$H$_4$, coupled with experimental data for the other three species. Similarly, the thermochemistry of C$_2$H$_3$OOH was derived via consideration of C$_2$H$_3$OOH + HO$_2$ → C$_2$H$_3$OO + H$_2$O$_2$. Thermochemical corrections for hindered internal rotation were taken from the literature [70].
Results and Discussion

Mixtures of C$_2$H$_4$ (about 1000 ppm) and O$_2$ highly diluted in N$_2$ were reacted at a pressure of 60 bar and stoichiometric ratios representing reducing, stoichiometric, and oxidizing conditions. The flow rate of 3 L min$^{-1}$ (STP) resulted in (temperature dependent) residence times of 10-15 s in the isothermal zone of the reactor. The diluted conditions ensured a low heat release during the reaction and calculations of the adiabatic temperature rise gave values $<26$ K for all experiments. The carbon balances closed within 10%. From an estimate of the H$_2$O concentration, similar values were found for H and O. The experimental data were obtained as mole fractions as a function of the reactor temperature from 600–900 K using intervals of 25 K. The lower bound of the temperature interval (600 K) was well below the temperature where reactant conversion initiated.

Figure 2 compares experimental and modeling results at reducing conditions, whereas data sets obtained at stoichiometric and oxidizing conditions are presented in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. The numerical predictions of the concentration profiles were obtained from plug flow simulations using the SENKIN code [71] from the CHEMKIN–II library [72].

The modeling predictions are generally in good agreement with the experimental results, even though the temperature for onset of reaction (725–750 K) is slightly underpredicted. Under reducing and stoichiometric conditions (Figs. 2 and 3), C$_2$H$_4$ was converted mainly to CO and to a lesser extent CO$_2$, while under oxidizing conditions (Fig. 4) CO and CO$_2$ were observed in comparable quantities above 750 K. Under reducing conditions, also minor amounts of C$_2$H$_6$ and CH$_4$ were detected. It is likely that c-C$_2$H$_4$O
and CH$_2$CHOH are formed in similar concentrations (~50 ppm), but these components were not quantified in the analysis.

Figure 5 provides an overview of the most important oxidation pathways for C$_2$H$_4$, according to the model. Upon initiation, the oxidation pathway depends on the composition of the O/H radical pool. Under lean conditions C$_2$H$_4$ reacts mainly with OH to form CH$_2$CH$_2$OH (R8) and, to a lesser degree, C$_2$H$_3$ (R4). Hydroxyethyl may recombine with O$_2$ to form hydroxyethyl peroxide (R26), which rapidly dissociates to CH$_2$O and OH (R40), or it may react with O$_2$ (R25) to form CH$_2$CHOH. The dominating sequence (R8), (R26), (R40) corresponds to the mechanism proposed by Waddington and coworkers for low temperature oxidation of alkenes [54, 55]. Overall this sequence is chain-propagating (C$_2$H$_4$+O$_2$ → 2CH$_2$O). A secondary oxidation is initiated through C$_2$H$_4$ + HO$_2$ leading to CH$_2$CH$_2$OH (R39b), which dissociates to oxirane + OH (R40). Oxyranyl reacts with OH or HO$_2$ (R31, R32) to form the oxyranyl radical, which subsequently decomposes thermally (R35, R36).

Under stoichiometric and reducing conditions the oxygen availability is decreased and atomic hydrogen becomes more important as a chain carrier. Recombination of C$_2$H$_4$ with H to form C$_2$H$_5$ is here an important consumption step. Ethyl is partly recycled to C$_2$H$_4$ through reaction with O$_2$, and partly converted to C$_1$ species through C$_2$H$_5$O. Due to the low O$_2$ concentration, dissociation of hydroxyethyl to CH$_2$CHOH (R17) or back to C$_2$H$_4$+OH (R8b) becomes more important. The isomerization to C$_2$H$_5$O (R18b) is negligible due to a high barrier. The oxidation pathway through C$_2$H$_3$ is also more active than under lean conditions. It involves formation of vinyl peroxy (R11), which according to the model isomerizes to a cyclic species before
dissociating to CH₂O and HCO. In this way the overall reaction is similar to (R12) that dominates at lower pressure and higher temperature.

A sensitivity analysis shows that the overall behavior of the model is not strongly affected by changes in rate constants within their estimated uncertainty. The oxidation pathways through C₂H₃ (R4, R11, R37, R38) and CH₂CH₂OOH (R39b, R40, R31, R35) serve to promote initiation, shifting it 25–50 K to lower temperatures. The pathway through CH₂CH₂OO (R26, R41) inhibits initiation, but at higher temperatures it promotes reaction and shifts the location of the CO peak 25 K to lower temperatures. The C₂H₄/C₂H₅ interconversion is important for the partitioning of CH₄, C₂H₆ and oxygenated intermediates under reducing conditions. However, quantification of c-C₂H₄O and CH₂CHOH formation under these conditions would be valuable to put additional constraints on the kinetic model.

Conclusions

A detailed chemical kinetic model for oxidation of C₂H₄ in the intermediate temperature range and high pressure was developed and validated experimentally. The reaction between C₂H₃ and O₂ was studied theoretically to obtain rate coefficients under high pressure conditions. The experiments, involving C₂H₄/O₂ mixtures diluted in N₂, were carried out in a high pressure flow reactor at 600–900 K and 60 bar, varying the reaction stoichiometry from very lean to fuel-rich conditions. Model predictions were satisfactory, except under very lean conditions. The governing reaction mechanisms were outlined based on calculations with the kinetic model. Under the investigated conditions the oxidation pathways for C₂H₄ are more complex than those prevail-
ing at higher temperatures and lower pressures. The major differences are the importance of the hydroxyethyl (\(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\)) and 2-hydroperoxyethyl (\(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OOH}\)) radicals, formed from addition of \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) to \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\), and vinyl peroxide, formed from \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3 + \text{O}_2\). Hydroxyethyl is oxidized through the peroxide \(\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OO}\) (lean conditions) or through ethenol (low \(\text{O}_2\) concentration), while 2-hydroperoxyethyl is converted through oxirane.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$H_{298}$</th>
<th>$S_{298}$</th>
<th>$C_p,300$</th>
<th>$C_p,400$</th>
<th>$C_p,500$</th>
<th>$C_p,600$</th>
<th>$C_p,800$</th>
<th>$C_p,1000$</th>
<th>$C_p,1500$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$CHO</td>
<td>25.65</td>
<td>69.34</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>22.09</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>27.15</td>
<td>29.18</td>
<td>32.37</td>
<td>pw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$CHOH</td>
<td>-8.80</td>
<td>64.37</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>32.55</td>
<td>36.12</td>
<td>pw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$CH$_2$OOH</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>81.89</td>
<td>20.28</td>
<td>23.56</td>
<td>26.33</td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>32.38</td>
<td>35.12</td>
<td>39.65</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$CH$_2$OH</td>
<td>-5.70</td>
<td>69.70</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>24.40</td>
<td>27.94</td>
<td>30.70</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>[21,22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$CHOH</td>
<td>-30.00</td>
<td>61.73</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>18.16</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>22.74</td>
<td>25.61</td>
<td>27.70</td>
<td>31.14</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-C$_2$H$_3$O$_2$</td>
<td>41.61</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>28.03</td>
<td>30.81</td>
<td>34.19</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCH$_2$CH$_2$OO</td>
<td>-40.30</td>
<td>78.01</td>
<td>20.77</td>
<td>25.30</td>
<td>29.39</td>
<td>32.83</td>
<td>38.06</td>
<td>41.81</td>
<td>47.53</td>
<td>[25],a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Thermodynamic properties of selected species in the reaction mechanism. Units are kcal mol$^{-1}$ for $H$, and cal mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ for $S$ and $C_p$. Temperature ($T$) range is in K.

$a$: entropy and heat capacity were drawn from Da Silva, Liang, Bozzelli and Farrell, unpublished work (2007).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>A [cm.mole.s]</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>E[a] [cal/mole]</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>C₂H₄ + H = C₂H₂ + H₂</td>
<td>2.4E02</td>
<td>3.620</td>
<td>11266</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>C₂H₄ + O = C₃H₃ + HCO</td>
<td>3.9E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1494</td>
<td>[19, a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>C₂H₄ + O = CH₃CHO + H</td>
<td>6.2E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>6855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + OH = C₂H₂ + H₂O</td>
<td>1.3E-1</td>
<td>4.200</td>
<td>-980</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + CH₃ = CH₃ + CH₂O</td>
<td>3.3E11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>9079</td>
<td>[26, b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + OH = CH₂CHO + H</td>
<td>1.4E33</td>
<td>-6.114</td>
<td>24907</td>
<td>[26, b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + OH = CH₂CHOH + H</td>
<td>1.7E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11527</td>
<td>[26, b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + OH = CH₂CHOH</td>
<td>2.4E20</td>
<td>-2.399</td>
<td>3294</td>
<td>[26, b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + HO₂ = C₂H₂O + OH</td>
<td>2.2E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>17200</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + O₂ = C₂H₂ + HO₂</td>
<td>7.1E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>60010</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + O₂ = CH₂CHO</td>
<td>1.1E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1680</td>
<td>pw, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + O₂ = CH₂O + HCO</td>
<td>6.3E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3130</td>
<td>pw, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + O₂ = CH₂CHO + O</td>
<td>4.8E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>pw, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + O₂ = C₂H₂ + HO₂</td>
<td>7.6E11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>7930</td>
<td>pw, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + O₂ = CH₃O + CO</td>
<td>2.5E11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3130</td>
<td>pw, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>C₂H₂ + O₂ = CH₃ + CO₂</td>
<td>1.3E10</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3130</td>
<td>pw, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH = CH₂CHOH + H</td>
<td>2.2E05</td>
<td>2.840</td>
<td>32920</td>
<td>[21], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>C₂H₂O = CH₂CHOH</td>
<td>2.8E-29</td>
<td>11.900</td>
<td>4450</td>
<td>[29], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + H = CH₃ + CH₂OH</td>
<td>1.0E14</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kCH₂H₃+H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + O = CH₂O + CH₂OH</td>
<td>4.0E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kCH₂H₂O+O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + OH = CH₂CHOH + H₂O</td>
<td>2.4E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kCH₂H₂O+OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + OH = HOCH₂CHOH</td>
<td>3.3E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kHOCH₂CHOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + HO₂ = C₂H₂OH + O₂</td>
<td>1.0E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kCH₂H₂O+HO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + HO₂ = CH₂CHOH + CH₂O</td>
<td>3.0E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kCH₂H₂O+CH₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + O₂ = CH₂CHOH + HO₂</td>
<td>1.4E07</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>-1975</td>
<td>kCH₂H₂O+O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>CH₂CH₂OH + O₂(+)M = HOCH₂CH₂OOH(+M)</td>
<td>2.0E10</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>kCH₂H₂O+O₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low pressure limit:

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₄O + H = CH₃CHOH + H</td>
<td>5.6E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10950</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₄O + H = C₂H₂O + H₂</td>
<td>2.0E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>8310</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₄O + H = C₂H₂ + OH</td>
<td>9.5E10</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₄O + O = C₂H₂O + OH</td>
<td>1.9E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5250</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₄O + OH = c-C₂H₂O + H₂O</td>
<td>3.8E11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3610</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₂O + HO₂ = c-C₂H₂O + H₂O₂</td>
<td>4.0E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₂O + HO₂ = c-C₂H₂O + H₂O</td>
<td>4.0E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>61500</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₂O = CH₂CHO</td>
<td>8.7E31</td>
<td>-6.900</td>
<td>14994</td>
<td>[31], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₂O = CH₂CO + H</td>
<td>5.0E13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>14863</td>
<td>[31], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₂O = CH₃ + CO</td>
<td>7.1E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>14280</td>
<td>[31], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₂O = c-C₂H₂O₂</td>
<td>3.9E09</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>22250</td>
<td>[20], b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>c-C₂H₂O₂ = c-C₂H₂O + HCO</td>
<td>6.1E10</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>[20], b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>C₂H₂OHOH = c-C₂H₂O + OH</td>
<td>1.3E11</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>16150</td>
<td>[36], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>C₂H₂OHOH = c-C₂H₂O + OH</td>
<td>1.3E10</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>15380</td>
<td>[36], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>HOCH₂CHOH = CH₂O + CH₂O + OH</td>
<td>9.4E08</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>22250</td>
<td>[37], k∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>HOCH₂CHOH + HO₂ = HOCH₂CH₂OOH + O₂</td>
<td>1.0E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1100</td>
<td>kHOCH₂CH₂OOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>HOCH₂CHOH + CH₂O = HOCH₂CH₂CHO + HCO</td>
<td>4.1E04</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>10206</td>
<td>kHOCH₂CHOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>HOCH₂CHOH + C₂H₂ = HOCH₂CH₂O + c-C₂H₂O</td>
<td>2.2E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>17200</td>
<td>kHOCH₂CHOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>HOCH₂CHOH + OH = HOCH₂CH₂O + H₂O</td>
<td>9.0E12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kHOCH₂CHOH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Duplicate reaction; b: Interpolation for 60 bar and 600–900 K; c: The values apply for 60 bar and 500–900 K. The atmospheric pressure rate constants (200–3000 K) are k₁₁ = 1.1 × 10⁻⁶ exp(-2290/RT), k₁₂ = 4.0 × 10⁻⁵ exp(-580/RT), k₁₃ = 2.0 × 10⁷ exp(226/RT), k₁₄ = 6.2 × 10³ exp(-350/RT), k₁₅ = 1.9 × 10¹⁴ exp(-580/RT), k₁₆ = 2.1 × 10¹³ exp(-580/RT); d: The product HOCH₂CHOH is assumed to dissociate immediately to CH₂O + CH₂O; e: The product HOCH₂CH₂OOH is assumed to dissociate immediately to HOCH₂CH₂O + OH.

Table 2: Selected reactions from the 19 hydrocarbon subset. Parameters for use in the modified Arrhenius expression $k = AT^3 \exp(-E/[RT])$. Units are mol, cm, s, cal.
Figure 1: Relative enthalpies of minima and transition states for the C$_2$H$_3$ + O$_2$ reaction computed at the CBS-QB3 level of theory. CCSD(T) results extrapolated to the complete basis set limit are shown in parentheses.
Figure 2: Comparison of experimental and predicted concentration profiles as a function of the reactor temperature for the reducing experiment with C$_2$H$_4$/O$_2$ ($\lambda = 0.2$). The pressure was 60 bar and the reactor residence time was 8892/T (s·K). The inlet composition was 1000 ppm C$_2$H$_4$, 555 ppm O$_2$, and N$_2$ by difference. The symbols mark experimental data while solid lines denote model predictions obtained at isothermal conditions.
Figure 3: Comparison of experimental and predicted concentration profiles as a function of the reactor temperature for the stoichiometric experiment with C$_2$H$_4$/O$_2$ ($\lambda = 0.981$). The pressure was 60 bar and the reactor residence time was $8760/T$ (s·K). The inlet composition was 1000 ppm C$_2$H$_4$, 2950 ppm O$_2$, and N$_2$ by difference. The symbols mark experimental data while solid lines denote model predictions obtained at isothermal conditions.
Figure 4: Comparison of experimental and predicted concentration profiles as a function of the reactor temperature for the oxidizing experiment with $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{O}_2$ ($\lambda = 19.8$). The pressure was 60 bar and the reactor residence time was $8804/T$ (s·K). The inlet composition was 1000 ppm $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$, 6.0% $\text{O}_2$, and $\text{N}_2$ by difference. The symbols mark experimental data while solid lines denote model predictions obtained at isothermal conditions.
Figure 5: Main reaction pathways for \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \) conversion at the investigated conditions. The solid lines denote pathways important over the range of stoichiometries investigated, while dashed lines denote pathways important only under reducing conditions.
SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL:

Vinylperoxy supplemental material

Chemical kinetic model