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Abstract

We report a thorough study of the thermal hysteretic behaviour of a single phase sample of the magnetocaloric material La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$. Previous reports in the literature have variously found hysteretic and non-hysteretic behaviour. We show the importance of measuring under carefully defined heating and cooling procedures. Careful analysis of the specific heat, measured at five different temperature ramp rates, and the magnetic entropy change indicates that there is no observable hysteresis, even though the behaviour of both quantities is consistent with a first-order phase transition. We discuss the reasons for this and for the differing results previously found.
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1. Introduction

A broad range of materials display the magnetocaloric effect. An interesting example is the La-manganites, in particular La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ which has been investigated for several years as a possible material for magnetocaloric applications [1, 2, 3]. Of particular interest is whether the phase transition is of first order or of second order. As a general rule, materials with a first-order phase transition (FOPT) can exhibit a larger magnetocaloric effect than materials with a second-order phase transition (SOPT). On the other hand thermal hysteresis, which gives rise to losses when the materials are applied in thermodynamic cycles, is associated with FOPT. This makes it of both theoretical and practical importance to investigate the hysteresis of magnetocaloric materials.

La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ has been the subject of much research over the past decades due to its many interesting properties. Even so, it remains a much debated question whether the material undergoes a FOPT or a SOPT [4, 5]. Furthermore, thermal hysteresis has been studied in this material with conflicting results [6, 5, 6, 7]. One complication is that different processing routes may lead to different properties [8], e.g. the reported Curie temperatures vary between 250 to 270 K. Furthermore, care has to be taken when investigating thermal hysteresis in FOPT to avoid sampling metastable mixed states in the transition region between the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic states. It has previously been shown that for observation of the true thermal hysteresis it is necessary to ‘reset’ the sample between measurements by cooling or heating to a temperature where only one phase is present [9, 10].

One of the models used to shed some light on the magnetic phase transition of materials is the Bean-Rodbell model [11]. Bean and Rodbell have proposed that the exchange constant, and therefore $T_C$, varies linearly with the lattice spacing as $T_C = T_0[1 + \beta \frac{V}{V_0}]$, where $V$ is the unit cell volume, $T$ is temperature, and $T_0$ and $V_0$ are temperature and unit cell volume in the absence of exchange interaction, respectively. The parameter denoted by $\beta$ controls the strength of the spin-lattice coupling. Moreover, one of the implications of this model is the introduction of possible irreversibilities, such as hysteresis. One of the parameters of the model, $\eta$, can control whether the transition is first order, $\eta > 1$, or second order, $\eta \leq 1$. This model has been used with good agreement with measured properties of some compositions of La$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$MnO$_3$, where it was observed $\eta$ values
above 1 [12], i.e. first order phase transition. Furthermore, literature has shown that by superimposing a Gaussian distribution in the Curie temperature, one can simulate the effect of chemical inhomogeneities on the properties [13].

Here we use measurements of \( c_p \) and both indirect and direct measurements of the isothermal entropy change \( \Delta s \) to evaluate the phase transition of \( \text{La}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3 \). The indirect measurement is done by measuring the magnetization as a function of field and temperature and subsequently using a numerical integration of the Maxwell relation \( \Delta s = \mu_0 \int_0^H (\partial M/\partial T) dH \), while the direct measurement uses calorimetry [13, 14, 15, 16]. The latter avoids the approximations arising from the numerical integration and provides a very stable temperature for the measurements. Below we report studies of the thermal hysteretic and FOPT behaviour by means of measurements of \( \Delta s \) and \( c_p \), and by the implementation of Bean-Rodbell model of \( \text{La}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3 \) produced by solid-state reaction.

2. Experimental Procedure

\( \text{La}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3 \) polycrystalline material was synthesized by solid-state reaction. \( \text{La}_2\text{O}_3 \), \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) and \( \text{MnO}_2 \) in powder form were ground and mixed stoichiometrically by roll milling during 48 h with a rotation speed of 180 rpm. The powder was then calcinated at 1123 K for 24 h. Subsequently, the powder was isostatically pressed into pellets and sintered at 1403 K for 48 h. Both calcination and sintering were performed in air. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed in a Brucker D8 X-ray diffractometer at ambient temperature and pressure, under Cu radiation. The specific heat, \( c_p \), was measured at different temperature rates in a custom-built differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) [17] under several applied fields. The isothermal entropy change was measured in a high field VSM (Cryogen Free Measurement System - 16 T) at 10 K from 0 to 10 T, with a rate of 0.1 T/min to find the saturation magnetisation. Moreover, a LakeShore VSM, model 7407, was used to measure isothermal magnetisation around the transition temperature in order to calculate \( \Delta s \), and compare with the direct measurements in the calorimeter. These \( \Delta s \) measurements were done from 0 T to 1.6 T and for every 0.5 K. The demagnetization effects were taken into account when processing the data, assuming a prism shaped sample [18]. The sample has the approximate dimensions $3.8 \times 1.2 \times 1.2 \text{ mm}^3$. The field orientation in the isothermal magnetization measurements was along the long axis to minimize demagnetization, while in the DSC the field was along the short axis, due to size incompatibility.

3. Results

LCMO has an O-type orthorhombic structure, with a distortion of the MnO$_6$ octahedra due to the Jahn-Teller effect [19]. Figure 1 shows the XRD pattern and Rietveld refinement of the perovskite showing the desired single phase structure.

When resetting, the sample is taken to the chosen reset temperature and allowed to thermally equilibrate. Low temperature magnetisation was measured in a high field VSM at 10 K from 0 to 10 T, with a rate of 0.1 T/min to find the saturation magnetisation. Moreover, a LakeShore VSM, model 7407, was used to measure isothermal magnetisation around the transition temperature in order to calculate $\Delta s$, and compare with the direct measurements in the calorimeter. These $\Delta s$ measurements were done from 0 T to 1.6 T and for every 0.5 K. The demagnetization effects were taken into account when processing the data, assuming a prism shaped sample [18]. The sample has the approximate dimensions $3.8 \times 1.2 \times 1.2 \text{ mm}^3$. The field orientation in the isothermal magnetization measurements was along the long axis to minimize demagnetization, while in the DSC the field was along the short axis, due to size incompatibility.
pattern and Rietveld refinement of the polycrystalline La$_{0.33}$Ca$_{0.67}$MnO$_3$. From the Rietveld refinement one may observe the single phase pattern of La$_{0.33}$Ca$_{0.67}$MnO$_3$ where the unit cell parameters $a$, $b$ and $c$ were calculated to be 5.47154(7) Å, 5.45690(1) Å and 7.7086(1) Å, respectively, resulting in a unit cell with a volume of 230.162(7) Å$^3$, which is in agreement with the literature [4]. Furthermore, Figure 2 shows the magnetisation measurement at 10 K, where the solid line is the measurement and the dashed red line is the theoretical saturation value calculated as follows:

$$M_s = g \mu_B J \rho_s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here, $g$ is the Landé factor, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $J$ is the total quantum angular momentum and $\rho_s$ is the magnetic spin density. The Landé factor used is 2, $J$ is 1.835 (average on the ratio of Mn$^{+3}$ and Mn$^{+4}$) and the spin density is calculated simply by the number of magnetic atoms (four) in the volume $V$ obtained by XRD, giving a magnetic spin density of 1.7391e28 spins/m$^3$. These values were used to calculate the theoretical saturation magnetization. One can see that the experimental and theoretical values are in good agreement; the measured magnetisation value, 3.57 $\mu_B$ per unit formula, is around 97% of the theoretical saturation magnetisation value, 3.67 $\mu_B$ per unit formula.

In order to evaluate the specific heat under heating and cooling conditions, it was measured for different temperature rates, Fig. 3. The peak values and positions are in agreement with the literature [1, 5]. The peak temperatures ($T_{peak}$) of the $c_p$ curves were fitted as a function of rate in order to exclude the extrinsic hysteresis, as shown in Fig. 4. The observed hysteresis decreases with decreasing temperature rates, and for the extrapolated 0 K/min rate, the intrinsic hysteresis is 0.08 K, virtually zero considering the fitting and extrapolation uncertainties, ±0.2 K. It is interesting to notice that the expected $\Delta T_{hyst}$ for a rate of 5 K/min, is the same as the one reported elsewhere [1].

The extrinsic hysteresis under different temperature rates is related to the thermal diffusivity of the material. La$_{0.33}$Ca$_{0.67}$MnO$_3$ has a large specific heat and a relatively low thermal conductivity, 1-3 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [20], which then leads to a low thermal diffusivity. Considering the base level of $c_p = 550$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, the density obtained from the XRD measurement $\rho = 6034$ kg m$^{-3}$ and assuming a value of $\kappa = 2$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, the thermal diffusivity can be calculated by $D = \kappa / c_p \rho$ and for La$_{0.33}$Ca$_{0.67}$MnO$_3$ it is $6 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$. This is approximately five times smaller than other common magnetocaloric materials such as LaFe$_{0.88}$Si$_{0.12}$H$_{1.0}$ where it is $\sim 2.7 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, very similar to the diffusivity of Gd [21]. Therefore, the temperature rate is much more influential on the position of the peaks of La$_{0.33}$Ca$_{0.67}$MnO$_3$ compared to other magnetocaloric materials.

To further investigate the thermal hysteresis, specific heat measurements under different applied fields were performed. Figure 5 shows the heat ca-
Figure 4: Heat capacity peak temperature, $T_{\text{peak}}$, as a function of temperature rates for both heating and cooling. At finite heating and cooling rates extrinsic hysteresis is apparent. However, the intrinsic hysteresis, corresponding to the extrapolated temperature rate 0 K/min, vanishes.

Figure 5: Specific heat as a function of temperature and under different applied field, with $T = -1.0$ K/min.

4. Discussion

We find no discernible apparent hysteresis in LCMO, as shown above through the measurements of heat capacity and calorimetric measurements of entropy change. This is consistent with previous reports using an AC calorimetric method [5]. On the other hand the observed behaviour of the specific heat and isothermal entropy change is characteristic of a FOPT. These observations can be reconciled if we consider the spread in critical temperature which is caused by compositional variations and the tendency to formation of magnetically inhomogeneous states in the manganites [13]. For weakly first-order materials even a small spread in critical temperatures may be enough to smooth out the transition and make the hysteresis disappear. Recently we showed, using detailed determination of the field dependence of $\Delta s$ at $T_c$ in combina-
Figure 6: The specific heat peak temperature, $T_{\text{peak}}$, as a function of applied magnetic fields for both heating and cooling heat capacity measurements, with $|T| = 1.0 \text{ K/min}$. The dashed lines are linear fits.

We find indeed that La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ is weakly first order, with an $\eta = 1.25$. In Figure 9 we show the modelled behaviour of the entropy curve under both heating and cooling, with a standard deviation on the Gaussian distribution $\sigma(T_0) = 2.6 \text{ K}$, and without any distribution. It is clear that the weak hysteresis present when there is no compositional inhomogeneity, i.e. $\sigma(T_0) = 0 \text{ K}$, tends to vanish when the spread is taken into consideration, i.e. $\sigma(T_0) = 2.6 \text{ K}$. It is also important to notice that the entropy curve shown here is in zero field. Previous works [22, 23] have shown that the thermal hysteresis decreases with the increase of magnetic field, making it the largest at zero field. Moreover, the inset shows the entropy change under heating and cooling procedures, for both distributions and a magnetic field change of 1 T. One may see the great impact that the spread causes in the entropy change, making the peak wider and smaller. We have seen the same behaviour recently [26] in La(Fe,Mn,Sn)$_{13}$H$_y$. We believe such behaviour is due to the chemical inhomogeneity. If a material with mass $m$ has a single $T_C$, all its mass will undergo the transition at that temperature, concentrating all the entropy change at it. However, if the material has a distribution in $T_C$ due to, e.g., chemical inhomogeneity, different parts of the material will change from FM to PM at different temperatures, so the entropy change will be distributed accordingly to the distribution of $T_C$.

5. Conclusions

We have studied the thermal hysteretic behaviour of La$_{0.67}$Ca$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ by means of several measurements and modelling. Direct $\Delta s$ measurements with 0.25 K step, measured in 4 different setups have shown no temperature difference. Heat capacity measured under 0 T and different temperature rates have shown no hysteresis when done the extrapolation to equilibrium state. Observed hysteresis does not decreases with field, pointing to the absence of intrinsic hysteresis. Still, FOPT behaviour was observed as $c_p$ shifting with field and the asymmetric growth of $\Delta s$ with increasing field changes. FOPT modelled with Bean-Rodbell model and with a superimposed Gaussian distribution on the $T_C$ have shown that the spread in $T_C$ can decrease significantly, or even vanish the hysteresis.
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Figure 8: Entropy change measured directly in the DSC, around the transition temperature with a temperature step of 0.25 K.