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Abstract—PZFlex is a commercial FEA software that has been optimized for the ultrasound industry and is commonly used to design piezoelectric ultrasound transducers. However, PZFlex is not commonly used within the CMUT research field. Nevertheless, it has an explicit modeling approach allowing large structures like CMUT arrays to be modeled and its transient analysis intrinsically supplies non-linear and broadband results from a single run. A 3-D model of a CMUT array is developed with multiple cells in each element and one active element surrounded by N passive elements. It is demonstrated that the electro-mechanics can precisely be predicted, within 3%, including the pull-in voltage and the spring softening effect. The transmit impulse response is simulated by deconvolving the extrapolated pressure with the excitation pulse, and it is in excellent agreement with the measured. It is shown that the impulse response can directly be used in Field II to assess the image quality of the transducer using the lateral, axial and cystic resolution for two different CMUT designs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Finite element analysis (FEA) has been extensively used for analyzing both static and dynamic behavior of capacitive micromachined ultrasonic transducers (CMUTs) [1], [2]. Typical parameters being evaluated include the pull-in voltage, pressure, sensitivity, bandwidth, and crosstalk. PZFlex is a commercial FEA software, has been optimized for the ultrasound industry and is commonly used to design piezoelectric ultrasound transducers. However, PZFlex is not commonly used within the CMUT research field. Nevertheless, it has an explicit modeling approach allowing large structures like CMUT arrays to be modeled and its transient analysis intrinsically supplies non-linear and broadband results from a single run. Another advantage is that the time domain response calculated in PZFlex can be used directly in the ultrasound simulation program Field II. This gives the possibility of not only evaluating the transducer design on the pressure, receive sensitivity, bandwidth and so on, but it is also possible to simulate an imaging setup and evaluate the image quality in terms of lateral, axial, and cystic resolution.

Only a few papers have been published where PZFlex have been used to simulate CMUTs, and it has mainly been used to investigate element crosstalk [3].

The main objective of this paper is to present a multi-element CMUT array model with multiple cells per element developed in PZFlex, and verify the model by comparing the output results to measurements. The second objective is to show that the results can directly be used in Field II to evaluate the imaging performance of the transducer.

II. METHODS

A. PZFlex Models

1) Single Cell Model: A single CMUT cell is modeled using axial symmetry. The cell consists of a bottom silicon substrate, a silicon oxide layer with a cavity and a silicon plate with an aluminum layer on top. The model is based on the wafer-bonding technique [4]. The substrate and the edges of the model are fixed, and the top is free to move. The top of the substrate acts as the ground electrode and the bottom of the silicon plate acts as the drive electrode. The properties of the materials used are listed in Table I.

2) Linear Array Model: The linear array model consists of a central driven active element, surrounded by N passive elements, with each element containing multiple individual circular CMUT cells placed in a hexagonal grid. Fig. 1 shows a cross section of the model through the plane with the cavities. This model has three cells in each element and the active element is surrounded by three passive elements on each side. The colors of the cells represent which element the cells are placed in. Symmetry is applied along the elevation direction and at the center of the active element, this significantly reduces the model runtime, while allowing crosstalk to be observed across multiple adjacent elements. Fig. 2 shows the full model with the substrate below the CMUTs, an acoustic window on top of the CMUTs made of a Room Temperature Vulcanization (RTV) silicone polymer and water on top. The bottom of the substrate is fixed, and the RTV silicone and the water have an absorbing boundary condition at the boundary where symmetry is not applied. The simulation does not take

### TABLE I: MATERIAL PARAMETERS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Density [g/cm³]</th>
<th>Long. Vel. [mm/μs]</th>
<th>Shear Vel. [mm/μs]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon oxide</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTV Silicone</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Cross section of the model through the plane with the cavities. This model has three cells in each element and the active element is surrounded by three elements on each side. The cells are colored in different colors depending on which element they are placed in.

Fig. 2. The full model with the substrate below the CMUTs, an acoustic window on top of the CMUTs of an RTV silicone polymer, and water on top.

The simulation is divided into two stages: first, the bias is applied and second, the voltage or pressure is applied. The biasing stage uses the dynamic relaxation option in PZFlex and increases the voltage gradually until the bias voltage is reached. This option overdamps the mechanical elements so a steady bias state is reached faster. In a future release, a static solver will be implemented to calculate the biasing stage.

B. CMUT probe

A 192 element linear CMUT array is fabricated and assembled in a probe handle similar to the probe described in [5]. The individual CMUT cells are circular with a radius of 60 μm and fabricated using a LOCOS process [6]. The plate thickness is 10 μm silicon with 400 nm aluminum on top. The insulation oxide is 409 nm, the LOCOS nitride is 100 nm, and the vacuum gap is 167 nm. The cells are placed in a hexagonal grid with three cells in each element in the azimuthal direction. The pull-in voltage is 215 V. The acoustic performance of the probe is compared to the PZFlex model.

A second CMUT array has been fabricated with a 2 μm plate, a radius of 24.5 μm and a pull-in voltage of 240 V. This array is not assembled to a final probe, but only tested using an impedance analyzer for evaluating the electro-mechanical performance of the PZFlex model.

III. ELECTROMECHANICS

Two parameters are evaluated to verify that the electro-mechanical part works: the pull-in voltage and the spring softening effect.

The pull-in voltage, $V_{pi}$, is defined as the point when the plate snaps down onto the bottom of the cavity. This occurs when the electrostatic force for the applied voltage exceeds the elastic force originating from the plate. The effective spring constant becomes zero when this happens. The stable position of the CMUT can be found by balancing all the forces acting on the plate, and the pull-in voltage can then be derived [7]

$$V_{pi} = \sqrt{\frac{89.4459 \cdot \frac{D_i \cdot g_{eff}^2}{a^2 C_0}}{}}$$

where $D_i$ is the flexural rigidity, $g_{eff}$ is the effective gap and $C_0$ is the capacitance of the unbiased cell. These parameters take all the dimensions of the CMUT cell into account. The center deflection of the plate normalized to the vacuum gap thickness as function of the applied voltage normalized to the pull-in voltage, $V_{pi}$, is plotted in Fig. 3. The analytic model is compared to a transient and a static PZFlex model. The models are identical, the only difference is the ramp time of the voltage. The transient model is ramped by 270 V/μs whereas each step in the static model is run until steady state. The two models agree with a difference less than 2% relative to the analytic model. In the transient model, the inertia of the plate is captured, as the plate does not snap in, predicting a 10 V higher pull-in voltage in this case.

The resonance frequency of the fabricated CMUT array is extracted using an impedance measurement where the resonance peak is tracked for varying bias voltages. To extract the resonance frequency from PZFlex, a single cell CMUT model is used with the same dimensions as the measured. The CMUT cell in vacuum is biased and a static analysis is run to calculate the deflection of the plate. The CMUT is then excited with a wideband AC voltage on top of the bias in a transient study. The impulse response is calculated by deconvolving the displacement of the center of the plate...
with the drive signal. The resonance frequency is found at the maximum value of the frequency spectrum of the impulse response. The resonance frequency of the transient PZFlex model compared to real measurements of a CMUT element is shown in Fig. 4. The model agrees with measurements with a difference of less than 3%.

### IV. ACOUSTICS

After verifying that the electro-mechanical domain calculations work, the acoustic part is now investigated. An array model similar to the CMUT probe described in section II-B is simulated. The model has three cells in each element in the azimuth direction and seven elements in total. A bias voltage of 80% of the pull-in is used, and the center element is excited with a 1 V\text{peak} Blackman-Harris pulse with a center frequency of 8 MHz.

From the pressure, extrapolated at a distance of 10 mm, the transmit impulse response is extracted by a deconvolution. This is done by normalizing the extrapolated pressure with the excitation pulse in the frequency domain and applying a window over the region of interest.

The transmit impulse response of the CMUT probe is measured using an AIMS III intensity measurement system (Onida Corp., California, USA) with an Onda HGL-0400 Hydrophone connected to the experimental research ultrasound scanner, SARUS [8]. The method used to measure and calculate the transmit impulse response is described in [9].

The impulse response in the time domain, the envelope and the impulse response in the frequency domain are shown in Fig. 5. All of the three responses are normalized to its maximum value as the amplitude otherwise would not fit. The simulation does not take the elevation focus into account and the extrapolation does not incorporate the symmetry that the simulation does. Otherwise, there is a excellent agreement between the simulation and measured impulse response, but with a slightly higher prediction of the center frequency from the simulation.

### V. IMAGING ASSESSMENT

Two different transducers are simulated in PZFlex. One with a plate thickness of 2 µm and a second with a plate thickness of 10 µm. Both of them having a center frequency in the 5 MHz range and a pull-in voltage of 200 V. The impulse responses of both transducers are shown in Fig. 6. A higher transmit sensitivity is obtained by increasing the plate thickness from 2 to 10 µm, but at the expense of the pulse length/bandwidth (as seen in [10]). The peak-peak transmit sensitivity is increased from 25.2 kPa/V to 75.6 kPa/V, and the bandwidth is decreased from 11.6 MHz to 3.1 MHz.

A simulation of 41 point scatterers at depths from 10–50 mm are performed using the Field II simulation program [11], [12]. Two transducers with 128 elements and a pitch of 200 µm are simulated. The imaging sequence consist of line-by-line imaging with 129 focused emissions, 64 active elements, and an f\# of 2 in transmit. The PZFlex simulated transmit impulse responses for the transducers are used in the Field II simulation in the transmit stage, while a standard Hamming weighted 2-cycle sinusoid are used in the receive part. The excitation pulse are a 1-cycle sinusoid at 5 MHz. For beamformation, dynamic receive focusing and a receive f\# of 1 are employed.

From the point scatters, the point spread function at varying depth is estimated and from these the imaging quality can be evaluated. Both the lateral and axial resolution is estimated based on the FWHM and the cystic resolution, which is the radius of the -20 dB contour line [13]. The resolutions of both transducers are shown in Fig. 7. The lateral resolution is identical for the two transducers, as expected, as it is determined by the transducer layout. The axial resolution is better for the 2 µm plate, as it is directly proportional with the pulse length. It is therefore interesting that the 10 µm transducer has a slightly better cystic resolution, hence better at suppressing the side-lobes.

### VI. CONCLUSION

This paper demonstrated PZFlex as a modeling tool for simulating CMUT arrays. A 3-D model of a CMUT array was developed with multiple cells in each element and one active element surrounded by N passive elements. It was demonstrated that the electro-mechanics could precisely be predicted within 3%, both the pull-in voltage and the spring softening effect. The transmit impulse response was simulated by deconvolving the extrapolated pressure with the excitation pulse and it was in excellent agreement with the measured. The impulse response could directly be used in Field II to assess the image quality of the transducer using the lateral, axial, and cystic resolution.
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with a plate thickness $2\,\mu m$ and a second with a plate thickness of $10\,\mu m$.

Top: Time domain. Bottom: Frequency domain.

Fig. 5. Transmit impulse response of the PZFlex model compared to measurements. Left: Time domain normalized to maximum positive amplitude. Center: Envelope of the time domain. Right: Frequency domain.

Fig. 6. Comparison of the transmit impulse response of two transducers, one with a plate thickness $2\,\mu m$ and a second with a plate thickness of $10\,\mu m$. Top: Time domain. Bottom: Frequency domain.

Fig. 7. Assessment of the image quality derived from the point spread function simulated in Field II. Top: Lateral resolution based on FWHM of the PSF. Middle: Axial resolution based on FWHM of the PSF. Bottom: Cystic resolution based on the radius of the -20 dB contour line of the PSF.