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Abstract-The offshore wind power has received immense attention because of higher wind speed and lower opposition for construction. A wide range of combinations of high-voltage AC-DC transmission have been proposed for integrating offshore wind farms and long-distance power transmission. This paper is to model such hybrid AC-DC systems including the interfacing converters, which have several control parameters that can change the load flow of the hybrid systems. Then, the paper proposes a Load Flow algorithm based on the Newton-Raphson method, which covers three different section types of the transmission system: the AC parts, the DC parts and the interfacing converters. Finally, this paper validates this algorithm through a detailed case study with a typical hybrid network.

Index Terms- Hybrid AC-DC network, Load Flow, Newton-Raphson, Offshore Wind Farm, Voltage Source Converter

I. INTRODUCTION

To meet the ever growing energy demand across the world in a sustainable manner, the Offshore Wind Conversion Technology (OWT) is a promising option [1]. It is estimated that, by 2030, the installed wind power capacity will reach up to 300 GW [2-3]. The OWT is becoming popular because of higher wind speeds and lower opposition for construction. The steady wind stream at a greater speed offers competitive load factors to OWT (39%) compared to its onshore counterpart (22%) [4]. The combination of the interconnected High Voltage AC (HVAC) and High Voltage DC (HVDC) transmission network for integrating offshore wind farms have been widely investigated [2-8].

On the other hand, long distance HVDC transmission proves to be advantageous over HVAC transmission due to the enhanced stability features, e.g., i) instantaneous precise control, ii) flexible connection among different synchronous zones, iii) enhanced transmission distance limit, whereas the reactive power flow due to large cable capacitance in submarine cables limits the maximum transmission distance, iv) capability of providing additional stability to the AC power network by constant frequency control, redistribution of power in the AC system and providing damping [9-15], [17]. Currently, there are three major manufacturers of VSC-HVDC systems; i.e. HVDC MaxSine by Alstom Grid; HVDC PLUS from Siemens and HVDC Light manufactured by ABB [16].

The classical load flow algorithms, e.g., Newton-Raphson (NR), Fast Decoupled, DC load flow, are popular for estimation of transmission operating state and energy/demand schedule in interconnected AC networks. Whereas, the integration of Conventional Generation Units and Converter-based Generation resources in a hybrid AC-DC network demands more research. This paper is to develop an algorithm applicable to such hybrid networks. The developed load flow algorithm based on NR can be applied to large-scale AC-DC system where Voltage Source Converters (VSCs) have been largely employed.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II develops the model of the hybrid networks with focus on the DC systems and Converter systems; Section III introduces the proposed load flow algorithm with a flowchart diagram; Section IV presents the case study results on a typical AC-DC network; Finally, Section V concludes the paper with future application possibilities of the proposed Unified NR algorithm.

II. MODELLING OF CONVERTER-HVDC-AC SYSTEM

The NR method is widely used as a classical tool to calculate the Load Flow in an AC system. The differences in voltage magnitudes and angles between two connecting buses influence the Active (P) and Reactive Power (Q) flow in AC networks. Whereas, the load flow in DC network is governed by the voltage magnitudes at its terminals. In a hybrid AC-DC network, the performance and controllability of the interfacing VSCs play a key role in affecting the Apparent power (S) flow. In modern AC-DC transmission networks, power flows (both Active and Reactive) are largely influenced by Flexible AC Transmission Systems, i.e., Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC), Static VAR Compensation (SVC), Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM) [18-20]. Moreover, in present-time, large scale wind farms need to fulfill a wide range of technical requirements and ancillary services, e.g., fault-ride through (FRT), reactive power supply and frequency-active power control [21]. VSCs at the HVDC terminals have great advantages for instantaneous active-reactive power control and
support the onshore AC network [22]. Hence, it is of interest to develop an algorithm for load flow analysis of hybrid AC-DC systems by extending the classical NR method.

There are three sets of equalities in a hybrid network. These constraints can be expressed in terms of a set of power flow equalities as, I) Converter power conversion equalities, II) DC power flow equalities, III) AC power flow equalities.

A. Converter power conversion equalities

From the load flow point of view, there is a generalized configuration that can be applied to both AC and DC system at the junction point, since the converter can be treated as a power injector at either point [8]. For an AC/DC converter, the power flow equation can be expressed as below,

$$P_{AC,m} + P_{DC,m} + P_{loss,m} = 0$$  (1)

where, $P_{AC,m}$ and $P_{DC,m}$ represent active power flow into the AC system and DC system, respectively; $P_{loss,m}$ is the active power loss inside $m$-th converter. In case of reactive power flow, such an interactive equation is not applicable, since the HVDC line decouples the reactive power transmission at its terminals. The AC-DC interaction can be elaborated in two segments henceforth.

![Figure 1: VSC configuration from AC system, Right: VSC configuration from DC system](image)

Observing from the AC power system, $m$-th VSC can be seen as a voltage source with generating voltage $U_{AC,m}$ and injecting power $S_{AC,m} = P_{AC,m} + jQ_{AC,m}$ towards $n$-th nodal point via the shunt filter nodal point. The inverted AC power from DC sources contains a large Total Harmonic Distortion (THD). Therefore, it is passed through the shunt filters which also can support AC system by compensating (injecting/absorbing) reactive power requirements. In (2)-(5), $G_{AC,m} + jB_{AC,m}$ is per phase converter admittance as seen from the AC side and $G_{AC,f} + jB_{AC,f}$ is per phase admittance of the interconnecting transformer. Finally, the active and reactive power flow balances are expressed in (7) and (8).

$$P_{AC,m} = U_{AC,m}^2 G_{AC,m} - U_{AC,m} U_{AC,f} (G_{AC,m} + jB_{AC,m}) \cos(\delta_{AC,m} - \delta_{AC,f}) + B_{AC,m} \sin(\delta_{AC,m} - \delta_{AC,f})$$  (2)

$$Q_{AC,m} = -U_{AC,m}^2 B_{AC,m} - U_{AC,m} U_{AC,f} (G_{AC,m} + jB_{AC,m}) \sin(\delta_{AC,m} - \delta_{AC,f}) - B_{AC,m} \cos(\delta_{AC,m} - \delta_{AC,f})$$  (3)

$$P_{AC,f} = U_{AC,f}^2 G_{AC,f} - U_{AC,f} U_{AC,n} (G_{AC,f} + jB_{AC,f}) \cos(\delta_{AC,f} - \delta_{AC,n}) + B_{AC,f} \sin(\delta_{AC,f} - \delta_{AC,n})$$  (4)

$$Q_{AC,f} = -U_{AC,f}^2 B_{AC,f} - U_{AC,f} U_{AC,n} (G_{AC,f} + jB_{AC,f}) \sin(\delta_{AC,f} - \delta_{AC,n}) - B_{AC,f} \cos(\delta_{AC,f} - \delta_{AC,n})$$  (5)

$$Q_f = U_f^2 B_f$$  (6)

$$P_{AC,m} - P_{loss,AC,m} - P_{loss,AC,f} - P_{AC,n} = 0$$  (7)

$$Q_{AC,m} + Q_f - Q_{AC,n} = 0$$  (8)

B. DC power flow equalities

As indicated in Figure 1, the Converter system can be considered as a constant current source from the DC system with an injection current $I_{DC,m}$ by $m$-th converter into the DC system. $Y_{DC,m,p}$ is the DC bus admittance between $m$-th and $p$-th DC node. Hence, the total active power injected by $m$-th node into the DC system can be expressed as (10).

$$I_{DC,m} = \sum_{p=1}^{n_{DC}} Y_{DC,m,p} (U_{DC,m} - U_{DC,p})$$  (9)

$$P_{DC,m} = U_{DC,m} I_{DC,m} + P_{loss,DC,m,p}$$  (10)

The offshore wind turbines produce AC power which is then converted to high voltage DC power and transmitted through the long distance submarine cable to the onshore transformer. In further, the transmitted DC power is inverted to AC power by the onshore converter system and fed into the main land AC grid. Hence, the two terminal DC link needs to be further analyzed as in [23].

![Figure 2: a) Two terminal model of HVDC transmission link, b) Close loop circuit representation of two-terminal DC link](image)

To analyze the steady state converter operation, the following assumptions can be made for further detailing of the load flow constraints, a) the AC terminal voltages are balanced and sinusoidal, b) the rectifier and inverter are capable of ideal AC-DC conversion and c) DC current does not contain any AC component. If the AC to DC rectifier terminal is denoted by ‘r’ and DC to AC inverter terminal is denoted by ‘i’, the Kirchhoff’s laws can be separately expressed for Rectifier and Inverter Terminals.
Rectifier and Inverter Equations

\[ U_{d,0,r} = kn_r U_{AC,r} \]  
\[ U_{d,r} = U_{d,0,r} m_r - R_r I_{d,r} \]  
\[ P_r = U_{d,r} I_{d,r} \tan \varphi_r \]  
\[ Q_r = U_{d,r} I_{d,r} \tan \varphi_r \]  
\[ U_{d,0,i} = k_i U_{AC,i} \]  
\[ U_{d,i} = U_{d,0,i} m_i - R_i I_{d,i} \]  
\[ P_i = U_{d,i} I_{d,i} \]  
\[ Q_i = U_{d,i} I_{d,i} \tan \varphi_i \]

where \( U_{d,0,r}, U_{d,0,i} \) are the ideal no-load DC voltage after conversion of the per phase AC voltage \( U_r \) at the rectifier terminal and \( U_i \) at the inverter terminal respectively, \( k = 3\sqrt{2}/\pi \) is the AC/DC conversion factor, \( m_r, m_i \) are the rectifier and inverter modulation indices, respectively. \( R_r, R_i \) represent the equivalent commutating resistance of the rectifier and inverter, respectively. Since the losses at the transformers and converters are neglected hence, \( P_r = P_{AC,r} \) & \( Q_r = Q_{AC,r} \) and \( P_i = P_{AC,i} \) & \( Q_i = Q_{AC,i} \).

The active power flow direction solely depends on the direction of current \( I_{DC} \) flowing in the DC network, which depends on the voltage level at the two terminals of the DC network. The reactive power is either injected into the AC network or absorbed from the AC network at the terminals since there is no reactive power transmission through the DC network. \( \varphi_r, \varphi_i \) are the power factor at the rectifier and inverter terminals respectively connected to the AC terminal.

Line equation

The line current through the DC link between the rectifier and inverter is expressed as (16). \( I_{DC} = I_{DC,rect} = I_{DC,inv} \) and \( R_{DC} \) is the DC line resistance.

\[ I_{DC} = \frac{U_{d,r} - U_{d,i}}{R_{DC}} \]  

C. AC power flow equality equalities

The HVDC network exchanges power with the connected onshore AC network. Therefore, the generation, demand and injection (converter terminals) of both active and reactive power for each AC bus can be expressed as (20) and (21).

\[ \sum_{k \in m} P_{GR} - \sum_{k \in m} P_{LK} + \sum_{k \in m} P_{Conv,k} \]
\[ = \sum_{n=1}^{n_{AC}} U_{AC,m} U_{AC,n} \left[ G_{AC,mn} \cos(\delta_{AC, mn}) + B_{AC, mn} \sin(\delta_{AC, mn}) \right] \]  

where \( P_{Conv,k} \) and \( Q_{Conv,k} \) are the generation of Active and Reactive power \( (P_{rect/invk} \ \text{and} \ Q_{rect/invk}) \) at the converter connecting node \( k \) if connected to the VSC. \( P_{GR} \) & \( Q_{GR} \) are generations and \( P_{LK} \) & \( Q_{LK} \) are the consumption of Active and Reactive power by the Load at node \( k \).

III. LOAD FLOW ALGORITHM BASED ON NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

The Unified-NR Algorithm is developed on the basis of combination of NR-AC and NR-DC algorithms as discussed in Section II. The objective of this algorithm is to determine the load flow in a hybrid transmission system. This algorithm treats the NR-AC and NR-DC mismatch functions simultaneously and solves the Jacobians iteratively.

The NR-AC mismatch functions are a set of non-linear equations whereas the NR-DC mismatch functions are linear equalities. Since, the non-linear and linear equations are solved and fed-into each other synchronously, it is named as the Unified NR Algorithm. To illustrate the Unified NR algorithm, a flowchart is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. The flowchart of Unified NR algorithm](image)

The Unified NR Algorithm begins with the Admittance-Bus information and voltage-angle data of PV Bus and Slack Bus. The iterative part of the flowchart is described below,

Step I Flat start with unknown voltage-angle information for both AC and DC Buses.

Step II (a) Solve the AC mismatch functions, (b) Solve simultaneous equations for interconnected DC network to determine DC bus voltages.
Step III: Solve DC mismatch functions and update active-reactive power injections to AC buses if there is any converter interface.

Step IV: Form the Hessian matrix.

Step V: Determine the unknown parameters and update until the stopping criteria are met.

IV. CASE STUDY

To test the effectiveness of the Unified NR algorithm for load flow analysis of a hybrid system, the example network in Figure 4 is used. This entire network is composed of four sections, a) Interconnected Onshore AC network (in Red), b) Offshore Wind Farm (in Blue), c) Interfacing HVDC network (in Black), d) Interfacing HVAC network (in green). For this typical hybrid system, the types of buses are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 (Bus Type Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus Type</th>
<th>Bus Number (B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slack Bus</td>
<td>B1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV Bus</td>
<td>B4, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ Bus</td>
<td>B2, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Bus</td>
<td>B7, 8, 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. A typical hybrid AC-DC network and Offshore Wind Farm

A. Case I

In this case, the Classical NR method is run by considering the all AC system. The Offshore Wind Power is directly transmitted to the Onshore AC network through B6-B2 & B6-B5 (in Blue) HVAC cables, the interference of converter buses i.e. B7, B8, B9 (in Black) is completely ignored in load flow calculations. After 5 iterations (with $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$), the algorithm converges. The voltages and angles in all buses are obtained and listed below. The Load flow Result for Case I is shown in Table 2.

Table 2 (Load Flow Results of Case I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus</th>
<th>Voltage $(V)_{(p.u.)}$</th>
<th>Angle $(\theta)_{(p.u.)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>-0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>-0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>-0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>-0.152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Case I, Active-Reactive power flow is fixed once the system parameters (Admittance-Bus) are decided. Therefore, it is not possible to achieve further load flow controllability and demonstrate the additional ancillary services provided by the large offshore wind farm.

B. Case II

Here, the Offshore Wind Power is transferred though the VSC-HVDC system. To implement the Unified NR algorithm, Offshore AC Bus B6 is connected to the inverter Bus B7 and thereafter, it is transferred to the Onshore Network via the HVDC lines B6-B7 & B6-B8 (in Black). The HVAC Offshore transmission lines, i.e., B6-B2 & B6-B5 (in Blue), are removed in this case.

A set of DC link characteristics, which are applied on the developed network for analysis in this case, are listed in Table 3. In Case II, the converter modulation indices (Case II-A) and power factor angles (Case II-B) are varied in three different sub-cases to indicate their effect on PV and PQ bus voltages $(V)$ and angles $(\theta)$ and subsequently Active & Reactive Power flow in connecting network.

After 5 iterations (with $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$), the algorithm converges. The voltages and angles of all buses are obtained and listed below in Table 4 and Table 5 for all three sub-cases for Case II-A and Case II-B, respectively.

Table 3 (DC link characteristics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Symbol and Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Converter Reactance</td>
<td>$Z_C = 0.0001 + j0.16$ (identical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC line Impedance</td>
<td>$Z_{DC2B} = 0.04$ and $Z_{DC7B} = 0.05$ in p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter Trans. Ratio</td>
<td>$n_r = n_i = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter Modulation Indices</td>
<td>$m_r = 1.0, 0.98, 0.95$  $m_i = 1.0, 0.98, 0.95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor Angle</td>
<td>$\varphi_{r6} = 18^\circ, 11^\circ, 18^\circ$  $\varphi_{r7} = 25^\circ, 18^\circ, 25^\circ$  $\varphi_{r8} = 25^\circ, 18^\circ, 11^\circ$  $\varphi_{r9} = 25^\circ, 18^\circ, 11^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 (Load Flow Results in Case II-A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus</th>
<th>Case II – A (i)</th>
<th>Case II – A (ii)</th>
<th>Case II – A (iii)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m_r = 1.0$</td>
<td>$m_i = 1.0$</td>
<td>$m_r = 0.95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m_i = 1.0$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$m_i = 0.95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(p.u.)</td>
<td>0(p.u.)</td>
<td>V(p.u.)</td>
<td>0(p.u.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>-0.274</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>-0.313</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>1.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>-0.424</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>1.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 (Load Flow Results in Case II-B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus</th>
<th>Case II – B (i)</th>
<th>Case II – B (ii)</th>
<th>Case II – B (iii)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m_r = 1.0$</td>
<td>$m_i = 1.0$</td>
<td>$m_r = 0.95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m_i = 1.0$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$m_i = 0.95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(p.u.)</td>
<td>0(p.u.)</td>
<td>V(p.u.)</td>
<td>0(p.u.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>-0.274</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>-0.313</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>1.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>-0.424</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>1.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Case II-B, the power factor angles are changed in three subcases with modulation indices remains same as \((m_1 = 0.98; \ m_2 = 0.95)\) in all three sub-cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus</th>
<th>Case II – B (i)</th>
<th>Case II – B (ii)</th>
<th>Case II – B (iii)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\phi_{i0} = 18^\circ)</td>
<td>(\phi_{i0} = 11^\circ)</td>
<td>(\phi_{i0} = 18^\circ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\phi_{i1} = 25^\circ)</td>
<td>(\phi_{i1} = 18^\circ)</td>
<td>(\phi_{i1} = 25^\circ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The advantages of additional controllability in the Power Flow technique are clearly observed in load flow results from Table 4 and Table 5. According to Case II-A, the voltages and angles of all Buses (AC and DC) are modified by changing the rectifier and inverter modulation indices. The active and reactive power references can be adjusted by controlling the converter operating power factor angles. The power factor angles can control the power flow in the AC network without altering the DC network parameters. From Table 5, it can be seen that the DC bus voltages remain unchanged in all three subcases of Case II-B.

V. CONCLUSION

The Unified NR algorithm provides an opportunity for load flow analysis of hybrid AC-DC systems without altering the simplicity and robustness of the existing Classical Newton-Raphson load flow algorithm. In this new algorithm, the AC mismatch functions can be suitably updated by exchanging power at the converter-interfacing Buses and thereafter follow the iterative process till converging (mismatch tends to zero). The modeling of the converter-modulation index control is established in this paper and the added benefits (additional flexibility to the system) of this control method are shown though the Case Study.

This algorithm is adaptive to large scale AC-DC networks with/without Offshore Wind Farm integrations, which will be further studied in the future work. In addition, it is also possible to implement this algorithm for Optimal Power Flow, Load Flow Congestion studies, Unity Dispatch and Static Security Assessment problems of hybrid network.
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