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Abstract: Recently a species in the genus Talaromyces, a uniseriate species of Aspergillus section Nigri and an isolate each of two widespread species, Penicillium rubens and P. commune, were reported to produce ochratoxin A. This claim was based on insufficient biological and chemical data. We propose a list of criteria that need to be met before an unexpected mycotoxin producer is reported. There have only been convincing data on ochratoxin A production for Penicillium verrucosum, P. nordicum, P. thymicola, all from Penicillium series Verrucosa, and from species in three sections of Aspergillus: section Circumdati, section Nigri and section Flavi.
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In a very recent article published online in Toxins (12 November 2016), Zhang and co-workers [1] screened fungal strains isolated from grapes in China, claiming they identifies “Novel Ochratoxin A (OTA)-Producing Fungi” within the genera Aspergillus, Talaromyces and Penicillium. In particular, they reported OTA production from species never found before to be OTA producers, namely Talaromyces rugulosus, Penicillium commune, Penicillium rubens and Aspergillus aculeatus.

Even though it is well known that ochratoxins are produced by several fungal species belonging to the genera Penicillium and Aspergillus, no papers directly indicate that any Talaromyces species can produce ochratoxin A [2]. On the other hand, species recently placed in the genus Talaromyces [3,4] have been reported to produce OTA, including P. funiculosum (now correctly identified as Talaromyces funiculosus [5–7]), P. pinophilum (now correctly identified as T. pinophilus) [8], P. purpurogenum (now correctly identified as T. purpurogenus) [9], Penicillium radicum (now correctly identified as T. radicus) [10], P. rugulosum (now correctly identified as T. rugulosus) [10], P. variabile (now correctly identified as T. wortmanii) [11–15] and P. verruculosum (now correctly identified as T. verruculosus) [9,16,17]. Several strains of all these species have been examined for production of ochratoxin A, but no isolate of the seven species of Talaromyces listed above was able to produce OTA [3,4,18].

Regarding the Aspergillus species producing OTA, they are distributed among the Aspergillus sections Circumdati with 20 species [19], Nigri with seven species [20] and Flavi with two species [21,22]. The uniseriate species in section Nigri, such as A. aculeatus and A. japonicas, have been reported to
produce OTA [1,7,23–28], but among hundreds of strains properly tested, none could be confirmed to be OTA producers [21,29].

A large number of species of *Penicillium* have been claimed to produce OTA but we have only detected OTA in three species from the series *Verrucosa*: *P. nordicum* [30], *P. verrucosum* [30–32] and recently *P. thymicola* [33]. These records of OTA production by *P. verrucosum*, *P. nordicum* and *P. thymicola* have been confirmed numerous times using proper chemical characterization of OTA and proper identification of the fungi. Among other penicillia claimed to produce OTA are *P. chrysogenum*, *P. glycyrrhizacola* and *P. polonicum* from fresh or dry licorice [34] and *P. brevicompactum*, *P. crustosum*, *P. olsonii* and *P. oxalicum* isolated as endophytes in coffee [35], among many others. Again, when screening a large number of isolates of *Penicillium*, OTA has not been detected in the species listed above [2,36–38]. Concerning the important cereal-borne species *Penicillium polonicum*, OTA production could not be confirmed and it was suggested that OTA was not detected from this species, but rather from a contaminant in *P. polonicum*, probably *P. verrucosum* [39].

When claiming ochratoxin A production from a new fungal source, ideally the following measures should be taken:

1. It should be secured that the isolate is a pure culture, for example by single-spore inoculation or streaking a spore suspension on an agar medium to secure pure cultures [40].
2. Proper media and incubation conditions should be used for mycotoxin production.
3. It should be secured that the isolate is properly identified. Often ITS sequencing is not sufficient for proper identification [40,41]. The latter references can be used as a guide for proper identification of a fungal isolate.
4. The isolate should be accessioned in one or preferably two international culture collections, so the identity and purity can be checked by other scientists.
5. The presence of the toxin should be confirmed by at least three different methods, for example MS, NMR and HPLC retention time, as compared to a standard. In the case of OTA, the chlorine isotope pattern could be one way of securing that the compound is indeed OTA [32,42]. This is especially important when new records are being provided on unexpected mycotoxin production by species that have not been reported to produce them earlier.
6. The presence of biosynthetic precursors or biosynthetically related products will help confirm the presence of a mycotoxin. In the case of OTA, the presence of ochratoxin B, \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \), will help to confirm the likelihood that OTA can be produced.
7. Often phylogenetically closely related species produce a given mycotoxin, so if a mycotoxin is reported from a species unrelated to the already-known producers, extreme care should be taken to confirm that it is indeed a verified report on such a new producer.
8. Where possible and when known, check the presence and expression of gene clusters involved in the mycotoxin biosynthetic pathway.

In this respect, the paper of Zhang and co-workers [1] is flawed in that the strains claimed to produce OTA are not available for the scientific community, so it cannot be checked whether the isolates were pure cultures or whether they were indeed correctly identified. Furthermore, the analytical chemical confirmation of the actual presence of OTA in the culture is only based on HPLC-FLD (fluorescence detection), and many other fluorescent compounds with the same retention time could be mistaken for OTA. Other workers also found ochratoxin A in *P. polonicum* and *P. commune* [11,12,43–46], but Alapont et al. [44] also stated that these observations should be confirmed.

We recommend securing a more stringent review of papers where new species producing important mycotoxins are reported that are different from those species that have been repeatedly confirmed to produce the mycotoxins in question. The eight points above could be a guideline to secure that the data have been properly confirmed regarding the identity of the fungi and the toxins they produce.
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