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Abstract: Fused-pentagons result in an increase of local steric strain according to the isolated pentagon rule (IPR), and for all reported non-IPR clusterfullerenes, two or three metals are required to stabilize the strained fused-pentagons, making it difficult to access the single-atom property. Herein, we report the synthesis and isolations of novel non-IPR mononuclear clusterfullerenes MNC@C$_{60}$ (M = Tb, Y), in which one pair of strained fused-pentagon is stabilized by a mononuclear cluster. The molecular structures of MNC@C$_{60}$ (M = Tb, Y) were determined unambiguously by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, featuring a non-IPR C$_{60}$ cage entrappping a nearly linear MNC cluster, which is remarkably different from the triangular MNC cluster within the reported analogous clusterfullerenes based on IPR-obeying C$_{60}$ cages. The TbNC@C$_{60}$ molecule is found to be a field-induced single-molecule magnet (SMM).

Fullerenes are closed carbon cages with hollow interiors, and such unique structures bring about intriguing physical and chemical properties. Most fullerenes isolated during the past three decades are based on classical carbon cages composed of hexagons and pentagons only for which the stability is generally determined by the isolated pentagon rule (IPR) proposed by Kroto in the 1980s. According to IPR, fused-pentagons result in an increase of local steric strain of a carbon cage, thus destabilizing the fullerene. Stabilization of the strained fused-pentagon within a non-IPR fullerene cage has been fulfilled by either endohedral or exohedral derivatization. In particular, for endohedral fullerenes which are a special class of fullerene with an atom, ion, or cluster entrapped in the interior of carbon cage, the strong coordination of the entrapped metal ion(s) with the fused-pentagon gives rise to an intramolecular electron transfer and consequently stabilization of the non-IPR endohedral fullerenes. Most of the non-IPR endohedral fullerenes reported to date are based on clusterfullerenes owing to the feasibility of entrapping multiple metals in diverse forms of metal clusters, such as Sc$_2$N@C$_{60}$[6b] Gd$_2$N@C$_{60}$ (2n = 78, 82, 84,)[6c] LaSc$_2$N@C$_{60}$[8] and Sc$_3$S@C$_{72}$[6e] Noteworthy, for these reported non-IPR clusterfullerenes, multiple (two or three) metal ions are required to stabilize simultaneously the charged metal clusters and the fused-pentagons. Hence, it is desirable to synthesize novel non-IPR endohedral fullerenes containing mononuclear metal clusters. Clusterfullerenes have been recently recognized as single molecule magnets (SMMs) with potential applications in spintronics, quantum computing, and high-density storage devices. To date only a few endohedral fullerene SMMs have been reported, including Ln$_2$Sc$_{1-x}$N@C$_{60}$ (Ln = Dy, Ho, x = 1, 2)[9a–d] and Dy$_2$TiC@C$_{60}$[6g] which are all based on an I$_7$ C$_{58}$ cage entrappping multiple rare-earth-metal ions that are fixed as a triangle along with the central non-magnetic (N or C). For such clusterfullerene SMMs based on multiple metal centers, their magnetic properties are generally determined jointly by the entrapped individual paramagnetic...
Thus, it is highly desirable to synthesize new mononuclear clusterfullerene SMMs based on other carbon cages.

Herein we report novel non-IPR mononuclear clusterfullerene SMM containing one pair of fused-pentagons, which is stabilized by a mononuclear cyanide cluster. Two C60-based mononuclear cyanide clusterfullerenes MNC@C60 (M = Tb, Y) are synthesized and isolated, and their molecular structures are determined unambiguously by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, revealing the non-IPR feature of the C60 cage as well as the geometry of the entrapped MNC cluster. The electronic and magnetic properties of MNC@C60 are further characterized, and TbNC@C60 molecule is identified as a field-induced SMM.

MNC@C60 (M = Tb, Y) were synthesized by a modified Krätischmer–Huffman DC arc discharge method using a mixture of TbO2 (or Y2O3) and graphite (molar ratio of M:C = 1:15) as the raw material under 400 mbar He and 10 mbar N2 gas.[10] Isolations of MNC@C60 (M = Tb, Y) were performed by multi-step HPLC (see Supporting Information for experimental details). The high purities of MNC@C60 (M = Tb, Y) were confirmed by laser desorption time-of-flight (LD-TOF) mass spectroscopic analyses (see Supporting Information Figure S4 and S6).

High quality cocoscrystals of MNC@C60 (M = Tb, Y) with NiII(OEP) (OEP = octaethylporphyrin), MNC@C60-NiII(OEP)-2C6H6, were obtained by layering a benzene solution of NiII(OEP) over the solution of MNC@C60 in benzene (for TbNC@C60) or carbon disulfide (for YNC@C60) and were used for the X-ray crystallographic study. Figure 1a,d show the relative orientations of MNC@C60 and NiII(OEP) molecules in MNC@C60NiII(OEP)-2(C6H6)2 coecrystals. For both cases of TbNC@C60 and YNC@C60, the C60 cage is fully ordered, enabling the unambiguous determination of the carbon cage framework. However, the entrapped MNC cluster is disordered (see Supporting Information Figures S7–S8). For clarity, only the major site of the cluster was shown in Figure 1. The asymmetric unit of MNC@C60(19138)-C60-NiII(OEP)-2(C6H6)2 has no crystallographic imposed symmetry and contains an intact fullerene molecule together with an intact NiII(OEP) molecule and two solvent benzene molecules (Figure 1a,d). A remarkable structural feature of both cages of TbNC@C60 and YNC@C60 is that there is one pair of fused-pentagon within the same C60 cage (see Figure 1b,e), thus violating IPR.12–14 Hence, MNC@C60-(19138)-C60 (M = Tb, Y) represents novel non-IPR mononuclear clusterfullerenes.

Quite similar to the cases of other reported clusterfullerenes including YNC@C60(19138)-C60 and TbNC@C60 mononuclear cyanide clusterfullerenes10 the entrapped TbNC@C60 clusters within TbNC@C60(19138)-C60 and YNC@C60(19138)-C60 both exhibit disorders. In fact, as many as 7 and 5 metal sites are refined for TbNC@C60(19138)-C60 and YNC@C60(19138)-C60, respectively (see Supporting Information Figures S7–S8). Among them, the major metal site has an occupancy of 0.689(3) and 0.871(2) for Tb and Y, respectively, which locates just under the junction of the fused-pentagon (see Figure 1c,f). This is quite similar to the reported non-IPR clusterfullerenes such as Sc2NiD3(1640)-C6016 and Sc2Sc6C2-(10528)-C6016. Thus, it is the strong coordination interaction between Tb/Y metal and the cage that stabilizes the fused-pentagon within the non-IPR C60(19138)-C60 cage.

For the reported YNC@C60(19138)-C60 and TbNC@C60(19138)-C60 mononuclear cyanide clusterfullerenes, the entrapped MNC clusters both take a triangular geometry, and it is difficult to distinguish N and C atoms crystallographically because of their similarities on the atomic size and scattering power.10,11,14 However, for the present case of MNC@C60(19138)-C60, N and C atoms within MNC cluster can be distinguished by combining the crystallographic data with DFT computational results. Our DFT computations of MNC@C60 (M = Tb, Y) reveal that, for the non-IPR cage isomers (C60, C7, C8) of C60, nearly linear (slightly V-shaped) M-N-C coordination is always preferred with the energy being 15–18 kJ mol−1 lower than that for linear M-C-N coordination. This agrees well with the M-N-C bond angle (154.9(13)° and 160.4(7)°) for Tb and Y, respectively, see Figure 1c,f) determined by X-ray crystallography (see Supporting Information S4 for details). Hence, except for the non-IPR feature of the C60 cage, the nearly linear M-N-C configuration of the entrapped MNC cluster within MNC@C60 highlights another remarkable difference with the triangular geometry of the MNC cluster for the analogous clusterfullerenes based on IPR-obeying C60 cages, YNC@C60(6)-C60 and TbNC@C60.[16] A plausible explanation is that for non-IPR MNC@C60 a stronger M–Cage interaction is required to stabilize the fused-pentagon as confirmed by the smaller distance of the shortest M–Cage contact (see Figure S9 and Table S4), thus the coordination bonding between the metal atom and [NC]– ligand is weakened via the change of the bidentate [NC]– ligand (for the triangular MNC cluster
within MNC@C_{60} to a monodentate one (for the nearly linear MNC cluster within MNC@C_{60}).

Such a dramatic geometric change of the entrapped TbNC cluster upon changing the carbon cage from IPR-obeying C_{60} to non-IPR C_{76} is further confirmed in terms of the N−C bond length. Interestingly, while the X-ray determined N−C bond length for YNC@C_{6}(6)-C_{60} and TbNC@C_{60} is in the range 0.935(11) to 1.05(4) Å,[10] it elongates to 1.095(19) and 1.092(9) Å for TbNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} and YNC@C_{76}(19138)-C_{76}, respectively (see Figure 1c,f). These values are approaching those of the reported N−C triple bonds in traditional cyanide/nitrile compounds and cyano coordination complexes (1.12−1.17 Å).[12] Thus, it is reasonable to assign the N−C bond within MNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} as a triple bond, which appears to be compressed within MNC@C_{60} despite of the larger cage size. This phenomenon is somewhat surprising if simply considering the cage-size effect, and can be interpreted by the weakened M−[NC] coordination bonding induced by the stronger M−C bond within MNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} and YNC@C_{76}(19138)-C_{76}, respectively (see Figure 1c,f). The half-wave potential (E_{1/2}) of each redox step is marked with a solid dot to aid comparison. The asterisk labels the oxidation peak of Fc.

Figure 2A shows the UV/Vis-NIR absorption spectra of TbNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} and YNC@C_{76}(19138)-C_{76} dissolved in carbon disulfide (CS_{2}), and their characteristic absorption data are summarized in Table S6. Interestingly, their overall absorption spectra, the characteristic absorption peaks, the optical bandgap (ΔE_{optical}) and color of CS_{2} solutions are almost identical, confirming their identity on the cage isomeric structure which predominantly determines the electronic absorption of endohedral fullerene with the same type of entrapped species.[5-6]

The electronic properties of TbNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} and YNC@C_{76}(19138)-C_{76} are further investigated by cyclic voltammetry. Figure 2B shows their cyclic voltammograms measured in o-dichlorobenzene (o-DCB) with tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF_{6}) as supporting electrolyte (see also Figures S14−S15), and their characteristic redox potentials are summarized in Table 1, which includes also those of other analogous C_{60}- and C_{76}-based endohedral fullerene for comparison. Again, the characteristic redox potentials and the electrochemical gaps (ΔE_{redox}) of TbNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} and YNC@C_{76}(19138)-C_{76} are almost identical (with the difference being less than 0.05 V, see Table 1), confirming further the decisive role of the carbon cage on the electronic properties of endohedral fullerene with the same type of entrapped species.[5-6] MNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} show a larger separation between the second and third reduction steps (0.52 and 0.50 V for TbNC@C_{76} and YNC@C_{76}, respectively) than those between the first two reduction steps (first-second, 0.35−0.38 V) and the last two reduction steps (third-fourth, 0.41−0.42 V), and this phenomenon is similar to the cases of YNC@C_{6}(6)-C_{60} and TbNC@C_{60} (C_{6}(6), C_{5}(5), C_{6}(9)).[10] Such a resemblance on the electrochemical behavior between MNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} and MNC@C_{56} suggests that they adopt the same electronic configuration, namely [M^+([NC][C]_{76})]−, resulting in a closed-shell electronic configuration with non-degenerate low-lying LUMO and accessible LUMO + 1 orbitals.[5,8,10,13a]

While YNC@C_{76} is diamagnetic since there is no unpaired electron for the Y^{3+} cation, Tb^{3+} has eight 4f electrons with a T_{g} Hund ground state, indicating that TbNC@C_{76} is paramagnetic. We then studied the magnetic properties of TbNC@C_{76} with a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID). Figure 3A shows the normalized magnetizations of TbNC@C_{76} versus the applied field-temperature quotient x = μ_{s}H/T measured at seven temperatures between 1.8 and 10 K. The good scaling in this temperature range indicates that the ligand field, which splits the Hund ground state, is so strong that the low temperature magnetization may be described with one J_{z} level. Based on a perfect fit between the experimental magnetization data and the non-collinear magnetic moment model proposed previously for Dy_{2}Sc_{2}Ni@C_{82}[9b] the magnetic moment |μ| of TbNC@C_{76} is determined to be 8.9 μ_{B}, which agrees well with the theoretical limit of 9 μ_{B}. Therefore, the Tb ground state is assigned to be J_{z} = ±6 (see Supporting Information S7). Such a large J_{z} value is a prerequisite for SMM.[9b]

**Table 1:** Redox Potentials (V vs. Fc/Fc^{+}), electrochemical gaps (ΔE_{redox}) of MNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76} and other reported C_{60}- and C_{76}-based endohedral fullerenes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>E_{1/2} [V vs. Fc/Fc^{+}]</th>
<th>ΔE_{redox} [V]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TbNC@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76}</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YNC@C_{76}(19138)−C_{76}</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbNC@C_{6}(5)-C_{60}</td>
<td>−0.88</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbNC@C_{6}(6)-C_{60}</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TbNC@C_{5}(9)-C_{60}</td>
<td>−0.46</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YNC@C_{5}(6)-C_{60}</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm@C_{6}(19138)-C_{76}</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[9] ΔE_{redox} = E_{1/2}^{(2,4th)} − E_{1/2}^{(1,2nd)}.
the AC susceptibility measured at different temperatures for TbNC@C\textsubscript{80}. In low fields ($\mu_0 H = 0.2$ T), the AC susceptibility shows significant temperature dependence of the magnetic relaxation times. Figure 3C shows an Arrhenius plot of the magnetic relaxation times in an applied field temperature quotient ($x = \mu_0 H / T$). The fit indicates a maximum lifetime ($\tau_c$) of 9 ± 1 ms for the temperature independent decay of the magnetization (see Supporting Information S7).

In summary, two novel non-IPR mononuclear clusterfullerenes MNC@C\textsubscript{80} (M = Tb, Y) have been successfully synthesized and isolated, featuring the stabilization of one pair of fused-pentagons by a mononuclear MNC cluster. The MNC cluster entrapped within the non-IPR C\textsubscript{80}(19138)-C\textsubscript{76} cage is found to take a nearly linear configuration, which is remarkably different from the triangular geometry of the MNC cluster for the reported IPR-obeying C\textsubscript{80} cage-based mononuclear cyanide clusterfullerenes. TbNC@C\textsubscript{80}(19138)-C\textsubscript{76} and YNC@C\textsubscript{80}(19138)-C\textsubscript{76} exhibit almost identical electronic properties as shown by UV/Vis-NIR spectroscopic and cyclic voltammetric studies. TbNC@C\textsubscript{80} is identified to be a field-induced SMM with a maximum lifetime of 9 ± 1 ms. Our study on the novel non-IPR mononuclear clusterfullerenes provides new insights into the exceptional stabilities of strained fullerene molecules.
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