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ABSTRACT

Hot dust-obsured galaxies (hot DOGs), selected from Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer’s all-sky infrared survey, host some of the most powerful active galactic nuclei known and may represent an important stage in the evolution of galaxies. Most known hot DOGs are located at $z > 1.5$, due in part to a strong bias against identifying them at lower redshift related to the selection criteria. We present a new selection method that identifies 153 hot DOG candidates at $z \sim 1$, where they are significantly brighter and easier to study. We validate this approach by measuring a redshift $z = 1.009$ and finding a spectral energy distribution similar to that of higher-redshift hot DOGs for one of these objects, WISE J1036+0449 ($L_{\text{BH}} \approx 8 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$). We find evidence of a broadened component in Mg II, which would imply a black hole mass of $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and an Eddington ratio of $\dot{L}/L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 2.7$. WISE J1036+0449 is the first hot DOG detected by the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array, and observations show that the source is heavily obscured, with a column density of $N_H \approx (2-15) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$. The source has an intrinsic $2-10$ keV luminosity of $\approx 6 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, a value significantly lower than that expected from the mid-infrared/X-ray correlation. We also find that other hot DOGs observed by X-ray facilities show a similar deficiency of X-ray flux. We discuss the origin of the X-ray weakness and the absorption properties of hot DOGs. Hot DOGs at $z \lesssim 1$ could be excellent laboratories to probe the accretion flow and of the X-ray emitting plasma at extreme values of the Eddington ratio.

Key words: infrared; galaxies – galaxies: active – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: high-redshift – quasars: general – quasars: individual (WISE J1036+0449)

1 NuSTAR OBSERVATIONS OF WISE J1036+0449, A GALAXY AT $z \sim 1$ OBSCURED BY HOT DUST


1 Instituto de Astrofísica, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile; cricci@astro.puc.cl

2 Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

3 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

4 National Optical Astronomy Observatory, 950 N Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA

5 Centre for Extragalactic Astronomy, Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK

6 European Southern Observatory, Casilla 19001, Santiago 19, Chile

7 Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 837 State Street, Atlanta, GA 30332-0430, USA

8 Space Science Institute, 4750 Walnut Street, Suite 205, Boulder, Colorado 80301, USA

9 Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 837 State Street, Atlanta, GA 30332-0430, USA

10 Space Science Institute, 4750 Walnut Street, Suite 205, Boulder, Colorado 80301, USA

11 Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, Santiago, Chile

12 University of Leicester, Physics & Astronomy, 1 University Road, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

13 Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

14 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

15 Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

16 Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

17 Department of Physics, 104 Davey Lab, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

18 Chahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

19 Joint ALMA Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, Chile

20 DTU Space, National Space Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Elektronvej 327, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

21 INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani 1, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

22 Department of Physics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

23 Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York 10027, USA

24 Institute for Astronomy, Department of Physics, ETH Zurich, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 27, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

25 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

26 Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

27 Astroparticle Physics Laboratory, Mail Code 661, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

28 Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Camino El Observatorio 1515, Casilla 36-D Santiago, Chile

29 Astronomical Observatory, Volgina 7, 11060 Belgrade, Serbia

30 Sterrenkundig Observatorium, Universiteit Gent, Krijgslaan 281-S9, Gent B-9000, Belgium

31 Space Radiation Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

32 National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20A Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100012, China

33 INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via Frascati 33, I-00078 Monte Porzio Catone (RM), Italy

Received 2016 September 3; revised 2016 October 27; accepted 2016 November 10; published 2017 January 20

doi:10.3847/1538-4357/835/1/105
1. INTRODUCTION

Supermassive black holes (SMBHs) are known to reside at the centers of most galaxies (e.g., Kormendy & Richstone 1995; Magorrian et al. 1998; Tremaine et al. 2002) and are believed to play an important role in the evolution of their host galaxies during an active phase in which they accrete matter (e.g., Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Kormendy & Ho 2013). During such phases, they are observed as active galactic nuclei (AGNs). In the most luminous AGN, accretion is likely triggered by major galaxy mergers (e.g., Treister et al. 2012). An important stage in the life-cycle of SMBHs is believed to happen during a dust-shrouded phase, when SMBHs accrete most of their mass, before blowing out the material (e.g., Martínez-Sansigre et al. 2005; Glikman et al. 2007; Urrutia et al. 2008; LaMassa et al. 2016) and evolving into a blue unobscured source (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2006). During this obscured phase the system is expected to be extremely bright in the infrared (IR). The first objects with these characteristics were discovered in large numbers by the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS) and are called luminous \( L_{\text{IR}} \) \( \sim 10^{12} - 10^{13} L_\odot \) and ultra-luminous \( L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_\odot \) IR galaxies (LIRGs and ULIRGs, respectively; e.g., Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Farrah et al. 2003; Lonsdale et al. 2006; Imanishi et al. 2007; Veilleux et al. 2009). Subsequently, submillimeter galaxies (SMGs; e.g., Blain et al. 2002; Alexander et al. 2005; Casey et al. 2014) at \( z \sim 2-4 \) were discovered at longer wavelengths, while Spitzer surveys identified a population of dust-obscured galaxies at \( z \sim 2 \) (DOGs; e.g., Yan et al. 2007; Dey et al. 2008; Fiore et al. 2009; see also Toba et al. 2015 and Toba & Nagao 2016 for studies of DOGs selected using other facilities).

More recently, the Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer satellite (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) surveyed the whole sky in four mid-IR bands, discovering new populations of hyperluminous \( L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{12} - 10^{13} L_\odot \); e.g., Eisenhardt et al. 2012; Hainline et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2014) and extremely luminous \( L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_\odot \) IR galaxies (HyLIRGs and ELIRGs, respectively). This was accomplished by selecting objects that are faint or undetected in the W1 (3.4 \( \mu \)m) and W2 (4.6 \( \mu \)m) bands but bright in the W3 (12 \( \mu \)m) and W4 (22 \( \mu \)m) bands. Overall, \( \sim 1000 \) of these sources were discovered across the entire extragalactic sky (i.e., \( \sim 1 \) per 30 deg\(^2\); Eisenhardt et al. 2012). Spectroscopic redshifts for 115 “W1/W2-dropouts” are currently available (Assef et al. 2015), and most of these objects are at \( z > 1.5 \), with the current highest redshift \( z = 4.601 \) (Tsai et al. 2015; Díaz-Santos et al. 2016). These sources are typically optically faint, and their IR spectral energy distributions (SEDs) peak at the rest-frame \( \lambda > 20 \) \( \mu \)m, implying dust hotter (\( T > 60 \) K) than that in ULIRGs, SMGs, or DOGs. They are therefore referred to as hot DOGs (Wu et al. 2012). It has been shown that for these ELIRGs the 1–20 \( \mu \)m luminosity is always higher than the infrared luminosity above 20 \( \mu \)m (Tsai et al. 2015). The lack of a far-IR peak in their SEDs implies that the dominant energy sources are luminous heavily obscured AGNs and not extreme starbursts (Wu et al. 2012; Tsai et al. 2015). Hubble Space Telescope and Keck/NIRCam observations of hot DOGs show strong lensing is unlikely (Eisenhardt et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2014; Tsai et al. 2015; Fan et al. 2016b), while X-ray studies (Stern et al. 2014; Piconcelli et al. 2015; Assef et al. 2016, this work) show that they contain very powerful AGNs. The number density of hot DOGs is comparable to that of type 1 AGNs with similar luminosities at redshifts \( 2 < z < 4 \) (Stern et al. 2014; Assef et al. 2015). The most luminous known galaxy in the universe, WISE J2246–0526 \( (L_\text{bol} = 3.5 \times 10^{14} L_\odot) \) (Tsai et al. 2015), is a hot DOG. Recent ALMA observations of this object have found evidence of wide velocity spread, consistent with strong turbulence or isotropic outflows, which implies that the system is blowing out its interstellar medium and might be in the process of becoming an unobscured quasar (Díaz-Santos et al. 2016). Hot DOGs may therefore represent a key phase in the evolution of AGNs.

In AGNs, much of the X-ray emission is produced in a compact region very close to the SMBH \( (\lesssim 10 \) \( r_\odot \), where \( r_\odot \) is the gravitational radius of the SMBH; Zoghbi et al. 2012; De Marco et al. 2013). X-ray observations are therefore a potent tool to infer the line-of-sight column density to the central engine \( (N_\text{H}) \). The relation between the bolometric and X-ray output of hot DOGs also sheds light on the physical conditions of the X-ray emitting plasma. Hot DOGs are therefore excellent laboratories for probing the structure of the accretion flow at the highest luminosities, although they are not yet well studied in the X-ray band, with only a handful having been observed by X-ray facilities to date. Stern et al. (2014) reported on two hot DOGs observed with the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR) and XMM-Newton and an additional source observed only by XMM-Newton. All three targets are at \( z \sim 2 \). Neither target observed by NuSTAR yielded a significant detection, while two of the three objects were faintly detected by XMM-Newton, implying that the sources are either X-ray weak or heavily obscured by column densities \( N_\text{H} > 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2} \). Similar results were obtained by Piconcelli et al. (2015), who studied a 40 ks XMM-Newton spectrum of WISE J1835+4355, a hot DOG at \( z = 2.298 \), and found \( N_\text{H} > 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2} \), with the source likely being reflection dominated (L. Zappacosta et al. 2016, in preparation). Recently, Assef et al. (2016) found evidence of similar levels of obscuration in the X-rays for another hot DOG, WISE J2024–0506 \( (z = 2.100) \), using a serendipitous off-axis Chandra observation (160 ks exposure).

To better constrain the X-ray absorption of hot DOGs and hence their intrinsic X-ray luminosities, it is necessary to obtain reliable detections at \( E > 10 \) keV, where their emission is less affected by neutral gas absorption (e.g., Annuar et al. 2015; Lansbury et al. 2015; Puccetti et al. 2016; Ricci et al. 2016a, 2016b; Tanimoto et al. 2016). The simplest way to do this is to observe brighter, lower-redshift sources. However, Assef et al. (2015) show that the number of hot DOGs at such redshift is very small, in part due to an inherent bias in their selection function, with fast space density evolution also a likely contributing factor. Furthermore, hot DOGs that happen to be at lower redshifts are biased toward being much less luminous than their higher-redshift counterparts, due, at least in part, to the strict requirements of the selection function on the W1 flux.

A new selection technique, as discussed in the following section, allows identification of a significant population of hot DOGs at \( z \sim 1 \) (R. J. Assef et al. 2016, in preparation). We report here on the study of one of these new objects, WISE J103648.31+044951.0 (WISE J1036+0449). In this paper, we show that, like the SED of hot DOGs at higher redshift, that of WISE J1036+0449 at \( z = 1.009 \) peaks in the mid-IR. Exploiting three NuSTAR observations, we are able to constrain the line-of-sight column density and its intrinsic
X-ray luminosity. WISE J1036+0449 is one of the closest hot DOGs known \((z = 1.009)\), and given its relative proximity, it could become an important case for a study of this interesting population of AGNs.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we describe the selection method and show that the SED of WISE J1036 +0449 is consistent with those of other hot DOGs. In Sections 3 and 4 we report on the X-ray observations available and on the X-ray spectral analysis, respectively. In Section 5 we discuss the possible intrinsic X-ray weakness of Hot DOGs and their absorption properties, while in Section 6 we report our conclusions. Throughout the paper we use Vega magnitudes and adopt standard cosmological parameters \((H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}, \Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7)\). Unless otherwise stated, all uncertainties are quoted at the 90% confidence level.

2. SOURCE SELECTION AND SED

Assef et al. (2015) presented the redshift distribution of a large sample of hot DOG candidates, showing that it is bimodal, with almost all confirmed hot DOGs at \(z \sim 2−4\) and a small number of apparent contaminant sources at \(z \lesssim 0.5\). There is a significant dearth of hot DOGs in the \(1<z<2\) redshift range, and Assef et al. (2015) argue that while a strong redshift evolution toward this redshift range is likely, the results could be in part driven by an inherent bias against \(z \lesssim 2\) objects in their selection function. As discussed in detail by Eisenhardt et al. (2012), hot DOGs are selected based purely on their WISE magnitudes (specifically those from the All-Sky Data Release\( ^{35} \)), without the use of supporting observations. Hot DOGs are required to have W1 > 17.4 mag and satisfy either (i) W3 < 10.6 mag and W2 – W3 > 5.3 mag or (ii) W4 < 7.7 mag and W2 – W4 > 8.2 mag. The requirement of faintness in the W1 and W2 bands strongly biases the sample against the most luminous \(z \sim 1\) objects, where W1 would sample the rest-frame 1.6 \(\mu m\) maximum of the host-galaxy stellar emission. Such biases must be considered when studying the redshift and luminosity evolution of this population.

To mitigate these biases, we have devised a complementary WISE color selection function which allows us to target hot DOGs at \(z \sim 1−2\). Specifically, we select objects whose All-Sky Data Release magnitudes meet the following three requirements: (i) W1 < 17.4 mag, (ii) W1 – W3 > 7 mag, and (iii) W1 – W4 > 10 mag. The color requirements were chosen to specifically select objects in the \(z \sim 1−2\) range with IR SEDs similar to that of WISE J1814+3412 (Eisenhardt et al. 2012), which is one of the best-studied hot DOGs in the literature. Requirement (i) makes the selection function complementary to that of \(z > 1.5\) Hot DOGs (which have W1 > 17.4 mag) and reflects the fact that deeper Spitzer IRAC detections of \(z > 1.5\) hot DOGs (Assef et al. 2015; Tsai et al. 2015) imply that \(z \sim 1\) sources with similar SEDs will be detected by WISE at this level. There are only 135 objects in the entire extragalactic sky that meet these criteria, and an extensive follow-up campaign is underway to assess the completeness and contamination of this selection. These results will be reported in detail elsewhere (R. J. Assef et al. 2016, in preparation).

One of the first objects selected according to the criteria defined above is WISE J1036+0449 (Figure 1), which would not meet the hot DOG selection criteria because it is too bright in the W1 band. In the next sections, we discuss some of the follow-up observations carried out to demonstrate that it is a bona fide hot DOG in the targeted redshift range.

2.1. Spectroscopic Follow-Up of WISE J1036+0449

2.1.1. Optical Spectroscopy

Optical spectroscopy for WISE J1036+0449 was obtained on UT 2012 April 20 using the Low-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS; Oke et al. 1995), which has a slit width of 1.5 arcsec, at the Keck Observatory. The data were reduced using the standard IRAF\( ^{36} \) tools. The spectrum (Figure 2) shows a red continuum and several well-detected narrow emission lines. From blue to red, these are C iii][\(\lambda \lambda 1909\) A, C ii][\(\lambda \lambda 2324\) A, Mg ii][\(\lambda \lambda 2798\) A, [O ii][\(\lambda \lambda 3727\) A, [Ne iii][\(\lambda \lambda 3869\) A, H\(\beta\), and [O iii][\(\lambda \lambda 4959\) A. Using these emission lines, we estimate a redshift of \(z = 1.009 \pm 0.002\), fully consistent with the redshift range expected.

2.1.2. Near-IR Spectroscopy

We also obtained near-IR spectroscopy for WISE J1036+0449 on UT 2016 January 27 using the NIRSPEC instrument (McLean et al. 1998) at the Keck Observatory. Observations were carried out in the J band using the 42\(^\circ\) \(\times\) 0.57\(^\circ\) longslit in the low-resolution mode, and the source was observed for a total exposure time of 4 \(\times\) 500 s in an ABBA sequence. The observations were reduced using a combination of the IRAF NIRSPEC tools provided by the Keck Observatory\( ^{37} \) and the standard IRAF tools. Wavelength calibration was done using the sky emission lines.

2.1.3. Optical and Near-IR Spectral Analysis

The emission features observed in the optical spectrum, particularly the presence of C iii][ and Mg ii][ strongly imply that WISE J1036+0449 is an obscured AGN (e.g., Stern et al. 1999). Such spectral characteristics are commonly observed in hot DOGs (Wu et al. 2012; Assef et al. 2016; P. R. Eisenhardt et al. 2016, in preparation), supporting the idea that WISE J1036+0449 belongs to this class of objects.

The Mg ii emission line has a significantly broad base, implying that part of the broad-line region emission might be visible despite the large amount of obscuration toward the accretion disc. Assuming that Mg ii is broadened by the gravitational potential of the SMBH, and using the McGill et al. (2008) calibration, we find that the black hole mass is \(M_{\text{BH}} \simeq 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot\) (see J. W. Wu et al. 2016, in preparation, for details of the interpretation of broad lines in hot DOGs). Such a broad base is not visible, however, for the H\(\beta\) emission line. In the following we discuss the near-IR spectrum of the source and show that for H\(\alpha\), the signal-to-noise ratio is too low to definitively measure the line width but might be broad enough to warrant an intermediate AGN classification for this object. We caution, however, that such an intermediate-type classification might be due not to a lower obscuration, but to reflected light from the central engine, as in the hot DOG studied by Assef et al. (2016). We note that the [O ii] doublet has an observed-frame width of approximately 20 Å, which is

\( ^{35} \) http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allsky/

\( ^{36} \) http://iraf.noao.edu/

Figure 1. Images of the field around WISE J1036+0449 from the hard X-rays to the far-IR. From top to bottom, the panels show the images obtained by NuSTAR FPMA (3–24 keV); Swift/XRT (0.3–10 keV); the SDSS r-band (6231 Å); WISE band 1 (3.4 μm), band 2 (4.6 μm), band 3 (12 μm), and band 4 (22 μm); and CSO (350 μm). The NuSTAR image was obtained by combining three observations, as described in Section 4, and was smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of a radius of 12 pixels. The SDSS image was smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of a radius of 2 pixels. The CSO image shows the signal-to-noise ratio per pixel. The crosses show the position of WISE J1036+0449, while the circles on the bottom right show the size of the beam. In all images, north is up and east is left.
greater than the spectral resolution of $\sim 10 \, \text{Å}$ of the observations. No asymmetry is observed, as could be expected for quasar outflows. The excess width of $\sim 17 \, \text{Å}$ implies an FWHM of approximately 700 km s$^{-1}$. Such width is consistent with what would be expected for emission associated with the narrow-line region of a quasar. However, in less extreme galaxies, $\text{[O II]}$ is typically related to star formation in the host galaxy (see, e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2003), implying that it could be due to turbulence in the interstellar medium. Recently, Díaz-Santos et al. (2016) used ALMA to study the $\text{[C II]} \lambda 157.7 \, \mu\text{m}$ emission line in the hot DOG W2246–0526 at $z = 4.601$ (Tsai et al. 2015). Díaz-Santos et al. (2016) found an FWHM of 600 km s$^{-1}$ for this emission line and showed that this is consistent with strong, $\text{[C II]}$-emission-region-wide turbulence in the interstellar medium of the galaxy.

The near-IR spectrum (Figure 3) shows a clear detection of the $\text{H} \alpha$ emission line as well as of the $\text{[N II]} \lambda \lambda 6549, 6583 \, \text{Å}$ and $\text{[S II]} \lambda \lambda 6717, 6731 \, \text{Å}$ doublets, although the S/N of the spectra is too low to accurately measure the line widths, as was done in the optical. The observed features are consistent with what would be expected for a type 2 AGN, as implied by the UV/optical spectrum. The emission lines show a small systematic offset to the red, suggesting a slightly larger redshift closer to $z = 1.010$, which is consistent with the optical value within the uncertainties. The continuum level at the blue end of the near-IR spectrum seems to be a factor of $\sim 4$ below that at the red end of the optical spectrum. While no effort has been made to take into account the variations of the seeing between the science and calibration targets, which could have resulted in biases to the absolute level of the flux calibration, such a large difference could imply that the host galaxy is significantly more extended than the slit width of $0''57$ (4.6 kpc) in the $J$ band.

### 2.2. UV through Far-IR SEDs of WISE J1036+0449

One of the main characteristics of hot DOGs is their distinctive multi-wavelength SED (see Eisenhardt et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2014; Assef et al. 2015; Tsai et al. 2015). In these objects the rest frame mid-IR through far-IR is dominated by emission from a highly obscured, hyperluminous AGN, with little to no contribution from a cold-dust component that would be traditionally associated with star formation in the host galaxy. The optical, on the other hand, is typically

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** UV/optical spectrum of WISE J1036+0449, obtained with the LRIS instrument at the Keck Observatory. See Section 2.1.1 for details.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Near-IR Keck/NIRSPEC spectrum of WISE J1036+0449 (see Section 2.1.2 for details). The dashed line shows the error spectrum.
dominated by the emission from the much less luminous host galaxy. The SED peaks at a rest-frame wavelength of ~20 μm hence show extremely red colors between the optical/near-IR and the mid-IR but very blue colors between the mid-IR and far-IR.

2.2.1. Data and Comparison with the Typical SED of Hot DOGs

The UV through far-IR SED of WISE J1036+0449 is shown in Figure 4, and the photometry is listed in Table 1. The figure shows archival optical photometry in the u’r’g’i’z’ bands from Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 12 (Alam et al. 2015) as well as the mid-IR photometry in the four WISE bands from the AllWISE data release (Cutri et al. 2012). The WISE images, as well as the SDSS r’ image, are shown in Figure 1. We also obtained additional photometry for this target at 350 μm with the SHARC II instrument (Dowell et al. 2003) at the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO). We observed the target for 50 minutes on UT 2012 March 21 and for 40 minutes on UT 2012 December 15. The data are shown in Figure 1. The observations were analyzed in the same manner as in Wu et al. (2012), and a total flux density of 31 ± 11 mJy was measured for the source.

The photometry is shown in Figure 4 and reported in Table 1. Figure 4 also shows the SED of WISE J1814+3412 and the mean IR SED of the 20 hot DOGs studied by Tsi et al. (2015), which include the most luminous ones known to date with $L_{IR} > 10^{14} L_\odot$ and catalogued as ELIRGs (none of which has so far been studied in the X-ray band). These objects are at significantly higher redshifts than WISE J1036+0449, spanning the range of $z = 2.668$ and 4.601, with an average of $z = 3.3$. Tsi et al. (2015) presented observations obtained with the Spitzer IRAC instrument and with the Herschel Space Observatory PACS and SPIRE instruments in the 3.6, 4.5, 70, 160, 250, 350, and 500 μm bands. The SED shape has been obtained as the average of the fluxes of each source, interpolated through power laws in the rest-frame 1 μm to 100 μm wavelength range (with some slight extrapolation where needed). The mean SED was fitted to the WISE photometry of WISE J1036+0449 for display purposes.

The SED shape of WISE J1036+0449 is very similar to that of WISE J1814+3412 and qualitatively consistent with the mean SED of the Tsi et al. (2015) sources. The far-IR observations obtained with CSO show that WISE J1036+0449 has a steeply dropping SED from rest frame ~10 μm to ~100 μm, which is one of the defining characteristics of the hot DOG population. This implies that cold-dust emission from star formation contributes negligibly to the integrated SED, as such a component would be expected to peak at ~100 μm (see discussions in Wu et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2014; Tsi et al. 2015). Furthermore, the SED steadily drops between rest frame ~5 μm and ~1 μm, which is also, by selection, a defining characteristic of hot DOGs and most IR-luminous galaxies. The mid-IR WISE colors are, in fact, somewhat redder than those of the objects studied by Tsi et al. (2015), implying that they may be subject to even greater extinction (see Assef et al. 2015).

The SED and the optical spectrum presented in Section 2.1.1 show that, although targeted with a different selection function, WISE J1036+0449 is a bona fide hot DOG. Due to its lower redshift and significantly higher flux ($W4 = 5.18$ mag) with respect to other hot DOGs, WISE J1036+0449 is an ideal target for hard X-ray observations, which are able to probe the emission from the highly obscured AGN (e.g., Arévalo et al. 2014; Baloković et al. 2014; Gandhi et al. 2014, 2015b; Bauer et al. 2015; Koss et al. 2015).

2.2.2. SED Fitting

The fact that the mid-IR SED emission is dominated by radiation produced in an accreting SMBH is confirmed by fitting the data with the AGN template of Mullaney et al. (2011), following the procedure outlined in Stanley et al. (2015). To reproduce the emission with a physical model, we fit the rest-frame SED of WISE J1036+0449 with a combination of AGN torus (e.g., Schartmann et al. 2008; Höning & Kishimoto 2010; Stalevski et al. 2012, 2016) and modified blackbody (BB) SEDs. The torus component is supplied by the widely used CLUMPY models, introduced in Nenkova et al. (2002, 2008a, 2008b), and is comprised of a collection of dusty clouds, each with

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>λ_{rest} (μm)</th>
<th>$E_\text{F}$ (Jy)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_\text{F}$ (Jy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>$1.85 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.51 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>$2.51 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$3.70 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>$3.70 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$5.79 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>$5.97 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.70 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>$8.95 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$2.97 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.692</td>
<td>$1.14 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$6.59 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.290</td>
<td>$4.53 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.79 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.973</td>
<td>$2.96 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$5.46 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.951</td>
<td>$7.17 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.05 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174.22</td>
<td>$3.10 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.10 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The columns report (1) the rest-frame wavelength, (2) the flux, and (3) the error on the flux.
optical depth $\tau_V > 1$ in the visual band (0.55 $\mu$m). A detailed description of this model can be found in Appendix A.

The SED fitting method is described in Appendix B. In the upper panels of Figure 5 we illustrate the best-fit SED (the maximum a posteriori likelihood model, MAP), i.e., the minimum reduced $\chi^2$ composite model (torus + BB). In the left upper panel all the available data points were fitted, while the right panel only considers the IR data (1.6 $\mu$m rest frame and beyond). The need to run a fit without optical/UV data arises since these wavelength regions are possibly contaminated by the host galaxy contribution. This is the case for most hot DOGs, as can be seen in Assef et al. (2015) and R. J. Assef et al. (2016, in preparation). The confidence contours obtained are shown in Figure 9.

A notable difference in the spectral shapes of the two models is that in the all-data fit, the torus component produces a 10 $\mu$m silicate feature in weak emission, while the MAP model obtained with IR data alone shows a clear silicate absorption feature at 10 $\mu$m. Obtaining a spectrally resolved SED in that wavelength region could thus greatly assist in constraining the range of likely models. The posterior distributions of all parameters are shown in the lower panels of Figure 5. The empty histograms are for the all-data model in the upper left panel, and the gray ones are for the IR-only model. Vertical lines indicate the MAP values of each parameter for the two respective models. It should be remarked that the IR-only model would predict a flux higher than that of the sources studied by Tsai et al. (2015) in the 15–70 $\mu$m range. A detailed description of the results obtained by the SED fitting is presented in Appendix C.

3. X-RAY OBSERVATIONS

3.1. NuSTAR

NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) carried out three observations of WISE J1036+0449 between 2014 November 1 and December 22 (see Table 2). The data obtained by the two focal plane modules (FPMA and FPMB) were processed with NuSTAR Data Analysis Software NUSTARDAS v1.4.1 within Heasoft v6.16, using the calibration files released on UT 2015 March 16 (Madsen et al. 2015). For each observation, cleaned and calibrated event files, together with exposure maps, were produced using NUPipeline following the standard guidelines. For each focal plane module, we merged the images of the three observations in the 3–24 keV band using XIMAGE, taking into account the exposure maps. The total on-source exposure time is 68.5 and 68.4 ks for FPMA and FPMB, respectively. The source is detected at the $\sim 6\sigma$ level.

The source spectra and light curves were extracted using the NUPRODUCTS task by selecting circular regions 45 arcsec in radius centered on the position of the source reported in the WISE catalog (R.A., decl.: 159°20133, 4°83086), while for the background spectra and light curves, we used an annular region centered on the source with inner and outer radii of 90 and 150 arcsec, respectively. The source and background spectra, together with the RMF and ARF files for the three observations, were merged using the ADDASCASPEC task for both FPMA and FPMB. We then merged the FPMA and FPMB spectra, background, and responses. The final NuSTAR spectrum of WISE J1036+0449 is shown in the left panel of Figure 6. The
two FPM cameras detected a total of \( \sim 120 \) background-subtracted counts.

3.2. Swift/XRT

The X-ray telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) on board Swift (Gehrels et al. 2004) carried out three short (1–2 ks each) observations of WISE J1036+0449, approximately simultaneously with NuSTAR. Swift/XRT data analysis was performed using XRTPIPELINE following the standard guidelines. We inspected the combined Swift/XRT images in the 0.3–1.5 keV, 1.5–10 keV, and 0.3–10 keV bands (Figure 1) and did not find any evidence of a detection of the source.

4. X-RAY SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

X-ray spectral analysis was performed using XSPEC v.12.8.2 (Arnaud 1996). We added to all models Galactic absorption in the direction of the source \( N_{\text{H}}^{\text{Gal}} = 2.9 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2} \); Kalberla et al. (2005), using the TBABS model (Wilms et al. 2000). We set the abundances to solar values. Due to the low signal-to-noise ratio of the observations, we used the Cash statistic (Cash 1979) to fit the data. The source spectrum was binned to have two counts per bin in order to avoid issues related to empty bins in XSPEC.

As a first test, we fitted the spectrum with a simple power-law model. This resulted in a photon index of \( \Gamma = 1.2 \pm 0.5 \), lower than the value typically found for unobscured AGNs (e.g., Nandra & Pounds 1994; Piconcelli et al. 2005; Ricci et al. 2011) and symptomatic of heavy line-of-sight obscuration.

4.1. Pexrav

We then fitted the data with a model that consists of an absorbed power law with a photon index fixed to \( \Gamma = 1.9 \), consistent with the average intrinsic value of AGNs (e.g., Nandra & Pounds 1994; Piconcelli et al. 2005; Ricci et al. 2011), and unabsorbed reprocessed X-ray emission from a slab (PEXRAX in XSPEC; Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995). In this scenario the outer part of the accretion disk is producing the bulk of the reprocessed radiation. The value of the reflection component was fixed to \( R = 0.5 \), while the inclination angle was set to \( \theta_i = 30^\circ \). Obscuration was taken into account by combining Compton scattering (CABS) and photoelectric absorption (ZPHABS). Due to the low S/N of the spectra, the Fe Kα line (at \( \sim 6.7 \text{keV} \) in the observed frame), expected to arise from the reprocessing of the primary X-ray radiation in the circumnuclear material (e.g., Shu et al. 2010; Ricci et al. 2014; Gandhi et al. 2015a), could not be detected. In XSPEC the model is

\[
\text{TBABS}_{\text{Gal}}(\text{ZPHABS} \times \text{CABS} \times \text{ZPOWERLAW} + \text{PEXRAX}).
\]

The fit results in a Cash statistic of \( C = 173 \) for 170 degrees of freedom (DOF). The value of the line-of-sight column density obtained is \( N_{\text{H}} = 5.3_{-4.8}^{+11.9} \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2} \), while the rest-frame 2–10 keV intrinsic luminosity is \( 4.1 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1} \). Assuming higher \((R = 1)\) or lower \((R = 0.1)\) values of the reflection component produces consistent values of the column density \( (N_{\text{H}} \lesssim 2.0 \times 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}) \) and \( N_{\text{H}} = 6.7_{-5.0}^{+9.4} \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2} \), respectively.

We also applied the PLCABS model (Yaqoob 1997), which reproduces absorption considering a uniform spherical distribution of matter:

\[
\text{TBABS}_{\text{Gal}}(\text{PLCABS} + \text{PEXRAX}).
\]

This model also produces a good fit to the data \( C/\text{DOF} = 173.4/170 \), and the column density obtained is \( N_{\text{H}} \lesssim 2.1 \times 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2} \).

4.2. MYTorus

Next, we applied the MYTORUS model (Murphy & Yaqoob 2009) to self-consistently reproduce absorption and reflection assuming a smooth torus with a half-opening angle of \( \theta_{0,\alpha} = 60^\circ \). The model is composed of three additive and exponential table models: the zeroth-order continuum (MYTORUSZ), the scattered continuum (MYTORUSS), and a component containing the fluorescent emission lines (MYTORUSL). In XSPEC the model we used is

\[
\text{TBABS}_{\text{Gal}} \times \{ \text{MYTORUSZ} \times \text{ZPOWERLAW} + \text{MYTORUSS} + \text{MYTORUSL} \}.
\]

Applying MYTORUS and fixing \( \Gamma = 1.9 \) and the inclination angle to \( \theta_i = 90^\circ \) (which corresponds to an edge-on view in this geometry), we obtained \( C = 172.7 \) for 170 DOF and a value of the column density consistent with the one inferred using PEXRAX \( (N_{\text{H}} = 7.1_{-5.1}^{+8.1} \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}) \). The X-ray spectrum of WISE J1036+0449 and the model used for the fit (both assuming \( \Gamma = 1.9 \)) are shown in Figure 6 (left and right panels, respectively). The rest-frame 2–10 keV intrinsic luminosity of the best-fit MYTORUS model is \( 6.3 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1} \).

4.3. Sphere

We then applied the SPHERE model (Brightman & Nandra 2011), which assumes that the X-ray source is fully covered by the obscuring material. The physical scenario associated with this model is that the AGN is quasi-isotropically expelling the circumnuclear material because of the high radiation pressure, as proposed by Díaz-Santos et al. (2016) for WISE J2246–0526. In XSPEC the model is

\[
\text{TBABS}_{\text{Gal}}(\text{ATABLE} \{\text{SPHERE0708.FITS}\})
\]

We fixed \( \Gamma = 1.9 \) and found this model to give a good fit \((C = 173.1 \text{ for 170 DOF})\), supporting the observation that the source is heavily obscured \((N_{\text{H}} = 6.5_{-3.7}^{+5.9} \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2})\). The rest-frame 2–10 keV intrinsic luminosity obtained with SPHERE is \( 4.0 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1} \).
5. DISCUSSION

We have reported here on the results obtained from the study of one of the closest hot DOGs known, WISE J1036+0449. In the following we discuss the obscuration (Section 5.1) and X-ray (Section 5.2) properties of this object and of those of hot DOGs in general.

5.1. Obscuration in Hot DOGs

Studying the luminosity function of hot DOGs, Assef et al. (2015) have shown that hot DOGs have a space density similar to that of the most luminous ($L_{\text{bol}} \geq 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$) unobscured quasars. It is therefore important to constrain the absorption properties of this significant population of obscured quasars. We have shown in Section 2 that WISE J1036+0449 and hot DOGs at higher redshifts have very similar multi-wavelength characteristics, and they belong to the same class of AGNs.

For the three X-ray spectral models discussed in Section 4 we also tested values of $\Gamma = 1.6$, $\Gamma = 2.2$, and $\Gamma = 2.5$, finding that, depending on the shape of the X-ray continuum, the value of $N_H$ varies between $N_H \lesssim 1.2 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N_H \gtrsim 4.7 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Figure 7). Steeper slopes imply higher values of the column density. As shown in the figure (see also Table 3), this range of $N_H$ is in agreement with the column density estimated from the extinction $E(B-V)$, assuming the relation

$$\frac{E(B-V)}{N_H} = 1.5 \times 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mag}$$

reported by Maiolino et al. (2001; see also Burtscher et al. 2016 for a recent discussion on the subject).

A well-known correlation exists between the photon index and the Eddington ratio ($\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$), with the slope varying depending on the range of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ probed (see Figure 1 of Zhou 2015). For values of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ in the range $10^{-2.6} - 1$ the correlation is positive (e.g., Shemmer et al. 2006; Brightman et al. 2013, 2016). For $\log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \gtrsim -0.7$ the relation between $\Gamma$ and $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ seems to be different from that at lower values of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$, and the average photon index is $\Gamma \sim 1.9$ (e.g., Ai et al. 2011; Kamizasa et al. 2012; Ho & Kim 2016). Assef et al. (2015) have shown...
that, unless hot DOGs deviate significantly from the local $M - \sigma$ relation, they radiate above the Eddington limit, with typical values of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \gtrsim 2$ (see Figure 8 of Assef et al. 2015 and Tsai et al. 2015 for discussions on the subject). The bolometric luminosity of WISE J1036+0449 from the SED is $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 8 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and considering the black hole mass estimated from the broadened Mg II ($M_{\text{BH}} \approx 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$), we find that the source is accreting above the Eddington limit ($\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \approx 2.7$). It should be stressed that even an outflow origin of the broadening of Mg II would imply that the source is accreting at high values of the Eddington ratio. Therefore, we expect that $\Gamma \sim 1.9$ is a reasonable assumption for WISE J1036+0449 and for hot DOGs in general.

Although, by construction, the selection function of hot DOGs would not identify low-obscuration objects, it should be able to select objects with $N_H > 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$. As discussed by Assef et al. (2015), considering the values of $E(B-V)$ obtained by their analysis and Equation (1), the typical column densities of hot DOGs are expected to be in the range $1.7 \times 10^{23} < N_H < 1.4 \times 10^{25}$ cm$^{-2}$. This is very different from the intrinsic column density distribution of local, less luminous AGNs, with the $N_H$ distribution of the former showing a sharp peak in the $\log(N_H/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 23-24$ range and very few Compton-thick (CT, $N_H \gtrsim 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$) objects, while local AGNs have a significantly more uniform distribution and a fraction of 27% ± 4% of CT AGNs (Ricci et al. 2015, see also Koss et al. 2016). It should be remarked, however, that Ricci et al. (2015) have also shown that the fraction of local hard X-ray selected CT AGNs decreases with increasing values of the luminosity. To date, only a few direct measurements of the line-of-sight column density of hot DOGs have been performed (see Table 3), and they seem to be consistent with what was inferred by Assef et al. (2015), albeit with large uncertainties. The hyperluminous quasar IRAS 09104+4109 ($L_{\text{IR}} \approx 5.5 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$), recently observed by NuSTAR, also shows a column density in a similar range ($N_H \sim 5 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$; Farrah et al. 2016), while the HyLIRG IRAS F15307+3252 is significantly more obscured ($N_H \gtrsim 2.5 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2017) and shows a strong Fe K$\alpha$ line ($EW \sim 1-3$ keV).

A possible explanation for the difference between the column density distribution of local AGNs and that of hot DOGs obtained from $E(B-V)$ could be related to differences in the dust-to-gas ratios. The circumnuclear material in hot DOGs might in fact be significantly more gaseous due to the higher luminosity, which would cause most of the dust in the inner few parsecs to sublimate. Fitting the X-ray spectrum of WISE J1036+0449 with the SPHERO model and setting $N_H = 10^{25}$ cm$^{-2}$, we found a worse fit ($C = 194.7$ for 171 DOF) that reported in Section 4.3. It should be remarke that Gandhi et al. (2016) have recently shown, after studying the broadband X-ray spectrum of the LIRG NGC 7674, that even objects with weak Fe K$\alpha$ lines could be heavily obscured and reflection-dominated. The model Gandhi et al. (2016) used for NGC 7674 can well reproduce the spectrum of WISE J1036+0449 ($C = 175.0$ for 171 DOF). It might therefore be possible that WISE J1036+0449 and other hot DOGs are significantly more obscured than inferred by current X-ray spectral analysis.

Another explanation for the different column density distributions could be the following. For a given mass of gas and dust and assuming a homogeneous distribution of the material, it is more difficult to have CT lines-of-sight if the inner radius of the absorbing material is at larger distances from the X-ray source than that for local AGNs. The inner radius of the dust around hot DOGs can be calculated from the dust sublimation radius (e.g., Nenkova et al. 2008b):

$$R_d \approx 0.4 \left( \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{0.5} \left( \frac{1500 \text{ K}}{T_{\text{sub}}} \right)^{2.6} \text{pc},$$

where $T_{\text{sub}}$ is the sublimation temperature of the dust grains. Considering silicate dust grains ($T_{\text{sub}} = 1500$ K) and the average bolometric luminosity of hot DOGs reported by Assef et al. (2015; $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 5 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$), we find that the inner radius of the dust is $\sim 9$ pc. This is much larger than the typical value expected for Swift/BAT AGNs ($R_d \sim 0.1-0.4$ pc, considering $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{44}-10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$). It should be remarked that, while these distances might be systematically smaller by a factor of $\sim 3$, as found by near-IR reverberation studies (Kishimoto et al. 2007), the ratio between the $R_d$ of local AGNs and hot DOGs would be the same. Therefore, if hot DOGs have a dust mass comparable to that of local AGNs and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Redshift</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>$N_H$ (10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$N_{H,\text{FIR}}$ (10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$E(B-V)$ (mag)</th>
<th>log $L_{\text{bol}}$ (erg s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$L_{\text{bol}}$ (erg s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W0204–0506$^a$</td>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6.3$^{+8.1}_{-5.1}$</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>44.90 (44.78–45.34)</td>
<td>46.86</td>
<td>Assef et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1036+0449$^a$</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7.1$^{+8.1}_{-5.1}$</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>44.80 (44.52–45.09)</td>
<td>46.61</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1814+0312$^b$</td>
<td>2.452</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>44.84 (44.61–44.98)</td>
<td>47.30</td>
<td>Stern et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1835+3455$^a$</td>
<td>2.298</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>44.85</td>
<td>46.95</td>
<td>L. Zappacosta et al. (2016, in preparation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2207+0339$^b$</td>
<td>2.021</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>17.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&lt;44.78</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>Stern et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2357+0328$^b$</td>
<td>2.113</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>44.52 (44.20–44.65)</td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td>Stern et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gas and dust are strongly coupled, a difference in the column density distribution is expected. While there might be a significant fraction of dust-free material within the sublimation radius, this material is also likely to be highly photo-ionized by the very luminous AGN. Moreover, as shown by Díaz-Santos et al. (2016) for WISE J2246−0526, it is possible that in hot DOGs, due to the strong radiation pressure, the gas is being blown away isotropically.

Hot DOGs could be very different from local, less luminous AGNs, where the bulk of the gas and dust is believed to be distributed in a torus-like structure, and might represent a short-lived transition phase between heavily obscured and unobscured AGNs (Bridge et al. 2013), similar to red quasars (e.g., Urrutia et al. 2008; Banerji et al. 2012; LaMassa et al. 2016). The idea that this phase might happen following a major merger is supported by the recent results of Fan et al. (2016b) based on Hubble Space Telescope/WFC3 images. In a study of SCUBA observations, Jones et al. (2015) showed that hot DOGs have an excess of SMG neighbors, which would increase the chances of a merger. These results are in agreement with the idea that the AGN unification model might not be valid at high luminosities, where galaxy mergers are more important than secular processes in triggering accretion onto the SMBH (e.g., Treister et al. 2012).

5.2. Are Hot DOGs Intrinsically X-Ray Weak?

AGNs show a strong positive correlation between the 2–10 keV and the mid-IR luminosity (at 6 μm or 12 μm; e.g., Lutz et al. 2004; Ichikawa et al. 2012; Mateos et al. 2015), as confirmed by high angular resolution (~0.35 arcsec) mid-IR studies (e.g., Gandhi et al. 2009; Levenson et al. 2009; Asmus et al. 2015) of AGNs in the Seyfert regime (L_{6 μm} < 10^{44} erg s^{-1}). In the quasar regime (L_{6 μm} > 10^{44} erg s^{-1}), Stern (2015) found evidence of a flattening of the relationship for L_{6 μm} ≥ 10^{46} erg s^{-1}, with most sources being fainter than expected in the X-ray band, in agreement with what was found by Fiore et al. (2009) and Lanzuisi et al. (2009). To reproduce this trend, Stern (2015) proposed a revised formulation, using a second-order polynomial to fit the data.

A deviation of the mid-IR/X-ray correlation at high luminosities might be expected, considering the following arguments. The flux in the mid-IR is believed to be due to the reprocessing of optical, UV, and extreme UV photons by the gas and dust in the putative molecular torus; therefore, the main driver of the mid-IR/X-ray correlation is the relation between the optical/UV and X-ray flux, which has been analyzed by studies focusing on two related quantities: (i) the optical to X-ray flux ratio (α_{OX}), which is the ratio between the monochromatic 2 keV and 2500 Å luminosities, and (ii) the 2–10 keV bolometric correction (κ_{X}). Recent works have shown that both α_{OX} (e.g., Lusso et al. 2010) and κ_{X} (e.g., Vasudevan & Fabian 2007) depend on L_{Edd}, with the optical flux increasing with respect to the X-ray flux for higher values of L_{Edd}. This effect could be related to the different physics of the accretion flow and corona or to the fact that the X-ray source is saturated by the high rate of optical/UV photons produced by the accretion flow.

According to the relation of Stern (2015), the expected 2–10 keV luminosity of WISE J1036+0449 would be log(L_{2–10 keV}/erg s^{-1}) ≈ 45.25, a value ~3 times as high as that obtained by our X-ray spectral analysis [log(L_{2–10 keV}/erg s^{-1}) ≈ 44.80]. To constrain the relation between the 6 μm and 2–10 keV luminosities for the three objects from Stern et al. (2014) that have been observed so far by X-ray facilities but for which no spectral analysis could be performed because of a low significance of detections, we calculated the intrinsic 2–10 keV luminosity from the value of N_H obtained from E(B – V) and the 0.5–10 keV observed flux. The procedure adopted is described in Appendix D. The values of L_{2–10 keV}, L_{6 μm}, N_H, and E(B – V) for all hot DOGs observed in the X-rays are listed in Table 3. A possible caveat of this approach is that, as mentioned in Section 5.1, it is still largely unknown whether in the extreme environments of hot DOGs the relationship between E(B – V) and N_H is consistent with that found for local AGNs by Maiolino et al. (2001). However, it should be remarked that the values of the column density obtained for WISE J0204−0506 and WISE J1036+0449 through X-ray spectral analysis are in agreement with those extrapolated from E(B – V) (see Table 3 and Figure 7). A possible exception is WISE J1835+4355, which is significantly more obscured than what would be predicted by E(B – V) (L. Zappacosta et al. 2016, in preparation).

As shown in Figure 8, the absorption-corrected X-ray luminosities of all the hot DOGs (red circles) observed by X-ray facilities are significantly lower than the values expected from mid-IR/X-ray correlation, which implies that they might be either intrinsically X-ray weak or significantly more obscured than estimated. Hot DOGs are also significantly less
luminous in the X-ray band than the unobscured quasars with similar $6 \mu$m luminosities shown in the figure (from Just et al. 2007). This is found in objects for which $L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}$ was obtained from spectral analysis as well as in those for which we used the indirect approach described in Appendix D. Considering the average bolometric luminosity of hot DOGs (Assef et al. 2015), $(L_{\text{bol}}) \approx 5 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$, the Eddington ratio would be $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \approx 1$, even assuming an average black hole mass of $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$. If so, $\kappa_\lambda$ would be $\approx 100$, a value up to $10$ times as large as that for $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \lesssim 0.1$ (Vasudevan & Fabian 2009). For WISE J1036$+0449$ we found that $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \approx 2.7$, and considering the bolometric luminosity inferred from the SED ($L_{\text{bol}} \approx 8 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$), we found that $\kappa_\lambda \approx 130$. It should be remarked that $L_{\text{bol}}$ was calculated by interpolating the WISE and CSO data with a power law, which might have underestimated the real value of the bolometric output for this source and therefore the value of $\kappa_\lambda$. The ratio of $\kappa_\lambda$ and $\alpha_{\text{OX}}$ could therefore straightforwardly lead to the observed deviation in the mid-IR/X-ray correlation at high values of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$.

X-ray weakness has been found to be rather common in broad absorption line quasars (e.g., Gallagher et al. 2001; Luo et al. 2013, 2014), and recently, it has been discussed that it might also be found in some ULIRGs (e.g., Teng et al. 2014, 2015). However, the mechanism responsible for the quenching of X-ray emission is still unknown. A possible explanation is that the black hole mass of hot DOGs is smaller than that of unobscured quasars with similar luminosities, which would lead to larger values of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ and therefore of $\kappa_\lambda$ and $\alpha_{\text{OX}}$. Luo et al. (2013, 2014) argued that the X-ray weakness of broad absorption line quasars would substitute the shielding material often invoked to prevent the over-ionization of the wind from the X-ray radiation, thus leading to the launching of more powerful winds. Proga (2005) proposed that outflows from the accretion disk could collide with the corona, suppressing the broad X-ray emission. This mechanism appears plausible considering the extreme luminosities and Eddington ratios of hot DOGs and also in light of the recent ALMA study of Díaz-Santos et al. (2016), who found evidence of extremely powerful winds in the most luminous hot DOG known.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We reported here on a multi-wavelength study of WISE J1036$+0449$, the first hot DOG detected by NuSTAR. The source was selected using new selection criteria that identify hot DOGs at redshifts lower than those at which they were previously discovered. We report below the main findings of our work.

1. The redshift of WISE J1036$+0449$ is $z = 1.009$. The SED of the source is extremely similar to that of hot DOGs at $z \approx 2$ (Figure 4), validating the new method of selecting hot DOGs at $z \approx 1$.

2. The source is detected in the X-ray band, which confirms the presence of a powerful AGN. We found that the source is obscured [$N_H \approx (2-15) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$], with a column density consistent with that of the bulk of the hot DOG population.

3. If the broadening of the Mg II line is due to the gravitational field of the SMBH, then the black hole mass is $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ and the Eddington ratio is $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \approx 2.7$.

4. The intrinsic $2-10$ keV luminosity of WISE J1036$+0449$ [$\log(L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) \approx 44.80$] is considerably lower than the value expected from the mid-IR/X-ray luminosity correlation, considering its $6 \mu$m luminosity [$\log(L_{6\,\mu\text{m}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) \approx 46.61$], and the $2-10$ keV bolometric correction is $\kappa_\lambda \approx 130$. Other hot DOGs are fainter than expected in the X-ray band (Figure 8), which might imply that X-ray weakness is a common characteristic of extremely luminous AGNs. X-ray weakness might be related to significantly larger values of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ (and therefore of $\kappa_\lambda$ and $\alpha_{\text{OX}}$) or to the disruption of the X-ray corona caused by outflowing material. An alternative explanation is that hot DOGs are significantly more obscured than what is inferred by current studies based on X-ray spectroscopy and on the analysis of the SED.

Future X-ray observations of hot DOGs at $z \lesssim 1$ will be extremely important to understand whether these objects are intrinsically X-ray weak and to shed light on the conditions of the X-ray emitting plasma around SMBHs at the highest luminosities and accretion rates.
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APPENDIX A

CLUMPY TORUS MODEL

In the CLUMPY model (Nenkova et al. 2002, 2008a, 2008b) the clouds are arranged around the central illuminating source in an axially symmetric configuration and exist across radial distances ranging from the dust sublimation radius $R_d$ of the constituent dust grains (set by the source luminosity) to an outer radius $Y \cdot R_d$, with $Y$ as a free parameter. The local cloud number density (per unit length) varies with the radial and angular coordinates and is specified by $N_0$, the mean number of clouds along a radial ray on the equatorial plane. In the radial direction it declines as $r^{-q}$, with $q$ as a free parameter. In the angular direction (equatorial plane to system axis) the cloud number per line-of-sight varies as a Gaussian of width $\sigma$. Finally, the observer’s viewing angle $i$, measured from the torus axis, is the only external model parameter. The modified BB component, with an emissivity exponent $\beta = 1.5$, is often used to parameterize star-formation contribution at far-IR wavelengths. The parameter $\beta$ has a typical value in the interval $1 < \beta < 2$ (Huang et al. 2014). The only free parameter of this component is the dust temperature $T_{\text{BB}}$.

APPENDIX B

SED FITTING APPROACH

We employed a Bayesian approach for the fitting. Bayes’s theorem, here in a simplified notation,

$$\text{Posterior} \equiv p(\theta|D) \propto p(\theta)p(D|\theta) \equiv \text{Prior} \times \text{Likelihood},$$

provides a straightforward prescription to compute the sought-after posterior probability density function (PDF) $p(\theta|D)$ of model parameter values $\theta$, given the observed data vector $D$ (here, the observed SED flux densities). This multi-dimensional posterior is proportional to the product of a prior PDF $p(\theta)$ of the parameter values (before the data are seen) and the likelihood $p(D|\theta)$ that the given parameter values generate a model that is compatible with the data. If the uncertainties on $D$ are Gaussian, then $p(D|\theta) \propto \exp(-\chi^2/2)$ (see, e.g., Trotta 2008).

We used a Markov-chain Monte Carlo scheme to efficiently sample the seven-dimensional parameter volume. The code was first developed in Nikutta (2012) and has since been heavily expanded. At each sampling step a torus model SED is generated through multi-dimensional interpolation of the publicly available CLUMPY hypercube, while the BB SED is generated on the fly, given the randomly sampled BB temperature. We applied uniform prior PDFs for all model parameters, i.e., $p(\theta_i) = (\Delta \theta_i)^{-1}$, where $\Delta \theta_i$ is the range of parameter values spanned by any single parameter $\theta_i$. The sampling chains are guaranteed to eventually converge toward the target distribution $p(\theta|D)$ (Metropolis et al. 1953; Hastings 1970). Finally, integration of the multi-dimensional posterior PDF over all but one of the parameters in $\theta$ yields so-called marginalized posteriors in 1d. In Nikutta (2012, appendix therein) it is also shown that given the observed SED and the spectral shapes of all model SED components, the relative normalizations of the components are not free parameters and can in fact be computed analytically. This is the approach employed by the code. The confidence contours obtained by the fit are shown in Figure 9.

APPENDIX C

RESULTS OF THE SED FITTING

The MAP values and posterior medians with 1$\sigma$ confidence intervals are listed in Table 4. In both the all-data and IR-only cases, the prevalent viewing angles $i$ of the torus (measured in degrees from the torus axis) are $\sim 65^\circ$ and are compatible with the MAP values. The posterior distribution medians are also of similar value and have comparable 1$\sigma$ confidence intervals around them. Most other parameters react more strongly to the presence or lack of optical/UV data, except for $\sigma$, the torus polar height parameter, which is large in both cases. The median values of $\sigma$ are around $60^\circ$ (measured from the equatorial plane).

In the IR-only fit, several posteriors are bimodal (e.g., $\gamma_1$, the optical depth of a single dust cloud at visual, or $q$, the index of the power law $1/r^q$ that describes the radial distribution of clouds in the torus). It should be remarked that the IR-only fit results in an SED with a significantly stronger flux than the typical SED of ELIRGs (Tsai et al. 2015) between 15 and 60 $\mu$m. We must point out that the fit to IR data alone runs the risk of over-fitting, since the combined torus+blackbody model has seven free parameters, while there are only five data points fitted. In fact, we artificially set the number of DOF to unity in this case to avoid division by a negative number when computing the reduced $\chi^2$. In the all-data fit, with 10 data points, this risk is eliminated.

In the all-data fit, the temperature of the BB component appears narrowly constrained at 119.5$^{+14.5}_{-40.5}$ K. This value, however, is higher than those in most other hot DOGs. Fan et al. (2016a) decomposed the SEDs of 20 hot DOGs with available WISE data and found for $T_{\text{BB}}$ a range of median values of 45–95 K, with their median being 72 K. Preliminary analysis of the 130 sources in the sample of C. W. Tsai et al. (2016, in preparation) have good to acceptable fits, and their BB temperatures span median values of 20–126 K, with their median being 69 K. We believe that the comparatively high BB temperature in our case arises as an artifact of the lack of data between 11 and 160 $\mu$m, i.e., our SED currently has no data points that could help constrain the position of the BB peak. Future studies of the SED of this interesting source with additional data points between 11 and 160 $\mu$m will allow us to improve the constraints on the parameters.

APPENDIX D

THE INTRINSIC X-RAY LUMINOSITY OF WISE 1814+3412, WISE 2207+1939, AND WISE 2357+0328

WISE 1814+3412, WISE 2207+1939, and WISE 2357+0328 were observed by NuSTAR and XMM-Newton (Stern et al. 2014) but were either weak or completely undetected by XMM-Newton. In order to correct the observed X-ray luminosity for absorption, we used the following approach.
We adopted as an X-ray spectral template the X-ray spectrum of WISE J1036+0449, considering the MYT ORUS model discussed in Section 4. We fixed the value of the line-of-sight column density to that obtained from $E(B-V)$ using Equation (1) (see Table 3), set the value of the redshift to that reported in Stern et al. (2014), and calculated the correction factor in the 2–10 keV band by changing the inclination angle to 30°. We then extrapolated, using the X-ray spectral template, the expected luminosity in the rest-frame 2–10 keV band. The values of the luminosities we obtained for these three objects are reported in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MAP Value</th>
<th>Posterior Median ±1σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Data</td>
<td>IR Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$ (degrees)</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_V$</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_0$</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$ (degrees)</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{BB}$ (K)</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>125.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Results of the SED Fitting

Note. MAP values of all model parameters as well as posterior medians of the same and the ±1σ confidence intervals around them for the all-data and IR-data-only models shown in Figure 5.

We adopted as an X-ray spectral template the X-ray spectrum of WISE J1036+0449, considering the MYT ORUS model discussed in Section 4. We fixed the value of the line-of-sight column density to that obtained from $E(B-V)$ using Equation (1) (see Table 3), set the value of the redshift to that reported in Stern et al. (2014), and calculated the correction factor in the 2–10 keV band by changing the inclination angle to 30°. We then extrapolated, using the X-ray spectral template, the expected luminosity in the rest-frame 2–10 keV band. The values of the luminosities we obtained for these three objects are reported in Table 3.
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