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Abstract

Polynyas, or recurring areas of seasonally open water surrounded by sea ice, are foci for energy and material transfer between the atmosphere and the polar ocean. They are also climate sensitive, with both sea ice extent and glacial melt influencing their productivity. The Amundsen Sea Polynya (ASP) is the greenest polynya in the Southern Ocean, with summertime chlorophyll $a$ concentrations exceeding 20 µg L$^{-1}$. During the Amundsen Sea Polynya International Research Expedition (ASPIRE) in austral summer 2010–11, we aimed to determine the fate of this high algal productivity. We collected water column profiles for total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and nutrients, particulate and dissolved organic matter, chlorophyll $a$, mesozooplankton, and microbial biomass to make a carbon budget for this ecosystem. We also measured primary and secondary production, community respiration rates, vertical particle flux and fecal pellet production and grazing. With observations arranged along a gradient of increasing integrated dissolved inorganic nitrogen drawdown ($\Delta$DIN; 0.027–0.74 mol N m$^{-2}$), changes in DIC in the upper water column (ranging from 0.2 to 4.7 mol C m$^{-2}$) and gas exchange (0–1.7 mol C m$^{-2}$) were combined to estimate early season net community production ($s$NCP; 0.2–5.9 mol C m$^{-2}$) and then compared to organic matter inventories to estimate export. From a phytoplankton bloom dominated by *Phaeocystis antarctica*, a high fraction (up to ~60%) of $s$NCP was exported to sub-euphotic depths. Microbial respiration remineralized much of this export in the mid waters. Comparisons to short-term (2–3 days) drifting traps and a year-long moored sediment trap capturing the downward flux confirmed that a relatively high fraction (3–6%) of the export from ~100 m made...
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it through the mid waters to depth. We discuss the climate-sensitive nature of these carbon fluxes, in light of the changing sea ice cover and melting ice sheets in the region.

Introduction

The Southern Ocean (south of 50°S) plays a disproportionate role in the global carbon cycle, accounting for approximately 25% of the oceanic uptake of atmospheric CO₂ from just 10% of the global ocean surface (Takahashi et al., 2002, 2009). This CO₂ exchange is driven by a balance of contributions from physical (e.g., cooling, deep convection) and biological (e.g., photosynthesis, remineralization) processes. The latter drive the biological pump, whereby phytoplankton photosynthesis reduces the surface CO₂ and contributes to a net uptake of carbon. The total primary production of the Southern Ocean is ∼2 Pg C yr⁻¹, with an interannual variability of ± 4% (Arrigo et al., 2008). This productivity is in part due to macronutrients that are generally abundant year-round on Antarctic continental shelves (Moore et al., 2013). The efficiency of the soft-tissue biological pump, controlling how much organic carbon sinks to depth, depends on the extent to which surface macronutrients are depleted (Sarmiento et al., 2004) among other factors (Frost, 1984; Ducklow et al., 2001; Steinberg et al., 2008; Armstrong et al., 2009; Herndl and Reinhailer, 2013). This efficiency, especially at high latitudes, exerts control on atmospheric CO₂ levels over thousands of years and may be linked to availability of micronutrients such as iron (Sarmiento and Toggweiler, 1984; Sigman et al., 2010; Sigman and Hain, 2012).

Primary production in the Southern Ocean shows marked seasonality and spatial variability. During summer, chlorophyll a concentrations on the Antarctic continental shelves are an order of magnitude higher than in the core of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. Intense spring blooms are often associated with coastal polynyas, defined as recurring areas of open water surrounded by ice (Smith and Barber, 2007). The combination of an early sea ice retreat and the often-shallow stratification from surface warming and sea ice melt favors polynyas as biological oases (they account for 65% of the production on the continental shelf; Arrigo and van Dijken, 2003). Dominated by high-productivity regions, relative to the global ocean, the ocean south of the Antarctic convergence, roughly at 50°S, accounts for ~20% of global ocean carbon production (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997), ~30% of the global biogenic silica flux (Tréguer and De La Rocha, 2013), and ~10% of global carbon export to depth (Schlitzer, 2002).

Annual biological production varies considerably among Antarctic polynyas, even when accounting for differences in size and latitude. Peak productivity measured by satellite varies from 0.5 to 3 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ (40–250 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹), and peak phytoplankton bloom timing varies from December to February depending on the polynya (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2003; Alderkamp et al., 2012, 2015), with some of the variance in mean chlorophyll explained by the proximity to melting ice shelves (Arrigo et al., 2015). Whether a polynya ecosystem is characterized by carbon retention (sensu Wassmann, 1998, where the fraction of primary production exported, ε, is < 0.2) or dominated by export (ε > 0.4) can vary seasonally or interannually and is sensitive to local forcing (e.g., sea ice cover, hydrography), productivity, food web structure, and bathymetry (Grebeimeyer and Barry, 2007), but the mechanisms, likely related to bloom magnitude and plankton community structure (Karl, 1993), are poorly understood (Boyd and Trull, 2007).

The Amundsen Sea Polynya (ASP) is the fourth largest Antarctic polynya (up to ~80,000 km²; Mu et al., 2014), and the most productive on average (per unit area; via satellite algorithm; Arrigo and van Dijken, 2003; Arrigo et al., 2012, 2015). Summer primary production estimated by satellite typically exceeds ~1 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ (85 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹), with peak production of ~3 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ (250 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹) in January and an average annual production of 105 ± 22 g C m⁻² (8.8 ± 1.8 mol C m⁻²; Arrigo et al., 2015). This level of productivity is much higher than the average for the Southern Ocean (57 g C m⁻² a⁻¹; Arrigo et al., 2012). Few in situ studies have been conducted in the ASP, however, because of its historic inaccessibility (Jacobs and Comiso, 1997; Stammerjohn et al., 2015). Although the circulation is not well known, the area is characterized by deep winter mixed layers, large water column inventories of meteoric water, and net annual sea ice formation related to a regular wintertime polynya (Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015). Deep mixing in the ASP may also be driven by eddies (St. Laurent et al., 2015), tides (Robertson, 2013), or drifting icebergs (Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015).

In austral summer 2010–11, the Amundsen Sea Polynya International Research Expedition (ASPIRE) visited the ASP onboard the RVIB Nathaniel B. Palmer (see Yager et al., 2012) to determine the mechanisms, climate sensitivity, and fate of the extraordinary phytoplankton bloom. The synthesis effort described here aims to calculate a carbon budget for the ecosystem by integrating the large suite of data and inventory measurements obtained. Although the expedition could not sample over the entire open-water season, we report here an effort to quantify production and export in the water column at 13 stations sampled during the early phases of the bloom (late December and early January), by distinguishing summertime observations from those expected pre-bloom for Winter Water (WW; potential temperature, θ < −1.79°C, salinity, S > 34.1; see Yager et al., 2012; Wong et al., 1998; Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015). We find that the ASP
hosts an extraordinarily productive climate-sensitive ecosystem that surpasses its neighbors, including the well-studied Ross Sea Polynya, on most measures, exporting a high fraction to depth and contributing significantly to both the magnitude and efficiency of the biological pump in the Southern Ocean.

Methods

Field effort

ASPIRE explored the ASP region on board the RVIB Nathaniel B. Palmer between 13 December 2010 and 8 January 2011. Over the course of the expedition, a total of 68 stations (Yager et al., 2012) were sampled using a suite of instruments across an average open water area (≤ 10% ice cover; Mu et al., 2014) of 49,160 ± 9,952 km² (the greatest open water area during ASPIRE was 63,277 km² on January 8; Mu et al., 2014), while the ship’s underway system continuously measured surface water properties: temperature, salinity, chlorophyll fluorescence, oxygen, and carbon dioxide concentrations (Yager et al., 2012; Mu et al., 2014). Here, we report data from 13 stations within and around the edges of the ASP (Table 1; Figure 1A) where a full suite of inventory and rate measurements was obtained from the upper water column.

Table 1. Station information* on location, ice conditions, bloom timing, and surface $p$CO$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station and CTD cast</th>
<th>Latitude(°)</th>
<th>Longitude(°)</th>
<th>Sea floor depth (m)</th>
<th>Calendar day sampled (A)</th>
<th>Sea Ice conc. (%) on day sampled</th>
<th>First day 50% ice cover (B)</th>
<th>First day extended 0% ice cover (C)</th>
<th>First day ocean color detected (D)</th>
<th>Open water duration (days)</th>
<th>Ice-free days$^a$</th>
<th>Bloom spin up (days, D-B)</th>
<th>Bloom duration (days, A-D)</th>
<th>Surface $p$CO$_2$ (μmol CO$_2$ atm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Air-sea CO$_2$ flux (mmol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Surface mixed layer depth (m)</th>
<th>Depth of $T_{air}$ isotherm (m)</th>
<th>ADIN (mmol N m$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>73.97</td>
<td>-118.03</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 (87)</td>
<td>71.86</td>
<td>-118.28</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>no$^b$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>73.18</td>
<td>-115.00</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 (31)</td>
<td>72.96</td>
<td>-115.76</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 (85)</td>
<td>72.74</td>
<td>-116.02</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>no$^b$</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (14)</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>-113.30</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>73.57</td>
<td>-112.67</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 (21)</td>
<td>73.12</td>
<td>-112.00</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (65)</td>
<td>73.42</td>
<td>-115.25</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 (46)</td>
<td>73.28</td>
<td>-112.10</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 (61)</td>
<td>73.70</td>
<td>-115.45</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 (26)</td>
<td>73.35</td>
<td>-114.13</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 (72)</td>
<td>73.71</td>
<td>-113.27</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>313$^c$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE:</td>
<td>73.35</td>
<td>-115.45</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{res}$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.63*$^d$</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.59*</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>(&lt;0.71)$^e$</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Arranged in order of increasing nitrogen drawdown (ADIN), and including polynya-wide averages, with standard deviation (S.D.) and $n$ value, and correlation (R) of each variable with ADIN (significant correlations: $p < 0.01$ in bold; $p < 0.05$ with asterisk)

$^a$Indicates not applicable

$^b$no = conditions not observed

$^c$Includes occasional 1-day ice-free or ice-covered events not included in B

$^d$Reflects deep mixing

$^e$Improved correlation if Sta. 57 removed

As defined by AT method described by Alderkamp et al. (2015)
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Satellite observations

For navigation and planning purposes during the field expedition, sea ice concentration images from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Terra (250 m resolution) were collected and delivered to the ship electronically from the Polar Geospatial Center (PGC; http://www.pgc.umn.edu/; formerly the Antarctic Geospatial Information Center), Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Minnesota. Daily ASMR-E satellite images (12.5 km resolution) were also obtained for the 2010–11 season to provide an assessment of ice conditions over the larger ASP region and in the months prior to and after the ASPIRE sampling period. A summary of the growth of the 2010–11 summer polynya and regional changes in sea ice cover during ASPIRE can be found in Mu et al. (2014). This early opening of the polynya in the southeast was expected from historical sea ice imagery and was the reason we located a moored sediment trap (MT) in this area (Figure 1). The daily ASMR-E images were used to calculate several different measures of ice cover timing for each station. Application of these surface-associated conditions to the water column below
assumes that horizontal advection was minor compared to vertical controls at the satellite scale (12.5 km for ice; 1.24 km for ocean color). Some support for this assumption was found with the good correlations between surface conditions and integrated changes to the water column (see below).

Using satellite-derived sea ice concentrations for a patch of ocean surrounding each station, we noted the percent sea ice cover (sea ice concentration, %) on the day we sampled. We also calculated the open water duration (OWD, or number of open water days) as the total number of days each station location had ≤ 50% ice concentration from the date of first opening until (and including) the date of sampling, as consistent with Mu et al. (2014) and Lowry et al. (2015). In our experience, waters with ≤ 50% sea ice cover can sustain pelagic bloom initiation and development because adequate sunlight reaches the water column. For each station patch, the first day of the year that ice concentration dropped ≤50% was noted. At some stations, sea ice concentration went up and down for several weeks, so we summed the total number of days ≤ 50% ice cover between the first observation until (and including) the day of sampling. Any days in between with a sea ice concentration > 50% were not counted as part of OWD. The uncertainty in OWD was calculated as the standard deviation of the number of open water days when calculated with a range of sea ice concentration thresholds (10–90%). We also noted the first date after which the region stayed ice-free (0% ice cover) continuously for more than a few days and calculated similarly the number of ice-free days.

We obtained for each station a time series of ocean color by MODIS Aqua (1.24 km resolution) to identify the first day that ocean color was observed. At some stations (e.g., 5 and 6), ocean color was detected early, but the time series was flat at very low levels (< 0.05 µg L⁻¹), in which case we defined the first day as the day ocean color began to increase above baseline. “Bloom spin up” was estimated by the number of days between the first day of ≤ 50% ice cover and the first day of ocean color. Bloom duration was estimated by the number of days between the first day of ocean color increase and the day of sampling. Bloom duration could equal zero if the day of sampling occurred before the start of noticeable ocean color (as it was at 5 of the 13 stations). Although most stations showed increases in color over the bloom duration time period, it was not always a monotonic increase; sometimes ocean color decreased during the bloom duration interval. In summary, four ice-cover-associated, bloom-related time scales were determined: 1) open water duration (OWD, days < 50% ice concentration before a station was sampled); 2) ice-free days (days of 0% ice cover before station was sampled); 3) bloom spin up time (number of days between the first observation of open water (≤ 50%) and an initial increase in ocean color); and 4) bloom duration (number of days between the initial increase in ocean color and the day of sampling).
Water sampling

Hydrographic profiles and discrete water samples were collected from each station using a conventional shipboard conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD; Sea-Bird 911+) sensor and a 24 × 10 L Niskin bottle rosette sampler (General Oceanics). Potential temperature (θ) and salinity (S) were recorded continuously as a function of depth and at the moment of Niskin bottle closure. Continuous dissolved oxygen (DO) profiles were measured using a Sea-Bird SBE-43 dissolved oxygen sensor on the CTD and calibrated as reported in Mu et al. (2014). A few samples reported here were collected similarly using a trace-metal-clean CTD-rosette system (see Sherrell et al., 2015) that was deployed at the same location just before or after the conventional CTD. Some hydrographic data from Sta. 4 (near Sta. 68; Figure 1A) were included as they proved useful for comparison, even though this station was not covered by the full suite of measurements.

Water samples were collected and processed according to standard protocols (Knap et al., 1996; Dickson et al., 2007) for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), alkalinity (ALK), nutrients, chlorophyll a (Chl a), particulate and dissolved organic carbon (POC, DOC), particulate and total dissolved nitrogen (PN, TDN), and particulate phosphorus (PP; Planquette and Sherrell, 2012; Planquette et al. 2013). Samples for the oxygen isotope ratio (δ18O) of water (H2O) were collected and analyzed as described by Randall-Goodwin et al. (2015). Samples from the same depths and stations were also collected for microbial biomass and activity (see below; Williams et al., 2016). With a few exceptions (to characterize water mass end members), this paper focuses on samples collected from the upper 100 m of the water column. Seafloor depths in the area ranged from 300 to 1300 m (Figure 1B; Nitsche et al., 2007), although the 13 stations discussed here were all deeper than 400 m (Table 1). Surface mixed layer depths were calculated following Alderkamp et al. (2015), as the shallowest depth at which the potential density (σθ) was 0.02 kg m−3 greater than at the surface (Cisewski et al., 2008; Alderkamp et al., 2012).

Inorganic nutrients, inorganic carbon, and organic matter analysis

Dissolved inorganic nutrient samples were pre-filtered through 0.45-μm polycarbonate syringe filters, kept refrigerated, and analyzed onboard the ship within 1 day of sampling. Nitrate (NO3−), nitrite (NO2−), ammonium (NH4+), phosphate (PO43−), and silicic acid (Si(OH)4) were measured using a five-channel Lachat Instruments QuikChem FIA+ 8000s series autoanalyzer in conjunction with a Lachat Instruments XYZ AutoSampler (ASX-500 Series), two Lachat Instruments RP-100 Series peristaltic Reagent Pumps, and Omnion Software, version 3.0.220.02. The nitrate + nitrite analysis uses the basic method of Armstrong et al. (1967), with minor improvements for precision and ease of operation. Nitrate was first reduced to nitrite using a cadmium reduction column and imidazole buffer as described by Patton (1983). Sulfanilamide and N-(1-Naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride react with nitrite to form a colored diazo compound. Nitrite analysis was performed on a separate channel, omitting the cadmium reductant. Ammonium was determined using the indophenol blue method modified from ALPKEM RFA methodology (EPA, 1984). Total dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) was calculated by summing NO3− + NO2− + NH4+. The phosphate method was a modification of the molybdenum blue procedure of Bernhardt and Wilhelms (1967), in which phosphate was determined as reduced phosphomolybdic acid employing hydrazine as the reductant. The silicic acid method was based on Armstrong et al. (1967), as adapted by Atlas et al. (1971). Addition of an acidic molybdate reagent forms siliconomolybdic acid, which was then reduced by stannous chloride. Detection limits (NO3− + NO2− = 0.075 μmol L−1; NO2− = 0.009 μmol L−1; NH4+ = 0.040 μmol L−1; HPO42− = 0.022 μmol L−1; and Si(OH)4 = 1.90 μmol L−1) and precision (NO3− + NO2− = ±0.0076 μmol L−1; NO2− = ±0.0009 μmol L−1; NH4+ = ±0.0041 μmol L−1; HPO42− = ±0.0023 μmol L−1; and Si(OH)4 = ±0.193 μmol L−1) were determined using multiple runs of standards prepared in low nutrient seawater. Samples with negative values following calibration using standard curves were converted to zeros.

Samples for DIC were preserved with mercuric chloride and sealed (Dickson et al., 2007), and then stored cool and dark until analysis using the SOMMA at UGA (Johnson et al., 1993; Cooley and Yager, 2006). Accuracy was confirmed with Certified Reference Material from University of California, San Diego (CRM; Dickson et al., 2003) and precision was determined to be better than ±1 μmol kg−1 using duplicate samples from surface and 200 m depths. Alkalinity measurements were made on the same samples (following DIC analysis) using a programmable open-cell potentiometric titration system (Dickson et al., 2003; Cooley and Yager, 2006). Accuracy was established by acid-calibration using multiple daily runs of CRM. Precision was determined to be ±5 μmol kg−1 using replicate samples run on multiple days.

Samples for particulate organic carbon (POC) and nitrogen (PN) were collected by cleanly filtering 100–600 mL of seawater onto a 25-mm diameter, combusted GF/F filter (nominal pore size of 0.7 μm) which was then folded sample side in and frozen at –80°C. Samples were processed at Rutgers University and analyzed using a Carlo-Erba CHN analyzer (Hedges and Stern, 1984). Precision, based on replicate filtered volumes from the same Niskin bottle, was ±5% for most samples, but was occasionally higher. Samples for particulate phosphate (PP) were collected separately from the same depths and locations as part of a trace metal suite and analyzed separately using a Thermo-Finnigan Element I HR-ICP-MS (Sherrell et al., 2015; Planquette and Sherrell, 2012; Planquette et al., 2013). Precision was ±5%.
Samples for DOC and TDN were collected cleanly from the filtrate of the POC/PN samples and stored frozen until processed at the Georgia Institute of Technology by Shimadzu TOC-5000 analyzer with an associated TNM-1 Total Nitrogen Measuring Unit. Precision was ± 4%. Residual dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was calculated by subtracting DIN from TDN. This approach involves taking the difference between two relatively large numbers, thus precision of relatively small DON concentrations is strongly affected by the precision of the DIN and TDN analyses.

**Organismal abundance and biomass analysis**

Water column Chl a concentration (used as a proxy for algal biomass) was measured onboard ship using acetone extraction and a spectrofluorometer (Alderlomp et al., 2015). Shipboard values were calibrated against a second set of samples collected similarly, flash-frozen in liquid N\(_2\), stored at −80°C, and analyzed at Mote Marine Lab using HPLC (Wright et al., 1991; see Alderlomp et al., 2015). CHEMTAX (Mackey et al., 1996; Wright et al., 1996; 2010) was applied to determine the relative abundance of phytoplankton classes based on pigment analysis (see Alderlomp et al., 2015).

Bacterial abundance samples were collected in triplicate, preserved using 1% paraformaldehyde, and deep frozen (−80°C) until they were counted at The University of Georgia with flow cytometry and SYBR Green I nucleic acid staining (Marie et al., 1997). Abundance was calibrated with polystyrene beads, and values were crosschecked using DAPI and epifluorescence microscopy (Porter and Feig, 1980). Abundance was converted to bacterial carbon (BAC) using a conversion factor (25 fg C cell\(^{-1}\); Simon and Azam, 1989).

Microzooplankton abundance and biovolume were determined at select depths and stations using microscopy (Goswami, 2004). Samples were gently siphoned through silicon tubes into 300 ml amber-colored glass bottles, fixed in acidic Lugol’s solution (2% final concentration), and kept cool and dark until analysis. Biovolume calculations followed the HELCOM (2014) manual on appropriate geometrical shapes when making length–width measurements for each individual species. Biovolumes were corrected for shrinkage due to preservation (vol × 1.33; Stoecker et al., 1994). Heterotrophic/mixotrophic microplankton cell volumes were converted to cell carbon (Menden-Deuer and Lessard, 2000) for loricate and aloricate ciliates (CIL), and dinoflagellates (DINO).

Heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNAN) were counted by flow cytometry (Christaki et al., 2011). Each sample was stained with SYBR Green, at final concentration of 1:10000 and a minimum staining time of 10 minutes in the dark. The flow rate was ~250 µL min\(^{-1}\). Both green and red fluorescence were used to discriminate between autotrophs and heterotrophs. Data acquisition was 5–10 minutes depending on concentration of the sample (or depth). Samples with > 1200 event second\(^{-1}\) were diluted to allow a correct measurement. The detected abundance was checked against counts of DAPI filters. HNAN biomass was converted from abundance data assuming 3.5 µm\(^3\) per cell biovolume (Vaque et al., 2002) and 220 fg C µm\(^{-3}\) (Børsheim and Bratbak, 1987).

Mesozooplankton biovolume and abundance were determined as described by Wilson et al. (2015) and converted to biomass (ZOOP) for both daytime and nighttime tows using conversion factors from the literature (Gallienne et al., 2001; Forest et al., 2012; Trudnowska et al., 2014).

**Biological rate measurements**

Phytoplankton net primary production (NPP) rates were determined for 6 light depths in the upper 100 m using standard \(^3\)C-bicarbonate incubations (Steemann-Nielsen, 1952; Knap et al., 1996) in on-deck incubators with light-filtering screens to match in situ light levels. Bacterial production (BP) rates were determined using \(^3\)H-leucine incorporation as described by Williams et al. (2016). Microbial community respiration (MCR) rates were determined for near-surface and subsurface depths by changes in CO\(_2\) concentrations over 48 hours in dark incubations, as described in Williams et al. (2016).

An upper bound for microzooplankton grazing rate was estimated as part of another experiment (R. Swalethorp, personal communication) by measuring potential microzooplankton growth rates at a peak bloom station using large volume incubations over a two-week period. Seawater was collected from the fluorescence maximum at Sta. 35 (12 m depth; *in situ* conditions were determined later to be: Chl a = 8.6 µg L\(^{-1}\), DIN = 10.4 µmol L\(^{-1}\), DIP = 0.76 µmol L\(^{-1}\)). Two treatments were carried out in triplicate 12-L containers: whole water and 200-µm filtered (to remove mesozooplankton). Because we did not know their *in situ* concentrations until afterwards, nutrients (15 µmol L\(^{-1}\) NH\(_4\)Cl and 1 µmol L\(^{-1}\) Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\)\(4\)) were added at the start and containers were incubated at *in situ* light and temperature (0 ± 0.5°C) for 15 days. Samples for ciliate and dinoflagellate biomass were taken at Day 2, 4, 7, 10, 13 and 15 and analyzed following the same procedure as described above for the *in situ* biomass samples. The rate of increase in biomass over time in each of the size fractions was estimated and compared initially using linear regression. Growth rates for the microzooplankton were then calculated for each time step using the following equation:
The choice of integration depth for the upper water column, typically the surface mixed layer or euphotic zone, had to consider the rapid temporal changes occurring during the ASPIRE expedition (e.g., a shallowing pycnocline, along with dense blooms reducing light penetration). The surface mixed layer depths observed at the time of sampling were shallow, but variable (average = 25 ± 19 m; ranging from 10 to 81 m; Table 1). The measurements of the pycnocline were mixotrophs or feeding on other protists and heterotrophic nanoflagellates.

Mesozooplankton grazing rates were measured for both night and day samples from 7–8 depth intervals (3–4 intervals in the upper 100 m) at 6 stations (13, 25, 35, 50, 57, 68) using the gut fluorescence method (Slaughter et al., 2006; Bernard et al., 2012). Gut pigments were calculated following Strickland and Parsons (1972) and converted to grazing rates using a temperature dependent gut evacuation rate (Dam and Peterson, 1988). Grazing rates were converted to carbon assuming a C:Chl a ratio of 50 (Atkinson et al., 1996) and integrated over the upper 100 m, 100–350 m, or lower water column (> 350 m).

Water column calculations
To distinguish biological impacts from physical effects on the carbon and nutrient budgets of the upper water column, we calculated for all measured inventories and sample depths the difference between the observed concentrations and those expected from physical processes (mixing and ice melt) alone. These calculations required assumptions to be made about the relevant integration depth and the initial condition of the wintertime water column, which we discuss below.

The choice of integration depth for the upper water column, typically the surface mixed layer or euphotic zone, had to consider the rapid temporal changes occurring during the ASPIRE expedition (e.g., a shallowing pycnocline, along with dense blooms reducing light penetration). The surface mixed layer depths observed at the time of sampling were shallow, but variable (average = 25 ± 19 m; ranging from 10 to 81 m; Table 1).
euphotic zone at the time of sampling was also relatively shallow, but typically deeper than the mixed layer and similarly variable (average $= 35 \pm 19$, ranging from 21 to 94 m). Our choice of integration depth had to balance the need to catch early season production mixed to depth, without missing production lost to deep remineralization later in the season. The process was complicated further by not knowing enough about the physical dynamics of the region. On balance, after trying several different approaches, we chose to integrate upper water column inventories and their differences over a relatively deep interval (up to 100 m) to allow for the high probability of mixing during the early season when the seasonal pycnocline was likely deeper or weaker. Going that deep meant that we had to be mindful of deep-water intrusions from below. Because of spatial heterogeneity of water masses (see Figure 2 and Results below), we took a station-by-station (local) approach, rather than use the same depth for every station.

The upper 100 m of the water column of the ASP was primarily Antarctic Surface Water (AASW) overlying remnant Winter Water (WW), the former freshened by sea ice melt and warmed by solar radiation (Yager et al., 2012). Often in polar oceans, dilution by meltwater is corrected by scaling (normalizing) observations to a single salinity (see, for example, Sweeney et al., 2000). In the ASP, however, the upper 100 m exhibited additional and variable contributions from shoaling, modified Circumpolar Deep Water (mCDW) made buoyant by glacial ice melt (Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015). Thus, two sources of meltwater (sea ice and glacial ice) modified the upper 100 m but to varying degrees, depending on the influence from mCDW at depth (Figure 2). For example, the $\theta$-$S$ curve for Sta. 68 in the west near the shelf break (Figure 1A) shows seawater at 100 m with properties near canonical WW, which freshens and warms to the surface (Figure 2), indicating very little influence from mCDW. In contrast, Sta. 66 to the south and east (Figure 1A), for example, exhibits similar warming and freshening from 60 m to the surface, but below that, the waters exhibit warming from mCDW (Figure 2).

Inventory data from all samples of unmodified WW (as defined by $\theta < -1.75^\circ$C and $S > 34.1$) collected during ASPIRE were averaged to estimate biogeochemical “end member” properties ($\pm 1$ STD; $n = 19$). We did the same analysis for the samples of mCDW (as defined by $S > 34.5$; depth $> 400$ m) to estimate similarly its average biogeochemical “end member” properties ($\pm 1$ STD; $n = 41$).

For a subset of seawater samples, we used tracers to determine meltwater fractions (Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015; see below) and then applied an end-member mixing model to account for the expected contributions from both sea ice and glacial meltwater. Critical to this effort was defining the winter seawater conditions. We intended to use either WW or a winter baseline estimated by the concentrations observed at 100 m, which in the ASP were always below the euphotic zone and the base of the summer mixed layer (Tynan, 1998; Prézelin et al., 2000; Yager et al., 2012; Schofield et al., 2015). However, because of variable subsurface inputs from mCDW (see Figure 2), even temperature and salinity observations at 100 m were highly variable from station to station, and consequently so were other inventory contributions from mCDW. Thus, to approximate wintertime conditions for each station (as the WW end member), we used the deepest value within the upper 100 m that approximated WW without input from mCDW. We determined this value to be at the depth of the minimum temperature within the upper 100 m ($T_{\min,100}$; noting that for many stations, the absolute $T_{\min}$ for the full-depth profile was well below 100 m). In 5 of the 13 stations (29, 48, 53, 68, 66), this depth was 100 m.
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50, 57, 68), $T_{\text{min}, 100}$ was observed at 100 m, so the outcome was identical to the original plan. At the other 8 stations, however, $T_{\text{min}, 100}$ ranged from 28 to 90 m (Table 1).

Freshwater fractions for sea ice meltwater (FWF$_{\text{met}}$) and from meteoric sources derived from glacial melt and snow (FWF$_{\text{sw}}$) were determined with the tracer $\delta^{18}O$ in the same fashion as described by Randall-Goodwin et al. (2015), except that instead of using a regional CDW $\delta^{18}O$ end member, we used the value of $\delta^{18}O$ at $T_{\text{min}, 100}$ as described above) as the local WW end member for each station. This approach assumes that the wintertime upper water column is well mixed and allowed an estimate of the local meltwater contributions relative to WW, i.e., relative to winter concentrations prior to the most recent spring melt. It is in contrast to the approach taken by Randall-Goodwin et al. (2015), who integrated over longer and wider time and space scales using regional CDW as the $\delta^{18}O$ end member, i.e., including regional modification of CDW by net annual sea ice production and melt and net annual contribution from meteoric sources. Because the inherited time period covered by our estimates of freshwater contributions included only the spring melt, they do not include the negative contribution from sea ice formation (due to brine rejection), and only include a relatively short interval for meteoric contributions. Calculated in this way, freshwater contributions to the upper 100 m and relative to WW ranged from 0 to 2% for sea ice melt and from 0 to 0.5% for the meteoric water.

Sea ice and glacial melt contribute freshwater that reduces seawater salinity, but it may also contribute some inorganic carbon, nutrients, and organic matter to the ocean. Because ASPIRE did not have an ice-sampling program onboard in 2010–11, sea ice melt and glacial meltwater contributions for nutrients and organic matter were estimated from literature values of these endmembers ($X_{\text{swf}}$ and $X_{\text{met}}$, respectively, for any parameter “X”), some of which came from the ASP region just two years prior to ASPIRE. For sea ice melt (Fransson et al., 2011; Thomas and Dieckmann, 2010), we assumed DIC$_{\text{swf}}$ = 270 mmol C m$^{-2}$, DIN$_{\text{swf}}$ = 2 mmol L$^{-1}$, DIP$_{\text{swf}}$ = 0.3 mmol L$^{-1}$, DOC$_{\text{swf}}$ = 1000 mmol C m$^{-2}$, DON$_{\text{swf}}$ = 70 mmol L$^{-1}$. For glacial melt (Rysgaard et al., 2011), we assumed DIC$_{\text{met}}$ = 170 mmol L$^{-1}$, DIN$_{\text{met}}$ = 50 mmol L$^{-1}$, DIP$_{\text{met}}$ = 0 mmol L$^{-1}$, DON$_{\text{met}}$ = 50 mmol L$^{-1}$.

Thus, the expected concentration ($X_{\text{exp}}$) was determined using the freshwater fractions and their end members:

$$X_{\text{exp}} = X_{\text{swf}} \cdot \text{FWF}_{\text{swf}} + X_{\text{met}} \cdot \text{FWF}_{\text{met}} + X_{\text{swf}} \cdot \text{SWF}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $X_{\text{swf}}$ is the seawater concentration at depth of $T_{\text{min}, 100}$, and

$$1 = \text{SWF} + \text{FWF}_{\text{swf}} + \text{FWF}_{\text{met}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The observation ($X_{\text{obs}}$) at each sample depth was then measured against $X_{\text{exp}}$, derived from physical contributions, to calculate the biologically-driven change.

The biological change in the total inorganic nitrogen inventory for the upper water column ($\Delta$DIN, mmol N m$^{-2}$) was calculated by trapezoidal integration of the difference between observed and expected DIN concentrations down to the depth of $T_{\text{min}, 100}$. The integrated changes in other chemical inventories ($\Delta$DIC, $\Delta$DIP, $\Delta$POC, $\Delta$APN, $\Delta$APP, $\Delta$DOC, $\Delta$DON) were calculated similarly. Because particles can move independently of water masses, we assumed winter and meltwater end members for particulate matter to be zero. This assumption may overestimate particulate production.

Seasonal changes in the surface water column inventories of biomass ($\Delta$Chl $a$, $\Delta$BAC, $\Delta$HAN, $\Delta$DINO, $\Delta$CIL, and AzoOP) were calculated similarly to particulate matter (zero meltwater and winter end members), except that we integrated to 100 m at all stations. The possibility of deep water contaminating the signal was small because biomass decreases dramatically with depth. For overwintering organisms, this use of deeper integrations and zero wintertime end members would overestimate their springtime growth. Thus, our integrated biomass increases are considered upper limits, but the export calculations below are conservative.

Air-sea $\rho$CO$_2$ gas exchange during the ice-free period was included in the carbon budget calculation. Although photosynthesis may take some time to set up a large $\rho$CO$_2$ gradient, melting sea ice contributes freshwater and alkalinity to the surface layer, reducing surface $\rho$CO$_2$, and setting up the potential for gas exchange even before the bloom occurs (Mu et al., 2014). Sea ice algal productivity may also reduce the near surface $\rho$CO$_2$ (Yager et al., 1995; Miller et al., 2002). The rate of gas exchange, or the $\rho$CO$_2$ flux density ($\rho$CO$_2$flux, mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), was estimated from underway $\rho$CO$_2$ measurements as described by Mu et al. (2014). Carbon gained or lost to the surface waters by gas exchange ($\text{GasEx}$) was estimated for each station by using the $\rho$CO$_2$ observations at each station, calculating $\rho$CO$_2$flux for that station using the average wind speed for the region during the time of ASPIRE (measured shipboard; $u_10 = 8.7 \pm 3.5$ m s$^{-1}$; $n = 6209$; see Mu et al., 2014), and applying that rate over the number of ice-free days to get the total carbon exchanged. This approach might overestimate gas exchange because it assumes a steady gradient and the biological component of the $\rho$CO$_2$ gradient likely took some time to build up to observed levels. This calculation does not include any gas exchange that may occur through full or partial sea ice cover, however. The net $\rho$CO$_2$ uptake at all stations, regardless of bloom status, reflects the modest $\rho$CO$_2$ reduction (56 µatm) from sea ice alkalinity in addition to biological drawdown (see Mu et al. 2014 for complete discussion of mechanisms).
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Because all 13 stations were undersaturated with respect to atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ (Mu et al., 2014), they likely all received some DIC replenishment from air-sea exchange. Thus, the observed $\Delta$DIC would underestimate biological drawdown if we did not account for this gas exchange. The seasonal net community production, $s$NCP (mol C m$^{-2}$), then, was estimated by adding GasEx to the observed missing inorganic carbon inventory, $\Delta$DIC:

$$s\text{NCP} = \Delta\text{DIC} + \text{GasEx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

For stations where the DIC data were not available, $\Delta$DIN was converted to $\Delta$DIC using the Redfield ratio (which was also equal to the average $\Delta$DIC:$\Delta$DIN for the region of 6.6 $\pm$ 0.9, n = 7). To calculate NCP as a rate (mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) rather than a seasonal quantity, we divided $s$NCP by the open water duration (OWD) for each station (see also Ducklow et al., 2015).

A carbon budget was constructed for the upper water column of the ASP (Figure 3; see also Table 8 and Figure 11 as described in Results) to determine consistency within individual inventory and rate measurements, calculate inferred export, and compare these to direct measures of export (e.g., sediment traps). We assumed that the accumulated changes in total carbon in the upper water column could be accounted for among the quantities we measured, and that the sum of the changes in total carbon ($\Delta$TotalC) would add up to zero:

$$\Delta\text{TotalC} = s\text{NCP} - \Delta\text{POC} - \Delta\text{DOC} - \Delta\text{ZOOP} - \text{ExportC} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Seasonal Export C ($s$ExportC, mol C m$^{-2}$) was thus calculated as:

$$s\text{Export C} = s\text{NCP} - \Delta\text{POC} - \Delta\text{DOC} - \Delta\text{ZOOP}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

As we have no observations for wintertime biomass in the ASP, the most conservative estimate for export would be to include all observed biomass as new biomass produced locally since winter. Thus, export is determined by subtracting these values from $s$NCP. The export calculation would increase if some of the observed biomass was already present before the springtime $\Delta$DIC drawdown.

Because we had some (although not many) migrating zooplankton, we used the larger of the two inventories ($\Delta$ZOOP$_{\text{day}}$ or $\Delta$ZOOP$_{\text{night}}$) to estimate the maximum $\Delta$ZOOP. We did not account for carbon transported to depth and respired or released by migrating zooplankton (e.g., Steinberg et al., 2000) because subsurface mesozooplankton biomass and respiration rates were low (0.01-0.11 mmol C m$^{-2}$ and < 0.01 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively; Ducklow et al., 2015).

As with $s$NCP, the seasonal quantity, $s$ExportC, was converted to a rate (ExportC, mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) by dividing by OWD. The exported fraction, based on seasonal quantities only, was estimated by dividing $s$ExportC by $s$NCP. Two different estimates of export efficiency, based on relative rates, were calculated by dividing NCP or ExportC by ASPIRE’s best estimate of primary production, NPP. A turnover time ($s$NCP/NPP, in days) was also estimated by dividing the seasonal production by the daily production rate.

Figure 3
Carbon inventories and fluxes in the upper water column of the ASP.

A cartoon depiction of the one-dimensional carbon budget for the upper water column of the ASP. Major carbon inventories include dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), particulate organic carbon (POC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and mesozooplankton (Mesozoop). Microbial biomass, including phytoplankton, mesozooplankton (Microzoop), particle-associated (PA) and free-living (FL) bacteria (Bact) are distributed into the major carbon inventories. Exchanges between the inventories include net primary production (NPP), microbial respiration, zooplankton respiration (Zoop Resp), grazing (Gr), bacterial carbon demand (BCD), aggregation (AGG), and fecal pellet production (FEC). Additions to or losses from the upper water column occur through gas exchange and export.
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Uncertainties

Uncertainties in the export calculations were not formally propagated through each equation because known uncertainties associated with analytical measurements were small compared to the potential errors associated with endmember choices, interpolation between sampled depths, and assumptions about a one-dimensional water column. After working with these data, it became clear that the calculations were most sensitive to variables that were not very well quantified. In the interest of trying to gain the best insight about this system, we present these analyses with the caveat that the final export values are estimates and probably have an uncertainty of ± 50%. We base this error estimate on rough error propagation efforts combined with a sense of how the final values could vary when calculated in different ways. The largest potential source of error came from uncertainty associated with the choice of WW endmembers, because small differences were then integrated up through the water column. For example, at Sta. 66 (discussed above), integrating to the higher NO_3 concentration observed at 100 m (instead of using the value at T_{min,50} at 60 m) would give a value for ΔDIN that was 49% larger than the value we determined. Choosing regional averages or using WW endmembers increased ΔDIN even further because of the higher NO_3 concentrations. The decision to use T_{min,50} to define the endmembers was therefore conservative in terms of estimating the biological impacts on carbon and nutrients.

Cross checks

Because bacterial and microzooplankton biomass are included operationally in the DOC and POC inventories, we did not include them separately in the export calculation. We looked internally, however, at the individual carbon components to see if the seasonal increases were consistent with changes in biomass and biological rate measurements for each of those pools. For example, although oversimplified, we estimated algal carbon (diatoms + Phaeocystis) as follows:

\[ \text{Algal C} = \Delta \text{POC} - \Delta \text{CIL} - \Delta \text{DINO} - \Delta \text{HNAN} - \Delta \text{BAC} \] (7)

Algal C was then compared to Chl a estimates to see if the C:Chl a ratios were consistent with the dominant phytoplankton at each station. Because a significant fraction of bacteria were either particle-associated (PA-BACT; Figure 3; Williams et al., 2016) or potentially collected on the GF/F filter used for the POC filtration, they were included as part of the POC.

Statistical reporting

Except where noted, average values are reported with ± 1 standard deviation (S.D.) and the number of values (n) used to calculate the mean. Significant differences between averages were determined by T-test using t_{0.05(n-2)} as the critical value (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981). When calculations were made using averages, errors were propagated according to Bevington (1969). Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients (R) were used to relate two sets of values; a significant correlation was determined if R was greater than the critical value reported (p < 0.01 or p < 0.05) for a given number (n) of data pairs (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981). Model I Linear regression (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981) was applied to calculate rates of change in incubations, where the x-axis was time (measured without error); rates were based on slope calculations and are reported with ± 95% confidence interval. Slopes were considered not significantly different when their 95% confidence intervals overlapped.

Results

Physical setting, water mass distributions, and nutrient drawdown

The 13 ASPIRE stations first opened to ≤ 50% ice cover as early as Day 255 (12 September; Sta. 57) and the average was Day 299 (26 October) ± 34 days (n = 13; Table 1). Extended ice-free (0%) conditions were observed as early as Day 318 (14 November; Sta. 13), but nearly half (6) of the 13 stations experienced ≤ 1 ice-free day before sampling (Table 1). A few of the open stations exhibited up to 4 days of 0% ice cover before the ice fully cleared, and these days were added to the total of ice-free days for those stations. Sta. 57 in the southeast, also the site near the moored trap (Figure 1), experienced the most ice-free days (43) before sampling. Sta. 57 in the south before sampling (Table 1). A few of the open stations exhibited up to 4 days of 0% ice cover before the ice as early as Day 318 (14 November; Sta. 13), but nearly half (6) of the 13 stations experienced average was Day 299 (26 October)
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Algal C was then compared to Chl a estimates to see if the C:Chl a ratios were consistent with the dominant phytoplankton at each station. Because a significant fraction of bacteria were either particle-associated (PA-BACT; Figure 3; Williams et al., 2016) or potentially collected on the GF/F filter used for the POC filtration, they were included as part of the POC.
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Results

Physical setting, water mass distributions, and nutrient drawdown

The 13 ASPIRE stations first opened to ≤ 50% ice cover as early as Day 255 (12 September; Sta. 57) and the average was Day 299 (26 October) ± 34 days (n = 13; Table 1). Extended ice-free (0%) conditions were observed as early as Day 318 (14 November; Sta. 13), but nearly half (6) of the 13 stations experienced ≤ 1 ice-free day before sampling (Table 1). A few of the open stations exhibited up to 4 days of 0% ice cover before the ice fully cleared, and these days were added to the total of ice-free days for those stations. Sta. 57 in the southeast, also the site near the moored trap (Figure 1), experienced the most ice-free days (43) before sampling.

The first day that ocean color was detected by satellite at any of the 13 stations (Table 1) was Day 330 (26 November; Sta. 50), but the average for all stations was Day 346 (12 December) ± 16 days (n = 13; Table 1), just one day before the first sampling date of ASPIRE. Thus, the ASPIRE field effort occurred during the initial stages of the spring/summer bloom.

Ice concentrations at the time of sampling for the 13 stations (Table 1) ranged from 0% (for the 7 central polynya stations) to 85% (Sta. 66, in the marginal ice zone to the north of the central polynya). Open water duration (OWD) prior to sampling ranged from 8 to 61 days (Table 1), with an uncertainty that ranged from 8 to 22 days, and an average of 33 ± 18 days (n = 13). Bloom spin up time was quite variable, ranging from 0
to 74 days (average = 49 ± 25 days, n = 13) and bloom duration varied between 0 and 33 days (average = 14 ± 13 days; Table 1).

During ASPIRE sampling, surface pCO₂ at all 13 stations was always undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere (Table 1; see also Mu et al., 2014), ranging from 167 to 358 µatm. Thus, gas exchange at all 13 stations was always into the ocean at a rate that ranged from 4 to 49 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ (Table 1; average = 32 ± 14 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹).

Unmodified Winter Water (WW; θ < −1.75°C, S > 34.1; see also Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015) was not observed in the upper 100 m at these 13 stations (Figure 2), as it tended to be found between 200 and 400 m. Temperature–salinity profiles for the upper 100 m of the 13 stations (Figure 2) showed warmings of individual upper portions of the WW layer through mixing with Antarctic Surface Water (AASW), but also sometimes showed the influence of heat and salt from mCDW at depth.

Potential temperatures in the upper 100 m ranged from −1.79 to −0.13°C (Figure 2), with an average of −1.31 ± 0.46 (n = 86). At 100 m, the average θ was −1.63 ± 0.15 (n = 13), which was warmer than canonical WW (θ < −1.75°C) or the observed minimum potential temperature (θmin) for the full water column (which ranged from −1.82 at Stations 6, 29, and 66 in the northwest to −1.41°C at Sta. 57; with a median of −1.80; n = 13; Figure 2). At the surface, potential temperature was greater, ranging from −1.47 to −0.13°C, with warmer surface waters associated with increased open water duration, ice-free shallow-stratified conditions, and sufficient time to be warmed by solar irradiation (Figure 2). The warmest surface waters were found at Sta. 35 and 48 in the upper 10 m (Figure 2). Sta. 57 was ice-free the longest (43 days; Table 1) and its summer mixed layer was the deepest (81 m; Table 1), presumably because of longer exposure to winds; it was also where a large iceberg (with an estimated keel depth of 340–450 m) was observed (see Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015). Sta. 13 also experienced a long OWD (56 days) and had a relatively deep mixed layer (42 m; Table 1). The depth of the minimum potential temperature in the upper 100 m (θmin,100) ranged from 28 to 100 m (Table 1). Eight stations (5, 6, 13, 18, 25, 34, 35) exhibited a subsurface heat contribution from mCDW in the upper 100 m (Figure 2; black lines).

Salinity in the upper 100 m ranged between 33.5 and 34.1 (Figure 2), with an average of 33.95 ± 0.11 (n = 86), and all but three samples had S > 33.7. Surface salinity was reduced relative to deeper waters at all open water stations we sampled, indicating that ice melt had already freshened the upper layer (see Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015). Salinity was especially reduced at the near surface for two of the partially sea ice-covered stations (Sta. 66 at 85% sea ice cover, and Sta. 68 at 68% sea ice cover; Table 1; Figure 2). The salinity at 100 m depth varied across the 13 stations, from 33.9 to 34.1 (average = 34.0 ± 0.04, n = 13), and was somewhat fresher than canonical WW (S > 34.1), but also indicated variable salt contributions from deep mCDW as well (Figure 2).

As described above, θmin,100 was found at depths < 100 m for 8 of the 13 stations (Table 1; Figure 2). Below θmin,100, the θ–S profile curved upward and to the right (indicating additions of heat and salt), i.e., toward the mCDW end member (black line segments on Figure 2). Surface mixed layer depths ranged from 10 to 81 m (average = 25 ± 19 m, n = 13; Table 1), with the deepest value seen at Sta. 57.

All samples of unmodified WW collected during ASPIRE (entirely from depths below 100 m; n = 19) gave fairly narrow ranges for inorganic and organic concentrations (± 5%; Table 2). The same analysis for the samples of mCDW (S > 34.5; depth > 400 m; n = 41) resulted in much higher variability (20% in some measures; Table 2), perhaps reflecting different degrees of modification from the ice shelf or interaction with the sea floor sediments. Inorganic nutrients and carbon were higher in the deeper, warmer, saltier mCDW than in WW, although disproportionately so: DIN and DIP were ~10% higher, Si(OH)₄ ~18% higher, and DIC, ~2% higher. Dissolved oxygen (DO) was significantly lower (32%) in mCDW (Table 2; Figure 2). The average concentrations of POC and PN for either water mass were not significantly different from zero (given measurement blanks), but could be high in some samples. In contrast, the DOC concentrations in both WW and mCDW, 69 ± 9.6 µmol C L⁻¹ and 76 ± 18 µmol C L⁻¹, respectively, were unexpectedly high, especially in near-bottom waters (up to 118 µmol C L⁻¹). DON in WW (3.0 ± 2.4 µmol N L⁻¹) was similar to that in mCDW (2.6 ± 1.5 µmol N L⁻¹).

In the upper water column of the ASP, the DIN was dominated by nitrate. Ammonium was always < 2 µmol L⁻¹, and typically < 1 µmol L⁻¹ except for three sample depths (10, 25, and 40 m) at Sta. 66 (1.3-1.6 µmol L⁻¹; where significant abundances of ice krill were found; see Wilson et al., 2015) and for one sample (85 m) at Sta. 48 (1.2 µmol L⁻¹). Nitrite was always < 0.14 µmol N L⁻¹. Nitrate concentrations in the upper 100 m ranged from 7.2 to 31.7 µmol L⁻¹. At the 13 stations, deep (100 m) values for DIN were variable and ranged from 28.4 to 31.7 µmol N L⁻¹ (average = 29.8 ± 0.88; n = 13), which were slightly lower on average than, but not significantly different from, the independently determined WW end member (Table 2) described above. Concentrations of DIN at θmin,100 were slightly lower (average = 29.2 ± 1.35; minimum = 26.9; n = 13) than at 100 m (29.8 ± 0.91; minimum = 28.4; n = 13), illustrating the variable influence of deep mCDW.
The estimates of integrated nitrogen drawdown (ΔDIN) ranged from 27 to 740 mmol N m$^{-2}$ (Table 1; Figure 4). Plotted against station rank, the increase in ΔDIN was nearly linear ($R^2 = 0.98$; Figure 4), confirming that even though we did not sample a true time series, ASPIRE stations can be rearranged to represent a full range of bloom conditions. Two small breaks in slope (Figure 4) suggest that the stations can be grouped into early bloom (Stations 5, 68, 6, 34, and 66), mid-bloom (Stations 18, 13, and 25), and high bloom (Stations 50, 35, 48, 29, 57) phases. The five early blooms stations were also the westernmost stations (Figure 4). The high bloom stations tended to be observed later in the expedition compared to mid-bloom stations, and toward the south and east (Stations 35, 48, 29, 57), where the polynya was open longer (Table 1; Figure 4).
Across the 13 stations, ∆DIN correlated significantly with several of the ice and bloom timing variables (Table 1; \(p < 0.01, n = 13\)), most strongly and positively with the number of ice-free days (\(R = 0.87\)), and inversely with the first day of extended ice-free conditions (\(R = -0.85\)). It also correlated significantly (\(p < 0.01\)) with bloom duration (\(R = 0.83\)), open water duration (\(R = 0.80\); Figure 5A), the first day of increasing ocean color (\(R = -0.74\)), and ice concentration at the time of sampling (\(R = -0.68\)). Surface \(pCO_2\) correlated significantly with ∆DIN (\(R = -0.71, n = 12, p < 0.01\)) when deeply-mixed Sta. 57 was removed from the analysis (Table 1).

As inferred above from the station groupings (Figure 4), the variation in ∆DIN also exhibited some geographic sensitivity, correlating significantly (\(R = 0.63, p < 0.05\)) with longitude (tending to be higher in the east, which was also where the sea ice disappeared first). Because spatially heterogeneous sea ice conditions explain a larger fraction of the variability in ∆DIN than geography, however, geographic transects through the polynya seem less informative than arranging the stations in terms of either sea ice timing or the integrated nitrate drawdown. All results are thus presented within the framework of increasing ∆DIN as representative of the increasing polynya opening and the subsequent algal bloom.

When stations are arranged according to ∆DIN, physical controls on the bloom progression can be observed (Figure 5). First, as mentioned above, a clear relationship exists between increasing OWD and ∆DIN (Figure 5A). Exceptions to the trend include Sta. 5 near the Getz Ice Shelf, and Sta. 13; both had relatively long OWD compared to their early or mid-bloom stages. Hydrography at these two stations indicates less warming or freshening at the surface (Figures 2, 5B, 5C), perhaps because of fewer actual ice-free days (in the case of Sta. 5; Table 1), or deeper wind mixing (in the case of Sta. 13; Table 1).

The progressive warming and freshening in the polynya surface waters during the bloom progression is observed when comparing temperature and salinity profiles against ∆DIN (Figure 5B, 5C). At early bloom stations, the upper water column is mostly cold (< −1.4 °C; Figure 5B) with surface freshening at some stations (Figure 5C). Later in the bloom progression, warmth penetrates increasingly deeper into the water column through the mid-bloom and then surface temperatures peak during high bloom. Warming was seen penetrating deeper waters at several stations, particularly Stations 13 (to 60 m), 35 and 50 (to 90 m), 29 (to 80 m), and 57 (to 100 m). Freshening from the surface down to the deeper depths corresponded with those stations that indicated downward mixing of surface heat (Figure 5C), and suggesting local mixing events.
Inorganic carbon and nutrients

Inorganic carbon and nutrients were higher and well mixed at early bloom stations such as Stations 5 and 68, but then DIC, DIN, and DIP decreased proportionally, starting near the surface and moving to depth with increasing ∆DIN (Figure 6A, B, C). An exception was Sta. 57 (and to some extent Sta. 13), which suggested deep mixing, as described above for θ and S. Concentrations of DIC (reported here in µmol L⁻¹ for comparison to N and P) ranged from a high of 2273 µmol C L⁻¹ (2213 µmol kg⁻¹) in the deeper waters, to a low of 2094 µmol C L⁻¹ (2038 µmol kg⁻¹) in the near surface waters of Sta. 35 (Figure 6A). Concentrations of DIN ranged from 7.31 to 31.84 µmol N L⁻¹, with the highest values found at Sta. 5 and in the deeper waters of most stations (Figure 6B) and the lowest concentrations (< 10 µmol N L⁻¹) in the surface or near-surface waters at high bloom stations. The sample-by-sample concentrations of DIN correlated very well with those of DIC (R = 0.99, n = 42, p < 0.01). Concentrations of DIP ranged from 0.63 to 2.1 µmol P L⁻¹ (Figure 6C) and also correlated very well with DIC (R = 0.99, n = 42, p < 0.01) and DIN (R = 0.99, n = 81, p < 0.01).

Integrated water column ∆DIC and ∆DIP (Table 3) corresponded very well (R = 0.98; n = 7 and 13, respectively; p < 0.01) with integrated ∆DIN calculations. Average stoichiometric ratios for the region (∆DIC:∆DIP = 123 ± 45; ∆DIN:∆DIP = 16.8 ± 2.2; ∆DIC:∆DIN = 6.6 ± 0.89; n = 29; Table 3) were not significantly different (p > 0.05) from average living marine plankton (C:N:P = 106:16:1; Redfield et al., 1963). Stoichiometry did not correspond well with bloom stage. Stations 66, 25, 50, and 35 all exhibited ∆DIC:∆DIP = 104-125, whereas Stations 13 and 57 both had lower values at 90-97. Sta. 5, with ∆DIC:∆DIP = 221, was an outlier, suggesting that the biological signal was too small to get a good ratio.

Concentrations of Si(OH)₄ in the upper 100 m ranged from 64 to 99 µmol Si L⁻¹ with an average value of 85 ± 8 µmol Si L⁻¹ (data not shown). The concentrations at 100 m (average = 89 ± 7 µmol Si L⁻¹) were generally lower than but not significantly different from the WW endmember (91.5 ± 0.05 µmol Si L⁻¹). With this large observed variability, there may have been a problem with consistency of the daily Si(OH)₄ standard curve on board ship, and concentration data are not comparable from station to station. All samples from a single profile were run on the same day with the same standards, however. Thus, for any given station,
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Table 3. Integrated net changes in inorganic carbon and nutrients, with stoichiometric ratios, over the upper water column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station grouping</th>
<th>Station #</th>
<th>Event #</th>
<th>Changing integrated inventories</th>
<th>Stochiometric ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>∆DIN (mmol N m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>∆DIC (mmol C m(^{-2}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early bloom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68.01</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66.02</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-bloom</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>1921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25.02</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>2917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bloom</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.09</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>3736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>4178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48.02</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29.02</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57.11</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>4711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All stations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Including polynya-wide averages of stoichiometric ratios, with standard deviation (S.D.) and n value, and correlation (R) of each variable with ∆DIN (significant correlations: p < 0.01 in bold)

‡ Indicates not applicable or not available

The silicate drawdown (∆Si; Figure 7) is the better way to examine the data because it shows vertical gradients that depend less on a consistent daily standard curve. Although the ∆Si(OH)\(_4\) data indicate surface depletion at some stations, the silicate depletion did not follow the ∆DIN as well as the other nutrients did (R = 0.68, p < 0.01, n = 12). Integrated ∆Si ranged from 9 to 700 mmol Si m\(^{-2}\) (Table 3), except for a negative value at one station (Sta. 25) that suggested a deep source or dissolution of advected particulate silicate. The ∆DIC: ∆Si ratio (Table 3) varied widely but the average (when the one negative value was removed) was higher but not significantly different (average = 12.3 ± 7, n = 6) from the typical ratio for diatoms (7.1; Brzezinski, 1985). The ∆Si: ∆DIP and ∆Si: ∆DIN ratios (Table 3) were also highly variable and generally lower on average (9.8 ± 7, 0.63 ± 0.62, respectively; n = 12) than typical for diatoms (15 and 0.94, respectively; Brzezinski, 1985), indicating the presence of other phytoplankton groups.

Figure 7
Silicate drawdown in the ASP.

Dissolved silicate drawdown (∆Si\(_{bio}\), µmol C L\(^{-1}\)) profiled for the 13 stations contoured against increasing nitrate depletion (∆DIN, mmol N m\(^{-2}\)) and bloom stage (green scale as in Figure 4). The partial coherence with Figure 6 is notable.
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Table 3. Integrated net changes in inorganic carbon and nutrients, with stoichiometric ratios, over the upper water column*
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**Particulate organic matter**

The buildup of particulate organic matter mirrored the drawdown of inorganic nutrients as ΔDIN increased from station to station (Figure 6). Concentrations of POC ranged from not detectable to 78 µmol C L⁻¹ with highest values in the upper 20 m at stations with higher ΔDIN (Figure 6D). The buildup of POC accounted for 49–98% of the inorganic carbon drawdown (ΔDIC), with an average of 75 ± 19% (n = 7). Concentrations of PN (Figure 6E) ranged from not detectable to 12 µmol N L⁻¹ and showed similar distribution as POC (R = 0.98, n = 79, p < 0.01), with sample-by-sample POC:PN values averaging 7.1 ± 2.1 (n = 64). Concentrations of PP (from the TMC CTD cast, data only available = 10 m; Figure 6F) correlated significantly with POC and PN (R = 0.61 and 0.64, respectively; n = 42; p < 0.01). Notable deviations occurred in the 10–30 m depths of Sta. 25 where PP was high, but POC and PN were less so.

Across the region, the stoichiometric ratios for particle samples in the upper water column (0–100 m; POC:PP = 279 ± 176; POC:PN = 7.4 ± 4.0; PN:PP = 40 ± 25; n = 64 for POC:PN and n = 31 for PP) were much more variable than the dissolved inorganic ratios, and tended to be poor in phosphorus, but, with the high variances, were not significantly different (p > 0.05) from typical values for living plankton (C:P = 106, C:N = 6.6; N:P = 16; Redfield et al., 1963). The large variance suggests some preferential remineralization of P and N (relative to C) at some but not all stations and depths.

Integrated water column ΔPOC and ΔPN values for each station (Table 4) ranged from 180 to 3229 mmol C m⁻² and 20 to 500 mmol N m⁻², respectively, and correlated significantly with each other (R = 0.96, n = 13, p < 0.01) and with ΔDIN (R = 0.82 and 0.81, respectively; n = 13, p < 0.01). With the large maximum at Sta. 25, integrated APP by station (ranging from 2.8 to 17 mmol P m⁻³) did not correlate significantly with ΔPOC (R = 0.62, n = 8, p > 0.05) but was better correlated with ΔPN and ΔDIN (R = 0.70, 0.72, respectively; n = 8; p < 0.05). Stoichiometric ratios based on integrated values for each station (Table 4; ΔPOC:APP = 230 ± 63; ΔPN:APP = 33 ± 7.8; ΔPOC:APN = 7.0 ± 0.8) were similar to those described above for individual depths, but less variable, distinguishing them better from the Redfield ratios for living plankton. The lower P content of particles in the upper 100 m persisted relative to changes in inorganic inventories (Table 4), again suggesting some preferential remineralization of phosphorus.

**Dissolved organic matter**

The balance of DOM sources and sinks in the ASP, as represented by the observed inventories, appear to be more complex than for POM and nutrients in that they do not follow the bloom progression as well. Generally, DOC and DON concentrations were higher in surface waters relative to subsurface (Figure 8), but they did not exhibit the characteristic surface build up or progressive deepening with ΔDIN or bloom stage that was observed with POC and PN. Concentrations of DOC in the upper water column ranged from

---

Table 4. Integrated changes in particulate and dissolved organic matter and their ratios over the upper water column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station grouping</th>
<th>Station #</th>
<th>ΔDIN (mmol N m⁻²)</th>
<th>ΔPOC (mmol C m⁻²)</th>
<th>ΔPN (mmol N m⁻²)</th>
<th>ΔPP (mmol P m⁻³)</th>
<th>ΔPOC:ΔPP</th>
<th>ΔPOC:ΔPN</th>
<th>ΔPN:ΔPP</th>
<th>ΔDOC (mmol C m⁻²)</th>
<th>ΔDON (mmol N m⁻²)</th>
<th>ΔDOC:ΔDON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early bloom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(−38)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>(−144)</td>
<td>(−46)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>(−86)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>(−10)</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-bloom</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bloom</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>2234</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>(−100)</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>3229</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>2766</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>2291</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>(−215)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All stations</td>
<td>R_ave−1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.72*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Integrated to T_max, arranged in order of increasing nitrogen drawdown (ΔDIN)

*By bloom stage, as shown in Figure 4

*Including polynya-wide averages, with standard deviation (S.D.) and n value, and correlation (R) of each variable with ΔDIN (significant correlations: p < 0.01 in bold, p < 0.05 with asterisk)

*Indicates not applicable or not available
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53 to 127 µmol L\(^{-1}\), with peak values at the surface of early bloom Stations 6 and 66 (Figure 8A), which were both in the marginal ice zone at the time of sampling. Although typical melting sea ice contributions were accounted for in the mixing model, the biomass and algal productivity in sea ice can be extremely heterogeneous, and inputs to the ocean from any given melt could vary substantially. A few deeper samples also exhibited relatively high concentrations (Sta. 5, 80-100 m; Sta. 29, 80 m; Sta. 48, 100 m), suggesting variable subsurface sources of DOC to the water column (contamination was ruled out because the DON for those same samples was low).

Concentrations of DON ranged from not detected to 8.5 µmol L\(^{-1}\) (Figure 8B), with some high concentrations in the surface and subsurface at higher ∆DIN stations (e.g., Stations 35 and 48). The correlation between sample DOC and DON concentrations was weak but significant for all samples in the upper 100 m (\(R = 0.39, n = 80, p < 0.01\)), with a carbon-rich average DOC:DON ratio of 47 ± 38 (\(n = 80\)). The C:N ratio dropped to a mean of 23 ± 35 if we subtracted a canonical Southern Ocean deepwater DOC value of 45 µmol L\(^{-1}\) from the measured DOC and recalculated the ratio (because we observed DON = 0, we did not subtract a deepwater DON). The average DOC value at \(T_{\text{min}_{100}}\) (69 ± 10 µmol C L\(^{-1}\)) had a large range, but matched the WW average and range very well. The average DON at \(T_{\text{min}_{100}}\) (1.9 ± 1.5 µmol N L\(^{-1}\), \(n = 13\)) was a bit lower, but not significantly different from that of WW or from zero.

Integrated ∆DOC values (Table 4) ranged from large and positive (1390 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) at Sta. 35) to unexpectedly large and negative (−1110 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) at Sta. 48), and they did not correlate significantly with ∆DIN or correspond with bloom stage. Integrated ∆DON also ranged from large and positive (118 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) at Sta. 48) to large and negative (−100 at Sta. 50). The ∆DOC:∆DON ratio (only calculated when both ∆DOC and ∆DON were the same sign) also varied widely (ranging from 3 to 170; Table 4). These outcomes were driven at least in part by the variability of DOC and DON at \(T_{\text{min}_{100}}\) and the high sensitivity of the mixing model and integration calculations to small differences in concentration.

**Biomass in the upper 100 m**

All biomass stocks except mesozooplankton generally exhibited their highest accumulations in the high bloom regions with higher ∆DIN (Figure 9), although most did not have significant correlations with ∆DIN (Table 5). Chlorophyll \(a\) concentrations (Figure 9A) ranged from 0.02 to 22 µg Chl \(a\) L\(^{-1}\), were highest within the surface or near-surface waters of the central polynya, and exhibited an integrated buildup that ranged from 74 to 828 mg m\(^{-2}\) (average = 613 ± 147 mg m\(^{-2}\); Table 5) and correlated well with ∆DIN (\(R = 0.68, n = 13, p < 0.01\); Figure 9A). A subsurface (10-20 m) Chl \(a\) maximum was observed at several mid- or high bloom stations (Stations 18, 50, 48, 35, and 29). Concentrations of Chl \(a\) correlated positively with POC concentrations on individual samples (\(R = 0.90, n = 79, p < 0.01\)), with the POC:Chl \(a\) ratio ranging...
Table 5. Integrated biomass inventories and changes over the upper water column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station grouping</th>
<th>Station #</th>
<th>Chl (a) (mg m(^{-2}), A)</th>
<th>(\Delta)POC (B)</th>
<th>C:Chl (a) (w/w, [Bx12]/A)</th>
<th>(\Delta)BAC (C)</th>
<th>(\Delta)HNAN (D)</th>
<th>(\Delta)DINO (E)</th>
<th>(\Delta)CIL (F)</th>
<th>(\Delta)ZOOP Algal C (G = A – C – D – E – F)</th>
<th>Algal C:Chl (a) (w/w, Gx12/A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early bloom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-bloom</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bloom</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>3220</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>2770</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All stations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{\text{GAI}}) &amp; -        &amp; 0.68                         &amp; 0.79           &amp; -0.24                       &amp; 0.48           &amp; 0.30           &amp; 0.21           &amp; 0.31           &amp; -0.07                           &amp; -0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\Delta\)IN (mmol N m\(^{-2}\))

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\):

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\) indicates not available

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\) integrated to 12 m only (no data below 12 m); not included in correlation

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\) integrated biomass (mmol C m\(^{-2}\), except where noted) to 100 m, arranged in order of increasing nitrogen drawdown (\(\Delta\)IN)

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\) by bloom stage, as shown in Figure 4

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\) including polynya-wide correlation (\(R\)) of each variable with \(\Delta\)IN (significant correlations: \(p < 0.01\) in bold)

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\) indicates data not available

\(R_{\text{GAI}}\) integrated to 12 m only (no data below 12 m); not included in correlation

Biomass in the ASP.

Biomass profiles for the 13 stations contoured against increasing nitrate depletion (\(\Delta\)IN, mmol N m\(^{-2}\)) and bloom stage (green scale as in Figure 4). A) algal biomass as chlorophyll \(a\) concentration (\(\mu\)g Chl \(a\) L\(^{-1}\)); (B) bacterial biomass (\(\mu\)mol C L\(^{-1}\)); (C) nanoflagellate biomass (\(\mu\)mol C L\(^{-1}\)); (D) dinoflagellate biomass (\(\mu\)mol C L\(^{-1}\)); (E) ciliate biomass (\(\mu\)mol C L\(^{-1}\)); and (F) maximum zooplankton biomass (day or night, \(\mu\)mol C L\(^{-1}\)). Note that scales are different for each microbial contribution.
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from 28 to 271 (median = 45; n = 76, excluding samples with < 0.5 µg Chl a L⁻¹), with higher values found in samples with 1.0-1.5 µg Chl a L⁻¹. Integrated ∆Chl a also correlated significantly with ∆DIC (R = 0.79, n = 7, p < 0.05), as well as ∆POC, and ∆PN (R = 0.87, and 0.87, respectively; n = 13; p < 0.01). Correlations improve if the same depth intervals are used (e.g., for Chl a integrated versus ∆POC, R = 0.91, n = 13, p < 0.01). Integrated ∆POC:Chl a ratios (Table 5) ranged from 37 (at Sta. 34) to 115 (at the partially ice-covered Sta. 68), with a median of 44 (average = 52 ± 20, n = 13), but did not correlate significantly with ∆DIN (R = −0.24, n = 12, p > 0.05).

Phytoplankton community structure (as defined by CHEMTAX pigment analysis) was dominated (> 80%) by Phaeocystis antarctica at all stations except early bloom Stations 6 and 68 (Table 6), where diatoms contributed 50 and 77%, respectively, to the integrated biomass. Diatoms were otherwise a small fraction of the integrated biomass (median = 2%) at the time of sampling, and when they were found, they were usually in the deeper waters with lower Chl a concentrations. Sample-by-sample comparison throughout the upper 100 m showed significant negative correlation (R = −0.41, n = 107, p < 0.01) between total Chl a concentration and diatom percentage, but a positive correlation (R = 0.41, n = 107, p < 0.01) with Phaeocystis percentage. Prasinophytes contributed modestly to most stations (median = 8%; up to 13% at Sta. 35), whereas dinoflagellates made minor contributions (< 1%) to most stations (median = 0.2%) except at Sta. 68 (15%). Cryptophytes were spotty, contributing 4% at Sta. 68 and 3% at Sta. 50, and were otherwise minor (median = 0.5%). Chlorophytes were similarly spotty, abundant at Sta. 66 (5%), but otherwise very minor (median = 0.0%). Prasinophytes correlated positively with Chl a concentration (R = 0.36, n = 107, p < 0.01), whereas dinoflagellates and cryptophytes correlated negatively (R = −0.36 and −0.25, n = 107, p < 0.01). Notably, the ratio of ∆Sis to ∆NCP, which ranged from 0.01 to 0.35 (Table 6), correlated significantly with the integrated diatom contribution to the algal biomass (R = 0.65, n = 12, p < 0.05), although the correlation was dominated by the highest value found at Sta. 68 (and becomes insignificant when that data point is removed).

Bacterial abundance ranged from 1.8 to 8.3 x 10⁶ cells L⁻¹ (average = 3.4 ± 1.3 x 10⁶ cells L⁻¹, n = 72) in the upper water column. Bacterial biomass ranged from 0.4 to 1.7 µmol C L⁻¹ (average = 0.7 ± 0.3 µmol C L⁻¹, n = 72), generally showed a similar buildup in concert with increasing Chl a and ∆DIN (Figure 9B), and correlated significantly with Chl a concentrations (R = 0.35, n = 72; p < 0.01), but exhibited three notable maxima observed at the surface of Sta. 66 (in the marginal ice zone) and Sta. 18, and subsurface (30 m) at Sta. 57 where Chl a was relatively low. Bacterial biomass contributed 1–14% of the POC in the water column (average = 4 ± 3%, n = 54). Integrated over the upper 100 m, bacterial biomass (ABAC; Table 5) ranged from 36 to 123 mmol C m⁻² (average = 63 ± 24 mmol C m⁻², n = 12; Table 5), with the highest value at Sta. 57, and did not correlate significantly with ∆DIN (R = 0.37, n = 12, p > 0.05).

Heterotrophic nanoflagellate abundance ranged from 0.25 to 11 x 10⁷ cells L⁻¹, with an average value of 4.8 ± 2.6 x 10⁶ L⁻¹ (n = 45), and had a significant correlation with Chl a concentration (R = 0.71, n = 45, p < 0.01). Biomass (Figure 9C) ranged from 0.0 to 0.07 µmol C L⁻¹ (average = 0.024 ± 0.019, n = 45),

Table 6. Integrated phytoplankton composition over the upper water column* 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station grouping</th>
<th>Station #</th>
<th>Chl a (mg m⁻³)</th>
<th>Phaeocystis %</th>
<th>Diatoms %</th>
<th>Prasinophytes %</th>
<th>Dinoflagellates %</th>
<th>Cryptophytes %</th>
<th>Chlorophytes %</th>
<th>∆Sis:∆NCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early bloom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-bloom</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bloom</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on CHEMTAX pigment distribution, integrated to 100 m, and arranged in order of increasing nitrogen drawdown (ΔDIN), with change in ratio of silicate to seasonal net community production (ΔSi:ΔNCP) also included.

*By bloom stage, as shown in Figure 4.

*Including polynya-wide correlation (R) of each variable with ΔDIN (significant correlations: p < 0.01 in bold).

*Indicates not available.
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accounting for <1% the POC. The highest values were found near the surface waters of marginal ice zone Sta. 66, with smaller peaks at the surface of Stations 35 and 50. Integrated heterotrophic nanoflagellates (ΔHNAN) ranged from 1.0 to 4.4 mmol C m⁻², with an average of 2.5 ± 1.0 mmol C m⁻² (n = 13; Table 5), and did not correlate significantly with ΔDIN (R = 0.30, n = 13, p > 0.05).

Heterotrophic/mixotrophic dinoflagellate abundance by microscopic counts ranged from 0.32 to 4.6 x 10⁶ cells L⁻¹, with an average of 1.9 ± 0.97 cells L⁻¹ (n = 25), and correlated significantly with Chl a (R = 0.70, n = 24, p < 0.01), but not with the CHEMTAX-designated (autotrophic) dinoflagellate contribution (R = −0.15, n = 21, p > 0.05) or the prasinophyte contribution (R = 0.32, n = 21, p > 0.05). Heterotrophic/mixotrophic dinoflagellate biomass ranged from 0.29 to 2.7 μmol C L⁻¹ (Figure 9D; average = 1.6 ± 0.8, n = 25), exceeded bacterial biomass, and contributed an average of 5 ± 3% (n = 18; maximum = 15%) to POC. When integrated, heterotrophic/mixotrophic dinoflagellate biomass (ΔDINO) contributed up to 180 mmol C m⁻² to the living carbon in the upper water column (Table 5). Integrated dinoflagellate biomass correlated best with the POC:PP ratio (R = 0.92, n = 9, p < 0.01).

Ciliate abundance ranged from 0 to 5.6 x 10⁴ cell L⁻¹, with an average of 2.1 ± 1.6 x 10⁴ cell L⁻¹. Biomass ranged from 0.02 to 1.7 μmol C L⁻¹ (Figure 9E), with the highest values subsurface at Sta. 57, and it did not correlate significantly with Chl a (R = 0.25, n = 25, p > 0.05). Ciliates contributed 0.2–20% of the POC (median: 1.3%), with the highest percentages in deep water samples (e.g., Sta. 25 at 100 m). Integrated ciliate biomass ranged from 6 to 91 mmol C m⁻² (ΔCIL; Table 5), with an average integrated value of 44 ± 26 mmol C m⁻², and it did not correlate significantly with ΔDIN (R = 0.31, n = 9, p > 0.05).

Mesozooplankton biomass in the upper 100 m (Figure 9F; Wilson et al., 2015) ranged from 0 to 0.005 μmol C L⁻¹, was greatest at Sta. 13 (where Chl a was low), and did not correlate with Chl a (R = 0.08, n = 23, p > 0.05). Nighttime biomass, which was higher than daytime biomass (except at Sta. 57), was used for budget calculations. Mesozooplankton (AZOOOP) contributed the least amount of living carbon to the near surface (0–100 m) organic carbon inventory, with maximum integrated biomass (night or day) of ~0.17 mmol C m⁻² (Table 5), and they did not correlate significantly with ΔDIN (R = −0.32, n = 6, p > 0.05).

For stations where the full suite of microbial biomass data was available, algal carbon (Algal C) was estimated by subtracting integrated bacterial and microzooplankton biomass from ΔPOC₁₀₀ (Table 5). Algal C (which could be either diatoms or Phaeocystis, but did not include mixotrophic microzooplankton) accounted for 85–97% of total POC. Thus, most of the POC in the surface waters of the polynya was autotrophic. The algal C to Chl a ratio (w/w) was estimated to be 34–96 (average = 42 ± 8, n = 8) which was a bit lower and less variable, but not significantly different from the average integrated ΔPOC:Chl a ratio of 52 ± 20 (w/w; n = 13). Both numbers are comparable to values found for early season Phaeocystis blooms in the Ross Sea (48–64; Smith et al., 1998).

Biological rates

Measured rates of net primary production (NPP) for individual depths and stations ranged from 0.3 to 9.0 μmol C L⁻¹ d⁻¹ (average = 2.7 ± 2.0 μmol C L⁻¹ d⁻¹; n = 68; Figure 10A), peaked early in the bloom progression at Sta. 34, and then were patchy or in decline as ΔDIN and Chl a continued to increase. Integrated NPP (Table 7) ranged from 84 to 333 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ (average = 228 ± 71, n = 13; greater than the satellite estimate; Arrigo et al., 2012; 2015) and did not correlate significantly with ΔDIN or ΔChl a (R = 0.14 and 0.50, respectively; n = 13; p > 0.05).

Total microbial community respiration rates at individual depths and stations, mostly measured in the upper 20 m, were high and of similar scale as NPP, ranging from 1.7 to 8.9 μmol C L⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Figure 10B). At a few stations (66 and 57) and near-surface depths, respiration rate was greater than the NPP measurements made at the same depths and stations (see also Williams et al., 2016). Because of the lack of full depth coverage, however, integrated rates were not calculated here.

Bacterial production followed the buildup of ΔDIN and Chl a more closely than NPP or community respiration (Figure 10C) with rates up to 0.33 μmol C L⁻¹ d⁻¹ (4 μg C L⁻¹ d⁻¹) that exceeded those reported for the neighboring Ross Sea or any other Antarctic coastal systems (Williams et al., 2016). Bacterial production increases started shallow under the sea ice at Sta. 66 and then deepened with increasing ΔDIN and bloom stage. Integrated values (BP, Table 7) ranged from 1.8 to 18 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ (average = 11 ± 4.3 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹, n = 13) that correlated significantly with ΔDIN and ΔChl a (R = 0.70 and 0.75, respectively; n = 13; p < 0.01), but not bacterial biomass (R = −0.08, n = 12, p > 0.05). Integrated BP/NPP ratios ranged from 2 to 9% (average = 5 ± 2%, n = 13; see Williams et al., 2016).

Dinoflagellate growth in the incubations from Sta. 35 (12 m) was linear (R² = 0.82, n = 18, p < 0.001) over 13 days with a slope of 0.27 ± 0.069 (95% ci) μmol C L⁻¹ d⁻¹, with no significant differences in slope found between the two size fractions. Dinoflagellate growth and grazing rates were calculated as 13% C d⁻¹ and 43% C d⁻¹, respectively. If we apply these rates to the biomass distributions at the other stations, we cautiously estimated integrated dinoflagellate grazing rates as 30–77 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ in the upper 100 m, potentially accounting for 13–47% of NPP (Table 7).
Ciliate growth in the incubations from Sta. 35 (12 m) was also linear ($R^2 = 0.44, n = 17, p < 0.05$) over 13 days with a slope of $0.033 \pm 0.020$ (95% ci) $\mu$mol C L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, with again no significant differences in slope found between the two size fractions. Ciliate growth and grazing was thus estimated at 11% C d$^{-1}$ and 37% C d$^{-1}$, respectively. When applied to the biomass distributions at the other stations, integrated ciliate grazing was cautiously estimated to be 2–34 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, potentially accounting for 1–20% of NPP (Table 7).

Mesozooplankton grazers ingested 1.3–11 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in the upper 100 m of the water column, or 1–3% of NPP (Table 7). These low grazing rates suggest that mesozooplankton have little impact on removal of the phytoplankton bloom from surface waters within the polynya during the time of sampling. When added to the microzooplankton grazing rates estimated above, 17–67% of NPP (average = 34 ± 16%, n = 8) could be consumed by grazers in the upper water column. Between 100 and 350 m, mesozooplankton grazing ranged from 1.6 to 9.2 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ and accounted for an additional 1–4% of NPP. At the four deeper trough stations (13, 50, 57, and 68), the grazing estimated below 350 m (Table 7) contributed 32–58% (4.7–17 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) of the total water column mesozooplankton grazing (4.2–25 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). Summing the available grazing rates (we do not have any estimates of microzooplankton grazing below 100 m), we calculated the total potential grazing contribution to be 53–110 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, or 22–46% of NPP (Table 7).
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Table 7. Integrated biological productivity over the upper water column and grazing rates in upper and subsurface waters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station grouping</th>
<th>Station #</th>
<th>NPP (mol C m⁻²)</th>
<th>BP / NPP (%)</th>
<th>CIL grazing</th>
<th>CIL grazing / NPP (%)</th>
<th>DIN0 grazing</th>
<th>DIN0 grazing / NPP (%)</th>
<th>Mesozoop / Night</th>
<th>All grazing</th>
<th>All grazing / NPP (%)</th>
<th>100-350 m Night</th>
<th>&gt; 350 m Night</th>
<th>100-350 m Day</th>
<th>All grazers, total mesozoop / NPP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early bloom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-bloom</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bloom</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* By bloom stage, as shown in Figure 4

1. NPP = net primary production, BP = bacterial production, CIL = ciliates, DIN0 = dinoflagellates
2. Approximate only; estimated by applying grazing rates from Sta. 35 (12 m) to biomass distribution at other stations
3. Using average of day and night rates for mesozooplankton
4. May be underestimate; includes only microzooplankton rates
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**NCP and export calculations**

Estimates of gas exchange indicated that some CO₂ (up to 1.71 mol C m⁻²); Table 8; Figure 11) could have been added to the surface DIC inventory from the atmosphere, contributing up to 29% of NCP primarily at the high bloom stations. The NCP ranged from 0.22 to 3.89 mol C m⁻² (Table 8). At the two high bloom stations with the highest NCP, Stations 35 and 57, nearly half of NCP was observed as ΔPOC still present in the upper water column (Figure 11). Much smaller and variable fractions were found in ΔDOC and ΔZOOP (Figure 11; Table 8).

Table 8. Summary of carbon inventories, quantities, and export calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station grouping</th>
<th>Station #</th>
<th>Changes in carbon inventories and quantities (mol C m⁻²)</th>
<th>Exported C quantity (mol C m⁻³)</th>
<th>Exported C flux (mol C m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Trap C flux (mol C m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>ΔDIC</td>
<td>GasEx</td>
<td>ΔNCP</td>
<td>ΔPOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early bloom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bloom</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Integrated carbon inventories and quantities (to T_{max att}) used to infer export, with comparisons to other ASP export estimates

1. By bloom stage, as shown in Figure 4

2. Includes averages for station groupings, with standard deviations (S.D.)

3. Stations where ΔDIC data were filled in using ΔDIN and ΔDIC:ΔDIN = 6.6

4. stations where ΔZOOP was filled in using median of six measured stations

5. Indicates not available. The C flux for drifting traps was calculated from P flux and the station’s ΔPOC:ΔPP ratio (Table 4).

6. Field at the same time of Sta. 57 sampling; relative trap efficiencies of drifting versus moored designs not known

7. Peak flux in mid-January after ASPIRE departed
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After subtracting organic inventories from $\Delta$NCP, sExportC estimates ranged from $-0.96$ to 3.47 mol C m$^{-2}$, with five stations (mostly early bloom: 68, 6, 34, 66, and 18) indicating net carbon import, and eight stations (mostly high bloom: 5, 13, 25, 50, 35, 48, 29, and 57) showing positive carbon export. The stations exhibiting positive export tended to have longer OWD and greater nutrient drawdown ($\Delta$DIN). Sta. 35 notably had a large $\Delta$CO$_2$ deficit and rapid gas exchange, with about equal contributions to $\Delta$DOC and export (Figure 11). In contrast, Sta. 57 was more deeply mixed, had a smaller $\Delta$CO$_2$ deficit, less gas exchange (at the time of observation), but no observed buildup of $\Delta$DOC; consequently, sExportC at Sta. 57 was greater than at Sta. 35.

Where sExportC was positive (Stations 5, 13, 25, 50, 35, 48, 29, and 57), the inferred Exported Fraction (Export C / $\Delta$NCP) accounted for 10–62% of the total potential export estimated by the available sNCP (Table 9). Because of the tight correlation between $\Delta$DIC and $\Delta$DIN, the sNCP, sExportC, and Exported Fraction all correlated significantly (Table 9) with $\Delta$DIN ($R > 0.66, n = 13, p < 0.01$) and OWD ($R > 0.53, n = 13, p < 0.05$).

When dividing the sExportC by a relevant time scale (OWD; Table 1), the Export C flux ranged from $-64$ (import) to 75 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (export; Table 8). For the two stations where we have drifting sediment trap deployments (Stations 35 and 57; Table 8; Figure 11), the inferred export fluxes were somewhat larger than, but within a factor of two of, the trap fluxes directly measured at 60 m (18–27 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Table 8; Figure 11), a reasonable match given that the capture efficiency of the drifting traps is not known. The comparison between Export C and the moored trap fluxes from the same or later time intervals, revealed sharp declines (only 6% of ExportC getting to 365 m at the time of sampling; Figure 11) in sinking material from the base of the surface layer to 365 m (Table 8; Figure 11), suggesting rapid remineralization in the mid-depths (see Ducklow et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2016).

When sNCP was converted to a rate (NCP; using OWD) and compared to NPP (Table 9), the export efficiency (NCP/NPP) ranged from 10 to 73% (average for high bloom stations = 52 ± 12%, $n = 5$). Once converted to a rate, the correlations of NCP or export efficiency with $\Delta$DIN were no longer significant ($R < 0.43, n = 13, p > 0.05$; Table 9).

If we did not assume a bloom time scale, and instead calculated a turnover time (the ratio of sNCP/NPP), values ranged from 2.6 to 32 days with an average for high bloom stations of 24 ± 5 days. This estimate of the turnover time correlated significantly ($p < 0.01$) with $\Delta$DIN and OWD (Table 9), further corroborating the bloom gradient as a useful construct in this system.

As expected (by definition), changes in seasonal inventories (such as sNCP and sExportC) tended to increase with $\Delta$DIN through the early, mid-, and high bloom stages. We note, however, that once scaled by time, many of the rates (e.g., NCP and NPP) were steady through all three bloom stages (Table 9). Similarly, exported fraction and particle export efficiency (ExportC/NPP) increased with bloom stage, but export efficiency (NCP/NPP) did not (Table 9).
Amundsen Sea Polynya carbon budget

Discussion

An extremely strong and efficient biological pump

The ASPIRE observations support the designation of the ASP as one of the most productive bloom systems in the world. Both the strength and efficiency of the biological pump (sensu Sarmiento et al., 2004) are high relative to other ocean systems. Clearly, the capacity for nitrate and carbon drawdown in the ASP is large, once this area is released from micronutrient and light limitation (Alderkamp et al., 2015; Sherrell et al., 2015; Schofield et al., 2015). The early season surface nitrate concentration (∼31 µmol L$^{-1}$) is near the global maximum (World Ocean Atlas, 2001) and nitrate concentration in upwelling mCDW is even higher (33.5 µmol L$^{-1}$; Table 2). With the iron supplied in association with the melting ice sheet (Yager et al., 2012; Sherrell et al., 2015), nitrate at the surface was reduced to as low as 7.2 µmol L$^{-1}$ during our late December observations, giving a biological pump efficiency (based on surface nitrate depletion relative to subsurface nitrate concentration) of ∼80%, similar to the North Atlantic and North Pacific (Sarmiento et al., 2004). Unlike those other ocean areas, however, the exported carbon flux (the strength of the pump) was also very high (up to 75 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). Coastal polynyas often have shallow mixed layers created by melting seasonal sea ice and enhanced by solar heating that provide a favorable physical environment for phytoplankton growth as long as nutrients are available (Smith and Barber, 2007). Relatively shallow mixed layers (average = 25 ± 19 m) in the ASP relieved the light limitation common in most other parts of the Southern Ocean, although self-shading may have become an issue during the high bloom stage (Schofield et al., 2015).

Table 9. Comparison of net primary production (NPP), net community production (NCP), and inferred export quantities and rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station grouping</th>
<th>Station #</th>
<th>NPP (mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>NCP and Export</th>
<th>Particle export efficiency (ExportC rate / NPP, %)</th>
<th>Turnover time (NCP / NPP, days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NCP (mmol C m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>ExportC (mmol C m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>Exported fraction (%)</td>
<td>NCP (mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early bloom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
<td>−69</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-bloom</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bloom</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All stations</td>
<td>R$_{ extravag}$</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R$_{OWD}$</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.64*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a by bloom stage, as shown in Figure 4
*b includes averages for station groupings, with standard deviations (S.D.), and polynya-wide correlation (R) of each variable with ΔDIN and with open water duration (OWD) (significant correlations: p < 0.01 in bold, p < 0.05 with asterisk)

Indicates not available
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Net Primary Production

Maximum NPP observed (up to 333 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, or 4 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) exceeded reports from most other coastal polar ecosystems (Northeast Water: 1.1 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, Smith, 1995; Barents Sea: 1.1 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, Luchetta et al., 2000; Chukchi Shelf: 2.4 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, Chen et al., 2002; Cape Bathurst Polynya: 2.7 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, Arrigo and van Dijken, 2004; West Greenland Sea: 3.2 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, Jensen et al., 1999) except the North Water polynya (−6 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Mei et al., 2003) which receives a steady supply of limiting nitrate from the southward flowing Polar Surface Water (Tremblay and Smith, 2007) and the Fram Strait during peak rates in a springtime *Phaeocystis* bloom (−8 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Smith et al., 1991).

Mesozooplankton community ingestion rates and grazing impact are within a range similar to those published for other Southern Ocean systems (Lee et al., 2013; Bernard et al., 2012; Pakhomov and Froneman, 2004; Atkinson et al., 1996). Zooplankton grazing cleared < 2.5% of primary production in South Georgia Island, Antarctica (Atkinson et al., 1996). Lee et al. (2013) also reported generally low grazing impact of mesozooplankton within the Amundsen Sea Polynya (< 1%) and no correlation between Chl $a$ and zooplankton ingestion rates.

Our relatively low zooplankton biomass results were similar to those of the nearby Ross Sea (Huntley and Zhou, 2000; Tagliabue and Arrigo, 2003), where primary productivity is also high. A somewhat higher zooplankton biomass was observed in Terra Nova Bay, hypothesized to be better able to keep up with the slower growing diatom population there (Tagliabue and Arrigo, 2003). Zooplankton biomass is an order of magnitude higher in the vicinity of South Georgia Island (Ward et al., 1995) and at the Antarctic Polar Front (Franz and Gonzalez, 1997). In the Northeast Water Polynya (Arctic), results similar to ours were observed, with lower zooplankton abundances inside vs. outside the open water area (Ashjian et al., 1997). Zooplankton are not necessarily significant consumers of the primary production within polynyas during a spring bloom (less than 10% in the Arctic’s North Water polynya; Saunders et al., 2003) due to high primary production rates and low abundance of zooplankton (Ashjian et al., 1997; Deibel and Daly, 2007). There is little evidence that polynyas are food limited (Deibel and Daly, 2007); late summer may be a more important time for higher trophic levels.

*Calanoides acutus* dominated the mesozooplankton community within the ASP (Wilson et al., 2015). *C. acutus* has been shown to select heterotrophic microplankton over phytoplankton (Calbert et al., 2006) and may be feeding selectively within the ASP rather than using the dominant phytoplankton species (*P. antarctica*), which is known to produce potentially toxic acrylic acid (Sieburth, 1959, 1960, 1961). Wilson et al. (2015) show a negative correlation between zooplankton abundance and the proportion of *Phaeocystis* in the phytoplankton.

Although the fate of NPP within the upper mixed layer seemed uncoupled from mesozooplankton populations, it showed some connection with heterotrophic microzooplankton and bacteria. Grazing by ciliates and dinoflagellates in the upper water column could have consumed for a significant fraction (14–67%) of NPP. Still, the estimated dinoflagellate and ciliate grazing rates were at the lower end of what has been reported in other studies from the Southern Ocean (4 ± 2% d$^{-1}$ of Algal C, 34 ± 16% d$^{-1}$ of NPP$_{100}$ Froneman and Perissinoto, 1996; Froneman and Balarin, 1998; Froneman, 2004). Furthermore, large colonial *Phaeocystis* are not easily grazed by microzooplankton (Caron et al., 2000; Nejstgaard et al., 2007), thereby reducing the amount of fixed carbon that could be recycled in the upper mixed layer within the microbial loop via the protozoans (Froneman and Perissinoto, 1996). Although *P. antarctica* was the dominant phytoplankton group, there were other primary producers such as sea ice algae and diatoms to support higher trophic levels (Table 6). Indeed, during the early bloom, the “negative” export could be explained by net import of ice algal carbon that could fuel some bacteria (Sipler and Connelly, 2015) or zooplankton (ice krill found at Sta. 66; Wilson et al., 2015).

For the stations where we have estimates for both grazing size classes, however, exported carbon flux (Table 8) correlated positively ($r = 0.85$, $n = 6$) with the “All grazing (0–100 m)” fraction of NPP (Table 7), so it seems that additional grazing does not reduce export.

Bacterial production accounted for only a small fraction of the fate of NPP, but growth efficiencies were quite low and the integrated bacterial carbon demand in the upper 100 m (0.8–2.8 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) could have consumed a large fraction (25–128%; median = 43%) of NPP during ASPIRE’s bloom development (Williams et al., 2016). As some bacteria may be in mutualistic associations with the *Phaeocystis* (Delmont et al., 2014, 2015), further investigation of these microbial linkages is warranted.

Net Community Production

Despite these heterotrophic demands on NPP, the magnitude of NCP in the ASP was still greater than that of most other well-studied polynyas around the world (Northeast Water: Yager et al., 1995; Weddell Sea: Hoppema et al., 1999; Brown et al., 2015; Ross Sea: DiTullio et al., 2000; North Water, Miller et al., 2002; Miller and DiTullio, 2007) and about a factor of two larger than NCP values reported from the Palmer-LTER region west of the Antarctic Peninsula (2–54 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Huang et al., 2012), which is located at a lower latitude range (64–70°S) than the ASPIRE area. The ASP exhibited higher surface and integrated
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Chl $a$ concentrations, and $\Delta$DIC than the extensive, early season (18 December–08 January) Phaeocystis bloom observed by the ROAVERRS project in the more southern Ross Sea Polynya (RSP; Arrigo et al., 1999; DiTullio et al., 2000) and greater $\Delta$POC and $\Delta$DIN than the spring Phaeocystis bloom in the Greenland Sea (Smith et al., 1991). Although estimated differently, NCP in ASP was more comparable to the values reported for the highly productive North Water (April–July average $= 93$ mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Tremblay et al., 2002) and to rates reported for summertime conditions in the much shallower Chukchi Sea (Bates et al., 2005), with both of those Arctic ecosystems dominated by diatoms.

With ASPIRE sampling during the build up stages of the bloom, the estimated NCP in the ASP (up to 130 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) was comparable to the intense spring bloom observed in the North Atlantic during a week in May (115 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Alkire et al., 2012). Because ASPIRE departed from the region before the productivity peak observed by satellite, the annual NCP is very likely greater than we measured as $\dot{n}$NCP. If the observed $\dot{n}$NCP in the ASP (up to 5.9 mol C m$^{-2}$ on 31 December) represented the region’s entire production for the year (i.e., in the unlikely event that the bloom shut down the day ASPIRE departed), it would still exceed the annual NCP for most non-polar regions ($2−4 \pm 1$ mol C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$; Emerson, 2014). Notably, the late December maximum $\dot{n}$NCP measured during ASPIRE is already 67% of the mean total annual production (for 1998–2014) estimated by satellite ($8.8 \pm 1.8$ mol C m$^{-2}$; Arrigo et al., 2015).

The seasonal net community production measured in the ASP during December was most comparable to the late season RSP where $\dot{n}$NCP values ranged from 1.2 to 11 mol C m$^{-2}$ during mid-January to early February across three distinct eco-regions, with a regional average of 4.8 $\pm$ 1.9 mol C m$^{-2}$ (Sweeney et al., 2000), similar to the late December value of 5.9 mol C m$^{-2}$ at ASP Sta. 57. Values for $\dot{n}$NCP during ASPIRE were most similar to the northern edges of the RSP (Region III; 1.2–4.2 mol C m$^{-2}$; at latitudes similar to ASP), which also had shallow mixed layer depths (average $= 27$ m; similar to ASP), remnant WW, and intrusion of mCDW from depth. This region of the RSP was thought to have lower NCP compared to the more productive regions to the south because of either light or micronutrient limitation. The ASP, though, presumably had the potential to continue to bloom during the remaining summer months.

Most stations in the ASP differed from Region III of the RSP, however, in having a much lower $\Delta$Si:$\dot{n}$NCP$_{max}$ ratio (median $= 0.08$, versus 0.10–0.31 in the RSP; Sweeney et al., 2000), and by being dominated (more than 80% at most stations; Table 6) by $P$. antarctica rather than by diatoms. Only four stations in the ASP (68, 13, 29, and 57) exhibited $\Delta$Si:$\dot{n}$NCP ratios $\geq 0.10$, reflecting some diatom influence over the course of the bloom, and only two stations (68 and 6; Table 6) showed diatoms contributing significantly to the phytoplankton assemblage at the time we sampled. Because Phaeocystis can enhance carbon drawdown relative to diatoms (Arrigo et al., 1999), this difference in phytoplankton assemblage likely influences the biogeochemical cycling and relative carbon flux of the two areas. Stoichiometric ratios for changes in inorganic and organic inventories in the ASP fell between those for diatom- and Phaeocystis-dominated waters in the RSP (Arrigo et al., 1999), but tended more toward the latter.

High bloom stations in the ASP (e.g., 50, 35, 48, 29 and 57), with $\dot{n}$NCP values $> 5$ mol C m$^{-2}$, resembled observations reported from the Phaeocystis-dominated central region of the RSP (Region II; Sweeney et al., 2000), where $\dot{n}$NCP ranged from 4.4 to 11 mol m$^{-2}$ and $\Delta$Si:$\dot{n}$NCP was 0.04 $\pm$ 0.02. This favorable comparison suggests that if ASPIRE had sampled later into the summer, we would have likely confirmed $\dot{n}$NCP even higher than in the central RSP (on a per meter squared basis, as suggested by satellite observations; Arrigo and van Dijken, 2003; Arrigo et al., 2012, 2015), although the causes for the ASP bloom termination (well prior to return of the sea ice; Arrigo and van Dijken, 2003) are unknown, and its timing is variable.

An export-dominated ecosystem?

The inferred export from the upper water column of the polynya during the ASP bloom (up to 75 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) was larger than we expected for a developing bloom, particularly relative to other well-studied marine environments (Berelson, 2001). It was greater by far than the estimated fecal pellet production (3.2–9.3 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Ducklow et al., 2015), but agreed reasonably well (within a factor of two when available; Figure 11, Table 8) with direct measures of export from the shallow drifting sediment traps that captured mostly sinking phytodetritus. Although Phaeocystis phytodetritus is generally considered to be a low-efficiency vector for particle flux (Beaulieu, 2002; Reigstad and Wassmann, 2007; Turner, 2015), exceptions have been observed in both northern and southern polar ecosystems (Wassman et al., 1990; Smith et al., 1991; DiTullio et al., 2000; Bauerfeind et al., 2009; Le Moigne et al., 2015). Ducklow et al. (2015) reported Phaeocystis aggregates in the ASP moored trap at Sta. 57.

Particle export efficiency ($\epsilon = \text{ExportC rate} / \text{NPP}$) was low during the early and mid-bloom periods, suggesting that the near-surface polynya retained carbon (Wassmann, 1998) during the early season. It increased, however, during the high bloom, with three of the stations exceeding 0.2, but only one station exceeding $\epsilon > 0.4$. An alternate measure of export efficiency (NCP / NPP) gave higher values during the high bloom, but was also high during the other stages. These efficiencies were high relative to other marine systems (Berelson, 2001) including some in polar latitudes (Sweeney et al., 2000), although this outcome may be because we used $^{14}$C-bicarbonate uptake incubations to assess NPP instead of using the preferred oxygen isotope method for GPP (Quay et al., 2010).
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Such rate-based calculations depend on matching up appropriate time scales, however, and are likely sensitive to the point in time when ASPIRE sampled. When seasonal quantities were compared instead, the estimate for the exported fraction ($s_{\text{ExportC}} / s_{\text{NCP}}$) was high for the high bloom stations only. These export fractions (average $= 46 \pm 16\%$) were comparable to those observed in the RSP much later in the summer (Sweeney et al., 2000), but do not reach the extremely high fractions of the extraordinary ROAVERRS bloom observations during the early season RSP (~80%; DiTullio et al., 2000).

If $\approx 50\%$ of the annual ASP production of 8.8 $\pm$ 1.8 mol C m$^{-2}$ (from satellites; Arrigo et al., 2015) were exported, that would generate an export of 4.4 mol C m$^{-2}$ over an average area of 31,844 $\pm$ 4679 km$^2$, or 0.14 $\pm$ 0.02 Tmol C per year (1.7 $\pm$ 0.25 Tg C y$^{-1}$). This ASP export estimate is similar to the estimate for CO$_2$ uptake by the central polynya during the early season (1.3 $\pm$ 0.6 Tg C; Mu et al., 2014). The ASP contributes 2–3% of the Southern Ocean CO$_2$ uptake in about 0.25% of the area, a approximate 10-fold enhancement, suggesting that something special is going on in the ASP.

A few of the stations (6, 34, 66, and 18) exhibited negative export (or import). Interestingly, all of these stations still had some sea ice present. Thus, in addition to its contributions of fresh water to the water column (up to 2%), the sea ice may be contributing more organic matter (either as DOC or POC) than we expected. Although we tried to account for these contributions in our mixing model, sea ice communities are extremely patchy and variable (Thomas and Dieckmann, 2010; Fransson et al., 2011). Heterogeneous contributions from the melt could explain how organic matter was observed than could be explained by changes in inorganic carbon inventories alone. Gas exchange through partial sea ice cover could also explain the mismatch for we assumed that exchange to be negligible. Microbial utilization of DOC from sea ice is rapid (Sipler and Connelly, 2015), but incorporation to biomass may not be particularly efficient (Williams et al., 2016), so we would not likely see these inputs linger, as they would be respired back to DIC. Subsurface contributions of organic matter, imported from the sediments or deep water, also need to be constrained better to improve confidence in these export estimates. Concentrations of DOC in WW and mCDW (69 and 76 $\mu$mol C L$^{-1}$; Table 2) were higher than expected for deeper water in the Southern Ocean (usually about 45 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$; Hansell et al., 2009), perhaps reflecting benthic inputs. Given their higher nutrient and DOM concentrations, upwelling or mixing of deep waters into the euphotic zone would enhance nutrient availability and also influence the estimates of NCP and export. Horizontal advection could also explain some of the imbalance, but is not required given the known inputs from the sea ice and sea floor.

Subsurface remineralization

Once settled into the subsurface, however, the exported material was susceptible to microbial degradation. Estimated rates of bacterial respiration in the mesopelagic were sufficient to account for the difference between the ExportC flux from the surface layer and the material captured in the moored sediment trap (Ducklow et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2016). If the *Phaeocystis* colonies break up into single cells (perhaps by turbulence) once they sink into the mid-waters, they would become more susceptible to microzooplankton grazing and bacterial degradation (Wassmann, 1993). Microbial degradation of the sinking flux in the ASP may be more determined by particle–associated microbial activity (Delmont et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2016) than in other marine systems, although subsurface microbial breakdown of POC is probably more complex and widespread than previously thought (Kellogg et al., 2011; Collins et al., 2015). The fraction of exported particulate carbon that sank to greater depths (>150 m) ranged from 3 to 6% at the time of sampling, and was low compared to most other ocean ecosystems (Buesseler and Boyd, 2009). A similar decline in the deep flux was seen in the Ross Sea (Collier et al., 2000), however, and in two Arctic polynyas (Tremblay et al., 2012), presenting the possibility that low export efficiency to greater depths may be typical of biologically productive polar seas, whether Antarctic or Arctic.

Applying the Martin equation to the drifting trap profile for the decline in particle flux with depth ($POC_{flux} = POC_{flux_{100}} (z / 100)^{-b}$, where $z$ is depth below 100 m and $b$ is a fitting parameter that increases with decay rate, Martin et al., 1987), gives $b$ values for both deployments of $\sim 1.8$, greater than those found in other marine environments (where $b$ ranges from 0.6 to 1.3; Berelson, 2001). Using instead our estimated ExportC from the upper water column and assuming the deep traps were perfectly efficient gives an even higher $b$ ($> 3$) for both stations where we have trap data. A high $b$ value was not entirely unexpected, given the very high productivity and export quantities from the upper water column, but the ASP $b$ values were even higher than the linear relationship from other studies would predict (e.g., Fig. 6 in Berelson, 2001), suggesting non-linearity in extremely high productivity regions or regions dominated by *Phaeocystis*. The timing of ASPIRE may also be playing a role. The behavior of the sinking POC we observed in the ASP during the early season may be unique in the absence of significant grazing pressure from mesozooplankton. Model simulations that could be used to estimate advective fluxes in this region would also improve our understanding of spatial heterogeneity and the long-term fate of the carbon, especially given that the ultimate destination of these midwaters and their time scale for potential outgassing is poorly known.

A key question relates to what happened in the region after ASPIRE departed. The carbon flux into the moored sediment trap more than doubled between the sampling of Sta. 57 in late December and the peak trap flux in mid-January (Ducklow et al., 2015). This increase suggests an increase in productivity, an increase
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in the exported fraction, or a lag in the sinking of the export from December. Certainly there was adequate APOC available in the upper water column to support the increased flux later in January (see Figure 11; total annual capture in the moored trap was 0.3 mol C m⁻²; Ducklow et al., 2015). The mechanisms triggering the *Phaeocystis* to sink in the late season ASP are unknown, but likely involve a regionally specific combination of physics and ecology (Wassmann, 1993). The same passing icebergs, eddies, or winds that trigger deep mixing and enhance nutrient flux to the surface, extending the bloom, would also facilitate the downward flux of surface particles. In support of this idea, the exported fraction (60%) at iceberg-impact Sta. 57, which also had the longest OWD and the deepest mixed layer, was notably one of the highest in the region. A late season export event in the Ross Sea was driven by pteropods (Collier et al., 2000) following a diatom bloom triggered by a wind event. In the ASP, zooplankton fecal pellets contributed increasingly to material collected by the moored trap during the summertime peak flux, but they were dominated by those produced by mid-sized copepods such as *Metridia gerlachei* (Ducklow et al., 2015).

Three-dimensional ocean dynamics and links to the melting ice sheet

In the ASP, macronutrients are initially replete, allowing for large accumulations of biomass and high net community production (Eppley, 1989), as long as there is an adequate supply of trace nutrients like iron. Iron often controls the primary productivity in areas where macronutrients are abundant (Sunda and Huntsman, 1998; Moore et al., 2013), and incubation studies suggest that the rate of bloom development in the ASP is controlled by delivery rate of Fe to the euphotic zone (Alderkamp et al., 2015). Several sources of iron may contribute to the exceptional productivity of the ASP (Yager et al., 2012; Sherrell et al., 2015) and the supply of iron to this ecosystem is intimately linked to the melting ice sheet and the physical delivery of iron- and meltwater-rich deep water to the euphotic zone (see Randall-Goodwin et al., 2015; Sherrell et al., 2015). This important discovery from ASPIRE implies a three-dimensional pathway for iron, from the Dotson Ice Shelf cavity to the euphotic zone of the ASP bloom region located 20–100 km offshore. Such a 3-D pathway differs from the traditional one-dimensional view, where nutrients are injected into the euphotic zone by vertical mixing. More complex physical mechanisms, where mesoscale structures and eddies may play a central role, may need to be invoked to account for the transport of Fe from the ice shelves to the ASP. Modeling studies are currently ongoing to investigate controls on the iron flux to the central ASP and the sensitivity of this flux to future increases in ice-sheet melting. Whether increasing rates of ice-sheet melt (Mouginot et al., 2014; Joughin et al., 2014) will lead to more iron delivery and more productivity in the ASP remains to be determined.

This iron delivery process, which likely varies regionally, also imposes a multi-dimensional framework on what would otherwise be a fairly straightforward one-dimensional calculation of NCP. We have assumed in our calculations that the wintertime water column is well-mixed and has the same properties throughout the upper 100 m. The same processes that deliver iron to the surface also modify the “wintertime” conditions against which we measured observed inventories, impact our ability to estimate changes from these variable endmember values, and potentially set the initial conditions constraining the subsequent bloom. In the absence of direct wintertime observations, these heterogeneities increase the uncertainties in the calculations and highlight the need to move away from traditional one-dimensional balances to explain biogeochemical processes in this system.

Because of seasonal inaccessibility and the difficult ice environment for moorings that measure near-surface variables over time, we lack knowledge about whether the WW truly resets to the same physical and biogeochemical conditions across the region, confounding the simple mixing model approach and requiring the use of “local” endmembers for each station that estimate the most recent winter conditions at each location. We estimated the WW conditions just prior to the 2010–11 spring melt from the conditions at the lowest temperature observed in the upper 100 m. This approach does not require conditions to be reset to the same values every year. In fact, some of the unexplained interannual variability reported for this region (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2003; Arrigo et al., 2012, 2015) could be related to variable subsurface conditions at the initiation of the bloom season, driven in part by variable meltwater inputs from the ice sheet. Ultimately, we need better dynamic understanding of wintertime conditions and ocean mixing processes in the region, along with better tracers of the different water mass contributions to the upper water column of the ASP.

Because of large spatial heterogeneity and the observed 3-D nature of ocean circulation and micronutrient delivery in this area, greater confidence in a more refined regional carbon budget will require high-resolution ocean and biogeochemical numerical modeling. These efforts are currently underway and will no doubt guide improved observations of this important region in the future.

Sensitivity to changing sea ice conditions

Because the NCP was so tightly coupled to the number of open water days in the ASP, it is important to consider the ASP within the context of historic (Stammerjohn et al., 2015) and future changes in the sea ice cover for the region. The Amundsen Sea embayment is part of the larger western Antarctic region experiencing long-term (1979–2014) losses in annual ice season duration. In the ASP region in particular,
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open water duration has increased by nearly 50 days since 1979, primarily driven by earlier opening in the spring. The area-averaged mean day of sea ice retreat (< 15%) has shifted from Day 398 ± 7 to Day 353 ± 12. A quick comparison to the sampling dates of ASP concerted  (Table 1) confirms that, on average, none of the 13 ASP polynyas would have been ice-free in 1979–80 at the time of year we sampled them in 2010–11. It is likely then, that primary production and export in the ASP region are much higher in December than was the case several decades ago. Whether productivity overall has increased over the entire open water season, or just shifted in time, is unknown. Satellite analyses show that interannual variability is high and no secular trend in total annual production was detectable from 1997 to 2014 (Arrigo et al., 2012, 2015). The fate of the bloom may have changed recently, however. Although we have attributed the modest mesozooplankton bloom response in our observations to the dominance of Phaeocystis, a climate-driven phenological mis-match between phytoplankton and grazers may also be at play (Wassmann, 2011); e.g., earlier ice break-up may be favoring specific phytoplankton or outpacing the zooplankton response. Regional sediment or ice-core records going back further in time could be of some use here.

Looking forward, an even earlier opening would enhance productivity only if light and nutrients were adequate to support phytoplankton. Clearly, light and iron were adequate for the bloom during December 2010 in the ASP region (Alderlakam et al., 2015; Schofield et al., 2015). Whether a progressively earlier opening will stimulate production further is unknown. As observed in the west Antarctic Peninsula (see, for example, Montes-Hugo et al., 2009), the total loss of sea ice and marginal ice zone conditions can lead to deeper mixed layers, greater light limitation, and severe declines in NCP. Any enhancement in productivity that we may have observed in response to the early stages of climate change is not likely sustainable in the long term if the sea ice continues to disappear.

Conclusions

The Amundsen Sea hosts the most productive polynya in all of coastal Antarctica, with its vibrant green waters (> 20 µg Chl a L−1) and high primary productivity (> 200 mmol C m−2 d−1) leading to high early season net community production (up to 6 mol C m−2), an efficient biological pump, and carbon export (up to 75 mmol C m−2 d−1). During ASP concerted, in austral summer 2010–11, we aimed to determine physical and biological mechanisms driving the production and fate of this extraordinary algal bloom, with an eye towards predicting how this system will respond to further change. What we found was an extreme phytoplankton bloom dominated by Phaeocystis antarctica, supported by stratification provided by seasonal sea ice melt, abundant wintertime surface nitrate (> 30 µmol L−1), and an iron flux associated with the nearby melting ice sheets. Here, we show that a surprisingly high fraction (up to 62%) of the seasonal net community production was exported to sub-euphotic depths during the high bloom conditions, in the absence of significant grazing from mesozooplankton, although microbial remineralization in the mid-depths reduced export to the bottom. With both high export quantities and high biological pump efficiency, the ASP ecosystem stands apart from most other ocean biological pumps, reflecting significant release from both iron and light limitation. This globally significant biological pump is clearly linked to climate-sensitive changes in both sea ice cover and nearby ice shelves, but the carbon cycle feedbacks to climate may not be sustainable, particularly if sea ice continues to disappear from the region.
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