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We explore excitonic energy transfer dynamics in a molecular dimer system coupled to both structured and unstructured oscillator environments. By extending the reaction coordinate master equation technique developed by Iles-Smith et al. [Phys. Rev. A 90, 032114 (2014)], we go beyond the commonly used Born-Markov approximations to incorporate system-environment correlations and the resultant non-Markovian dynamical effects. We obtain energy transfer dynamics for both underdamped and overdamped oscillator environments that are in perfect agreement with the numerical hierarchical equations of motion over a wide range of parameters. Furthermore, we show that the Zusman equations, which may be obtained in a semiclassical limit of the reaction coordinate model, are often incapable of describing the correct dynamical behaviour. This demonstrates the necessity of properly accounting for quantum correlations generated between the system and its environment when the Born-Markov approximations no longer hold. Finally, we apply the reaction coordinate formalism to the case of a structured environment comprising of both underdamped (i.e., sharply peaked) and overdamped (broad) components simultaneously. We find that though an enhancement of the dimer energy transfer rate can be obtained when compared to an unstructured environment, its magnitude is rather sensitive to both the dimer-peak resonance conditions and the relative strengths of the underdamped and overdamped contributions. © 2016 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940218]

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the first observations of coherent signatures in photosynthetic systems,1–4 determining whether such effects play a functional role in promoting efficient and robust excitonic energy transfer (EET) across pigment-protein complexes has been a driving force for the field of quantum biology.5–7 Theoretical work on the subject quickly identified that purely coherent energy transport is insufficient to explain the high efficiencies and rates observed.8–10 For resonant systems, this is due to the inherent reversibility of coherent dynamics, while biased systems become localised in the site basis when the inter-site energy difference is greater than the tunnelling energy, thus reducing exciton transport. These difficulties may be circumvented when noise processes induced by an external environment are also present, providing mechanisms for rapid and efficient EET.8–15

However, accurately accounting for the effects of the external environment in photosynthetic systems is a daunting theoretical prospect. Strong coupling between the system and its environment leads to the accumulation of significant system-environment correlations that may be present even within the steady-state.16–19 In addition to the (often low frequency) continuum, the environmental spectral densities of pigment-protein complexes are generally structured; that is, there are specific underdamped vibrational modes of the environment that couple strongly to the excitation degrees of freedom. There is now increasing evidence to suggest that these underdamped modes are an important contributing factor to the long-lived coherences observed in photosynthetic systems,20–38 as well as links between the quantum mechanical nature of these vibrational modes and enhanced transfer rates.25,37,39,40

A multitude of powerful computational methods have been developed to deal with the difficulties faced in modelling strongly dissipative quantum systems. Examples include the hierarchical equations of motion (HEOM),41–46 density matrix renormalisation group (and related) techniques,25,36,47,48 and those based on the path integral formalism.49–52 All can converge to numerically exact results in specific circumstances. In contrast, despite their attraction in terms of simplicity, intuitive physical insight and efficiency, standard (e.g., Redfield) master equations are often invalid in regimes relevant to molecular complexes due to their limitation to weak system-environment couplings.53,54 Though procedures such as the polaron transformation can be used to broaden the range of validity of Redfield master equations,55–63 they may...
In Section IV, we explore the dynamics of the model for both overdamped and underdamped environmental spectral densities using the RCME and Zusman equations, which we benchmark against the HEOM technique. In Section V, we extend our discussion to a structured environment, with a particular focus on the effect of environmental structure on the rate of energy transfer between the dimer sites. We summarise in Section VI and present further details of the Zusman equations in the Appendix.

II. SYSTEM HAMILTONIAN AND THE REACTION COORDINATE MAPPING

We consider energy transport in a molecular dimer system, each site of which has an excited state $|X_j\rangle$ ($j = 1, 2$) with an associated energy $\varepsilon_j$, and ground state $|0\rangle$. The two sites are coupled to each other via a transition dipole interaction, with strength $\Delta$, and to separate harmonic environments, leading to a Hamiltonian of the form (where we set $\hbar = 1$),

$$H = \sum_j \varepsilon_j |X_j\rangle\langle X_j| + \frac{\Delta}{2} (|X_2\rangle\langle 0_1| + |0_1\rangle\langle X_2|) + \sum_j f_{j,k} (c^\dagger_{j,k} c_{j,k} + c_{j,k}^\dagger c_{j,k}) + \sum_{j,k} \omega_{j,k} c^\dagger_{j,k} c_{j,k}.$$  

(1)

Here, $c^\dagger_{j,k}$ and $c_{j,k}$ are, respectively, the creation and annihilation operators for the $k$th mode of the environment at site $j$, and $f_{j,k}$ is the corresponding coupling strength. To simplify the analysis, we assume that the couplings between each site and its environment are identical, then rotate the coordinate system such that the two sites couple directly to a single bath within the single excitation subspace spanned by the basis $\{|1\rangle = |X_1\rangle|0\rangle, |2\rangle = |0\rangle|X_2\rangle\}$. Restricting ourselves to the single exciton subspace allows us treat the dimer as an effective two level system (TLS), and we can then write the Hamiltonian in spin-boson form

$$H_{SB} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sigma_x + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x + \sigma_z \sum_k f_k (\tilde{c}^\dagger_k \tilde{c}_k + \tilde{c}^\dagger_k c_k) + \sum_k v_k \tilde{c}^\dagger_k \tilde{c}_k,$$  

(2)

where $\epsilon = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$, $\tilde{c}_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{1,k} - c_{2,k})$, and $f_k = f_{1,k}/\sqrt{2}$. We have also introduced the Pauli operators, $\sigma_z = |1\rangle\langle 1| - |2\rangle\langle 2|$, $\sigma_x = |1\rangle\langle 2| + |2\rangle\langle 1|$. We can characterise the system-bath interaction by introducing the spectral density, $J_{SB}(\omega) = \sum_k |f_k|^{2} \delta(\omega - \omega_k)$, which is a measure of coupling strength weighted by the environmental density of states.

Given that our Hamiltonian is now in spin-boson form, the derivation of the RCME proceeds as in Ref. 18, which we shall summarise here for completeness. To move beyond the weak-coupling limit appropriate to Born-Markov (e.g., Redfield) master equations, we apply a normal mode transformation to Eq. (2), incorporating a collective environmental degree of freedom into a new effective system Hamiltonian. Following the method outlined by Garg et al., 69 we define a collective mode of the environment, known as the RC, which couples directly to the TLS. The RC is in turn coupled to a residual harmonic environment, as can be seen schematically in Fig. 1.
This leads to a Hamiltonian of the form

\[ H_{\text{RC}} = H_S + H_t + H_B + H_C, \]  

(3)

with

\[ H_S = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sigma_x + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x + \Lambda \sigma_x (a^\dagger + a) + \Omega a^\dagger a, \]
\[ H_t = (a^\dagger + a) \sum_k g_k (b_k^\dagger + b_k), \]
\[ H_B = \sum_k \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k, \]
\[ H_C = (a^\dagger + a)^2 \sum_k \frac{g_k^2}{\omega_k}, \]

where the collective coordinate is defined such that

\[ \lambda (a^\dagger + a) = \sum_k f_k (\tilde{c}_k^\dagger + \tilde{c}_k). \]

(4)

In Eq. (3), we have added a term, \( H_C \), quadratic in the position operator of the RC, which removes the renormalisation of the mode potential due to friction. We have also defined new creation and annihilation operators, \( \hat{b}_k^\dagger \) and \( \hat{b}_k \), respectively, for the residual bath. This couples directly to the RC and is characterised by a new spectral density, \( J_{\text{RC}}(\omega) = \sum_k |g_k|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k) \).

To describe the action of the residual bath on the RC, we need to relate this spectral density to the original spin-boson spectral density \( J_{\text{SB}}(\omega) \). To do so, we replace the TLS with a classical coordinate \( q \) subject to a potential \( V(q) \). By considering the Fourier transformed equations of motion for the coordinate, both before and after the mapping, we gain expressions of the form

\[ \tilde{K}(z) \tilde{q}(z) = -\tilde{V}(q), \]

(5)

where tildes refer to Fourier transforms and prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( q \). For example, after the RC mapping, the Fourier space operator may be written as

\[ \tilde{K}(z) = -z^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{\Omega} \frac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{\mathcal{L}(z)^2} \mathcal{L}(z), \]

(6)

with \( \mathcal{L}(z) = -z^2 - 4\Omega^2z^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{J_{\text{RC}}(\omega)}{\omega(\omega^2 - z^2)} d\omega \). Finally, the spin-boson spectral density may be related to \( J_{\text{RC}}(\omega) \) using the Leggett prescription:

\[ J_{\text{SB}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \text{Im} \left( \tilde{K}(\omega - i\epsilon) \right). \]

(7)

In the following, we shall study two spectral densities relevant to EET systems, the underdamped (UD) and overdamped (OD) Brownian oscillator forms

\[ J_{\text{SB}}^{\text{OD}}(\omega) = \frac{\alpha_{\text{OD}} \Gamma \omega_0^2}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \Gamma^2 \omega^2}, \]

(8)

and

\[ J_{\text{SB}}^{\text{UD}}(\omega) = \frac{\alpha_{\text{UD}} \Gamma \omega_0}{\omega^2 + \omega_0^2}. \]

(9)

By choosing the RC spectral density to have the form \( J_{\text{RC}}(\omega) = \gamma \omega \exp(-\omega / \Lambda) \) and using Eq. (7) in the limit that \( \Lambda \to \infty \), we find the relation

\[ J_{\text{SB}}(\omega) = \frac{4 \gamma \omega \Omega^2 \lambda^2}{(\Omega^2 - \omega^2)^2 + (2\pi \gamma \Omega \omega)^2}. \]

(10)

Thus the mapping described above is exact for the underdamped spectral density when \( \Omega = \omega_0 \), and \( \lambda = \sqrt{\pi} \alpha_{\text{UD}} \omega_0 / 2 \), and \( \gamma = \Gamma / 2 \pi \omega_0 \). We can also recover the overdamped spectral density by choosing \( \gamma \) such that \( \omega_c \ll \Omega \), where the RC coupling strength and frequency satisfy

\[ \omega_c = \frac{\Omega}{2\pi \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{\text{OD}} = 2 \frac{\lambda^2}{\pi \Omega}. \]

(11)

Fig. 2 gives illustrative examples of the spectral densities defined in Eqs. (8) and (9), demonstrating that in comparison to the broader overdamped limit, the underdamped case displays a sharp peak centred about the characteristic frequency \( \omega_0 \). A combination of the two will be used in Section V below to represent a structured spectral density, arising from coupling of the dimer to both its background continuum environment and a specific lossy mode of vibration.

### III. DYNAMICAL EVOLUTION IN THE RC MODEL

By mapping the spin-boson Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) to the RC form given in Eq. (3), we are now in a position to proceed with the dynamical description of our dimer system. We shall consider two related approaches. In the first, we derive the full RCME (a quantum master equation) for the reduced dynamics of both the dimer and the RC, while in the second, we apply further approximations in order to derive a set of partial differential equations (PDEs), known as the Zusman equations.

#### A. Reaction coordinate master equation

We consider a second-order master equation for the reduced state of the RC and TLS, \( \rho(t) \). This accounts for the TLS-RC coupling exactly, while treating interactions with
the residual environment perturbatively to second order. This treatment will be valid when the coupling between the mapped system and the residual environment is weak or when the residual environment correlation time is short. From Eq. (3), moving into the interaction picture with respect to $H_S + H_B$, we may write the Born-Markov master equation as

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = -i [H_C(t), \rho(0)] - \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \cos \omega \tau \coth \frac{\omega}{2} [\hat{A}\{[\hat{A}(-\tau), H_S]\}, \rho(t)] ,$$

(12)

where $\rho_B = e^{-\beta H_S}/\text{tr}_B\{e^{-\beta H_S}\}$ is the reduced state of the residual bath, which is assumed to remain in thermal equilibrium at temperature $T = 1/\beta$ (for $k_B = 1$). This assumption is justified when the coupling between the residual bath and the composite system is small or the residual bath correlation time is very short. By following the derivation outlined in Ref. 18, we may write the Schrödinger picture master equation for the combined TLS and RC as

$$\rho(t) = -i \left[ H_S, \rho(t) \right] + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \cos \omega \tau \coth \frac{\omega}{2} [\hat{A}\{[\hat{A}(-\tau), H_S]\}, \rho(t)] ,$$

(13)

where we have defined $\hat{A} = \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}$.

The complexity of the system Hamiltonian makes gaining an analytic expression for the interaction picture operators difficult. However, by simply truncating the space of the collective coordinate up to $n$ basis states, i.e., limiting ourselves to $n$ excitations in the RC, we can numerically diagonalise $H_S$. This approach leads to the set of basis states $|\varphi_j\rangle$ which satisfy the relation $H_S|\varphi_j\rangle = \epsilon_j|\varphi_j\rangle$, allowing us to write the interaction picture operators as

$$\hat{A}(t) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n} A_{jk} e^{-i\epsilon_j t}|\varphi_j\rangle\langle\varphi_k| ,$$

(14)

where $A_{jk} = \langle \varphi_j | \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} | \varphi_k \rangle$ and $\omega_{jk} = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_k$. We can now evaluate the time and frequency integrals in Eq. (13) to give

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = -i \left[ H_S, \rho(t) \right] - \left[ \hat{A}\{[\hat{A}, \hat{\rho}(t)]\}, \rho(t) \right] ,$$

(15)

where we have defined the rate operators

$$\hat{\gamma} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n} \gamma \omega_{jk} A_{jk} |\varphi_j\rangle\langle\varphi_k| ,$$

(16)

and assumed the imaginary parts (i.e., Lamb shifts) to be negligible. Eq. (15) thus captures the interaction between the TLS and RC non-perturbatively, while the residual bath is treated in a purely Markovian fashion.

B. Zusman equations

From the RCME given in Eq. (13), we can derive a set of drift-diffusion PDEs by way of further approximations. Specifically, the interaction picture operators in Eq. (13) are expanded using the Caldeira-Leggett approach,54,69,70,79 in which the system evolution is assumed to be much slower than that of the environment, giving

$$\hat{A}(t) = e^{-iH_S t} \hat{A} e^{iH_S t} \approx \hat{A} + i t \left[ H_S, \hat{A} \right] .$$

(18)

By inserting this approximate form into Eq. (13), we can evaluate the frequency and time integrals. We then move to a phase-space representation for the master equation by way of the Wigner transformation, leading to a generalised Fokker-Planck equation in Klein-Kramers form

$$\frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial t} + \mathcal{H} \hat{W} + (i\mathcal{Z} - \Omega \mathcal{X}) \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \mathcal{X}} = \pi \gamma \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}} \left( \mathcal{P} \hat{W} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \mathcal{X}} \right) ,$$

(19)

where $\mathcal{W} = \sum_{ij} W_{ij}(x,p,t)|\varphi_i\rangle|\varphi_j\rangle$, with $i, j = 1, 2$. The Wigner function is defined as

$$W_{ij}(x,p,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \right| \left. dx' e^{-ipx'} \langle i, x + \frac{x'}{2} | \mathcal{P} | x - \frac{x'}{2}, j \rangle \right| ,$$

(20)

where $x$ and $p$ are the phase-space coordinates of the RC. For brevity, we have defined the superoperators in Eq. (19) as

$$\mathcal{H} \hat{W} = i \left[ (\mathcal{E} + \sqrt{2\Omega} \mathcal{L}_z) \sigma_z + \Delta \sigma_z, \hat{W} \right] ,$$

(21)

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{P} = \frac{i\gamma \Omega}{2} \left( \sigma_z, \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \mathcal{P}} \right) .$$

(22)

In its current form, Eq. (19) remains challenging to solve. We may simplify it, however, by eliminating the momentum coordinate in the differential equation. We do this by assuming that the RC momentum remains in thermal equilibrium at all times, which is valid in the high friction limit. This enables us to expand the Wigner function in terms of Hermite polynomials, resulting in a hierarchy of equations (a detailed account of this derivation can be found in the Appendix). By taking terms that are first order in the inverse friction ($\eta^{-1}$), where $\eta = \pi \gamma \Omega$, we acquire a set of drift-diffusion equations, commonly referred to as the Zusman equations,

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{11}(t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\eta \Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \mu_{11}(t,x)}{\partial x} + (\Omega^2 x + \sqrt{2\Omega} \Delta) \mu_{11}(t,x) \right) + i \Delta (\mu_{12}(t,x) - \mu_{21}(t,x)) ,$$

(23)

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{22}(t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\eta \Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \mu_{22}(t,x)}{\partial x} + (\Omega^2 x + \sqrt{2\Omega} \Delta) \mu_{12}(t,x) \right) - i \Delta (\mu_{12}(t,x) - \mu_{21}(t,x)) ,$$

(24)
where $\mu_i(t, x)$ describes the time evolution of both the TLS and the RC with respect to the phase-space variable $x$. We can then extract the time evolution of the TLS population [$\rho_{11}(t)$] and coherence [$\rho_{21}(t)$] using

$$\rho_{11}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{11}(t, x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{21}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{21}(t, x) dx.$$ 

The Zusman equations describe a mode in the high friction limit and are based on approximations that amount to a semiclassical treatment of the RC, in which quantum correlations between the RC and dimer are neglected. One may extend their validity by considering higher order terms. However, the equations quickly become unwieldy and computationally impractical in the low friction limit. Thus, we shall restrict ourselves to the first-order equations here.

### IV. SYSTEM DYNAMICS

To explore the system dynamics using the RCME [Eq. (15)], we assume that the dimer and RC are initially uncorrelated at time $t = 0$, with the RC in a thermal state and an excitation localised at dimer site 1 (unless otherwise stated). That is, $\rho(0) = \mathcal{Z}_0^{-1}|1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes \exp(-\beta \Omega a^\dagger a)$, where $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \text{tr} \{\exp(-\beta \Omega a^\dagger a)\}$. For the Zusman equations, this gives

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{12}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\pi \gamma \Omega x} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \mu_{12}(t, x)}{\partial x} + \Omega^2 x \mu_{12}(t, x) \right) + 2i\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sqrt{2\Omega} \lambda x\right) \mu_{12}(t, x) + i\frac{\Delta}{2} (\mu_{11}(t, x) - \mu_{22}(t, x)), \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{22}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\pi \gamma \Omega x} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \mu_{22}(t, x)}{\partial x} + \Omega^2 x \mu_{21}(t, x) \right) + 2i\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sqrt{2\Omega} \lambda x\right) \mu_{21}(t, x) - i\frac{\Delta}{2} (\mu_{11}(t, x) - \mu_{22}(t, x)), \tag{26}$$

the boundary condition

$$\mu_{11}(0, x) = 2\sqrt{\tanh\left(\frac{\beta \Omega}{\pi}\right)} e^{-\Omega\tanh\left(\frac{\beta \Omega}{\pi}\right)} x^2, \tag{27}$$

while $\mu_{22}(0, x) = \mu_{21}(0, x) = \mu_{12}(0, x) = 0$. We shall compare the dynamical behaviour predicted by the RCME and Zusman equations, solved numerically,\textsuperscript{81,82} for the overdamped and underdamped spectral densities in turn. This will be benchmarked against the HEOM. As the HEOM are derived from the original (i.e., unmapped) Hamiltonian of Eq. (2), the appropriate initial state is an excitation localised at site 1 with the environment in a multimode thermal state at the same temperature as defined in the RC case.

### A. Overdamped spectral density

In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), we compare the short time population dynamics of site 1 as predicted by the RCME (solid curves), Zusman equations (open points), and the HEOM (solid points). We consider an overdamped environment and take parameters representative of a subset of the Fenna-Matthews-Olson complex.\textsuperscript{83} We find excellent agreement between the RCME, Zusman equations, and the HEOM at both weak and strong couplings to the environment in this regime, capturing the transition from coherent to incoherent

![Graphs showing population dynamics](image-url)
energy transfer.\textsuperscript{55} It is evident that by including the RC into the system Hamiltonian, we are able to faithfully represent all relevant system-environment correlations in the dimer evolution. Moreover, for this overdamped spectral density, the residual environmental influence is sufficiently strong to suppress significant oscillations in the RC degrees of freedom. We are therefore in the high friction limit, and the Zusman equations are expected to provide a good description of the system dynamics on transient time scales.

1. Non-canonical equilibrium states and the dynamical generation of correlations

Nevertheless, the semiclassical approximations inherent within the Zusman treatment still manifest themselves on longer time scales, as demonstrated in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). Here, we see that they cannot correctly capture the equilibration behaviour of the system in the long-time limit. For example, at a temperature of $T = 200$ K, the Zusman equations clearly do not lead to the correct steady-state population in the excitonic basis (i.e., the eigenbasis of $\frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_x$), even for very weak system-environment coupling strengths. Interestingly, with increasing coupling strength (or decreasing temperature), the steady-states derived from both the RCME and the HEOM deviate noticeably from the canonical thermal state; that is, the state

$$\rho_{\text{Sn}} = \frac{e^{-\beta(\frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_x)}}{Z_C}, \quad (28)$$

where $Z_C = \text{tr}\{e^{-\beta(\frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_x)}\}$. As shown in Ref. 18, this is a consequence of significant and long lasting correlations accumulated between the system and environment at both weak and strong couplings, with the result that the canonical thermal state no longer describes the true equilibrium state of the system. Though such steady-state correlations are correctly captured through the RCME, they are not in the Zusman equations. Hence, we find that it is necessary to retain a full quantum treatment of the dimer-RC interaction within the RCME to accurately describe our system over all time scales. In fact, the steady-state of the RCME may be compactly expressed as a canonical thermal state with respect to the full RC Hamiltonian

$$\rho(t \to \infty) = \frac{e^{-\beta(\frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_x)\rho_{\text{can}} + \lambda\Omega a^\dagger a}}{Z_{\text{NC}}}, \quad (29)$$

where $Z_{\text{NC}} = \text{tr}\{e^{-\beta(\frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sigma_x)\rho_{\text{can}} + \lambda\Omega a^\dagger a}\}$. On tracing over the RC or TLS, this represents a non-canonical dimer equilibrium state or a non-thermal environmental state, respectively. The former may be benchmarked against the HEOM and proves to accurately capture deviations in the steady-state of the dimer due to system-environment correlations.\textsuperscript{18} Of course, the influence of correlations is also dependent on the temperature of the bosonic environment. Fig. 3(d) demonstrates that at very large temperatures, the steady-states obtained from the RCME, the HEOM, and the Zusman equations begin to agree, converging towards the canonical thermal state. Here we enter a regime in which the quantum correlations shared between the system and environment are suppressed, such that the semiclassical approximation is adequate to describe the dimer behaviour even at relatively strong system-environment coupling.

System-environment correlations also have important consequences for probing the dynamics in EET systems. For example, consider a system that is initially in (quasi) equilibrium with its surrounding environment, before being perturbed by an external field. Naïvely, one might assume that the initial state of the system in this situation should
be the canonical thermal state with respect to the internal system Hamiltonian. Our previous arguments, however, demonstrate that this assumption may be misleading in the context of our molecular dimer system, as shown explicitly in Fig. 4. Here, we consider the dynamical evolution of the dimer in its excitonic eigenbasis, when the system is initiated in the canonical thermal state given by Eq. (28). We see that the subsequent dynamics can display coherent oscillations in the dimer eigenbasis and even the generation of excitonic coherences, before relaxation to an equilibrium state that differs from the initial thermal state. Note that this is true even when the equilibrium state is close to the canonical state, as in the left panels of the figure.

Behaviour of this kind is markedly different to that expected from less sophisticated master equation techniques in which the system-environment coupling is treated perturbatively. Often, such approaches lead to a complete absence of dynamical evolution in the dimer eigenbasis for a system initialised in a canonical thermal state, since Eq. (28) is the expected equilibrium steady-state when the environmental influence is a weak perturbation.

B. Underdamped spectral density

We shall now move on to discuss the impact of an underdamped spectral density on the EET dynamics of our dimer. The resultant complex system dynamics have particular relevance to EET in the presence of structured environments, where the system may be strongly coupled to specific lossy modes that dominate regions of the pigment-protein vibrational spectrum.

In the main plots of Fig. 5, we compare the population and coherence dynamics obtained from the RCME (solid curves) to the HEOM (points) for both low and high frequency underdamped spectral densities, where Zusman predictions are shown in the inset and non-canonical steady-state values are also indicated (dashed lines). In both cases, we see that the Zusman equations completely fail to capture the correct behaviour, which may be attributed to the dynamical response of the RC itself. Considering, for example, the low frequency spectral density, when one compares the RC frequency ($\Omega = 40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) to the friction acting on the mode ($\eta \approx 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, obtained from the mapping) we find that the RC is weakly damped. Hence, the limits taken to derive the Zusman equations are invalid, i.e., the momentum of the RC does not remain in thermal equilibrium in this regime. This is shown explicitly in Fig. 6, where we plot the dynamical evolution of the RC position $\langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle = \text{tr}\{\hat{x}\rho(t)\}$, and momentum $\langle \hat{p}(t) \rangle = \text{tr}\{\hat{p}\rho(t)\}$, defined as

$$\hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\Omega}} (a^\dagger + a) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p} = i\sqrt{\frac{\Omega}{2}} (a^\dagger - a). \quad (30)$$

We see pronounced oscillations at several frequencies in both the RC position and momentum, which are gradually damped at long times, equilibrating to non-zero values consistent with the state given in Eq. (29).
The disagreement between the Zusman equations and the RC model is further exacerbated when the underdamped spectrum is tuned close to the dimer resonance, \( \zeta = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2} \approx 223 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). As can be seen in Fig. 6(b), the RC now undergoes even larger amplitude oscillations. In this case, the Zusman equations are completely unable to capture the dynamical behaviour of the system, predicting only rapid oscillations in the dimer population. The RCME, on the other hand, shows excellent agreement with the HEOM for both short and long times, capturing perfectly the population dynamics of the dimer. In both the low and high frequency cases, the dimer dynamics displays complex beating behaviour, with multiple oscillation frequencies. From the RC formalism, we have a clear interpretation for this behaviour in terms of the strong oscillations experienced by the collective coordinate of the environment, which in turn leads to modulations of the TLS dynamics.

This is shown explicitly in Fig. 7, where we have taken the Fourier transform of the population dynamics given in Fig. 5, that is,

\[
S(\omega) = \text{Re} \left[ \int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} \left( \rho_{11}(t) - \rho_{11}(t \to \infty) \right) \right].
\] (31)

Here, we have subtracted the steady-state population, \( \rho_{11}(t \to \infty) \), to remove a \( \delta \)-function contribution. For the lower frequency underdamped environment (left plot), we see the presence of two specific frequencies in the spectrum, one at the RC mode frequency (\( \omega_0 = 40 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) and the other at the dimer splitting (\( \zeta \approx 223 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)). When the characteristic frequency of the spectral density approaches the dimer splitting (right plot), we see further structure in the oscillation spectrum, with the emergence of additional peaks. Again, we may explain this by appealing to the physical intuition gained from the RC model. In this case, the environmental response, and thus RC splitting, lies close to the resonant frequency of the dimer, leading to an effective enhancement of the interaction between the dimer and RC. As a result of the enhanced coupling, the spectrum of the system cannot be associated to the bare frequencies of the dimer and RC, but rather to the eigenstates of the composite system. Hence, we see a double peak structure about \( \omega \approx 220 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), split by the RC-dimer coupling strength \( 2\lambda = 66 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). This is reminiscent of the vacuum Rabi splitting observed in cavity QED systems, in which the eigenstates of the system are the light-matter entangled dressed states.\(^{84}\)

The presence of multiple oscillation frequencies in the population dynamics has important implications for a number of ongoing experiments on EET systems. In particular, the discussion above demonstrates that it is not straightforward to assign electronic and vibrational frequencies in situations where underdamped modes are present, as one must also account for the coupling between the molecular dimer and any such modes, which leads to the formation of vibronic states.

As a final remark, we can show that the RC model for an underdamped spectrum convergences to the overdamped case in regimes where \( \Gamma, \omega_0 \gg 1 \). We do this by defining a cut-off frequency in the underdamped spectrum as \( \omega_c = \omega_0^2 / \Gamma \), which sets the energy scale of the overdamped spectrum in the appropriate limit. Using this definition, we fix \( \Gamma = \omega_0^2 / \omega_c \) and consider the underdamped spectrum for increasing \( \omega_0 \) as demonstrated in Fig. 8. Here we see a smooth transition between the underdamped and overdamped regimes, with the two agreeing well at large \( \omega_0 \).

V. STRUCTURED ENVIRONMENTS

Having established the validity and potential for physical insight of the RCME when applied to overdamped and underdamped environments separately, in this section, we explore how a structured environment impacts upon the energy transfer rate in a molecular system. To do this in a consistent manner, we shall consider the dynamics of a dimer coupled to a broad background environment described by an overdamped spectral density, with structure incorporated via a second underdamped environment with peak centred around \( \omega_0 \). We shall model both environments using the RC mapping outlined previously, extracting an independent RC for each, allowing us to rigorously account for dissipation on the underdamped mode. Furthermore, our enlarged system (see left plot of Fig. 9) naturally captures the vibronic nature imparted on the dimer by both the underdamped and overdamped components of the environmental spectrum.

FIG. 7. Fourier transform of the RCME population dynamics in Fig. 5, demonstrating the presence of multiple oscillation frequencies. Left: \( \omega_0 = 40 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). Right: \( \omega_0 = 220 \text{ cm}^{-1} \).
The Hamiltonian describing the system and environments may be written as

$$H_{ST} = H_D + \sigma_z \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{k} f_k^{(i)} (c_{i,k} + c_{i,k}^\dagger) + H_B, \quad (32)$$

with $H_B = \sum_{k} \omega_k^{(i)} c_{i,k}^\dagger c_{i,k}$ and $H_D = \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_z + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x$. The two environments are characterised by the spectral densities

$$J_i(\omega) = \sum_k |f_k^{(i)}|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k^{(i)}), \quad (33)$$

with $J_1(\omega) = J_{OD}(\omega)$ and $J_2(\omega) = J_{UD}(\omega)$. The combination of these terms leads to an effective spectral density with a broad background, given by the overdamped component, and a sharp peak associated to the underdamped contribution. Illustrative examples are given in the right hand plot of Fig. 9.

We shall assume that the two environments are initially uncorrelated with one another (e.g., in a thermal state) but are able to generate correlations through interactions mediated by the dimer. This allows each environment to be mapped to the RC model independently. Applying the mapping, we obtain the system Hamiltonian

$$H_S = \epsilon \sigma_z + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x + \sigma_x \sum_i \lambda_i (a_i^\dagger + a_i) + \sum_i \Omega_i a_i^\dagger a_i, \quad (34)$$

with $a_1$ ($a_2$) the annihilation operator of the RC associated with the underdamped (overdamped) environment. Each RC then couples to an independent residual environment, giving the interaction Hamiltonian

$$H_I = \sum_i \left( a_i^\dagger + a_i \right) \sum_k s_k^{(i)} (b_{i,k}^\dagger + b_{i,k}), \quad (35)$$

Here, $b_{i,k}$ ($b_{i,k}^\dagger$) is the annihilation (creation) operator for the $k$th mode of each residual environment ($i = 1, 2$), which are characterised by the spectral densities $J_i(\omega) = \sum_k |s_k^{(i)}|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k^{(i)}) = \gamma_i \omega$. The parameters describing the RCs can then be found in terms of the original spectral densities using the relations given in Section II.

By following the RCME derivation for each independent environment, we obtain an equation of motion describing the dynamics of the dimer TLS and both RCs

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = -i [H_S, \rho(t)] - \sum_i \left( [\hat{A}_i, [\hat{X}_i, \rho(t)]] + [\hat{A}_i, [\hat{Z}_i, \rho(t)]] \right), \quad (36)$$

with $\hat{A}_i = (a_i^\dagger + a_i)$, and the rate operators $\hat{X}_i$ and $\hat{Z}_i$ defined in analogy to the single RC case in Eqs. (16) and (17).

We shall now focus on a quantitative analysis and comparison of the dimer EET rate for both structured and

---

**FIG. 8.** Left: Underdamped (curves) and overdamped (points) spectral densities for several environmental frequencies. Right: The population dynamics associated to these spectral densities. Here, we see a smooth transition from the underdamped (curves) to overdamped (points) regime for increasing $\omega_0$. The parameters are the same as Fig. 5, with $\Gamma = \omega_0^2 \alpha$ and $\pi \alpha = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

**FIG. 9.** Left: Schematic of the RC model for a structured environment with underdamped (UD) and overdamped (OD) components, each coupled to their own residual environment. Right: The structured spectral density at various reorganisation energies of the overdamped contribution. The underdamped RC has frequency $\omega_0 = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, with $\Gamma = 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\pi \alpha_{UD} = 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.
unstructured environments. In regimes for which the dimer splitting is greater than the tunnelling rate (in this case $\epsilon = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\Delta = 40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), we can do so by defining a rate using the classical equations\(^\text{83}\)

$$\frac{dP_1(t)}{dt} = -k_{1\to 2}P_1 + k_{2\to 1}P_2, \quad \frac{dP_2(t)}{dt} = k_{1\to 2}P_1 - k_{2\to 1}P_2.$$  \hfill (37)

Here, $P_1$ ($P_2$) is the population at dimer site 1 (2) and $k_{1\to 2}$ ($k_{2\to 1}$) is the transfer rate between sites 1 and 2 (2 and 1). These equations lead to purely exponential decays of the dimer populations, thus neglecting all coherent contributions in the energy transfer dynamics. Though a coarse approximation, this procedure gives insight into the overall transfer rate and is accurate in regimes where the tunnelling between sites is weak and coherent dynamics is consequently suppressed.

We first consider the case of a single overdamped environment.\(^\text{83}\) In Fig. 10 (top), we plot the inter-site transfer rate as a function of the reorganisation energy calculated from the RCME (solid curve), the HEOM (points), and a Redfield master equation (dashed curve) in which the system-environment coupling is treated perturbatively.\(^\text{54}\) We see that the RCME perfectly captures the smooth peak in the rate predicted by the HEOM as the reorganisation energy is increased. As has previously been shown,\(^\text{57,83}\) Redfield theory fails even to qualitatively capture this behaviour, plateauing at large reorganisation energies. We may also explore the transfer rate in the presence of a single underdamped environment using the RCME. As shown in Fig. 10 (bottom), much like the overdamped example, the EET rate in the underdamped case shows a peak at some intermediate coupling strength, the position and height of which are highly dependent on the characteristic frequency of the environment. Specifically, the transfer rate reaches its maximum when the peak of the underdamped spectrum approaches the resonance of the dimer ($\zeta \approx 108 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ here). As was discussed in Sec. IV B, when the dimer and the RC are close to resonant, the vibronic states of the composite system play a significant role in the system dynamics. In this case, they act to increase the number of pathways available for energy to be transferred between the two sites of the dimer,\(^\text{85}\) thus enhancing the EET rate.

Given that an increase in the rate may be obtained for an underdamped spectrum, it is natural to ask under what circumstances this remains true for a structured environment in which a broad overdamped background is also present (as is likely in any real system). We explore this in Fig. 11, where we set the underdamped contribution to a constant reorganisation energy, while the coupling to the overdamped environment is varied. Here, we see that the when the overdamped part of the environment is relatively weakly coupled to the dimer,
the presence of the underdamped structure can significantly enhance the EET rate even at a temperature of 300 K. As expected, this is particularly apparent when the underdamped environment is close to the dimer resonance, decreasing as it is tuned away. Again, the enhancement is due to the underdamped environment increasing the number of transfer pathways generated by the manifold of vibronic states. When it is tuned away from resonance, the effective coupling between the dimer and the underdamped RC is reduced, thus decreasing the amount of vibronic states that can be explored by the composite system, and consequently reducing the rate of EET. Note, however, that at large overdamped reorganisation energies, the enhancement is suppressed regardless of the underdamped frequency, and the transfer rate follows that of a single overdamped environment. This is true even when the underdamped component can still be clearly discerned within the spectral density (see Fig. 9) and is simply a consequence of the overdamped environment becoming the dominant influence, such that the underdamped vibronic states have little effect even for a resonant mode. Thus, the presence of one or more well-resolved modes within the spectral density is not in itself sufficient to imply a vibronic enhancement of the dimer EET rate.

VI. SUMMARY

In summary, we have shown that the RCME provides a powerful, informative, and intuitive method for describing EET in molecular dimers — and more generally open quantum systems — for regimes in which the environmental correlation time is long. It allows access to information on the system, its environment, and their correlations. Moreover, it greatly outperforms the closely related semiclassical Zusan equations. This demonstrates that not only is the RC mapping important to capture the correct system behaviour but also that one must properly account for the correlations dynamically generated between the dimer and its environment through the RC. These correlations lead to complex system population dynamics comprising of multiple oscillation frequencies, which we interpret as feedback from the environmental collective mode. They also persist into the steady-state, pushing both the system and its environment away from their respective canonical equilibrium states in the long time limit.

We have applied the RC model to describe the behaviour for overdamped, underdamped, and structured vibrational environments. In particular, we find that the presence of structure within the environment is capable of increasing the rate of EET between the dimer sites. This enhancement is dependent upon the energy scale of the underdamped vibrations within the environment, reaching its peak when they lie close to the dimer excitonic resonance as should be expected. Nevertheless, even for such resonance conditions, there are also regions of parameter space in which the structured environment offers no advantage in terms of an increased transfer rate, and the dynamics follows that determined by the broad overdamped background. It would thus be extremely interesting to apply the RCME to analyse such subtleties in larger molecular systems, with the aid of a suitable truncation scheme to limit the required number of basis states.
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APPENDIX: THE ZUSMAN EQUATIONS

In this Appendix, we shall give further details on the derivation of the Zusan equations. Starting from the Caldeira-Leggett master equation in Wigner space, we have

\[
\Omega \pi \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} \right) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \mathcal{H} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} + i \mathcal{Z} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} + p \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - \Omega^2 \chi \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} \tag{A1}
\]

where we have defined the superoperators

\[
\mathcal{H} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} = i \left[ \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \kappa x \right) \sigma_z + \frac{\Lambda}{2} \sigma_x \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} \right], \tag{A2}
\]

\[
\mathcal{Z} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} = \frac{i \kappa}{2} \left( \sigma_z \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} \right).
\]

We aim to simplify this equation of motion by removing the momentum coordinate, in particular by assuming that the momentum of the RC remains in thermal equilibrium throughout the evolution of the system. To eliminate the momentum coordinate from Eq. (A1), we shall use the procedure outlined by Coffey and originally formulated by Brinkman for the case of a Brownian oscillator. We expand the Wigner function in terms of Hermite polynomials

\[
\hat{W} = e^{-\mu^2/4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n(\mu) \hat{\phi}_n(x,t), \tag{A3}
\]

where we have rescaled the momentum coordinate such that \( \mu = \sqrt{\beta} p \). The function \( \hat{\phi}(x,t) \) is a two-by-two matrix describing the electronic dependence of the Wigner function and \( D_n(\mu) \) is the set of orthogonal Weber functions, which are given by

\[
D_n(y) = 2^{-n/2} e^{-y^2/4} H_n \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} \right),
\]

where \( H_n(z) \) are the Hermite polynomials. The Weber functions satisfy the following relations:

\[
D_{n+1}(y) - n D_n(y) + n D_{n-1}(y) = 0, \tag{A4}
\]

\[
\partial_y D_n(y) + \frac{y}{2} D_n(y) - n D_{n-1}(y) = 0. \tag{A5}
\]
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_n(y) - \frac{y}{2} D_n(y) + D_{n+1}(y) = 0, \quad (A6) \]
\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} D_n(y) + \left( n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{y^2}{4} \right) D_n(y) = 0, \quad (A7) \]
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_n(y) D_m(y) dy = n! \sqrt{2\pi} \delta_{m,n}. \quad (A8) \]

Substituting these expressions into the phase-space master equation given in Eq. (A1), and integrating over our scaled momentum coordinate \( \mu \), we obtain the Brinkman hierarchy
\[
\frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial t} + \mathcal{H} \phi_m + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{m-1}}{\partial x} + (m+1) \frac{\partial \phi_{m+1}}{\partial x} \right) + \sqrt{\beta \Omega^2 x \phi_{m-1}} + \pi \gamma \Omega m \dot{\phi}_m - \sqrt{\beta} \mathcal{Z} \phi_{m-1} = 0. \quad (A9)
\]

We also define the differential operators
\[
\mathcal{J} = -\sqrt{\beta} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Omega^2 x - i \mathcal{Z} \right),
\]
\[
\mathcal{J}_a = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x},
\]
which allows us to write
\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \left( \dot{\phi}_m + \mathcal{H} \phi_m \right) + m \dot{\phi}_m = \mathcal{J} \phi_{m-1} + (m+1) \mathcal{J}_a \phi_{m+1},
\]
where \( \eta = \pi \gamma \Omega \) quantifies the friction acting on the mode. We now move to Laplace space with respect to the time coordinate, using the transformation
\[
\tilde{\phi}_n = \phi_n(x,s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_n(x,t) e^{-st} dt,
\]
which leads to the relation
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_n}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} = s \tilde{\phi}_n - \mathcal{H} \phi_n(x,0). \quad (A12)
\]

For a mode initially in a thermal state, the initial conditions of the system are entirely determined by \( \phi_0(x,0) \), such that \( \phi_n(x,0) = 0 \) for \( n > 0 \), leading to the following hierarchy of equations in Laplace space:
\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \left( s \tilde{\phi}_0 + \phi_0(x,0) + \mathcal{H} \phi_0 \right) = \mathcal{J}_a \tilde{\phi}_1,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \left( s \tilde{\phi}_1 + \mathcal{H} \phi_1 \right) + \phi_1 = \mathcal{J} \phi_0 + 2 \mathcal{J}_a \tilde{\phi}_2,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \left( s \tilde{\phi}_2 + \mathcal{H} \phi_2 \right) + 2 \tilde{\phi}_2 = \mathcal{J} \phi_1 + 4 \mathcal{J}_a \tilde{\phi}_3,
\]
\[
\vdots
\]

We can close these equations by assuming \( \tilde{\phi}_1 = 0 \) (which is consistent with keeping terms to leading order in \( \eta^{-1} \)) and hence solve for \( \tilde{\phi}_0 \). Inverting the equation for \( \tilde{\phi}_2 \),
\[
\tilde{\phi}_2 = \frac{\mathcal{J} \phi_1}{\eta (s + \mathcal{H}) + 2}, \quad (A13)
\]
and substituting this into the equation for \( \tilde{\phi}_1 \) gives
\[
\tilde{\phi}_1 = \frac{\mathcal{J} \phi_0}{\eta (s + \mathcal{H}) + 1 + \frac{2 \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}_a}{\mathcal{H} (s + \mathcal{H})^2}}, \quad (A14)
\]
which leads to the equation
\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \left( s \phi_0 + \phi_0(x,0) + \mathcal{H} \phi_0 \right) = \frac{\mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}_a \phi_0}{1 + \frac{1}{\eta (s + \mathcal{H}) + \frac{2 \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}_a}{\mathcal{H} (s + \mathcal{H})^2}}}.
\]

In the very large damping limit, the friction coefficient \( \eta \) is much larger than any other scale. Hence, we can keep terms only to leading order in the inverse friction \( \eta^{-1} \), giving
\[
s \phi_0 + \phi_0(x,0) + \mathcal{H} \phi_0 = \eta \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}_a \phi_0. \quad (A15)
\]

Inverting the Laplace transform, we therefore have
\[
\frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial t} = -i \left[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{H} (s + \mathcal{H})} \sigma_z + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x, \phi_0 \right] + \eta \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}_a \phi_0. \quad (A16)
\]

Finally, we can decompose \( \phi_0 \) in terms of the dimer states, such that \( \phi_0 = \sum_{i,j} \mu_{ij}(x,t) \psi_{ij} \), where \( \mu_{ij}(x,t) \) describes an element of the dimer density matrix and is dependent on the RC position. Substituting into Eq. (A16), we obtain the Zusanman equations

To solve the Zusanman equations, we need to specify initial conditions. If we assume that the system starts in the excited state and the mode in a thermal state \( \rho_{th} = Z \psi_0^{-1} \exp\{-\beta \Omega a^\dagger a\} \), then the only non-zero variable will be \( \mu_{11}(x,0) \). Hence, the thermal state in Wigner space may be written as
\[
W_{th} = 2 \frac{\tanh \left( \frac{E_{th}}{2} \right)}{\pi} e^{-\tanh \left( \frac{E_{th}}{2} \right) (\alpha x^2 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \mu^2}). \quad (A21)
\]

We then integrate over the momentum coordinate to attain the initial condition
\[ \mu_{11}(x, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp W_{11}(0, x, p), \]
\[ = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\tanh(\frac{\theta_1}{2})}{\pi}} e^{-\Omega \tanh(\frac{\theta_1}{2}) x^2}, \quad (A22) \]

while \( \mu_{22}(x, 0) = \mu_{22}(x, 0) = 0 \) for all \( x \).
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