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A B S T R A C T

Aleutian Mink Disease Virus (AMDV) is a frequently encountered pathogen associated with commercial mink breeding. AMDV infection leads to increased mortality and compromised animal health and welfare. Currently little is known about the molecular evolution of the virus, and the few existing studies have focused on limited regions of the viral genome.

This paper describes a robust, reliable, and fast protocol for amplification of the full AMDV genome using long-range PCR. The method was used to generate next generation sequencing data for the non-virulent cell-culture adapted AMDV-G strain as well as for the virulent AMDV-Utah strain. Comparisons at nucleotide- and amino acid level showed that, in agreement with existing literature, the highest variability between the two virus strains was found in the left open reading frame, which encodes the non-structural (NS1–3) genes. This paper also reports a number of differences that potentially can be linked to virulence and host range.

To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to apply next generation sequencing on the entire AMDV genome. The results from this study will facilitate the development of new diagnostic tools and can form the basis for more detailed molecular epidemiological analyses of the virus.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Aleutian Mink Disease (AMD), sometimes referred to as Plasmacytosis, is worldwide the most important disease in the mink farming industry. The disease affects mink of all ages and is caused by Aleutian Mink Disease Virus (AMDV), a single stranded DNA virus belonging to the family Paroviridae (Bloom et al., 1980) genus Amdoparvovirus species Carnivore amdoparvovirus 1. Viral entry is respiratory, oral, or via the placenta (Broll and Alexandersen, 1996). Infection results in a harmful activation of the immune system leading to hypergamaglobulinemia and systemic vascular diseases and glomerulonephritis. Animal welfare is reduced and infected animals either die due to organ failure or become persistently infected carriers transmitting the virus within and between herds (Decaro et al., 2012). Like other paroviruses AMDV replicates only in dividing cells where it utilizes the host cell’s transcription machinery. Multiple paroviruses can infect the same host, and this is believed to contribute to the high recombination rate shown for paroviruses compared to other DNA viruses (Shackelton et al., 2007). AMDV consists of two large open reading frames (ORF’s); the left ORF (nucleotide 116–1975) coding for the non-structural (NS) proteins involved in gene regulation and replication, and the right ORF (nucleotide 2241–4346) coding for the viral capsid proteins (VP), and three smaller central ORF’s (Alexandersen et al., 1988; Bloom et al., 1988). In Denmark AMDV is a pathogen that is monitored by a mandatory national control program (Danish Executive Order 1447 of 15/12/2009, 2009). Briefly, the program requires all farms to conduct screening of their animals at regular intervals according to the disease status of the region. Positive farms undergo a more intensive monitoring and are encouraged to depopulate followed by a thorough cleaning and disinfection. Given that paroviruses are highly contagious and very resistant to environmental factors, managing AMDV imposes large costs on the fur.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.03.010
0166-0934/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
industry (Decaro et al., 2012). The transmission patterns of AMDV between farms are not fully elucidated, and outbreak investigation is currently hampered by lack of sensitive tools for detection and typing of the virus. Previous studies have focused on smaller and conserved parts of the AMDV genome (Christensen et al., 2011; Knuuttila et al., 2015; Leimann et al., 2015; Oie et al., 1996) and therefore have produced data less suitable for typing. In addition, there are methods for characterisation of the AMDV genome using restriction fragmentation (Aasted, 1980) and Sanger sequencing (Alexandersen et al., 1988) but since they yield genetic information for limited stretches of the genome, they too provide less resolution than full genome sequencing. Next generation sequencing (NGS) is a powerful tool that has become cheaper and more easily available and it has successfully been applied to characterise entire genomes of other viruses and the genetic information obtained has been used to improve preventative measures (Escobar-Gutiérrez et al., 2012; Jakhesara et al., 2014; Kvisgaard et al., 2013).

To the authors’ knowledge, the whole AMDV genome has not previously been sequenced using NGS. The aim of this study was to develop a fast, sensitive high-throughput method for full genome sequencing of the AMDV genome by NGS to lay the foundation for future development of tools for outbreak investigation, determination of virulence markers, and for development of more sensitive diagnostic tests and robust phylogenetic analyses.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Virus isolates

In order to establish an as universal method as possible two AMDV isolates with very different phenotypes, and hence presumably also different genotypes, were selected. The non-virulent cell-culture adapted strain AMDV-G (cell culture isolate, passage 10) was obtained from The Research Foundation of the Danish Fur Breeders’ Association/Antigen Laboratory (Glostrup, DK), while the highly virulent AMDV-Utah isolate (antigen) was provided by emeritus Professor Bent Aasted (Copenhagen, DK). Total DNA was extracted using the QIAmp® MinElute Virus Spin Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, D) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the final DNA elution was performed with 50 μL low TE-buffer.

2.2. DNA amplification

A long-range PCR covering about 91% of the AMDV genome (nucleotide position 98–4467, Table 1) was developed. The use of specific PCR amplification is important for future field applications as it avoids host DNA and attains a sufficient amount of double stranded DNA for the preparation of a sequencing library. The AMDV-genome was amplified in either a single or two overlapping fragments. The PCR primer-sequences are listed in Table 1 and were designed using the Primer3 software (Ye et al., 2012) with AMDV-G (accession no. NC001662) (Bloom et al., 1988) as reference genome. PCR reactions were setup as follows: 25 μL GoTag® Long PCR Master Mix (cat. no. M4021, Promega, Madison, WI), 2 μM primer F and R, 5 μL DNA template, and distilled water up to a total sample volume of 50 μL. Final cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, 38 cycles of 30 s denaturation at 95°C, 20 s annealing at 58°C, and 30 s extension at 72°C, followed by a final extension step for 10 min at 72°C. All reactions were performed in a Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch instrument (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA).

The PCR products were analysed on 1% agarose gels stained with ethidiumbromide, and purified according to the manufacturer’s instructions using the QiAquick® PCR Purification Kit or the QiAquick® Gel extraction kit (both from Qiagen, Hilden, D) depending on if there was a single product or not. DTU (Technical University of Denmark) Multi-Assay Core (Lyngby, Denmark) prepared the sequencing libraries according to the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced the samples on a 318-chip using the Ion Torrent PGM® (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The technology was chosen because it is easily accessible, cheap and fast, which are all-important factors for the future intended use in field applications. The region between positions 2470 and 2520 displayed very low read coverage in the Ion Torrent sequencing (possibly due to the nucleotide composition in this area) and we therefore Sanger-sequenced PCR-products spanning this region using primers F2 and R2 (Table 1) to verify the Ion-torrent findings.

2.3. Data analysis

Raw data in fastq-format were quality checked with FastQC version 0.10.1 and trimmed based on length (100–400 bp) and quality (average quality score >20) using Prinseq-lite (Schmieder and Edwards, 2011). Primer sequences were removed using Cutadapt version 1.4.1 (Martin, 2011). Reads were corrected for sequencing errors using RC454, and assembled with the associated Mosaic2

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PrimerPositions (NC_001662)'primer sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1 77-97 CGTCTCAGCTGCTAATCC</td>
<td>1735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 1814-1793 GCTCTCAGCTGCTAATCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 + R7 1814 1735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 1502-1525 CCGGGGGCCACCTGAAAAACCTG</td>
<td>1816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2 3317-3296 GCGACAGAGGATGACCCCAAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 + R5 3296 1816</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 2934-2953 GCCGTGTTAGCTGTGGTTT</td>
<td>1534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3 4467-4448 TTACCGGCTACCTCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 + R3 4467 1534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5 4462-4439 CCGCCACCTTCTGGTAAATAG</td>
<td>3240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 + R2 4462 3240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 + R3 1546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 + R3 4390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 + R5 4385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Gel electrophoresis of PCR amplicons. A 1% agarose-gel showing the long-range PCR amplicons, fragment sizes are indicated with a 1 kb plus ladder. Lanes 0 represent negative control samples, lane 1 is AMDV-G amplified in one fragment (primers F1 + R3), lane 2A and 2B is AMDV-Utah amplified in two fragments; primers F1 + R2 and F2 + R3, respectively, and lane 3 is AMDV-G amplified in one fragment with primers F1 + R5.
Fig. 2. Read coverage-plots. Coverage-plots showing the depth of coverage (Y-axis) at each sequenced nucleotide position in the genome (X-axis). The dip in coverage between position 2470 and 2520 is assumed to be caused by the ion-semiconductor sequencer having problems to read this homopolymeric region.

Fig. 3. Nucleotide alignment covering the homopolymeric region. Nucleotide alignment covering the homopolymeric region between positions 2470 and 2520 (repeated stretches of G's). Consensus sequences for each replicate of AMDV-G, for AMDV-Utah, and for their corresponding Sanger generated sequence. The single nucleotide difference at position 2489 in the Sanger sequence for the third replicate of AMDV-G (3(3)) is highlighted.

assembler (Heon et al., 2012). The error-corrected reads were then mapped using BWA (Li, 2013) to the AMDV-G reference (NC001662) cut between the 5' and 3' annealing sites for primers F1 and R3 respectively (Table 1). For each sample a consensus sequence was generated using Vcf-tools 0.1.12a (Danecek et al., 2011). Multiple alignments of the full genomes was done using MAFFT v7.205 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). For additional comparisons, the following AMDV-Utah sequences were downloaded from the NCBI database: U39015.1, X77083.1 and Z1827.6.1. Alignments were visualized in Geneious 7.1.5. (Kearse et al., 2012).

The PCR reactions that produced one specific product were directly processed for sequencing. In cases where additional PCR-products were present, the band of the expected size was extracted from the gel prior to sequencing (Fig. 1). The AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah sequences sequenced in this study was amplified using primer F1 + R3 (Table 1), however during further assay optimisation better yields of PCR-product was achieved using primer F1 and R5 (assembled by gel electrophoresis, Fig. 1). Despite the presumed genetic differences between the two viral isolates they both amplified well using these primers (Fig. 1).

3. Results

3.1. Specificity of the PCR products

A long range PCR assay for specific amplification of the AMDV genome was developed. Originally, three sets of primers spanning the AMDV genome were designed and the non-coding palindromic 5' and 3' ends (Bloom et al., 1990) which are known to interfere with PCR-amplification were excluded. After running a matrix with the possible combinations of forward and reverse primers, the optimal primer pairs was selected as assessed by gel electrophoresis. PCR cycling conditions were optimized by running an annealing-temperature gradient and amplification of PCR-products of the expected sizes were confirmed by gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1).

3.2. Sequence quality and coverage

AMDV-G DNA was extracted in triplicates, and each individual sample was PCR-amplified and sequenced. AMDV-Utah DNA was extracted once, PCR-amplified, and sequenced. Primer-sequences and low quality reads were removed prior to data analysis. The data quality was overall high, and for each sample approximately 99% of the trimmed and quality filtered reads mapped to the AMDV-G reference. Coverage-plots for each of the four samples showed a dip in coverage between nucleotide positions 2470 and 2520 (Fig. 2). However, the Sanger-generated sequences spanning this region matched the sequences produced by the Ion Torrent, with the exception of a single nucleotide difference in the Sanger
sequence for AMDV-G replicate no. 3 (Fig. 3). The quality score for this deviating base (G) was low (eight), while the scores for the corresponding base in the other two Sanger sequences were 38 and 40, and therefore indicates an error from the Sanger sequencing. The AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah sequences have the following accession numbers: KU513985, KU513986, KU513987, and KU513988, respectively.

3.3. Sequence analysis

The alignments showed an overall high sequence similarity (99% homology) between the AMDV-G samples generated in this study and the previously published AMDV-G sequence obtained by Sanger sequencing (NC001662). The robustness of the protocol was demonstrated by aligning the sequences obtained from sequencing AMDV-G in triplicates, and a deviation of a single nucleotide was found, out of the total 3369. An overview of the AMDV genomic organization is provided in Fig. 4. The AMDV-G triplicates and AMDV-Utah were compared on nucleotide and amino acid level (Table 2), and unless otherwise indicated, the results and discussion compare the AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah strains generated in the present study.

3.4. NS1 gene

The left open reading frame encodes for NS1–3. NS1 is the major regulatory protein in parvoviruses and it plays an important role in viral replication during infection (Fields et al., 2007; Gottschalck et al., 1994). In addition to confirming a number of previously reported differences between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah, the present study also report novel findings as demonstrated in Table 2.

In the purine binding pocket between amino acids 421–492 (Gottschalck et al., 1994) a single change (F481L) between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah was observed in addition to a single change (F430L) between ADMV-G and the AMDV-G reference (Table 2). Overall, the purine binding region, including the GKR-N region between amino acids 435–440 and its purine binding pocket, was well conserved in the sequences produced in the present study (Fig. 5, panel A). In agreement with previous studies of the distribution of changes in NS1 (Gottschalck et al., 1994), a higher degree of variability was demonstrated in the N- and C-terminals of NS1 compared to in the middle (Fig. 5 panel A).
Table 2
Overview of the nucleotide (nt) changes reported in the present study, including changes in the affected amino acids (aa) for the two most well described genes; NS1 and VP2. Absolute nucleotide positions refer to the AMDV-G reference genome (NC001662). Dash/es means no change in comparison to the AMDV-G reference genome. Isolates sequenced in the present study are indicated by *, and observations without a reference originates from the present study and hence are novel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute nt pos.</th>
<th>Codon pos.</th>
<th>NC001662</th>
<th>AMDV-Utah*</th>
<th>AMDV-G*</th>
<th>Reference accessions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nt</td>
<td>aa</td>
<td>nt</td>
<td>aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-ORF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179–181</td>
<td>AGC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221–223</td>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275–277</td>
<td>AAC</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>GCT</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290–292</td>
<td>GTC</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293–295</td>
<td>GCC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299–301</td>
<td>TTC</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353–355</td>
<td>CCG</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368–370</td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389–391</td>
<td>GCT</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395–397</td>
<td>GAC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410–412</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416–418</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431–433</td>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440–442</td>
<td>AAC</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443–445</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470–472</td>
<td>TTC</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485–487</td>
<td>CTG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>-V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491–493</td>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>-V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500–508</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508–511</td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524–526</td>
<td>AGT</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527–529</td>
<td>AAC</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533–535</td>
<td>GCT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539–541</td>
<td>TTA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542–544</td>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>572–574</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650–652</td>
<td>TTT</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>653–655</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659–661</td>
<td>AGA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>668–670</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680–682</td>
<td>GCT</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686–688</td>
<td>TAT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>707–709</td>
<td>ATA</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713–715</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>728–730</td>
<td>GAA</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>731–733</td>
<td>GAT</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>734–736</td>
<td>AGA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740–742</td>
<td>AAG</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746–748</td>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>767–769</td>
<td>GCA</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776–778</td>
<td>AAG</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>788–790</td>
<td>TAT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>791–793</td>
<td>TTG</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>818–829</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830–832</td>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>836–838</td>
<td>AGA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>845–847</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>GT</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848–859</td>
<td>TTC</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878–890</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>881–883</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td>-AG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>884–886</td>
<td>GAT</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>887–889</td>
<td>AGT</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>902–904</td>
<td>TTC</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920–922</td>
<td>GCC</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>923–925</td>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>925–928</td>
<td>GTC</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>953–955</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>954–956</td>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>974–976</td>
<td>TTA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980–982</td>
<td>GAG</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007–1009</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025–1027</td>
<td>GCC</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1070–1072</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1106–1108</td>
<td>GCT</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1109–1111</td>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td>-C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1130–1132</td>
<td>GAA</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1154–1156</td>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1181–1183</td>
<td>AGT</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>-A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1337–1339</td>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>-G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1493–1495</td>
<td>TTC</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1646–1648</td>
<td>TTT</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1703–1705</td>
<td>GAC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>-T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute nt pos.</th>
<th>Codon pos.</th>
<th>NCO01662</th>
<th>AMDV-Utah*</th>
<th>AMDV-G*</th>
<th>Reference accessions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2143–2145</td>
<td>NS1 +620</td>
<td>TGC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-A-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2161–2163</td>
<td>NS1 +626</td>
<td>AGT</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>G-G</td>
<td>G-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ORF1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2143–2145</td>
<td>NS1 +620</td>
<td>TGC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-A-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2161–2163</td>
<td>NS1 +626</td>
<td>AGT</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>G-G</td>
<td>G-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2218–2220</td>
<td>non-coding</td>
<td>ATA</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>G-G</td>
<td>V-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ORF2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2142–2144</td>
<td>NS2 +94</td>
<td>CTG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-A-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2160–2162</td>
<td>NS2 +100</td>
<td>GAG</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-G-</td>
<td>G-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2217–2219</td>
<td>non-coding</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-G-</td>
<td>S-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-ORF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2631–2633</td>
<td>VP2 +76</td>
<td>GAC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>-T</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2673–2675</td>
<td>VP2 +90</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>C-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2679–2681</td>
<td>VP2 +92</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>H-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2685–2687</td>
<td>VP2 +94</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2748–2750</td>
<td>VP2 +115</td>
<td>TAT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-T</td>
<td>F-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2751–2753</td>
<td>VP2 +116</td>
<td>ATA</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>T-</td>
<td>L-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3459–3461</td>
<td>VP2 +352</td>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>G-</td>
<td>V-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3585–3587</td>
<td>VP2 +394</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3588–3590</td>
<td>VP2 +395</td>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-G-</td>
<td>Q-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3693–3695</td>
<td>VP2 +430</td>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3696–3698</td>
<td>VP2 +431</td>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3705–3707</td>
<td>VP2 +434</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>H-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3876–3878</td>
<td>VP2 +491</td>
<td>AAC</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>G-</td>
<td>D-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3975–3977</td>
<td>VP2 +524</td>
<td>CCG</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4005–4007</td>
<td>VP2 +534</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>G-</td>
<td>D-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4125–4127</td>
<td>VP2 +574</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>G-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4263–4265</td>
<td>VP2 +620</td>
<td>AAG</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>AAC</td>
<td>N-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4305–4307</td>
<td>VP2 +634</td>
<td>ATA</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5. VP2 gene

In addition to confirming a number of previously reported differences between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah, some of which have been proposed to influence virulence and host range (overview in Table 2), this study report novel differences in the VP2 gene. The N-terminus of VP2, amino acid 1–220, has been suggested to play a role in AMDV host range and culturing ability (Bloom et al., 1998), and the present study confirm some, but not all, of the previously reported differences between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah in this region (Table 2). In addition a novel change in AMDV-Utah (T116L) is reported here.

Amino acid 420 have been proposed to increase viral fitness by prevention of caspase cleavage (Cheng et al., 2010), however in agreement with other studies (Bloom et al., 1988; Oie et al., 1996; Sang et al., 2012) that particular difference between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah was not observed here either. VP2 amino acid 428–446 functions as a small part of the capsid which has also been suggested to be important for immunopathogenesis by defining AMDV host range (McKenna et al., 1999). The present study confirms a previously reported difference, S434H, in this area, but whether or not this change results in increased pathogenicity is currently unknown (Bloom et al., 1988; Oie et al., 1996; Sang et al., 2012).

In addition to the above-mentioned differences between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah, additional differences were observed in the VP2 amino acid sequence between the AMDV-G reference and the AMDV-G strain from the laboratory (e.g., K90Q, H395N and D574N). It is currently unknown if there is a fitness effect associated to these changes (e.g. adaption to tissue-culture conditions).

The overall lower conservation of AMDV NS-genes compared to other parvoviruses is supported in the present study by the higher degree of variability in the left ORF compared to in the right ORF, which was even more striking on amino acid level (Fig. 5, panel A).

### 3.6. Regulatory elements

Previous studies have identified eight TATA-boxes in the AMDV genome; two confirmed functional at nucleotide 154 (TATAA) and 1729 (TATTTA), and six additional boxes at nucleotide 665, 818, 2546, 4136, 4394, and 4468 (AATAAA) with unknown function (Bloom et al., 1988). In the AMDV-Utah sequence, a previously reported difference to AMDV-G in TATA-box 818 (T820C) (Bloom et al., 1988; Gottschalck et al., 1994) is confirmed, and a previously not described change in the 665 box (A669G) is reported. But since the function of these TATA boxes is unknown, the importance of these differences remains to be investigated. The 4468 box was not included in the sequences generated in the present study.

A P3 promoter that initiates transcription of all mRNA have previously identified at nucleotide 151–160 (p3, GTATAAAGC) (Bloom et al., 1988), in addition to a more uncertain promoter P36 around nucleotide 1744 (Bloom et al., 1988; Qiu et al., 2006). In the present study these promoters were fully conserved. However at the suggested transcription initiation site at nucleotide 179 a change (A179G) is reported in the present AMDV-G strain (Table 2) compared to the reference AMDV-G genome.

Internal polyadenylation sites (pA)p's at nucleotide 2561 and 4391 have been suggested to play a major role in AMDV replication (Huang et al., 2012). Studies in the parvovirus Minute Virus of Mice (MMV) shows that the above mentioned NS1 GKRN-region contains a NS1 recognition site at amino acids 337–344 (ACAAACCA), which together with an upstream nicking site initiates viral replication (Christensen et al., 1997). All of these sites were conserved in the two viruses investigated here, and therefore should not have any effect on the increased virulence of AMDV-Utah.
### 4. Discussion

This paper describes a fast and robust protocol for next generation sequencing of the near full length AMDV genome and the subsequent data analysis. The protocol was verified by gel electrophoresis, complimentary Sanger sequencing, and by sequence analysis. The prototypic non-virulent cell-culture adapted strain AMDV-G was used as a model virus, and for comparison and to investigate genetic virulence markers the highly virulent AMDV-Utah strain was also sequenced. Due to the presence of secondary structures and palindromic motifs at the 3' and 5' ends, approximately 91% of the viral genome was amplified; including all known coding regions (Alexandersen et al., 1988; Bloom et al., 1988).

This study confirm some of the previously reported nucleotide and amino acid differences between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah (Table 2) and no major deviations in the suggested genomic regulatory regions were observed (Bloom et al., 1988). Therefore, one can speculate that the increased virulence of AMDV-Utah compared to AMDV-G may be due to the presence of secondary structures and palindromic motifs.

---

#### Table 2: Differences between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>AMDV-G</th>
<th>AMDV-Utah</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12345678</td>
<td>AAAAAA</td>
<td>CCDDDD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87654321</td>
<td>BBBBBB</td>
<td>EEEEEE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 5.** Protein alignments for each of the two major AMDV genes. Translation and alignments at protein level for each of the NS1 (Panel A) and VP2 (Panel B) genes for the AMDV-G reference NC_001662 (1), one representative AMDV-G strain (2), and the AMDV-Utah (3) strain sequenced in this study.
to AMDV-G is not due to differences in gene regulation but rather on protein level.

However, some nucleotide and amino acid differences was observed between the previously published Sanger generated AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah genomes and the genomes sequenced in this study, which could be a result of further cell-culture adaptation of both strains or due to the use of different sequencing technologies. One specific change of interest is the A179G seen in our AMDV-G strain (Table 2), as it might influence the translation initiation codon at nt 179–181. Another change that might be of importance is the F430L. It resides in close proximity to the conserved ATP-binding pocket and GKRN region between amino acids 435–440, which has been suggested to be essential for the NS1 protein and viral DNA replication due to its ATP- and GTP binding sites and its ATPase function (Gottschalck et al., 1994).

There is some disagreement in the literature regarding the frame and sequence of the first three amino acids of the AMDV-G VP1 gene, in that one study suggests the start to be MSK in frame 2 (Huang et al., 2012) (accession number JN040434.1), while another study suggests HHN in frame 3 (Schuijers et al., 1997) (accession number X97629.1). The first option would be more similar to e.g. human parvovirus B19, in which the VP1-unique region (starting with an MSK) is encoded in another frame, while the remaining protein is identical to that of VP2 (as depicted in Fig. 4). In the second option, starting with amino acids HHN, the whole VP1 protein is encoded in the same frame as that of VP2, but with an additional 55 amino acids in the N-terminal. However, in this sequence there is no start codon (Fig. 4), and therefore it is sensible to assume that option one (MSK) is more correct. In human parvovirus B19 this unique VP1 N-terminal has been identified as key for viral entry (Leisi et al., 2013), and studies in AMDV suggest its importance as it provides phospholipase A2 enzyme activity which modifies the endosome membrane thereby mediating capsid release (Fenner’s Veterinary Virology, 2011). It has further been suggested that AMDV’s ability to grow in cell culture is regulated by the N-terminal of the VP2 gene (Bloom et al., 1998), and one can therefore speculate that the VP1 unique terminal could be linked to in vivo infectivity.

Both the VP and the NS proteins have been suggested to be involved in determining the viral host range and influence pathogenicity (Fields et al., 2007). For example, it has been shown that knockout of the NS1-gene resulted in failure to produce replicative form AMDV DNA (Huang et al., 2014). In the present study, the majority of nucleotide and amino-acid differences between AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah were in the left ORF. This is in agreement with previous studies reporting that the non-structural (NS) proteins of AMDV-G and AMDV-Utah have different molecular weights (Alexandersen et al., 1986), and are less conserved than in other parvoviruses (Gottschalck et al., 1994). Interestingly, it is known that the AMDV right ORF is more conserved despite containing virulence factors important for the viral entry (Gottschalck et al., 1991; Oie et al., 1996). These findings indicate that the virulence of AMDV–Utah may not be primarily due to increased infectivity since this function depends primarily on the VP genes. Instead the difference in virulence could e.g. be linked to the NS proteins that are involved with virion assembly, release, unpacking, or the ability to avoid host cell responses.

The protocols developed in this study enable viral DNA to be extracted and amplified from primary sample material and by that avoiding the use of labour intensive cloning to amplify the viral DNA prior to sequencing. The PCR-amplification step is also useful as the concentration of viral DNA in viraemic animals is not sufficient to directly act as template for next generation sequencing. The viral strains used to establish this protocol have very different phenotypes and despite the expected genetic difference both strains amplified well. This indicates a high degree of conservation in the primer-annealing region, which is further supported by on-going work successfully amplifying AMDV field strains (data not shown). There are however potential biases when using PCR amplified DNA as input for sequencing, e.g. for investigating quasispecies. But this is of less importance if the resulting data will be used for comparing sample consensus sequences, as in the present study. The ion-semiconductor technology is known to have difficulties to accurately PCR amplify and read homopolymers (Quail et al., 2012), and especially C- and G-rich regions as between position 2470 and 2520 in the AMDV-G reference genome. Therefore the robustness of the protocols was demonstrated by processing the AMDV-G strain in triplicates and by sequencing the homopolymeric region in each sample using the complimentary Sanger sequencing method. Since the NGS sequences from each sample were identical, and so were the Sanger generated fragments (the latter with the exception of one erroneous base), it was concluded that the dip in coverage was caused during the sequencing, and not by the PCR amplification. Thus, it would be beneficial to disregard this region in alignments when the Ion Torrent technology is used, and for the development of molecular tools.

In conclusion, this is to the authors’ knowledge the first study to describe the entire coding sequence of the AMDV genome using next generation sequencing. The study provides a robust and fast method for generating whole genome sequences of AMDV from various DNA sources and will create value by allowing for phylogenetic analysis with higher resolution and by facilitating development of new diagnostic tools.
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