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ABSTRACT

The geological and morphological evolution of the Kalahari Basin of Southern Africa has given rise to a complex hydrogeological regime that is affected by water quality issues. Among these concerns is the occurrence of saline groundwater. Airborne and ground-based electromagnetic surveying is an efficient tool for mapping groundwater quality variations and has been used extensively to explore the Kalahari sediments, e.g., in Botswana and Namibia. Recently, airborne- and ground-based mapping of groundwater salinity was conducted in the Machile–Zambezi Basin, southwestern Zambia, using the versatile time-domain electromagnetic system and WalkTEM system, respectively, incorporating earlier ground-based ProTEM 47D measurements. The data were inverted using the laterally constrained inversion technique followed by a separate spatially constrained inversion scheme. WalkTEM data were inverted as ordinary single-site one-dimensional inversions. The regional electrical resistivity signature of the Machile–Zambezi Basin was found to be characterized by high elevation (1000 m–1050 m above mean sea level), high electrical resistivity (above 100 Ωm)
areas that form the western and eastern boundaries of a low-resistivity (below 13 Ωm) valley that extends southwestwards into the Makgadikgadi salt pans. The electrical resistivity distribution is indicative of a full graben related to the Okavango–Linyati Fault system as a result of propagation of the East African Rift Valley System into Southern Africa. The saline lacustrine sediments infilling the Machile Graben are responsible for the low formation resistivity (below 13 Ωm) and high salinity (above 7000 µS/cm) observed in the groundwater and are probably related to the complex evolutionary history of Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi.

INTRODUCTION

The Kalahari sediments contain important groundwater resources throughout Southern Africa, which however are constrained by a very variable water quality. Much effort has been made to characterize the sediments and groundwater resources of the Kalahari Basin (Worthington 1977; Arad 1984; Thomas and Shaw 1993, 2002; McCarthy and Haddon 2005; McCarthy 2013), a basin which stretches from South Africa in the south into the Democratic Republic of Congo over a distance of 2200 km (McCarthy and Haddon 2005) to form an extensive sea of sand (Thomas and Shaw 1990). Geological events related to the breakup of Gondwana have had a major influence on the geological evolution and geomorphology of Southern Africa in general and the Kalahari Basin in particular (McCarthy 2013). This has been characterized by a series of tectonic, volcanic, fluvial, and aeolian processes over geological timescales. At the base of the simplified Kalahari stratigraphy is conglomerate sitting on pre-Kalahari formations. The conglomerate is in turn overlain by sandstone which in turn is overlain by the unconsolidated sands (Money 1972; McCarthy and Haddon 2005). The simplified stratigraphy exhibits local variations in terms of the presence or absence of lithological units. For example in places, the conglomerate is absent, and sandstone overlain by aeolian sands rests directly on the bedrock, whereas in others, mudstones and siltstones overlie the conglomerate before coarsening upwards into sandstone (McCarthy 2013). Figure 1 depicts the surface geology (unconsolidated sands) in the Okavango–Makgadikgadi–Machile area as being predominantly Cenozoic.

One way of addressing water quality concerns is to use the transient electromagnetic (TEM) method to identify water quality variations in potential aquifers (Melloul and Goldenberg 1997; Land et al. 2004; Ezersky et al. 2011). In the last few decades, TEM has been developed and used extensively around the globe to identify fresh water aquifers, delineate saltwater–freshwater interfaces, and map the spatial extent of salty groundwater (Guerin et al. 2001; Auken et al. 2003; Danielsen et al. 2007; Levi et al. 2008; Boucher et al. 2009; Bauer-
Large-scale airborne geophysical mapping in the Okavango Delta, conducted by the Government of Botswana, has played a major role in the understanding of the groundwater system of the Kalahari Basin (Campbell et al. 2006; Kgotlhang 2008; Podgorski et al. 2013a). Airborne and follow-up ground-based TEM data were used in these studies to map potential freshwater aquifers based on their relatively high formation electrical resistivities. Such aquifers appeared as sinuous zones of moderate resistivity in an otherwise low-resistivity environment. This reflected the widespread distribution of saline groundwater and, to a lesser extent, clay-rich units inter-bedded with the sands. The TEM data were used by Campbell et al. (2006) to set the lateral extent of potential freshwater aquifers as well as the fresh–saline water interface. Earlier work by Sattel and Kgotlhang (2004) in the Boteti area of Botswana used airborne TEM data collected with the TEMPEST system to map geological features that are consistent with the occurrence of fresh groundwater in the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi system (Burrough et al. 2009). From this, they noted that freshwater occurred as recharge lenses above saline groundwater. Their correlation of TEM-derived resistivity depth profiles with borehole data showed that the resistivity of the Kalahari super-group lithology is defined by the level of clay content, amount of saturation, and pore water salinity. Using a numerical modelling approach, Bauer et al. (2006) reproduced the salinity distribution in and around the Shashe River Valley in Botswana by modelling transpiration as a function of groundwater salinity. The model results were in agreement with airborne and ground-based TEM data (including-water level data and geochemical evaluation). The Airborne TEM data clearly outlined fresh groundwater lenses resulting from infiltration of fresh surface water along stream channels into a stagnant saline aquifer in the interfluves. In addition, profiling with ground-based TEM across the Shashe River valley suggested the thickness of the freshwater lenses to be on the order of 60 m and to be superficially embedded in a highly saline aquifer (formation electrical resistivity between 0.5 Ωm and 5 Ωm) with strong formation resistivity correlation to the total dissolved solids of the groundwater given the saturated conditions and relatively uniform sandy lithology (Bauer et al. 2006). In order to define the occurrence of salty groundwater in the Seshke area in Zambia, Chongo et al. (2011) conducted single-site ground-based TEM measurements on a regional scale and showed that salty groundwater mainly occurs in a topographic depression east of Seshke Town, which is related to faulting associated with the East African Rift Valley System (EARS) (McCarthy 2013). They further hypothesized that the origin of the salty groundwater was related to evapo-concentration of salts in inter-dune deposits and evaporation of the palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi. The general layered Earth model
from the TEM measurements indicated an unconfined freshwater aquifer with electrical resistivities in excess of 70 Ωm underlain by salty groundwater with resistivities below 35 Ωm. Podgorski et al. (2013a) described the Okavango Delta as an alluvial mega-fan and used airborne TEM data constrained by ground-based TEM seismic data and borehole records to provide additional evidence for an ancient large-scale alluvial fan complex underlying the Makgadikgadi sediments. Their airborne TEM data were a reprocessing of a commercially obtained versatile TEM (VTEM) data set from the Okavango Delta affected by systematic errors and with large uncertainties regarding key system parameters. This was the same type of VTEM system used for the airborne TEM survey in the Machile–Zambezi Basin for this study, and a number of steps were taken to retrieve the best possible results; given that the data collected was prone to contamination of early time gates by transmitter currents, noise in late time gates, and amplitude shifts between adjacent flight lines. Most importantly, accurate ground-based TEM data were used to calibrate the VTEM data in order to eliminate absolute amplitude inaccuracies and timing errors between receiver and transmitter instrumentation (Podgorski et al. 2013b). Contaminated early time and noisy late time gates were semi-
automatically eliminated for each record.

Late Palaeogene uplift along the Okavango–Kalahari–Zimbabwe axis and associated subsidence of the Kalahari Basin disrupted the southwesterly flow of the Palaeo Chambeshi–Kafue–Upper Zambezi (PCKU) into the Indian Ocean via the proto-Limpopo River. This Palaeogene tectonism also resulted in the damming of the Kubango–Cuito (proto-Okavango River system) and Cuando rivers to form a closed fluvial/lacustrine Kalahari Basin (Moore et al. 2012). Headward erosion of the Mid-Zambezi led to the capture and diversion of the PCKU system to the Indian ocean via the lower Zambezi (Main et al. 2008). This was marked by a significant decrease in sedimentation in the Kalahari Basin between the Pliocene to Early Pleistocene (Moore et al. 2012). Secondary faulting related to the southwesterly development of the EARS through the Mweru–Tanganyika–Kabompo Gorge axis resulted in the Okavango–Linyati fault system responsible for formation of the Machile Graben bound to the northwest by the Seshke fault and to the southeast by the Chobe/Mambova Fault (Fig. 1) (Moore et al. 2012; McCarthy 2013). Early Pleistocene uplift of the Chobe Horst and related subsidence of the Machile Graben redirected the flow of the PCKU system into the endorheic Kalahari Basin to result in the highest lake stand—palaeo-Lake Deception. This was later reduced to the 945-m level corresponding to the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi following loss of the palaeo-Chambeshi River flow as a result of capture by the Luapula River initiated by 2.2 Ma–1.8 Ma uplift of the Congo–Zambezi Watershed (McCarthy 2013).
The palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi was maintained by flow from the Cubango–Cuito, Cuando, and Upper Zambezi–proto-Kafue rivers and associated climatic feedback (Moore et al. 2012). At this point, the proto-Kafue River still drained into the Upper Zambezi via the Machile Flats. Early to Mid-Pleistocene Uplift along the Machile–Kafue Watershed finally severed the link between the Upper Zambezi and the Proto-Kafue with consequent contraction of the palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi to the 936-m shoreline. Incision of the Chobe Horst initiated during high lake stands by overtopping maintained some flow in the Middle Zambezi, which in turn sustained riverine erosion and lead to the final breach at Mambova (Fig. 1) to establish the modern course of the Zambezi River in the Mid-Pleistocene. In addition, tectonic events reorganized the Okavango and Cuando river systems, resulting in reduced flow into the palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi system that resulted in eventual drying up, leaving behind remnants such as the Makgadikgadi Salt Pans (Main et al. 2008; Burrough et al. 2009; Moore et al. 2012; McCarthy 2013). Thus, endorheic conditions were initiated in the Kalahari Basin in the Late Palaeogene (approximately 25 Ma) with subsequent formation of palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi by Early Pleistocene (2.2 Ma–1.8 Ma). Early Mid-Pleistocene (more than 500 Ka) isolation of the proto-Kafue from the Upper Zambezi initiated desiccation of palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi until its final extinction in the Mid-Late Pleistocene (100 Ka) to present (Moore et al. 2012).

The objectives of this study were to map for the first time the paleo-Lake Makgadikgadi sediments in the Machile flats of Zambia using airborne TEM methods, demonstrate the utility of TEM in the Machile–Zambezi geological setting for groundwater quality mapping, extend the regional coverage and spatial resolution of the work by Chongo et al. (2011) to encompass the entire Machile–Zambezi Basin using a combination of airborne and ground-based TEM measurements, and to come up with a consistent conceptual explanation for the observed electrical resistivity variations. This was necessary in order to shed more light on and gain a better understanding of the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi system that extends into Zambia and in order to understand the occurrence of saline groundwater and how it relates to the geology. From a management perspective, this was also important because it will lead to improved access to clean drinking water in rural and remote areas though a better understanding of the groundwater regime, and quicker, efficient, and cost-effective means of evaluating groundwater for borehole placement.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Description of the study area**
The Machile–Zambezi basin is located between latitude 16°–17° 54’S and longitude 24° 13’–
26° 22’E. It encompasses river basins for the three main northerly tributaries of the Zambezi,
namely, Loanja, Machile, and Ngwezi, and a number of other smaller river basins. The outlet
to the Machile–Zambezi Basin is defined at 17° 50.4’S and 25°38’E (Fig. 2) and is therefore
hydrologically defined but encompasses the northern tip of the Makgadikgadi Basin that
extends into Zambia, which is called the Machili Basin by Moore and Larkin (2001) (Fig. 2).
The dominant surface geology is unconsolidated sediments of Cenozoic Era with outcrops of
basaltic and basement rocks in places particularly in the eastern areas where the presence of
basement complex rocks is significant. The topography is characterized by a valley that is
widest in the south central areas and narrows towards the northeast with elevations ranging
between 800m and 1200 m above mean sea level (msl) (Fig. 2).

**Transient electromagnetic (TEM) method**

Based on the principles of electromagnetism, secondary currents can be induced in the
subsurface by a time-varying electromagnetic field. The secondary magnetic fields generated
by the secondary currents can then be measured by appropriate electromagnetic receivers
(Nabighian 1988). The two main types of electromagnetic prospecting are frequency-domain
electromagnetic (FEM) methods and time-domain (or transient) electromagnetic (TEM)
methods (Nabighian 1988; Nabighian 1991; Kirsch 2006). TEM methods were used for this
research. These comprised both ground-based and airborne measurements. Airborne TEM
measurements were conducted with the helicopter-borne VTEM system developed by
Geotech Limited who were contracted by the Ministry of Energy and Water Development
(MEWD) of the Republic of Zambia to conduct the survey (Geotech 2011). Ground-based
TEM measurements were carried out with the WalkTEM system (ABEM(B) 2014) developed
by Aarhus University and ABEM Instrument AB. In both cases, a central loop configuration
was used. The VTEM system had a nominal terrain clearance of 48 m and nominal flight
speed of 90 km/h, and a total of 1000 line kilometres were flown. This comprised two sets of
four parallel lines as shown in Fig. 2. One set was southwest to northeast trending and the
other northwest to southeast trending. In both instances, the line separation was 2000 m. The
24 ground-based TEM soundings were conducted on the eastern side of the Machile River.
These, together with the 66 TEM soundings conducted by Chongo *et al.* (2011) and the 27
soundings collected for calibration form the ground-based TEM data set for this paper (Fig.
2). The total number of TEM soundings collected for the Machile–Zambezi Basin was
therefore 117 soundings over 26260 km\(^2\). Field schematics, transmitter waveform and system response of both the airborne and ground-based deployment are illustrated in Fig. 3.

A central loop configuration was used for the VTEM setup with concentric transmitter and receiver coils. The outer loop in the form of a 12-sided polygon acted as the transmitter loop with a diameter of 26 m and four turns transmitting a peak current of 240 A. The inner loop had a diameter of 1.2 m and 100 turns and acted as the receiver coil (Geotech 2011) (Fig. 3a). The WalkTEM system also used the central loop configuration with a single-turn 40 m by 40 m transmitter loop and a 0.5 m by 0.5 m receiver coil in the centre with 20 internal turns (ABEM(b) 2014) (Fig. 3b). The VTEM transmitter current waveform is 4.7 ms long with a peak at –1.646 ms. Receiver gates commence shortly after the transmitter turns off at 0 ms with gate widths progressively increasing at later times. Gate centre times start at 21 \(\mu\)s and end at 10667 \(\mu\)s with a total of 45 gates (Fig. 3c). The WalkTEM system had two waveforms: a high-moment waveform transmitting a 10-ms 8-A pulse and a low-moment waveform with amplitude of 1 A and duration of 10 ms. Turn-off time was 5.9 \(\mu\)s and 10.4 \(\mu\)s for low and high moments, respectively. The low-moment response was measured over 23 gates with the first gate centre time at 3.6 \(\mu\)s and the last gate centre time at 364 \(\mu\)s. The high moment response was measured over 37 time gates with the first gate centre time position at 3.6 \(\mu\)s and the last at 8850 \(\mu\)s. The low moment is optimized for early time gate measurements and thus near surface geological information, whereas the high moment is optimized for later time gate measurements and thus deeper surface geological information (Fig. 3d).

**Aerial survey**

The instrumentation for the airborne survey was mounted on an AS 350 B3 helicopter equipped with a Terra TRA 3000/ TRI40 radar altimeter and a NovAtel wide-area-augmentation-system-enabled OEM4-G2-3151W GPS navigation system with a sampling interval of 0.2 s. The TEM transmitter and receiver loops were carried as a sling load beneath the helicopter with a sampling interval at 0.1 s. The VTEM decay sampling scheme was configured for 45 time gates. The first gate centre time was at 21 \(\mu\)s, and the last gate centre time was at 10.667 ms (Geotech 2011). The transmitter current waveform and receiver time gates are illustrated in Fig. 3c. Electromagnetic data collected for the survey were presented as \(\frac{db}{dt}\) (time derivative of magnetic flux through the receiver coil). The data were imported into the Aarhus workbench (HGG 2014) where they were processed and inverted. The processing performed in the workbench was on data that were already pre-processed by Geotech (2011) using an undocumented three-stage digital filtering process to reject major
broadband electromagnetic impulses or spherics caused by lightening events and to reduce system noise. The signal-to-noise ratio was further improved by the application of a low-pass linear digital filter, but the characteristics of this filter and its effects on the data were not documented by Geotech (2011). Thus the uncertainties about how the digital filtering was conducted by Geotech (2011) in addition to transmitter–receiver timing errors and amplitude shifts necessitated calibration of the airborne TEM data set with accurate ground-based TEM measurements (Podgorski et al. 2013b) in order to obtain a more reliable inversion and interpretation. Interpretation of resistivity data obtained from TEM measurements is described in more detail in the Discussion section.

**Ground survey**

The ground-based TEM data set comprised data from both ProTEM and WalkTEM equipment. The ProTEM equipment was set to measure at three different repetition rates under the 20-gate mode. The repetition rates were designated as $u$ (237.5 Hz), $v$ (62.5 Hz), and $H$ (25 Hz), and the current was set at 3 A throughout with a standard square transmitter waveform characteristic of the ProTEM equipment. Thus, for each location, a complete sounding comprised one measurement for each of the three repetition rates. The gate times for the $u$ repetition rate were optimized for early times, whereas those for the $H$ repetition rate were optimized for late times. The repetition rate $v$ had an overlap between the gate times for the $u$ and $H$ repetition rates. The WalkTEM equipment used the concept of low moment and high moment. For each sounding, one measurement was made with the current setting at 1 A (low moment) and another with the current setting at 8 A (high moment). Figure 3d illustrates the concept of low and high moments used by the WalkTEM equipment. The low moment is optimized for early times, and the high moment is optimized for late times. Characteristics of the transmitter waveforms and receiver gate times were all controlled through user-defined script files. The location of the ground-based TEM soundings for the Machile–Zambezi Basin are shown in Fig. 2. Each sounding was inverted individually using AarhusInv (Auken and Christiansen 2007; Auken et al. 2014) and the best-fit model with residuals below 1 that made geologic and physical sense was selected as the most appropriate at that location.

**Inversion methodology**

Inversion of the airborne data set from the time derivative of the secondary electromagnetic field $(\text{db/dt})$ passing through the receiver coil to resistivity layered Earth models was done using two separate but similar techniques. These are laterally constrained inversion (LCI)
(Auken et al. 2005) and spatially constrained inversion (SCI) (Viezzoli et al. 2008).

Using the LCI technique, each of the eight flight lines was inverted individually segment by segment. A typical flight line comprised two or three segments, and with the SCI technique, all flight lines were inverted together as one inversion job. In both cases, tight constraints were set on a smooth or minimum-structure starting model, given the relatively homogeneous sedimentary terrain. Thus, a 29-fixed-layer starting model was setup such that the mean apparent resistivity for each sounding was used as the initial resistivity for each layer. No a priori information was added. The thickness of the first layer was 7 m and that of the last layer was 60 m, i.e., the thicknesses increased progressively with depth. Vertical and lateral reference constraints on resistivity values were set to 2.5 and 1.3, respectively. The reference distance for the reference constraints was set to 25 m with the power law factor (Christiansen et al. 2007) set to 0.5.

**Calibration of the airborne data set**

High-quality ground-based TEM soundings that were coincident with the airborne TEM data within a distance of 50 m were used for calibration, and in total, these were 14 soundings. At each of the 14 sites, a forward response based on the layered Earth model from inversion of the ground-based TEM sounding was compared with the corresponding VTEM sounding at the same location. A manual calibration was then conducted by first shifting the VTEM sounding curve vertically upwards to get the level right and then horizontally to get the time shift right. The amount of vertical and horizontal shift comprised the calibration parameters designated as shift factor and time shift, respectively. The procedure and considerations used for processing and calibration of the airborne data set are similar to those of Podgorski et al. (2013b) who report a final time shift of 30 µs and a final shift factor of 1.44. For this study, the time shift was determined as $-44.929 \pm 10.05$ µs, and the shift factor was determined as $1.071 \pm 0.112$ based on averaging of the calibration results at 14 sites. The difference in sign between the time shift factor reported by Podgorski et al. (2013b) and the one determined in this study probably has to do with the amount of system drift experienced by the respective VTEM systems used at each survey. The calibration was thus completed by subtracting 44.929 µs from all time gates and multiplying all raw data values ($db/dt$) with 1.071.

A qualitative assessment of the effect of calibration on the inversion was conducted by comparing the inversion result from an LCI with uncalibrated data (Fig. 4a) to that of a similar LCI with calibrated data (Fig. 4b) and another also with calibrated data but using SCI (Fig. 4c).
RESULTS

The results from the airborne and ground-based TEM surveys are presented below as maps and cross sections.

The mean horizontal electrical resistivity map (Fig. 5) at depth interval of 0 m–20 m was superimposed over the topography in the Machile–Zambezi Basin and depicts low electrical resistivity values (1–10 Ωm) confined to the low lying south central region. At higher elevation particularly in the northeastern and northwestern regions, the electrical resistivity values are very high in the range of 1000 Ωm. In between and surrounding the high and low electrical resistivity blocks are areas of moderate values (10 Ωm to 100 Ωm).

The mean horizontal electrical resistivity map at 80 m–100 m (Fig. 6) is similar to that at 0 m to 20 m (Fig. 5 above), except that the south central low-resistivity region is smaller in spatial extent and roughly coincides with the 945-m contour. In addition, the western and eastern areas with high resistivities are larger and elongated from southwest to northeast. North of the area with low electrical resistivity oriented towards the north east is an elongated moderate resistivity region that, together with the low resistivity block, appears to be in a valley structure between the western and eastern electrical resistivity blocks.

The electrical resistivity structure along the northwest to southeast profile (Fig. 7a) indicates a low lying area with low electrical resistivity in the centre bound by an area with high electrical resistivity to the west (left hand side) and another area with high electrical resistivity to the east (right hand side) (Fig. 7a). The low electrical resistivities are interrupted in the shallow subsurface by moderate resistivity anomalies. Along the southwest to northeast profile line, a low resistivity or high conductivity region shaped in form of a pot with a handle extends from south (left hand side) to north (right hand side) with the pot handle pinching out towards the north. Underlying the pot handle is the moderate resistivity region, which crops out in the northern areas. The main low resistivity pot is interrupted in the southern shallow subsurface by moderate anomaly resistivity values ranging from 10 Ωm to 100 Ωm (Fig. 7b).

Evaluation of borehole log data from various boreholes in the Sesheke area formed a basis of inferring groundwater salinity from the electrical resistivity mapped by TEM. An example borehole log at borehole RV31 (borehole number 1 in Table 1 below) is shown in Fig. 8, whereas the location of the borehole logs considered are shown in Figs. 5 and 6 above.

From the borehole logs, formation factors (Tsallis et al. 1992; Neretnieks et al. 2001) were determined using the pore water resistivity (which was measured as fluid conductivity with the borehole probe in µS/cm) and formation resistivity below the water table (which was
measured as long conductivity in mS/m with an induction probe). The construction of most
boreholes implemented a screen interval from the water table up to 3m above the bottom of
the borehole. A single formation factor was thus assigned to each borehole by calculating the
average formation factor from individual entries in the borehole log, and the nominal
formation resistivity around each borehole was defined as the product of the average pore
water resistivity and the borehole formation factor. The formation factors and formation
electrical resistivity values calculated for each borehole are shown in Table 1.
Also shown in Table 1 are formation resistivity thresholds of groundwater salinity, below
which the groundwater is considered salty and above which it is considered freshwater or
non-saline water. The formation resistivity thresholds were calculated by multiplying the
respective formation factor with the threshold for non-saline water, which was taken as 700
µS/cm or 14.3 Ωm (FAO 2014). This implies that, in areas with very high clay content (i.e.,
high surface electrical conductivity), electrical resistivity values below 12.6 Ωm are indicative
of salty groundwater, whereas in areas with very little clay content, the threshold is at 75.3
Ωm. This high degree of variability of formation factors is probably a consequence of the
different geological domains from which the respective borehole logs were sampled, but the
values are typical of what can be expected in sedimentary terrain comprising mostly clay,
sand, and sandstone (Salem 2001). Similarly, the formation factor for the Machile–Zambezi
Basin varies around 3.08 ± 2.19.

DISCUSSION
Overall, the resistivity structure mapped by TEM in the Machile–Zambezi Basin (Fig. 6) is
characterized by three southwest to northeast trending areas of distinct electrical resistivity.
These are:
(i) the westerly high-electrical-resistivity area with resistivity values greater than 100 Ωm;
(ii) the middle area with low to moderate electrical resistivity (with values less than 100
Ωm), which is further subdivided into the south central area with low electrical
resistivity and the central, southwest to northeast trending area with moderate electrical
resistivity area;
(iii) the easterly high electrical resistivity area with resistivity values greater than 100 Ωm.
The high-resistivity structure of the westerly block is attributed to a typical Kalahari Basin
stratigraphy (McCarthy and Haddon 2005) of unconsolidated sands underlain by sandstone or
basalt. A borehole profile at Munyeula (Kameyama 2003) (Borehole 3 in Table 2) reveals a
12 m sequence of sand underlain by a 58-m succession of sandstone, which in turn overlies weathered and fractured Batoka Basalt. The electrical conductivity recorded in the groundwater from this borehole is 263 $\mu$S/cm. This shows consistency between the formation resistivity measured by the TEM methods and the intrinsic high resistivity of the unconsolidated sands, sandstone, basalt, and pore water at this location. The borehole record at Munyeula also shows the water table to be 4.4 m, implying that a 4.4 m sequence of dry Kalahari sand sits on top of the water table and would typically register as a high-resistivity layer with resistivity values greater than 500 $\Omega$m. Below the water table, the resistivity values would be moderated downwards to below 100 $\Omega$m until solid bed rock is encountered; at which point, they would also register high resistivity values in the 1000 $\Omega$m order of magnitude. Similarly, the surface geology of the easterly block is defined in the northeast by a southwest to northeast trending basement complex (Pre Cambrian geology) region and in the southwest by what appears to be a basin filled with Kalahari sediments and bound to the northeast and southwest by Mesozoic igneous horsts (see Fig. 2 above) herein referred to as the Sekute Basin. A borehole record at Siamundele (Bäumle et al. 2007) (Borehole 4 in Table 2) indicates a granitic formation consistent with the high formation resistivity detected by the TEM methods. In addition, a borehole record at Sekute School (Bäumle et al. 2007) (Borehole 5 in Table 2) indicates that the stratigraphy of the Sekute Basin is characterized by sand and clay (6 m) on top of sandstone (18 m), which in turn is underlain by basalt, a lithology log similar to the borehole profile at Munyeula in the easterly block, and a similar relationship to the formation resistivity measured by the TEM methods is thus inferred. A summary of selected borehole records in the Sesheke and Kazungula areas of Southwestern Zambia in the three resistivity blocks of the Machile–Zambezi Basin is shown in Table 2.

The electrical resistivity structure of the middle area as aforementioned has two sub-divisions. The first one is the south and central low-electrical-resistivity area that has an extent closely following the 945-m contour synonymous with the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi shoreline (Fig. 5 above). However, the spatial extent of the low-electrical-resistivity area is much larger at shallower depths (Fig. 5 above) and extends northeasterly beyond the 945-m contour by about 32 km with a vertical rise of about 20 m. The low resistivity block therefore overlies and thins out into the southwest to northeast trending moderate resistivity block northeast of the 945-m contour. The moderate resistivity block is abruptly truncated in the vicinity of the 945-m contour and has a gentle rise towards the northeast until it overlies the thinning-out low-electrical-resistivity area (Fig. 7b above). Existence of the low-resistivity block can be attributed to sediments related to the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi with evidence of this
correlation arising from the borehole profiles at Kasaya and Mbanga (Table 2). These depict alternating and mixed sequences of fine sand and clay with very high pore-water salinity; 7250 and 12180 µS/cm at Mbanga and Kasaya (boreholes 1 and 2 in Table 2). Milzow et al. (2009) observed a similar setting in the Okavango Delta and attributed the alternating and mixed sequences of clay and sand to alternating cycles of fluvial and lacustrine depositional setting. The work by Banda et al. (Technical University of Denmark/University of Zambia, Unpublished data, 2014) used borehole logging, sediment core characterization, and geochemical analysis to postulate that Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi sediments were responsible for groundwater salinity in the Machile Basin (after Moore and Larkin (2001)), which is congruent with the low-electrical-resistivity area. Banda et al. (Technical University of Denmark/University of Zambia, Unpublished data, 2014) thus describe a lithological setting of alternating and mixed sequences of silty clay, clay, and sand attributed to fluvial and lacustrine deposition associated with varying climatic conditions containing saline groundwater entrapped at the time of deposition of the lake sediments. In addition, the fact that the low-electrical-resistivity area closely follows the 945 m contour at the depth interval of 80 m–100 m is further supporting evidence of Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi sediments in the Machile–Zambezi Basin. However, this is in contrast to the extension of the low-resistivity area beyond the 945 m contour towards the northeast for depth interval of 0 to 20 m (refer to Fig. 5 above). A possible explanation for this is likely related to uplift along the Kafue–Machile Watershed and associated closure of the palaeo-Kafue/Upper Zambezi link (McCarthy 2013). Alternatively, this could also be as a result of shallow groundwater related to the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi, which was subjected to evapo-concentration.

According to Moore et al. (2012), the palaeo-Chambeshi River, which was a combination of the proto-Kafue and proto-Chambesh river systems, used to flow in to the Makgadikgadi Basin through the Machile Flats, where it would join the Upper Zambezi River. This southwesterly flow of the palaeo-Chambeshi was associated with deposition of Kalahari super-group sediments (Moore and Larkin 2001), which correlated with the Barotse sand member (Money 1972). Thus the deposition of sandstone is believed to have occurred in braided streams (McCarthy and Haddon 2005) indicative of low slope terrain, which could have easily been below or at the level of the 945 m contour by the time the sands were fully deposited. Lake sediments would have then been deposited in a shallow stretch up to the present northern extent of the low resistivity block followed by uplift along the Kafue–Machile Watershed (Moore et al. 2012). This uplift could have given rise to the gentle northeasterly slope responsible for occurrence of part of the low-resistivity block above the
945-m contour. However, Banda et al. (Technical University of Denmark/University of Zambia, Unpublished data, 2014) postulate that this was probably the result of evapo-concentration of shallow groundwater from the palaeo-lake system. A borehole record at Kamenyani (Borehole 6 in Table 2) reveals an uppermost 4-m thick layer of fine sand overlying a 6-m sequence of clay. The clayey sequence is in turn underlain by a 22-m sequence of silt and sand suggestive of a lacustrine setting. The uppermost 4-m layer of sand is probably as a result of recent deposition by aeolian processes. The silt and sand sequence is underlain by sandstone. One implication of this interpretation is that the moderate resistivity area could have had resistivity values as low as in the low-electrical-resistivity area. However uplift along the Kafue–Machile Watershed resulted in a net groundwater flow towards the southwest, resulting in flushing of the system with fresh groundwater resulting in moderated electrical conductivity values such as the 1932 µS/cm observed at Kamenyani compared with the 12180 µS/cm observed at Kamenyani.

CONCLUSION

The resistivity structure of the Machile–Zambezi Basin is characterized by three distinct southwest-to-northeast trending electrical resistivity areas. These are:

(i) the high-electrical-resistivity westerly area with resistivity values greater than 100 Ωm associated with a sand, sandstone, and basalt downward sequence;

(ii) The middle low-to-moderate-electrical-resistivity area with values less than 100 Ωm. This is further subdivided into the south and central low-electrical-resistivity area (with values less than 13 Ωm) and the southwest-to-northeast trending moderate-electrical-resistivity area (with values between 10 Ωm and 100 Ωm). The low-electrical-resistivity area is congruent with the northern tip of the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi sediments extending into southwestern Zambia and is filled with saline groundwater trapped at the time of deposition of the sediments;

(iii) the easterly high-resistivity block with resistivity values greater than 100 Ωm associated with Basement Complex and Kalahari stratigraphic settings.

The southwest to northeast orientation of the resistivity blocks is a clear indication of continuity of the Okavango–Linyati Fault System and associated Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi into southwestern Zambia.

The combination of ground-based and airborne TEM methods was thus effective in mapping the regional electrical resistivity structure of the Machile–Zambezi Basin.
from which groundwater salinity variations could be inferred in addition to the regional tectonic structure or geological fault system. Calibration of VTEM data with accurate ground-based WalkTEM data ensured a strong agreement between the airborne TEM inverted using an SCI scheme and ground-based data inverted as single-site 1D inversions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the governments of the Kingdom of Denmark and the Republic of Zambia for funding this research under the capacity building initiative for the Zambian Water Sector through the University of Zambia Integrated Water Resources Management Centre (UNZA IWRM); the Hydro-Geophysics Group of Aarhus University for assistance in processing and inversion of the airborne data set; their colleagues and friends who assisted in the fieldwork, particularly Kebby Kapika (District Water Officer-Sesheke) and Mweemba Sinkombo (District Water Officer-Nalolo) who was then a post-graduate diploma student at UNZA; and Ms. Ingrid Mugamya Kawesa of UNZA IWRM Centre for the logistical support.

REFERENCES


Figure 1

Contour (945-m amsl) corresponding to the Palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi shoreline in Southern Africa. In the background is the topography and Cenozoic surface geology corresponding to the extent of the unconsolidated Kalahari sediment. Also depicted are various faults in the Okavango–Machile depression here collectively referred to as the Okavango–Linyati Fault System (Moore et al. 2012; McCarthy 2013) and the outline of the Machile–Zambezi Basin.

The study area. Shown on the map are the flight lines for the airborne survey and points where ground-based TEM measurements were conducted. Also shown on the map are points were borehole logging was conducted by Banda et al. (Technical University of Denmark/University of Zambia, Unpublished data, 2014). The surface geology is predominantly unconsolidated Cenozoic sediments with significant outcrop of the basement complex in the eastern region after Persits et al. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1997/ofr-97-470/OF97-470A/, 2002). Elevation data from Jarvis et al. (http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org, 2008). The outline of the Machile Basin after Moore and Larkin (2001) is also contrasted with the outline of the Machile–Zambezi Basin.
Figure 3

Field Schematics, transmitter waveform and system response for the WalkTEM and VTEM systems: (a) VTEM Setup; (b) WalkTEM Setup; (c) VTEM waveform and system response; and (d) WalkTEM waveform and system response.
Figure 4

Comparison of horizontal resistivity thematic maps over the Loanja Alluvial Fan at depth interval 0 to 20 m for a 29-layer smooth inversion using (a) LCI with uncalibrated data; (b) LCI with calibrated data; and (c) SCI with calibrated data.
Figure 5

Mean horizontal electrical resistivity variations at depth interval of 0 m–20 m.
Figure 6
Mean horizontal electrical resistivity variations at depth interval 80 m–100 m.

Figure 7
(a) Northwest to southeast cross section along profile line NW_SE (Fig. 5). (b) Southwest to northeast cross section along profile line SW–NE (Fig. 5). The depth of investigation is the top of the faded part of the electrical resistivity cross sections.
Figure 8

Example borehole log from borehole RV31.
Table 1
Pore water and formation resistivitities, and formation factors derived from borehole logging in
the Machile–Zambezi Basin. Locations of the boreholes are shown on Figs. 2, 5, and 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Location (UTM 35S)</th>
<th>Northing (m)</th>
<th>Easting (m)</th>
<th>Pore Water Resistivity (Ωm)</th>
<th>Formation Factor</th>
<th>Nominal Formation Resistivity (Ωm)</th>
<th>Formation Resistivity Threshold on non-saline water (Ωm)</th>
<th>General Lithology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RV_31</td>
<td>8098183.40</td>
<td>237931.96</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>179.48</td>
<td>95.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV_08</td>
<td>8099759.76</td>
<td>262479.77</td>
<td>17.31</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>99.31</td>
<td>81.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clayey Sand and sandstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV_29</td>
<td>8076339.01</td>
<td>211449.36</td>
<td>21.76</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandstone/ Basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV_12_02</td>
<td>8137425.81</td>
<td>299048.19</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>32.52</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sand/ Sandstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV_36</td>
<td>8068825.60</td>
<td>288165.00</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>24.19</td>
<td>21.98</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sand/ Sandstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV_01</td>
<td>8070051.34</td>
<td>231262.64</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>27.74</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandy Clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV_26</td>
<td>8096066.40</td>
<td>289747.90</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>37.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clayey sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borehole 1</td>
<td>Borehole 2</td>
<td>Borehole 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Mbanga</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Kasaya</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Munyeula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>17.45241667</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>17.45497222</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>17.06072222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>24.96958333</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>25.00333333</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>24.66130556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (µS/cm)</td>
<td>7250</td>
<td>EC (µS/cm)</td>
<td>12180</td>
<td>EC (µS/cm)</td>
<td>263</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sandstone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Clayed Fine sand</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clayed fine sand</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Sandstone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Clayed fine sand</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Sandstone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Clayed Fine sand</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Clayed fine sand</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Medium sand</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Clayed Fine sand</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Clayed fine sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole 4</th>
<th>Borehole 5</th>
<th>Borehole 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Siamundele</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>-17.17558</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>25.83619</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (µS/cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EC (µS/cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Top soil</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>