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A B S T R A C T

Recent epidemiological studies show a positive association between cancer incidence and high intake of processed meat. N-nitrosamines (NAs) in these products have been suggested as one potential causative factor. Most volatile NAs (VNAs) are classified as probable human carcinogens, whereas the carcinogenicity for the majority of the non-volatile NA (NVNA) remains to be elucidated. Danish adults (15–75 years) and children (4–6 years) consume 20 g and 16 g of processed meat per day (95th percentile), respectively. The consumption is primarily accounted for by sausages, salami, pork flank (spiced and boiled) and ham. This consumption results in an exposure to NVNA of 33 and 90 ng kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for adults and children, respectively. The exposure to VNA is significantly lower amounting to 0.34 and 1.1 ng kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for adults and children, respectively. Based on a BMDL10 of 29 μg kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) a MOE value ≥17,000 was derived for the exposure to NA known to be carcinogenic (VNA including NSAR), indicating an exposure of low concern. The exposure to the NVNA is substantially higher and if found to be of toxicological significance the exposure may be of concern.

1. Introduction

Several epidemiologic studies show associations between consumption of red and processed meat and increased risk of, e.g. colorectal cancer (Santarelli et al., 2008), stomach cancer (Larsson et al., 2006), pancreatic cancer (Larsson and Wolk, 2012) as well as increased risk of cardiovascular diseases and other causes of death (Rohrmann et al., 2013). The association was stronger for higher consumption of processed meat than for high consumption of red meat in several of these studies. In 2007 the scientifically based evidence led the World Cancer Research Fund to recommend that consumption of processed meat should be avoided whereas the Danish food authorities recommend limiting the consumption of processed meat (www.foedevarestyrelsen.dk). Rohrmann et al. (2013) estimated that consumption of more than 20 g of processed meat per day increased the mortality rate (Rohrmann et al., 2013).

Processed meat often is signified by the use of nitrite (E 249–E 250) or nitrate (E 251–E 252) for preservation, salting and, for some products, also smoking. Meat products preserved with nitrite and/or nitrate are associated with the occurrence of N-nitrosamines (NAs), of which many are genotoxic and classified as probable human carcinogens (IARC, 1978). The so-called volatile NA (VNA), which include, e.g. N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA), N-nitrosopyrrolidine (NPyR), N-nitrosopiperidine (NPIP) and N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDEA), occurs generally at low levels (<5 μg kg\(^{-1}\)) but levels up to 20 μg kg\(^{-1}\) has been reported (Hill et al., 1988; Massey et al., 1991). NDMA has been evaluated as the most potent carcinogen among the known meat related VNAs (Peto et al., 1984). The non-volatile NAs (NVNAs), which include the N-nitrosamines, e.g. N-nitrosohydroxyproline (NHPRO), N-nitrosoprolinine (NPRO), N-nitrososarcosine (NSAR), N-nitroslothiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid (NTCA), N-nitroso-2-methyl-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid (NMTCA), generally occur at significantly higher levels than the VNAs, i.e. up to several thousand microgram per kilo (Herrmann et al., 2014a; Massey et al., 1991; Tricker and Kubacki, 1992). With the exception of NHPRO and NPRO the carcinogenicity of the NVNAs are poorly elucidated (Tricker et al., 1991). For NTCA and NMTCA a literature study only revealed three in vitro genotoxicity studies of limited scope (Lin and Gruenwedel, 1990; Negishi et al., 1991; Umano et al., 1984) for NTCA and no studies for NMTCA. Thus, the toxicological significance of several of the NVNAs cannot be evaluated because of insufficient data.

Estimations of the dietary exposure to NAs are available in the literature though only for the VNA, NDMA, NPyR and in a few cases NPIP. Studies on the dietary exposure to NDMA published from 1978 to 1990 have been reviewed by Tricker et al. (1991) (Table 1). Since then others have published results on dietary exposure to NDMA, NPyR and NPIP (Table 1). The estimated exposures to VNA from all foods range from 80 ng day\(^{-1}\) (NDMA only) for the Finish population (1990), to 900 ng day\(^{-1}\) (NDMA, NPyR, NPIP) for the German
Dietary exposure levels for estimated to be 90, 1.6 and 5.5 ng day\(^{-1}\) for NDMA, NPYR and NPIP, respectively. The exposures to NDMA, NPYR and NPIP from meat products were the second largest source of dietary exposure levels for NDMA in beer, meat products became the primary dietary source of NDMA. Meat products were the second largest source of dietary NPYR and NPIP only surpassed by spices (Tricker et al., 1991). The exposures to NDMA, NPYR and NPIP from meat products were estimated to be 90, 1.6 and 5.5 ng day\(^{-1}\), respectively (Germany 1990). Another important source of VNA is fish products, being the major source of NDMA exposure in some countries (Tricker et al., 1991). Recently the exposure of the Dutch population to NDMA from food and beverages was estimated to range from 2 to 2701 ng day\(^{-1}\) (Western countries in average) (Herrmann et al., 2014a). Results from a recently performed survey on the occurrence of NAs in 70 samples of processed meat products available on the Danish market were applied for the exposure assessment. Details on this study are described elsewhere (Herrmann et al., 2014a). The NA contents of the samples were determined using a recently developed method allowing for the quantification of eight VNAs and five NVNAs (Herrmann et al., 2014b). In brief the homogenized samples (2.5 g) were extracted with acidified acetone (75 ml with 1% formic acid). After centrifugation the clear supernatant was frozen, defrosted and centrifuged again. An aliquot was concentrated by a factor of five under a gentle stream of nitrogen. An aliquot was mixed 1:1 with Milli-Q water, filtered and analyzed by LC (APCI/ESI)-MS/MS. The chromatographic separation was performed on an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with a Poroshell PhenylHexyl 150 × 2.1 mm, 3 μm column (Agilent Technologies) using water and methanol both with 0.1% formic acid as mobile phase. The MS/MS detection was performed on an Agilent 6460 Series Triple Quadrupole (Agilent Technologies) equipped with either an APCI or a Jet Stream ESI source. The quantitative and qualitative analyses were performed by external calibration and comparing retention times and quantifier ion/qualifier ion ratios obtained by analyzing NA standard solutions and spiked QC samples and comparing with the samples. The LOQs obtained with the described method were generally <1 μg kg\(^{-1}\), though with some exceptions for specific NA/meat product combinations. The validation results are presented in detail in Herrmann et al., 2014b.

The results of the survey are presented as the mean content in Table 2. Both the mean of all positive findings as well as the mean of all samples analyzed are presented. The latter mean values are the values applied for the exposure assessment. The non-detects were in this case set to zero.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Contents of NAs in processed meat products

Intakes of NA via processed meat products were estimated using two representative groups; i.e. Danish children 4–6 years of age and Danish Adults 15–75 years of age. The exposure level for the 6–14 year old children was not calculated because the consumption of processed meat is comparable to the consumption by the 4–6 year olds (Table 3) and the latter group will therefore be the most exposed group of the two.

Consumption data from the Danish National Survey of Diet and Physical Activity (DANSDA) (Pedersen et al., 2010) was used for estimation of the NA exposure for both groups. In this survey consumption of food and drink was recorded for seven consecutive days from a representative sample of 2700 Danes aged 4–75. The consumption data for each individual participant in the survey were available for the dietary estimation performed in the present work. The types of processed meat products traditionally preserved with nitrite included in the survey were: ham (specific recording of either boiled and smoked ham, boiled and canned ham or boiled and sliced ham), bacon, salami, sausages, medister sausage (raw sausage, Danish specificity), pork flank (spiced and boiled), meat sausage (pork based luncheon meat), smoked pork fillet, kassler (smoked, boiled pork saddle), salted meat (pork, luncheon meat), chicken breast (boiled, luncheon meat). More details on how the survey and handling of the consumption data were performed are available in Pedersen et al., 2010.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Exposure level</th>
<th>Food source (population)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDMA 0.1 μg day(^{-1}) (average bw 60 kg)</td>
<td>Food and beverages (the Netherlands)</td>
<td>Keszei et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDMA 0.03 μg day(^{-1}) (children 1 year old)</td>
<td>Fish/vegetables (the Netherlands)</td>
<td>Zeilmaier et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDMA 0.08 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Food (Finland)</td>
<td>Penttila et al., 1990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of NDMA, NPYR and NPIP 0.9 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Food (Germany 1980)</td>
<td>Tricker et al., 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of NDMA, NPYR and NPIP 0.3 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Food (Germany 1990)</td>
<td>Tricker et al., 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDMA 0.09 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Meat products (Germany 1990)</td>
<td>Tricker et al., 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPYR 0.0016 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Meat products (Germany 1990)</td>
<td>Tricker et al., 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPIP 0.0055 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Meat products (Germany 1990)</td>
<td>Tricker et al., 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNA 0.3–1 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Food (with cured meats and beer as major sources)</td>
<td>Tricker and Preussmann, 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVNA –10 to 100 μg day(^{-1})</td>
<td>Food (Western countries in average)</td>
<td>Tricker and Preussmann, 1991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The exposure level was presented in ng kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) and in order to have all the values presented as μg day\(^{-1}\) the values for a 1 year old and adults have been multiplied with 9.5 kg or 75 kg, respectively.
The Benchmark Dose Lower confidence Limit (BMDL), which represents the exposure to NVNAs and VNAs, i.e. 90 ng kg \(^{-1}\) day \(^{-1}\), and therefore also the highest exposure to NVNAs and VNAs, is derived from dose–response data and from several long-term carcinogenicity studies instead of one extensive study. For genotoxic compounds EFSA has expressed that if the BMDL \(_{90}\) is 10,000 times higher than the exposure (i.e. MOE of 10,000), the exposure is of low concern (EFSA, European Food Safety Authority, 2012).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Processed meat consumption and occurrence data

The 95th percentile of the mean consumption of processed meat consumption in Denmark derived from DANSDA (Pedersen et al., 2010) is summarized in Table 3. The amounts of processed meat consumed by the three different age groups are all in the range of 16–20 g per person per day. If the body weights (bw) of the three groups are taken into account, the 4–6 year olds (bw 18 kg) and the 6–14 year olds (bw 30 kg) consume about three and two times more processed meat per day than the 15–75 year olds (bw 72 kg), respectively. Thus, the 4–6 year old children will, because of their lower bodyweight, have the highest exposure (ng kg \(^{-1}\) day \(^{-1}\)). The types of processed meat consumed by the three groups are similar (Table 3). Sausages contribute most to the total consumption (25–30%) and salami accounts for the second largest fraction for both groups of children (13–20%). Pork flank (4–5 years) or ham (6–14 and 15–75 years) is the third most consumed product. Thus the high consumers, defined by the 95th percentile, of Danish population, consume on average 1.5 times the amount (20 g) reported to affect the mortality (Rohrmann et al., 2013).

According to data from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) the total consumption of processed meat product by the Danish population is similar to the consumption by the French adults, though only about half of that reported for the German and Norwegian adults. Sausages also account for the major part of the processed meats consumed by the French, German and Norwegian adults (Linseisen et al., 2002). A higher consumption of sausages accounts for the major part of the higher total consumption by the German and Norwegian adults. According to the survey summarized in Table 2 the VNAs are detected in nearly all samples taken from the Danish market. The mean levels of the individual VNAs were \(\leq 18\) μg kg \(^{-1}\), highest for NTCA. NTCA and NMTCA are found at concentration levels up to 4030 μg kg \(^{-1}\) and 39 μg kg \(^{-1}\), respectively.

VNAs are also detected in several samples though at considerably lower levels. The mean levels of the individual VNAs in samples from the Danish market are \(\leq 0.8\) μg kg \(^{-1}\). Of the targeted NA only N-nitrosodibutylamine is not found. NDBzA and NDPA were detected in a few samples and NHPRO were detected in about 40% of the samples. However, the contents of these three NAs could not be quantified with enough certainty using the developed method and contents of these have therefore not been included in the exposure calculation.

#### 3.2. Exposure assessment

The estimated mean exposure and the 95th percentile for the individual NAs and the sum of VNAs and VNAs are presented in Fig. 1A/1C and 1B/1D, respectively. The 4 to 5 year old children have the highest consumption of processed meat (g kg \(^{-1}\) day \(^{-1}\)) and therefore also the highest exposure to VNAs, i.e. 90 kg kg \(^{-1}\) day \(^{-1}\) (mean 37 ng kg \(^{-1}\) day \(^{-1}\)) and 1.1 ng kg \(^{-1}\) day \(^{-1}\) (mean 0.45 ng kg \(^{-1}\) day \(^{-1}\)), respectively (Fig. 1A and 1B). The total exposure to VNAs and VNAs is

### Table 2

Mean levels of VNA (uncolored columns) and NVNA (gray columns) (μg kg \(^{-1}\)) in processed meat products on the Danish market. The mean of all quantifiable contents and mean of all contents including non-detects each compound. The means are based on all positive findings including those below LOQ if the retention times and ion ratios matched those of the standard solutions or quality control samples. Non-detects were not included when calculating the means for the individual product groups nor when calculating the mean of “positive results, all products”. When calculating the means of “all results, all products” non-detects were set to zero.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Mean content (positive findings)</th>
<th>Mean content (all findings)</th>
<th>Samples with content (%)</th>
<th>Highest content (μg kg (^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrosodimethylamine</td>
<td>NDMA</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrosomorpholine</td>
<td>NMOR</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrosomethylthiophosphoramide</td>
<td>NMEP</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrosopyrophoramide</td>
<td>NPYR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrosodithylenimine</td>
<td>NDEA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrosopiperidined</td>
<td>NPIP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrososarcosine</td>
<td>NSAR</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrospoline</td>
<td>NPRO</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitrosomethylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>NMA</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitroso-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic</td>
<td>NTCR</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-nitroso-2-methyl-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic</td>
<td>NMTCA</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{BW: body weight.}\)
for adults was 33 and 0.34 ng kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) (mean 13 and 0.13 ng kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), respectively (Fig. 1C and 1D). NTCA accounted for about 90% of the total exposure to NVNAs for both children and adults. NMTCA and NPRO accounted for approximately 5 and 2% of the NVNA exposure, respectively. For the VNAs, NPYR and NDMA accounted for about 50% and 40% of the total exposure to VNAs for both children and adults, respectively (Fig. 1B and 1D). The classical VNAs (NDMA, NPYR, NPIP, NDEA) accounted for >90% of the exposure to VNAs. The exposure levels estimated in the present work for the VNA are in the same order of magnitude as the exposure levels reported by others (Table 1) (Keszei et al., 2013; Tricker et al., 1991). The exposure levels estimated for the NVNA though seems to be lower than the intake suggested by Tricker and Preussmann (1991). Since other NVNAs besides those included in the present study have been identified in processed meat (Janzowski et al., 1978; Sen et al., 1993; Tricker and Kubacki, 1992), the estimated exposure might thus be underestimated.

Ham and salami accounted for about 75% of the exposure to NVNA (Fig. 2A and 2C). Ham, salami and sausages accounted for about 70 and 80% of the exposure to VNA for adults and children, respectively (Fig. 2B and 2D). Thus, the present results indicate that ham, salami and sausages are the primary meat source of NA for the Danish population. In Germany a higher intake of NA is expected, partly due to the greater consumption of processed meat (Linseisen et al., 2002) and partly due to the less restrictive EU regulation on the use of nitrite for meat preservation, allowing for more nitrite to be added than the Danish provisions (Commission decision, L 247/55 of 25 May 2010). If more nitrite is added during processing the levels of NA generally increase (Gry et al., 1983; Herrmann et al., 2015). The primary meat source of NA is most likely sausages for the German and Norwegian populations since they consume 4–7 times more sausages than the Danish population (Linseisen et al., 2002).

### 3.3. Risk assessment

The NAs are relatively stable compounds but are activated metabolically, via hydroxylation catalyzed by enzymes of the cytochrome P450 family, and thereby they become carcinogenic. NDMA and NDEA are the most studied NA with regard to toxicity. Both NDMA and NDEA are carcinogenic in all of the animals they have been tested. The target organs are liver, respiratory tract and kidney (IARC, 1978). Because the NA needs to be metabolically activated to become carcinogenic the target organs are those with activity of the P450 enzyme with affinity for the relevant NA. E.g. NDMA is readily metabolized in the rat liver, less in rat kidney and lung, and consequently liver tumors are the primary endpoint in rats (Shank, 1975). Total liver tumors were found to be the most sensitive endpoint for rats exposed to NDMA (Zeilmaker et al., 2010). NPYR is non-carcinogenic in the rat esophagus whereas NPIP is a potent rat esophagus...
carcinogen. The activities of several P450 enzymes, including the P4502A subfamily, are in humans associated with polymorphism and are inducible by several xenobiotics (Su and Ding, 2004). Thus the degree to which NAs are metabolically activated may vary between individuals and result in variation in susceptibility to NA exposure. It is assumed that the more polar the NAs are rapidly excreted and therefore less likely to be metabolically activated. This is part of the reason why the hydroxylated NAs are less potent carcinogens than their un-substituted NAs (Lijinsky, 1987, 301–356).

A large number of studies on the carcinogenicity of the NA are available. The majority of these are smaller studies performed during the 1960s and 1970s. In general these studies show that long-term exposure of rats to NDMA or NDEA at levels of around 4 mg kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) leads to the development of tumors in up to 100% of the test animals (IARC, 1978). In general studies of sufficient quality and extent to allow for the estimation of Benchmark Dose (BMD) are limited. However, a comprehensive chronic administration study performed with 16 different concentrations of NDMA in water given to rats was performed by Peto et al. (1984). This study has been used by several researchers to define a BMDL value (Table 4, e.g. by Dybing et al. (2008) and Zeilmaker et al. (2010) to estimate a BMDL\(_{10}\) value for NDMA. Zeilmaker et al. 2010 derived a BMDL\(_{10}\) of 29 μg kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for NDMA chronic exposure when using total liver tumors as the most sensitive marker. This BMDL\(_{10}\) value is in good agreement with the BMDL\(_{10}\) of 27 μg kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) applied by the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety, 2012). Dybing et al. (2008) on the other hand derived a BMDL\(_{10}\) value of 62 μg kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) when using incidence of liver cell tumors as marker.

The different VNAs vary in their carcinogenic potency. NDMA, NPYR and NPIP all affected the same endpoint, i.e. total liver tumors but with descending potency, with NDMA being the most potent of the three (Peto et al., 1984). In the present study the BMDL\(_{10}\) of 29 μg kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) was conservatively chosen for the combined risk assessment for the total of VNA. Except for NDEA the VNAs are less potent carcinogens than NDMA. NSAR is a carcinogenic NVNA (IARC, 1978), though a much weaker one than NDMA. For a conservative approach we therefore found it relevant to include NSAR in the summed exposure to carcinogenic NA and thereby include it in the risk assessment.

With a VNA exposure level of 1.1 ng kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) and NSAR of 0.6 ng kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) (total carcinogenic NA of 1.7 ng kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\))

![Fig. 2. Processed meat products contributing to the exposure to NVNA (A and C) and VNA (B and D) for children (4–5 years of age) (A and B) and adults (15–75 years of age) (C and D).](image)
for children and a BMDL of 29,000 ng kg bw$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, the MOE is 17,000 for NA originating from processed meat and is thus higher than 10,000. By applying the same approach for adults the MOE is found to be 45,000 for NA originating from processed meat.

Hence the present risk assessment of the exposure to VNA from processed meat products is that it may be considered of low concern, a conclusion which is in accordance with the evaluation by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). However, the VNAs are genotoxic compounds and a “no effect level” may therefore not exist. Even small exposure levels may still have a genotoxic effect (Dybing et al., 2008). Further, it should also be cautioned that the results from this study are based on fairly limited number of results on the occurrence of NA in processed meat products.

Assuming that only the VNAs are of toxicological relevance, there may still be reason to be concerned about the occurrence of NA in processed meats, even though the present study indicates that the NA exposure from processed meat on the Danish market is of low concern based on the estimated MOE. Firstly, the population is also exposed to NA from sources other than processed meat. Exposure data from sources other than processed meat are needed in order to make a complete risk assessment for the population. Secondly, as mentioned earlier, the carcinogenic potential of the majority of the NVNAs is unknown or only very limited information is available. These NVNAs can occur in much higher concentrations than the VNAs and in order to fully assess the risk of NA exposure from processed meat products further toxicological studies are needed on NVNAs. Thirdly, other unidentified carcinogenic NAs might be produced when the conditions allow for the formation of the known NA.

4. Conclusion

The Danish population consume as a 95th percentile 16–20 g of processed meat per day primarily consisting of sausages, salami, pork flank (spiced and boiled) and ham. The exposure to VNA by the consumption of processed meat was found to be low (0.34–1.1 ng kg bw$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$), whereas the exposure to NVNAs was considerably higher (33–90 ng kg bw$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$). Adults (15–75 year old) and children (4–6 year old) consume almost the same amount of processed meat per day, resulting in a higher exposure for children because of their lower body weight. The calculated MOE (≥17,000) for the VNA exposure indicates that this is of low concern. In order to assess the significantly higher exposure to NVNAs the carcinogenic potential of these NAs needs to be elucidated.
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