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Abstract:
Fault diagnosis of a wind turbine rotor is considered. The faults considered are sensor faults and blades mounted with a pitch offset. A fault at a single blade will result in asymmetries in the rotor, which can be applied for fault diagnosis. The diagnosis is derived by using the multi-blade coordinate (MBC) transformation also known as the Coleman transformation together with active fault diagnosis (AFD). This transforms the setup from rotating to fixed frame coordinates. The rotor speed acts as the auxiliary input for the active diagnosis. The applied method take the varying rotor speed into account. Operation at different mean wind speeds is examined and it is discussed how to exploit the findings acquired by the investigation of the various faults.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In order to improve operation of wind turbines with respect to economy, condition monitoring, fault diagnosis and fault tolerant control are important tools that can be used to detect faults and determine the prober action.

The wind turbine can be subjected to various faults on various components: Using continuous wavelet transformation (CWT) Tsai et al. [2006] looked at blade damage detection and Watson et al. [2010] have investigated generator and drive train faults with CWT analysis of the generator power output sensor. Rotor condition monitoring for a number of different blade-specific faults have been examined by Caselitz and Giebhardt [2005].

Observer-based techniques for residual generation fault detection Frank and Ding [1994] on the other hand, take both the sensors and control actions into account and are thus able to detect faults even in closed-loop operation. To further enhance the fault diagnosis, active measures can be taken. In e.g. Niemann [2006], Poulsen and Niemann [2009] an auxiliary signal is injected into the system to aid the fault diagnosis.

Observer-based fault detection techniques have previously been applied on wind turbines e.g. Odgaard et al. [2009], Odgaard and Stoustrup [2010] and Wei and Verhaegen [2011]. For an overview of various fault detection and condition monitoring algorithms applied to wind turbines Hameed et al. [2009] can be consulted.

The multi-blade coordinate (MBC) transformation also denoted the Coleman transformation Coleman and Feingold [1958] enables a time-varying system to be transformed to a time-invariant system, when the rotor of the wind turbine, helicopter etc. is assumed isotropic, i.e. symmetric. MBC for dynamical analysis of the wind turbine is a strong tool and e.g. Hansen [2003] and Bir [2008] discusses the subject in much greater depth. If asymmetries do occur, the MBC transformed system will not be time-invariant. This property can be exploited to ease the fault diagnosis. The transformation of the wind turbine model, the nominal case will have zero-mean residuals and the faulty case will have non-zero-mean residuals. Furthermore, the strength of MBC is that time-varying system becomes time-invariant and that a individual pitching controller is easily described.

In this paper a wind turbine subjected to fault diagnosis is presented. The considered faults are offset in pitch actuator, offset on edgewise and flapwise strain gauge sensors for each blade. An observer-based residual generator will be applied and the residuals will be analyzed by a CUSUM test to detect and isolate faults. The rotation of the wind turbine acts as a natural injection of a periodic signal, which can be detected in the residuals in the faulty case. The varying rotor speed result in an injected signal with varying frequency. The presented detection method is able to handle the varying rotor speed and results show good
detection performance even in the presence of varying rotor speed.

Simulations are performed in the multi-body aero-servo-elastic software HAWC2 Larsen and Hansen [2007] developed by Risø DTU. The wind turbine used in the simulations is the 5 MW reference wind turbine defined in Jonkman et al. [2009]. The applied nominal model does not include all the degrees of freedom included in the HAWC2 simulation model. Accordingly, the nominal case is not entirely nominal and residuals are expected to have mean values different from zero even in the nominal case. The task is then to determine the nominal conditions and detect if the residuals differ from the nominal values.

A preliminary investigation of fault detection of the wind turbine rotor is given in Henriksen et al. [2011].

The outline of this paper is as follows: The MBC transformation is explained in Section 2 together with a presentation of the wind turbine model. The AFD approach is described in Section 3 including a discussion of the implementation by using an Extended Kalman filter. Simulation results are shown in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. MODEL FORMULATION

2.1 Multi-blade Coordinate Transformation

The Multi-blade Coordinate (MBC) Transformation enables the transformation from a rotating frame of reference to a fixed frame of reference. The azimuth angle \( \phi_i \) of each blade \( i = 1, \ldots, n_b \), assuming constant rotor speed \( \Omega \) and equal angular spacing between the blades, is given by

\[
\phi_i = \phi_0 + \Omega t - (i - 1) \pi / n_b \quad i = 1, \ldots, n_b
\]

and renders the MBC transformation a function of time \( t \) rather than the azimuth angle \( \phi_i \). For a 3-blades rotor, the azimuth angles can be combined in a vector, which is \( \phi = [\phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3]^T \). The temporal argument of states and transformation matrices in the following has been omitted to simplify notation.

The rotating frame coordinates \( q \) and the fixed frame coordinates \( q^f \) have the following relationship

\[ q^f = Mq \quad q = M^{-1} q^f \]

where the MBC transformation matrices are

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{3} & 1^T \\
\frac{2}{3} \cos \phi_1^T \\
\frac{2}{3} \sin \phi_1^T
\end{bmatrix}, \quad M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
1^T \\
\cos \phi_1^T \\
\sin \phi_1^T
\end{bmatrix}^T,
\]

and \( 1 = [1 1 1]^T \), \( \cos \phi = [\cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2 \cos \phi_3]^T \) and similarly for \( \sin \phi \).

\[
q = M^{-1} q^f \quad (2a)
\]

\[
\dot{q} = \dot{M}^{-1} q^f + M^{-1} \dot{q}^f \quad (2b)
\]

\[
\ddot{q} = \ddot{M}^{-1} q^f + 2M^{-1} \ddot{q}^f + M^{-1} \dddot{q}^f \quad (2c)
\]

where \( 2a \) is the base transformation and \( 2b \) is derived from \( \dot{q} = \frac{d}{dt}(M^{-1} q^f) \) and \( 2c \) from \( \ddot{q} = \frac{d}{dt}(M^{-1} q^f + M^{-1} \dot{q}^f) \). Here:

\[
\dot{M}^{-1} = \Omega \begin{bmatrix}
0^T \\
- \sin \phi_1^T \\
\cos \phi_1^T
\end{bmatrix}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{M}^{-1} = \Omega^2 \begin{bmatrix}
0^T \\
- \cos \phi_1^T \\
- \sin \phi_1^T
\end{bmatrix}^T
\]

The inverse transformations are given by

\[
q^f = Mq \quad (3a)
\]

\[
\dot{q}^f = \dot{M}^{-1} q^f + M \dot{q} \quad (3b)
\]

\[
\ddot{q}^f = \ddot{M}^{-1} q^f + 2 \dot{M}^{-1} \ddot{q} + M \dddot{q} \quad (3c)
\]

2.2 The MBC transformation applied on a state space model

A dynamic system in state space form can be expressed by a nonlinear ordinary differential equation vector function and a vector output function as

\[
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t), t) \quad (4a)
\]

\[
y(t) = g(x(t), u(t), t) \quad (4b)
\]

where states \( x \), inputs \( u \), outputs \( y \) and the vector functions \( f \) and \( g \) are all functions of time. In the following, the temporal arguments of states, inputs and outputs and vector functions have been omitted to simplify notation.

First order Taylor expansion around the linearization \((\bar{x}, \bar{u})\) yields

\[
\dot{x} = f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) + A(x - \bar{x}) + B(u - \bar{u}) \quad (5a)
\]

\[
y = g(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) + C(x - \bar{x}) + D(u - \bar{u}) \quad (5b)
\]

where the system matrices \((A, B, C, D)\) are functions of time. The linearization can be rewritten to

\[
\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + \delta, \quad \delta = f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) - A\bar{x} - B\bar{u} \quad (6a)
\]

\[
y = Cx + Du + \gamma, \quad \gamma = g(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) - C\bar{x} - D\bar{u} \quad (6b)
\]

for typical linear control theory the pair \((\bar{x}, \bar{u})\) is chosen to be an equilibrium point (such that \( 0 = f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \)), but the theory is also valid for other choices of \((\bar{x}, \bar{u})\).

A state space description of a wind turbine with fixed frame degrees of freedom \( x_1 \) e.g. tower fore-aft, rotor speed etc and rotating frame degrees of freedom \( x_2 = [q q^f] \) such as blade pitch angle \( q \) and blade pitch rate \( q^f \). Fixed frame inputs \( u_1 \) such as generator torque and rotating frame inputs \( u_2 \) such as pitch angle reference

\[
x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ q \\ q^f \end{bmatrix}, \quad u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{q} \\ \dot{q}^f \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)
\]

and outputs containing both rotating and fixed frame quantities.

The time-varying combined fixed and rotating frame system (7) can be transformed to a fixed frame time-invariant system where the states, inputs and outputs are transformed to the fixed frame of reference

\[
x^f = M_x x \quad \text{and} \quad u^f = M_u u \quad \text{and} \quad y^f = M_y y. \quad (8)
\]

The MBC transformations gives the fixed frame system equations

\[
\dot{x}^f = A^f x^f + B^f u^f + \delta^f \quad (9a)
\]

\[
y^f = C^f x^f + D^f u^f + \gamma^f \quad (9b)
\]

where
The state equation MBC transformation matrices are as are the residual vectors \( (\delta^f, \gamma^f) \) when rotating frame variables have been averaged in the linearization point coordinates: Additive perturbations give constant shown that additive and multiplicative perturbations re-

The MBC transformation for an isotropic rotor results in 2.3 MBC asymmetries regarding implementation. \( \text{The state vector, } u = [\Omega, \Omega_g, \Psi, \dot{\Psi}, \dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_g]^T \), \( \text{and } y = [\theta, \dot{\theta}, Q_g, \dot{Q}_g]^T \)

Here the state variables are: angular velocity of the rotor \( (\Omega) \), angular velocity of the generator \( (\Omega_g) \), the deflection and its derivative of the tower \( (\Psi, \dot{\Psi}) \), the wind speed and its derivative \( (V_t, \dot{V}_t) \), the induction wind speed \( (\bar{v}_n) \), the \( (n_b = 3) \) blade pitches and their derivatives \( (\theta, \dot{\theta}) \) and the generator torque \( (Q_g) \)

In the design model, the turbulent wind speed variation is modeled as a second order model. That is why the wind speed and its derivative \( (V_{t,i}, \dot{V}_{t,i}) \) are in the state vector.

The control actions are the reference to the three pitch actuators and the reference to the generator (developing a specific torque on the drive train). The pitch actuators are modeled as second order systems while the generator is modeled as a first order system.

In the design model we assume to measure the angular rotor speed \( (\Omega_m) \), the angular speed of the generator \( (Q_m) \), the acceleration of the tower top position \( (\dot{\Psi}_m) \), the generator torque \( (Q_m) \) and the produced electric power \( (P_m) \). For each blade we are measuring the root bending moments in edge and flap wise directions \( (Q_m, \dot{Q}_m) \), the actual pitch angles, their derivatives and their accelerations \( (\dot{\theta}_i, \ddot{\theta}_i, \dddot{\theta}_i) \). A more detailed description of the wind turbine model, used by the proposed method, is omitted here, but the reader is referred to e.g. Henriksen et al. [2011] for further details.

\[ x = [\Omega, \Omega_g, \Psi, \dot{\Psi}, \dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_g]^T \]
\[ u = [\theta_{ref,i}, Q_{g,ref}]^T \]
\[ y = [\theta_m, \dot{\theta}_m, \ddot{\theta}_m, Q_m, \dot{Q}_m, P_m]^T \]

In the following section, the theory for an active/passive fault diagnosis (FD) method is presented. The method is based on the passive fault diagnosis found in e.g. Frank and Ding [1994] and on the active fault diagnosis found in e.g. Niemann [2006], Niemann and Poulsen [2008]

The system shown in Fig. 1(a), is described by:

\[ x = [\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}_g, \dot{\Psi}, \dot{\dot{\Psi}}, \dot{\dot{\Omega}}, \dot{\dot{\Omega}}]^T \]
\[ u = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta_{ref,i} \\ \dot{\theta}_{ref,i} \\
\end{array} \right] \]
\[ y = [\theta_m, \dot{\theta}_m, \ddot{\theta}_m, Q_m, \dot{Q}_m, P_m]^T \] (14)

where the output vectors \( e \) and \( y \) are control objectives and measurements, respectively. The input vectors \( d \) and \( u \) are input disturbance and control vector, respectively. The transfer functions are functions of the parameter vector \( p = [p_1, \ldots]^T \), where \( p = 0 \) is the nominal case. Further, let the system be controlled by a stabilizing controller

\[ \Sigma_p : \begin{cases} e = G_{eu}(p)d + G_{eu}(p)u \\ y = G_{yu}(p)d + G_{yu}(p)u \end{cases} \] (12)

where the nominal system given by \( G_{yu}(0) \) and the stabilizing controller \( K \) can be subjected to a co-prime factorization

\[ G_{yu}(0) = NM^{-1} = \bar{N}^{-1}\bar{M} \]
\[ K = UV^{-1} = \bar{V}^{-1}\bar{U} \] (14)
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where
\[ \epsilon \]
to investigate the residual signal
\[ \eta \]
determine whether or not the observed system is in its
is an auxiliary input applied for active fault
directly into the
instead of injecting an auxiliary input
the injection of a known signal into the system either the
will result
in a zero-mean residual signal \( \epsilon \). This can be exploited
to determine whether or not the observed system is in its
state setup described above; it will only change the
injection point for the diagnosis/auxiliary signal.

3.2 Evaluation of residual signals

The injection of a known signal into the system either the
the auxiliary signal \( \eta \) via the control signal
or if the disturbance signal \( d \) is known, can be used to
investigate the residual signal \( \epsilon \). If e.g. a sinusoidal
signal with the frequency \( \omega \) is injected into the system,
the residual will also be a sinusoidal signal with the
same frequency. Two new signals can be formed from the
residual signal
\[ c^\alpha = \epsilon \cos(\omega t + \alpha) \] and \( s^\alpha = \epsilon \sin(\omega t + \alpha) \)
where \( \alpha \) is a specific search direction. A typical choice in
connection to wind turbines are 0, 60, 120, 180, 240 and
300 degrees, see the simulation results in section 4.1 and
Fig. 2. The signals \( c^\alpha \) and \( s^\alpha \) can be examined by various
detection algorithms. A simple detection algorithm is the
CUSUM test, which in a one-sided version is given by
\[ z^\alpha_{k+1} = \max\left( z^\alpha_{k} + \frac{\tau_k}{\sigma} c^\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2}, 0 \right) \]
where \( \tau_k \) is a time step scaling factor, which for the wind
turbine example is \( \tau_k = \Omega_{\text{nom}}/\Omega \), the scaling factor enables
similar detection times for different rotation speeds. The
standard deviation of \( c^\alpha \) and \( s^\alpha \) is denoted \( \sigma \), and \( \gamma \) is a tuning
parameter.

3.3 Residual Generator: Extended Kalman Filter

One method to obtain a residual generator is to apply a
Kalman filter. Elements from the innovation vector \( v \) can
then be applied as the residual vector \( \epsilon \) given in (15).
Due to the fact that the applied wind turbine model is
non-linear, an extended Kalman filter (EKF) is used to
estimate the states and generate the residuals used by the
fault diagnosis algorithm.
The \textit{a posteriori} estimate of the states with the time index
\( k|k \), meaning estimate at time \( k \) given by the knowledge
available at time \( k \), is given by
\[ \hat{x}_{k|k} = \hat{x}_{k|k-1} + L_k v_k \]
\[ v_k = M y_k - g(M^{-1} \hat{x}_{k|k-1}, M^{-1} u^T) \]
Enabling an \textit{a priori} estimate of the states with the time index
\( k+1|k \), meaning estimate at time \( k + 1 \) given
knowledge available at time \( k \), given by
\[ \hat{x}_{k+1|k} = f^T(\hat{x}_{k|k}, u^T) \]
where the Kalman gain \( L_k \), output error covariance \( \Psi_k \)
and the discrete time recursive Riccati equation are given by
\[ L_k = P_{k|k-1} C_{k|k-1}^T \Psi_{k-1} \]
\[ \Psi_k = C_{k|k-1}^T P_{k|k-1} C_{k|k-1} + R_y \]
\[ P_{k|k+1} = A_k^T P_{k|k} A_k + R \]
respectively. The state estimate used by the full-state
feedback control algorithm can either be the \textit{a posteriori}
\( \hat{x}_{k|k} \) or the \textit{a priori} \( \hat{x}_{k|k-1} \).

4. WIND TURBINE EXAMPLE

Active fault diagnosis involves an active probe or excitation
signal. However, the wind turbine is already exposed
to such a natural excitation signal. As the wind turbine
rotates, the blades alternate between high and low wind
speeds caused by the spatially distributed turbulent wind
field. Also the wind shear, caused by the ground friction,
making the wind speeds nearer ground lower than wind speeds at higher altitudes.

The rotor speed varies more or less with wind speed depending on the mode of operation. At partial load, below rated wind speed, the wind turbine controller attempts to maximize the power capture by keeping the ratio between wind speed and rotor speed at its optimum value. Thus the rotor speed varies and the disturbance signal injected into the system varies in frequency. The rotor azimuth angle \( \phi \) can be used as input to the two residual derived signals

\[
e = \epsilon \cos(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad s = \epsilon \sin(\phi)
\]

or

\[
en = \epsilon \cos(\phi + \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad sn = \epsilon \sin(\phi + \alpha)
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the principal direction (or phase) of investigation.

4.1 Simulation Results

Simulations (100 sec) have been performed with the high fidelity simulation software HAWC2 (Larsen and Hansen [2007]) developed to wind turbine simulations by Risø DTU. The presented simulations are performed with a mean wind speed of 5, 10 and 15 m/s. The wind turbine operates then under both partial and full load conditions. A power law wind shear with coefficient of 0.14 and a Mann turbulence model (Mann [1998]) with turbulence intensity of 0.16 as well as a potential flow tower shadow model is used in the simulation. The wind turbine used in the simulation is the 5 MW reference wind turbine defined in Jonkman et al. [2009].

Four different situations are investigated.

0 The nominal case (or the fault free case).
1 Offset in in pitch actuator. The offsets are 0.5 deg and 1 deg.
2 Offset on edgewise strain gauge sensor. The offsets are 5000 Nm and 10,000 Nm.
3 Offset in flapwise strain gauge sensor. The offsets are 500 Nm and 1000 Nm.

The extended Kalman filter presented in Section 3.3 is used as the residual generator. Here, the residuals are the innovations \( r_k = y_k - \hat{y}_k \) of appropriate sensors (see section 2). In this discussion we are using the edge and flap wise strain gauge sensors (measuring the blade root moment).

The focus of this paper is isolation and the detection problem is thoroughly discussed in Henriksen et al. [2011]. In order to isolate between the faults mentioned above, the mean and variance of \( e_n \) and \( s_n \) are determined. The results are presented in Fig. 2 for \( \alpha = 0 \) i.e. for investigating faults in blade 1. The means and the confidence areas (ellipsoids) are plotted for different faults (and the nominal case). The radii of the ellipsoids encircling the mean values represent standard deviations of the \( (e_n, s_n) \)-residuals.

The results are given in Fig. 2. The mean values are indicated with symbols (\( \diamond, \Box, + \) and \( x \)). The uncertainties are indicated by means of an ellipsoid and a color as given below.

0 The nominal case (or the fault free case).
1 Offset in in pitch actuator. Red and \( \Box \).
2 Offset on edgewise strain gauge sensor. Blue and +
3 Offset in flapwise strain gauge sensor. Green and x.

If only one sensor is considered (see e.g. panel a in Fig. 2) then an isolation between the different faults is not possible (the sign and the size of fault is unknown). However, if several residuals are combined then an isolation is possible. If for example panels a and d (or b and e or c and f) are combined, then it is easy to isolate faults in flap wise strain gauge sensor from other faults. Other type of faults can be isolated if other sensors are included in the analysis.

5. CONCLUSION

The focus in this paper is fault isolation in wind turbines. This is exemplified by faults in pitch actuator and in sensors for blade root moments (strain gauges). Faults present at only one blade will result in asymmetries, which can easily be detected when using the multi-blade coordinate transformation also known as the Coleman transformation. Active fault diagnosis involves an auxiliary or probing signal. In connection to wind turbine the rotation of the rotor and generator generates an auxiliary signal enabling the monitoring of the turbine. When using the azimuth angle of the rotor as independent variable he proposed method takes the varying rotor speed into account. If combining results from several sensors, a fault can be isolated from others. Single faults in different blades can also be isolated.
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Fig. 2. Mean values of \((c,s)\)-residuals generated by nominal and fault cases for blade root bending sensors in edge- and flapwise directions. The the radii of the ellipsoids encircling the mean values represent standard deviations of the \((c,s)\)-residuals. The faults are offset in pitch actuator (red and \(\ominus\)), offset in strain gauge in flapwise direction (green and \(\times\)) and strain gauge in edgewise direction (blue and \(+\)).
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