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ABSTRACT

We present the first high-energy X-ray (>10 keV) observations of the non-thermal filament G359.97-0.038 using the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR). This filament is one of approximately 20 X-ray filaments of unknown origin located in the central 20 pc region in the Galactic Center near Sgr A*. Its NuSTAR and Chandra broadband spectrum is characterized by a single power law with $\Gamma = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ that extends from 2 to 50 keV, with an unabsorbed luminosity of $1.3 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($d/8$ kpc)$^2$ in the 2–8 keV band. Despite possessing a cometary X-ray morphology that is typical of a pulsar wind nebula (PWN) in high-resolution Chandra imaging, our spatially resolved Chandra spectral analysis found no significant spectral softening along the filament as would be expected from particle synchrotron cooling. Coincident radio emission is detected using the Very Large Array at 5.5 and 8.3 GHz. We examine and subsequently discard a PWN or magnetic flux tube as the origin of G359.97-0.038. We use broadband spectral characteristics and a morphological analysis to show that G359.97-0.038 is likely an interaction site between the shell of Sgr A East and an adjacent molecular cloud. This is supported by CS molecular line spectroscopy and the presence of an OH maser.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Galactic Center (GC) is a densely populated region that is home to a number of energetic thermal and non-thermal objects. In addition to Sagittarius A*, several supernova remnants (SNRs), H II regions, molecular clouds (MCs), and pulsar wind nebulae (PWNe) have been identified and studied (e.g., Baganoff et al. 2001; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). Of the approximately 20 filaments below 10 keV (Muno et al. 2008), several supernova remnants (SNRs), H II regions, molecular clouds (MCs), and pulsar wind nebulae (PWNe) have been identified and studied (e.g., Baganoff et al. 2001; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). Of the approximately 20 filaments below 10 keV (Muno et al. 2008), several supernova remnants (SNRs), H II regions, molecular clouds (MCs), and pulsar wind nebulae (PWNe) have been identified and studied (e.g., Baganoff et al. 2001; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014).

A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). A commonly accepted scenario for the small NTFs is a PWN from Sgr A East; Lu et al. 2003; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014).
GC observed by Chandra and XMM-Newton, G359.97-0.038 is the second to be detected in the hard X-ray band by Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR). Multi-wavelength analysis, both spectral and spatial, has already shown Sgr A-E to likely be a magnetic flux tube and not a PWN (Zhang et al. 2014). Similarly for G359.97-0.038, examining NuSTAR data concurrently with radio and soft X-ray observations provides broadband information that can elucidate its nature.

G359.97-0.038 has been previously observed in the X-ray band below 10 keV (Muno et al. 2008; Lu et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2009). Muno et al. (2008) measured a power-law spectrum with a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ and a 2–8 keV luminosity of $1 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Lu et al. (2008) and later Johnson et al. (2009) were able to increase the exposure and reported photon indices of $\Gamma = 1.4^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$ and $\Gamma = 1.4^{+0.8}_{-0.3}$, respectively. Assuming a distance of 8 kpc, the 2–8 keV luminosities were $L_X = 8.4 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $L_X = 1.5 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$. No pulsations were detected.

Based on its spectral and spatial properties, G359.97-0.038 was first tentatively classified as a PWN by Muno et al. (2008). Lu et al. (2008) attempted to confirm this hypothesis by analyzing the filament in two segments to search for the spectral softening that would be expected from a PWN. The first region encloses the point-like object located toward the SW of the filament and the second region captured the streaming tail to the NE. However, these results proved inconclusive. The photon indices of the tail and the head, $\Gamma = 1.2^{+1.0}_{-0.5}$ and $\Gamma = 1.6^{+0.3}_{-0.0}$, respectively, agree within uncertainties and these observations were not able to confirm G359.97-0.038 as a PWN.

In this work we present Chandra and NuSTAR observations to further explore the filament. NuSTAR extends the X-ray spectrum up to 50 keV for the first time, while the Chandra data improve the low-energy exposure from that previously reported, allowing for improved spatially resolved spectroscopy to probe spectral softening along the filament. Radio data at 5.5 and 8.3 GHz provide spectral and morphological information at lower energies. We also consider $\gamma$-ray data from the Fermi observatory. We utilize the resulting spectral energy density (SED) analysis from the radio to $\gamma$-ray bands and compare the emission from G359.97-0.038 to that expected from a typical PWN.

**2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS**

**NuSTAR**, the first focusing telescope in orbit operating in the 3–79 keV energy band, provides a unique perspective of the GC. Two co-aligned optics pairs with corresponding focal planes referred to as focal plane modules (FPMA and FPMB), have angular resolution of 18$''$ full width at half-maximum (FWHM), 58$''$ half power diameter (HPD), an energy resolution of 400 eV (FWHM) at 10 keV, and a 12$'\times12'\times12'\times12'$ field of view (Harrison et al. 2013).

**NuSTAR** data were obtained from three Sgr A* observations spanning 2012 July 20 to 2012 October 10 (Barrière et al. 2014). Other **NuSTAR** observations were also centered on Sgr A*; however, those data were not useful since the emission from G359.97-0.038 was obscured by either bright X-ray transients or the magnetar SGR J1745-29 (Mori et al. 2013).

Each individual observation, listed in Table 1, was registered to J2000 coordinates using known Chandra positions of objects detected in the **NuSTAR** field of view following the procedure described in Nynka et al. (2013). The centroiding errors (90% confidence level) are $\sim3''$ in both right ascension and declination, which combine for a total position uncertainty of $3''$.

We used *nupipeline* (NuSTARDAS v. 1.1.1) to process all NuSTAR observations and generate response files and exposure maps for spectral and spatial analysis. XSPEC v. 12.8.1 from HEASOFT v. 6.14 was used to determine spectral parameters. Photon arrival times were corrected for onboard clock drift and precessed to the solar system barycenter using the JPL-DE200 ephemeris and the Chandra position. The nominal NuSTAR timing absolute accuracy is 3 ms.

We utilize the available Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS-I) observations that span 11 yr, for a cumulative exposure of 1.47 Ms (see Table 1). This is an increase of $\sim60\%$ from the 927 ks analyzed by Muno et al. (2008), and an increase of $\sim30\%$ in the number of photons presented by Johnson et al. (2009). The data were extracted following the point source and diffuse emission recipes from the ACIS EXTRACT (AE) software package (Broos et al. 2010), along with CIAO version 4.5 and associated CALDB version 4.5.6. AE automates the process of extracting events from individual ObsIDs, generating spectral products, and ultimately combining these spectral products into a composite data set for analysis. As input for AE, we generated cleaned, flare-free event lists and byproducts following standard CIAO analysis threads. For point sources, AE generates point-spread function (PSF) corrections to compensate for the varying PSF size as a function of energy. Given that the encircled energy fraction for even a 10$'\times10'$ diameter circular region can be as low as $\sim92\%$ at 8 keV, and that the regions presented here were 7$''$ or narrower in width, we applied a PSF correction to the extracted spectra. These differential corrections amount to $\sim1\%$ and $\sim10\%$ at 1.4 keV and 8 keV, respectively.

The detailed procedures for the reduction of the Very Large Array data are described in Zhao et al. (2009) and Zhao et al. (2013). Tapering and weighting the data, Sgr A East and the surrounding region were imaged at 5.5 and 8.3 GHz with a common clean beam 1.65 $\times$ 1.65 (10.3) in size. The flux densities were determined by integrating the emission from the extracted regions. A mean brightness of 8 and 4 $\mu$Jy pixel$^{-1}$ in the surrounding area of G359.97-0.038 was estimated for the 5.5 and 8.3 GHz images, respectively. Using the values of the mean brightness, the raw flux densities were corrected for this background emission.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NuSTAR ObsIDs</th>
<th>Start Date (UTC)</th>
<th>Exposure (ks)</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30001002001</td>
<td>2012 Jul 20</td>
<td>166.2</td>
<td>Sgr A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30001002003</td>
<td>2012 Aug 4</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>Sgr A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30001002004</td>
<td>2012 Oct 16</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>Sgr A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandra ACIS-I ObsIDs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1561b, 2943, 2951, 2952, 2953, 2954, 3392, 3393, 3549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3663, 3665, 4684, 5360, 5950, 5951 5952, 5953, 5954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6363, 6639, 6640, 6642, 6644, 6645, 6646, 7554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7556, 7557, 7558, 9169, 9170, 9171, 9172, 9173, 9174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10556, 11843, 12949, 13016, 13017, 13438, 13508</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** The exposure times listed are corrected for good time intervals. The total Chandra exposure time is $1.47 \times 10^6$ s, spanning 11 yr (2000–2011) of observations.
3. IMAGE ANALYSIS

We created mosaicked, exposure-corrected images from the *NuSTAR* observations listed in Table 1 in various energy bands. The 10–40 keV image is shown in Figure 1. This energy range was chosen to reduce the contribution from the thermal emission of Sgr A East that dominates the GC below 10 keV due to the broad *NuSTAR* PSF. Visible in the top left of the image is the Cannonball, a high-velocity neutron star with hard X-ray emission (Nynka et al. 2013). G359.97-0.038 is located in the middle of the image, indicated by the green circle. Overlaid in white are the 2–8 keV contours from *Chandra*.

G359.97-0.038 is detected by *NuSTAR* above 20 keV with a significance of $\sim 5\sigma$. We investigated the high-energy morphology of G359.97-0.038 by following the procedure outlined in Nynka et al. (2013). Briefly, this involves fitting a symmetric two-dimensional Gaussian profile convolved with the *NuSTAR* PSF by using the CIAO fitting application Sherpa (Fruscione et al. 2006). The centroid position matched within the uncertainty to the location of the X-ray peak emission determined by *Chandra*.

A high-resolution 5.5 GHz image is presented in Figure 2 with the *Chandra* 2–8 keV contours of G359.97-0.038 in white. The central bright point-like object in the X-ray band is coincident with a region of bright 5.5 GHz emission. There are several important differences to note, however. The radio emission is more compact than the X-ray emission, with a length of $\sim 10''$ compared to $\sim 20''$ observed by *Chandra*. Additionally, the filamentary structure from 2–8 keV extends NE from the point-like object while the radio emission extends SE.

4. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

4.1. *NuSTAR* Hard Energy X-Ray Spectrum

We extracted *NuSTAR* data from the observations listed in Table 1. The circular region used for source extraction ($r = 15''$) was centered on the bright X-ray emission in the *NuSTAR* image (R.A. 17h45m42s, decl. $-28^\circ 58' 43'' 48$, J2000). This region size was chosen to reduce the contamination of thermal emission from nearby Sgr A East. The spectrum of G359.97-0.038 was modeled as an absorbed power law using $tbabs$ for the hydrogen column with the molecular abundances and cross sections set to $wilms$ and $vern$, respectively (Wilms et al. 2000; Verner et al. 1996).

The *NuSTAR* data are subject to four different background components: detector background, cosmic X-ray background (CXB), thermal emission from Sgr A East, and hard X-ray...
diffuse emission. We subtracted the detector and CXB emission and modeled the latter two, each outlined below in further detail.

First, spectral subtraction was used to remove detector background and CXB. This includes emission intrinsic to the detector chip itself as well as emission that bypasses the optics and falls directly on the detectors to form a non-uniform pattern (Wik et al. 2014). This pattern is the same for observations that have similar position angles, as do those listed on Table 1. We therefore pair the observations such that the detector region containing G359.97-0.038 in one observation contains only the detector background in another observation. A more detailed explanation can be found in Krivonos et al. (2014).

Next, we modeled the thermal contribution from Sgr A East, the edge of which is located ~20′′ from G359.97-0.038. Any extraction region centered on G359.97-0.038 will contain emission from Sgr A East due to the NuSTAR HPD of 58′′. We therefore included a thermal component apec in the NuSTAR spectral model. The temperature as well as the abundance were left as variable parameters.

Last, we incorporated a model of the diffuse hard X-ray emission (DHXE) that is visible in the GC above 20 keV (Perez et al. 2015). First revealed by NuSTAR, this emission is aligned along the Galactic plane and is approximately 8 pc × 4 pc in size. It is thought to be caused by unresolved faint point sources. Its spectrum is well modeled by a single non-thermal power law with a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.5$. The normalization was chosen to correctly account for the flux contained in the $r = 15''$ extraction region based on the spatial model presented in Perez et al. (2015).

In order to better constrain the low-energy behavior as well as the absorption column, the NuSTAR data were jointly fit with data from Chandra. The narrow Chandra PSF (FWHM < 1″) allows the extracted spectra to contain only G359.97-0.038 emission and detector background, which was subtracted using a region on the same detector chip away from the source. The Chandra data were fit with an absorbed power-law model, with all of the parameters linked to the neutral hydrogen column density and power-law components in the NuSTAR model.

A multiplicative parameter $const$ was introduced in order to account for any normalization offsets between the Chandra and NuSTAR data sets.

The NuSTAR data set is modeled by $\text{tbabs} \ast (\text{poG359.97} + \text{apec} + \text{pDHXE})$

while the Chandra spectral model is

$\text{tbabs} \ast (\text{poG359.97})$.

The resultant spectral fit is shown in Figure 3 and in Table 2. This is the first direct detection of G359.97-0.038 above 10 keV, and clearly shows emission up to 40 keV. The best-fit photon index for G359.97-0.038 is $\Gamma = 1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$, consistent with previously reported values of the whole region (Lu et al. 2004; Johnson et al. 2009). The best-fit column density is $N_H = (1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$. The thermal component was best fit to $kT = 3.6 \pm 0.5$ keV, consistent with what is expected near the edge of the Sgr A East SNR shell (Sakano et al. 2004).

As a confirmation of our results, we fit the Chandra data alone with a simple absorbed power-law model. The fit parameters of $N_H = (1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\Gamma = 1.4 \pm 0.3$ are consistent with the results obtained with the joint NuSTAR and Chandra data.

### 4.2. Spatially Resolved Spectral Analysis

We extracted Chandra data from the observations listed in Table 1. We first analyzed the spectrum of the entire filament. Then we analyzed five smaller regions in order to search for spectral softening that would help support a PWN origin of G359.97-0.038. The left of Figure 4 shows the four rectangular subdivisions chosen to study the spectral evolution along the filament, as well as an additional elliptical region labeled “point” centered on the region of brightest emission. The spectra were all fit with an absorbed non-thermal power-law continuum.

The absorption of the subdivisions was fixed to $N_H = 1.5 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$. The results are consistent with the values obtained with joint NuSTAR and Chandra data, described in Section 4.1.

The photon indices shown in Figure 4 indicate a slight spectral softening when moving northeast along the filament, as would be expected for a PWN. However, this trend is not statistically significant as all of the indices overlap within their 90% uncertainties.

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Best-fit Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_H(10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$1.3 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power-law index $\Gamma$</td>
<td>$1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2 (\text{dof})$</td>
<td>0.97(363)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_X(2–8$ keV)$^a$</td>
<td>$9.8 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_X(2–8$ keV)$^b$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{33}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_X(20–40$ keV)$^b$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_X(20–40$ keV)$^b$</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{33}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** Best-fit parameters of G359.97-0.038, from joint fit of NuSTAR and Chandra data. The errors are 90% confidence level. Flux values are obtained from the filament absorbed power-law component.

$^a$ Flux units are erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

$^b$ Luminosity units are erg s$^{-1}$.
"Point" refers to the elliptical region centered on the point-like emission. "Head," "Tail 1, 2, 3" define rectangular extraction regions. The image was smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (FWHM $= 0.038$. The errors are $1\sigma$.

Best-fit radio spectral indices and fluxes from subregions of G359.97-0.038. The shape of the resultant PWN SED curve is determined by several parameters such as the age of the nebula, the time-dependent magnetic field, and the spectrum of the relativistic electrons streaming from the pulsar. Multiwavelength SEDs show that synchrotron radiation from a PWN spans from the radio to the hard X-ray band above which the luminosity begins to rapidly decrease. The same relativistic electrons also interact with UV and IR photons from the surroundings to create IC emission bright in GeV and TeV $\gamma$-rays. In Section 5.2 we show that G359.97-0.038 cannot be a PWN.

Magnetic flux tubes, or locally enhanced magnetic field lines, interact with nearby relativistic electrons that are accelerated in the magnetic field. While the resultant emission produces synchrotron and IC radiation similar to that of PWNe, differences in magnetic field strengths along with both spectral and morphological characteristics can be used to distinguish a magnetic flux tube from a PWN. In Section 5.3 we argue that G359.97-0.038 is not a magnetic flux tube.

In contrast to both a PWN and flux tube, an SNR–MC interaction will emit X-rays via non-thermal bremsstrahlung radiation. Broadband SED features as well as morphological characteristics of SN shells known to be interacting with dense MCs match those observed in G359.97-0.038, as presented in Section 5.4.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. What is G359.97-0.038?

*NuSTAR* has detected hard X-ray emission up to 40 keV from the filament G359.97-0.038. After Sgr A-E (Zhang et al. 2014), this is the second detection of an NTF at energies higher than 10 keV. Common astrophysical mechanisms that can produce such hard non-thermal X-ray emission include synchrotron radiation, bremsstrahlung, and inverse-Compton (IC) scattering.

The means to distinguish these mechanisms involve the possible astrophysical objects that are generally attributed to NTFs. We therefore examine three possible underlying explanations for G359.97-0.038: a PWN, a magnetic flux tube accelerating local electrons, and a region of interaction between an SNR and an MC. Each has a distinct broadband energy spectrum, thereby allowing us to determine the nature of G359.97-0.038.

Both a PWN and a magnetic flux tube can produce bright synchrotron emission in the X-ray band, generated by charged relativistic electrons interacting with strong magnetic fields. The shape of the resultant PWN SED curve is determined by several parameters such as the age of the nebula, the time-dependent magnetic field, and the spectrum of the relativistic electrons streaming from the pulsar. Multiwavelength SEDs show that synchrotron radiation from a PWN spans from the radio to the hard X-ray band above which the luminosity begins to rapidly decrease. The same relativistic electrons also interact with UV and IR photons from the surroundings to create IC emission bright in GeV and TeV $\gamma$-rays. In Section 5.2 we show that G359.97-0.038 cannot be a PWN.

Magnetic flux tubes, or locally enhanced magnetic field lines, interact with nearby relativistic electrons that are accelerated in the magnetic field. While the resultant emission produces synchrotron and IC radiation similar to that of PWNe, differences in magnetic field strengths along with both spectral and morphological characteristics can be used to distinguish a magnetic flux tube from a PWN. In Section 5.3 we argue that G359.97-0.038 is not a magnetic flux tube.

In contrast to both a PWN and flux tube, an SNR–MC interaction will emit X-rays via non-thermal bremsstrahlung radiation. Broadband SED features as well as morphological characteristics of SN shells known to be interacting with dense MCs match those observed in G359.97-0.038, as presented in Section 5.4.

5.2. G359.97-0.038 as a PWN

G359.97-0.038 has been conventionally described as a PWN. A PWN is formed when a relativistic wind of electron/positron pairs streaming from a pulsar experience a termination shock close to the pulsar itself (e.g., Gaensler & Slane 2006). As the particles propagate outward from the termination shock they emit synchrotron radiation, and the cooling time of the leptons is inversely proportional to their energy ($\tau \propto 1/E$). This results in a radially dependent spectrum whose photon index softens at larger distances from the pulsar. Such effects have been well-studied over a broad X-ray energy range in G21.5-0.9, MSH 15–52, and the Crab (e.g., Nynka et al. 2014; An et al. 2014; K. K. Madsen et al., in preparation). The synchrotron emission for most PWNe is visible from the radio through the X-ray band, while IC emission extends from GeV to TeV energies.

---

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Flux Density (mJy)</th>
<th>Spectral Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5 GHz</td>
<td>8.31 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front</td>
<td>17.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Best-fit radio spectral indices and fluxes from subregions of G359.97-0.038. The errors are $1\sigma$ confidence level.

---

Figure 4. Left: *Chandra* 0.7–8.0 keV image of G359.97-0.038, covering the same field of view as Figure 2. Overlaid in green are the regions chosen for analysis. "Point" refers to the elliptical region centered on the point-like emission. "Head," "Tail 1, 2, 3" define rectangular extraction regions. The image was smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (FWHM $= 1$ pixel) and the color is presented in a linear scale. Right: photon indices of G359.97-0.038 obtained with *Chandra*, with error bars representing 90% confidence.
When considering the X-ray morphology from *Chandra* and *NuSTAR* alone, G359.97-0.038 indeed resembles a PWN. Visible in the left of Figure 4 are a point-like bright head, which is the presumed location of the pulsar, and an extended tail representing the nebula streaming behind it. Assuming the cometary structure of G359.97-0.038 is caused by the proper motion of the pulsar, the trajectory would be toward the SW along the length of the filament. Several other PWNe exhibit this same elongated morphology, such as the Mouse nebula (Gaensler et al. 2004).

Consistent with a PWN, the non-thermal power-law spectrum shows no evidence of line emission. The power-law photon index of $\Gamma \sim 1.3$ and $2-10$ keV luminosity $L_X \sim 1.64 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ are also consistent with those of a typical PWN, which have nominal ranges of $\Gamma \sim 1.1-2.4$ and $2-10$ keV luminosities from $4 \times 10^{32}$ to $2 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Gaensler & Slane 2006).

Two other features commonly used to identify PWNe did not provide conclusive evidence as to the nature of G359.97-0.038. Due to the energy-dependent lifetime of synchrotron radiation, PWNe exhibit spectral softening when moving from the pulsar to the edge of the PWN. Soft X-ray analysis of the subdivisions of G359.97-0.038 shows no statistical softening in the spectral indices due to the large uncertainties in the fit parameters (see Figure 4). Second, *NuSTAR* statistics were too poor to provide a meaningful limit on pulsation from the point-like region, which should contain a pulsar.

However, three key broadband features conclusively rule out the PWN hypothesis for G359.97-0.038. We examine each of these in turn.

First, the radio and X-ray morphologies do not match with those expected from a PWN. The lifetime of synchrotron radiation is energy-dependent, and thus the radio emission of a PWN should have a broader, more diffuse structure than the X-ray emission. The radio emission of a pulsar in motion traces its trajectory. Thus one would expect the peak of the radio emission to trail the bright point-like X-ray source. Figure 2 shows a filamentary structure roughly coincident with the X-ray morphology of G359.97-0.038, as well as a peak in radio emission at the position of the X-ray point-like object. However, the radio image is more compact than the *Chandra* contours shown in white, and the peak of the 6 cm emission is located at the putative head of the PWN rather than the tail (assuming the pulsar is indeed moving along a SW direction).

Second, we compare the radio and X-ray spectral indices. The energy-dependent lifetime of synchrotron emission causes a well-defined spectral break $\Delta \equiv \alpha_r - \alpha_x$, where $\alpha_r$ and $\alpha_x$ represent the spectral indices in the radio and X-ray bands, respectively. As discussed in Reynolds (2009), the $\Delta = 0.5$ nominal value for a PWN is perturbed by the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) transport mechanisms within a nebula such that observed PWN spectral breaks are greater, increasing to values of $\sim 1$. One such example is the spectral break of $\Delta = 0.9$ found in the PWN G21.5-0.9 (Nynka et al. 2014).

With the assumption that G359.97-0.038 is a PWN and its pulsar’s proper motion is oriented toward the SW, the nebula would be located in the “tail” regions defined in Figures 4 and 2. Comparing the radio and X-ray spectral parameters within these regions yields an observed break of $\Delta = 0.0 \pm 0.3$ for G359.97-0.038, which is too low for a PWN.

Last, we compare the broadband emission of G359.97-0.038 to that expected from a PWN. Extensive one-zone, time-dependent MHD models have been well developed that quantify the relationship between the spectral properties of a nebula and parameters such as age, magnetic field strength and spin period. Using a model developed by Zhang et al. (2008), we created SED plots for PWNe with various configurations.

Many model parameters are common for the majority of PWNe and as such were left fixed in this study. The braking index, for example, was fixed at $n = 3$, and the moment of inertia was fixed at $10^{35}$ g cm$^2$. Other variables, such as the break energy of the injected electron spectrum, do not have a significant impact on the resultant SED shape.

We first present an SED for a PWN with nominal parameters, shown in the left panel of Figure 5 and detailed in Table 4. This is identified as Case 1. The red overlay represents the *NuSTAR* spectrum and its uncertainty, while the blue indicates the VLA data. Since it is possible that some or most of the detected radio emission can be produced by the Sgr A East shell itself, the emission presented in Section 4.3 represents an upper limit. Relevant parameters for Case 1 include a magnetic field strength of the nebula of $B_0 = 20 \mu$G and a characteristic age of $\tau_r = 10$ kyr. The size of the nebula was chosen as 0.5 pc to match the observed length from *Chandra*. The resultant SED spectrum overpredicts the radio flux while underpredicting the flux in the X-ray band. The model for an older PWN would predict an even lower X-ray flux, and as such we can safely claim that G359.97-0.038 is not a PWN 10 kyr or older.

Next we manipulated the parameters outside of their average ranges to determine whether G359.97-0.038 could be a PWN with rare characteristics. This is presented as Case 2, shown in the right panel of Figure 5. Here we select $\tau_r = 5$ kyr and $r = 2$ pc, representing a young, energetic and large PWN. While the predicted X-ray flux is now correct, the slope of the synchrotron curve in the *NuSTAR* band is not. Manipulating the model does not produce an SED curve that correctly matches the detected emission of G359.97-0.038.

Based on the broadband morphological and spectral characteristics of G359.97-0.038, we can conclude that the filament is not a PWN.

5.3. G359.97-0.038 as a Magnetic Flux Tube

Another possible origin of G359.97-0.038 that can be discarded is a magnetic flux tube that traps high energy electrons (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 1984; Tsuibo et al. 1986; Zhang et al. 2014). The magnetic field within a flux tube is locally enhanced by roughly one order of magnitude compared to the large-scale GC magnetic field (≈10 $\mu$G) (e.g., Tsuibo et al. 1985). Relativistic electrons that become trapped in the tubes interact with the enhanced magnetic field and radiate via synchrotron emission. Electrons with energies of 10–100 TeV are required to generate X-ray synchrotron emission up to a few tens of keV, assuming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic age (kyr)</td>
<td>$\tau_c$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius (pc)</td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field ($\mu$G)</td>
<td>$B_0$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaking index</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia (g cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>$10^{35}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note*: Relevant parameters for SED model of a PWN.

---

**Table 4**

Parameters PWN SED Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic age (kyr)</td>
<td>$\tau_c$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius (pc)</td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field ($\mu$G)</td>
<td>$B_0$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaking index</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia (g cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>$10^{35}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a local magnetic field of \( \sim 100 \mu G \). GeV electrons, in contrast, are required to generate synchrotron emission in the radio band.

Sgr A-E is a known magnetic flux tube bright in the radio and X-ray bands (Zhang et al. 2014; Morris et al. 2014). Zhang et al. (2014) argue that Sgr A-E is likely illuminated by \( \sim 10–100 \) TeV electrons that emanate from the nearby 20 km s\(^{-1}\) MC. Local cosmic-ray protons interact with the MC and produce secondary TeV electrons, which can diffuse out and become trapped by the adjacent flux tube. G359.97-0.038 is adjacent to several MCs such as the 50 km s\(^{-1}\) cloud M-0.02-0.07 shown in Figure 6, which can be sources of energetic electrons for the filament.

As with the PWN theory, however, a magnetic flux tube origin can be eliminated as a possible explanation for G359.97-0.038 through broadband spectral and spatial analysis.

The morphology of G359.97-0.038 does not resemble that of a magnetic flux tube. The radio emission of Sgr A-E is more extended than that of the X-ray emission, consistent with electrons subject to synchrotron cooling losses. G359.97-0.038 does not have such a morphology, as shown in Figure 2. If the feature is indeed the radio counterpart of G359.97-0.038 then the more compressed radio emission is difficult to explain by synchrotron emission unless there is a very different spatial distribution of the GeV and TeV electrons, which are responsible for the radio and X-ray emission, respectively. Additionally, Sgr A-E has regions of brightened radio and X-ray emission at the location where several of the flux tubes intersect. In contrast, the morphology of G359.97-0.038 has its bright point-like emission positioned on a single filamentary structure.

Additionally, the spectral evidence does not support G359.97-0.038 as a magnetic flux tube. There are no existing models predicting the spectra of electrons escaped from particular MCs including the 50 km s\(^{-1}\) cloud. Although we have few constraints on the range of the power-law index of the escaped electrons, we note that Sgr A-E has a photon index of \( \Gamma \sim 2.3 \pm 0.1 \) (Zhang et al. 2014), which is significantly softer than G359.97-0.038.

While the magnetic flux tube scenario cannot be definitively ruled out as an explanation for G359.97-0.038, its morphological and spectral characteristics make this scenario highly unlikely.

### 5.4. G359.97-0.038 as SNR–MC Interaction

A plausible explanation is that G359.97-0.038 results from the interaction between the SN shell of Sgr A East and nearby MCs. It is known that the far side of Sgr A East is pushing into the 50 km s\(^{-1}\) MC M-0.02-0.07 (Tsuboi et al. 2006, 2009; Herrnstein & Ho 2005). We examine the likely possibility that G359.97-0.038 is also the result of an SN–MC interaction site.

Bykov et al. (2000) developed a theory that describes the broadband spectrum of an SN–MC interaction. As a shock wave from the SN propagates into the MC, first- and second-order Fermi accelerations produce non-thermal electrons that radiate via synchrotron, bremsstrahlung, and IC scattering, though only the latter two emission mechanisms are important at the energies observed by Chandra and NuSTAR. Bykov and coauthors analyze three possible mechanisms that produce non-thermal emission: a thermal population of electrons accelerated via radiative shocks; Galactic cosmic-ray protons accelerated...
via radiative shock; and a forward shock propagating into a dense MC clump. The resultant SED models and detected emission from IC 443 are seen in Figures 1–3 of Bykov et al. (2000). All three are characterized by synchrotron emission in the radio band with non-thermal bremsstrahlung emission from $\sim$keV to TeV produced by neutral pion decay. The X-ray spectrum of IC 443 has a photon index of $\Gamma \sim 1.0$, and its SED curve begins to rise sharply at X-ray energies. NuSTAR and Chandra are well-suited to capture this range. At higher energies, the predicted flux peaks around 0.1–2.0 GeV with a GeV photon index of $\Gamma \sim 2$, after which the $\gamma$-ray emission drops sharply and becomes negligible above $\sim$1 TeV. The models are supported by observational data from known SNR–MC interactions such as IC 443 (Bykov et al. 2000) and W82 (Fujita et al. 2009).

The exact model spectra reported by Bykov et al. (2000) depend on parameters such as cloud density and shock velocity that are specific to IC 443. IC 443 is middle-aged SNR estimated to be approximately 10–30 kyr old (e.g., Petre et al. 1988; Lee et al. 2008), comparable to the $\sim$10 kyr old Sgr A East (Maeda et al. 2002; Zhao et al. 2013). It is informative to compare the broadband emission spanning the radio, X-ray, and $\gamma$-ray energy bands from a known SNR–MC object to that observed by G359.97-0.038 in order to see if there are any parallel characteristics.

Morphological features detected in IC 443 are similar to those in G359.97-0.038. The large SNR has several bright point-like X-ray objects that are located near the region where the SN shock front interacts with the dense MC (Bocchino & Bykov 2003), and recent XMM-Newton observations revealed a narrow “bridge” extending from one of those objects, XMM J061804.3+222732 (Bocchino et al. 2008). This resembles G359.97-0.038: both are comprised of a bright compact feature with an extended filamentary structure in the X-ray band.

We can also compare the spectral parameters of G359.97-0.038 to those of IC 443. While the IC 443 models invoking accelerated thermal electrons and cosmic-ray protons match well with the NuSTAR and Chandra data from G359.97-0.038, the predicted radio flux is over three orders of magnitude higher than what is observed in G359.97-0.038. As mentioned in Section 5.2, the detected radio of G359.97-0.038 emission represents an upper limit, and the discrepancy between the IC 443 models and the radio band emission from G359.97-0.038 may be even greater. We therefore turn to the model that represents the interaction between the radiative shock front and dense MC clumps shown in Figure 1 reported by Bykov et al. (2000).

Comparison of the X-ray emission shows that the NuSTAR data is reasonably similar to what is predicted by the model. The NuSTAR photon index of $\Gamma = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ is consistent with the predicted IC 443 photon index of $\Gamma \sim 1.0$ (Bykov et al. 2000). The X-ray and radio luminosities are also consistent. Thus the X-ray and radio data of G359.97-0.038 correlate well with the IC 443 model.

Further support for this model might be obtained if there were associated $\gamma$-ray emission. The Fermi observatory discovered a source (2FGL J1745.6–2858) coincident with G359.97-0.038 (Nolan et al. 2012). This source was originally reported as 1FGL J1745.6–2900c in the first-year Fermi Large Area Telescope catalog with a location whose 95% position uncertainty did not overlap G359.97-0.038 (Abdo et al. 2010). Rather, the source was coincident with the GC and was tentatively associated with the large PWN near Sgr A*, G359.95-0.04. An updated background model later reported by Nolan et al. (2012) presented a new centroid position of the $\gamma$-ray point source coincident with G359.97-0.038. The 95% positional uncertainty is shown in Figure 6. The location is confirmed with an independent analysis by Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2013). The same source is also identified in the first Fermi High-energy catalog (1FHL; The Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2013), which analyzes $E > 10$ GeV emission.

The $\gamma$-ray spectrum of 2FGL J1745.6–2858 is well fit with either a log–parabolic model (Nolan et al. 2012) or a broken power-law model (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2013). Each produces a power-law index of $\sim 2.0$, $\nu F_\nu \sim 80$ ev cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and a peak energy at $\sim$2–3 GeV. The SED model presented by Bykov et al. (2000) predicts a peak at around $\sim$1 GeV, after which the bremsstrahlung emission sharply decreases.

If the $\gamma$-ray emission is associated with G359.97-0.038, then it follows this prediction in spectral shape by a factor of $\sim 3$. However, several model parameters influence this $\gamma$-ray behavior, notable among them the maximum energy of the accelerated electrons, as seen in Figure 3 of Bykov et al. (2000). Additionally, G359.97-0.038 is close to the GC, which has high density IR and UV photons that can add IC emission to the bremsstrahlung spectrum predicted by the SNR–MC interaction, increasing the observed GeV flux.

The complexity of the GC does not allow for firm identification of which MC is responsible for the emission of G359.97-0.038. The presence of an OH maser is a strong indicator of an SNR–MC shock interaction (Pihlström & Sjouwerman 2006) and as such can trace the approximate locations of the interaction sites. A collection of bright OH 1720 MHz masers are located near the Eastern boundary of Sgr A East delineating where the SNR is expanding into M-0.02-0.07. G359.97-0.038 is positioned toward the NW of the Sgr A East radio shell. Multiple OH masers are also detected in this region, one located $\sim$15" SE from the bright point-like object in G359.97-0.038, at R.A. = 17h45m42s, decl. = $-$28°59′10″ (J2000) with a velocity of +34 km s$^{-1}$ (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2001; Sjouwerman & Pihlström 2008). Sjouwerman & Pihlström (2008) claim this is likely evidence of Sgr A East interacting with either M-0.02-0.07 or the 20 km s$^{-1}$ MC M-0.13-0.08, though other higher velocity clouds (e.g., Serabyn et al. 1986) are also possible.

While further analysis is required to determine which MC is responsible for G359.97-0.038, the broadband spectral and spatial features of the emission support the claim that G359.97-0.038 is the result of the SNR Sgr A East interacting with a nearby MC.

6. SUMMARY

NuSTAR observations extend the previous X-ray detection of the NTF G359.97-0.038 from $\sim$8 keV to $\sim$40 keV. This is the second NTF detected in the hard X-ray band by NuSTAR in its survey of the GC region. The best-fit non-thermal spectrum is characterized by a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ and a luminosity of $2.6 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from 20–40 keV. Previously it was suggested that this NTF was a PWN. However, the X-ray and radio morphology, along with the broadband spectral energy distribution, are not typical of a PWN. Analyses of deep Chandra observations also show no evidence of an X-ray synchrotron cooling tail. The radio and X-ray morphology are also shown to be inconsistent with a magnetic flux tube origin, despite the proximity of G359.97-0.038 to an MC that could serve as a source of energetic electrons. The most likely explanation for G359.97-0.038 is an interaction of the SNR Sgr A-E with a nearby 50 km s$^{-1}$ MC. The interaction of this middle-aged
SNR with the MC is supported by the broadband spectral energy distribution, radio and X-ray morphology, and presence of massing action indicative of shock interaction. This NTF closely resembles a region of IC 443, where a similar interaction is occurring. While X-ray emitting NTFs are generally believed to be PWNe, neither of the NTFs so far detected by NuSTAR, G359.97-0.038 reported here and Sgr A-E reported in Zhang et al. (2014), have their origin in PWNe.
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