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Abstract
The magnetocaloric properties of three samples of LaFe$_{13-x-y}$Co$_x$Si$_y$ have been measured and compared to measurements of commercial grade Gd. The samples have (x=0.86, y=1.08), (x=0.94, y=1.01) and (x=0.97, y=1.07) yielding Curie temperatures in the range 276-288 K. The magnetization, specific heat capacity and adiabatic temperature change have been measured over a broad temperature interval. Importantly, all measurements were corrected for demagnetization, allowing the data to be directly compared. In an internal field of 1 T the maximum specific entropy changes were 6.2, 5.1 and 5.0 J/kg K, the specific heat capacities were 910, 840 and 835 J/kg K and the adiabatic temperature changes were 2.3, 2.1 and 2.1 K for the three LaFeCoSi samples respectively. For Gd in an internal field of 1 T the maximum specific entropy change was 3.1 J/kg K, the specific heat capacity was 340 J/kg K and the adiabatic temperature change was 3.3 K. The adiabatic temperature change was also calculated from the measured values of the specific heat capacity and specific magnetization and compared to the directly measured values. In general an excellent agreement was seen.

1. Introduction
The magnetocaloric effect (MCE) is observed as a temperature change of a magnetic material when this is subjected to a changing external magnetic field. Such magnetocaloric materials (MCM) are interesting with respect to magnetic refrigeration, which is an emerging refrigeration technology based on the MCE that aims to provide environmentally friendly energy efficient cooling.

If an MCM with a positive magnetocaloric effect is subjected to a magnetic field and the conditions are kept adiabatic the temperature of the MCM will increase by the adiabatic temperature change, $\Delta T_{ad}$. Had the conditions been kept isothermal the specific entropy would instead have been reduced by the isothermal entropy change, $\Delta s$. Both $\Delta T_{ad}$ and $\Delta s$ are functions of temperature and magnetic field. These two properties, along with the specific heat capacity, $c_p$, which is also a function of temperature and magnetic field, are the three most important properties of an MCM with regard to application in magnetic refrigeration. Secondary properties such as the thermal conductivity, density and porosity can also be of importance, although these are in general not strong functions of temperature and magnetic field. A substantial number of magnetocaloric materials are known, each of whose properties has a different dependence on temperature and magnetic field Gschneidner Jr et al. [2005]. The MCE is generally largest near the phase transition of the MCM, known as the Curie temperature, $T_C$.

For an MCM with a second order phase transition the measured magnetocaloric data are related as the adiabatic temperature change can be calculated as

$$\Delta T_{ad} = -\mu_0 \int_{H_i}^{H_f} \frac{T}{c_p} \left( \frac{\partial m}{\partial T} \right)_H dH,$$

once $c_p$ and $m$ are known.

For magnetic refrigeration an MCM must have a $T_C$ that is around room temperature. As can be seen from Eq. (1) the change in magnetization must be substantial to provide a large $\Delta T_{ad}$. Also, a high heat capacity provides a high thermal mass while a low heat capacity can cause a high adiabatic temperature change. The benchmark magnetocaloric material used in magnetic refrigeration is gadolinium (Gd), which has a $T_C$ around 293 K and a $\Delta T_{ad}$ of $\sim$ 3.5 K at $T_C$ in a field of 1 T Dan’kov et al. [1998]. However, the Curie temperature of Gd cannot be tuned, and so the adiabatic temperature change will be low if the magnetic refrigerator is operated far from the Curie point.

In this paper we consider the properties of the magnetocaloric material, LaFe$_{13-x-y}$Co$_x$Si$_y$, referred to as LaFe-CoSi, which displays a significant adiabatic temperature change and has a tuneable Curie temperature. The precursor of this material, LaFe$_{13-x}$Si$_y$, which has a NaZn$_{13}$-type lattice crystal structure, has a magnetocaloric effect due to an itinerant electron metamagnetic transition from a paramagnetic to a ferromagnetic state Fujita et al. [1999].

Previous measurements of the specific magnetization and the specific entropy change of different LaFe$_{13-x-y}$Co$_x$Si$_y$ compounds have been reported Hu et al. [2002b,a]; Liu and Altounian [2003]; Passamani et al. [2007]; Saito et al. [2007];
Katter et al. [2008]; Yan et al. [2008] as well as direct measurements of the adiabatic temperature change Hu et al. [2005]; Ilyn et al. [2005]; Balli et al. [2009], and a single measurement of the specific heat capacity Hansen et al. [2009]. However, the published data are usually very widely spaced in external magnetic field and in general the published data have not been corrected for demagnetization effects, thus not allowing the different data to be compared. Also for LaFeCoSi no comparison between a measured adiabatic temperature change and a temperature change calculated using Eq. (1) have been reported. Importantly, if this relation can be verified experimentally only magnetization and heat capacity need be measured to fully characterize the magnetocaloric properties of a magnetocaloric material.

Here we have measured each of the magnetocaloric properties, i.e. the magnetization, specific heat capacity and adiabatic temperature change, of three different sintered samples of LaFe$_{13-x-y}$Co$_x$Si$_y$. The chemical composition of these are LaFe$_{11.06}$Co$_{0.86}$Si$_{0.58}$, LaFe$_{11.05}$Co$_{0.94}$Si$_{0.01}$ and LaFe$_{10.96}$Co$_{0.97}$Si$_{0.07}$. These samples will be referred as Samples 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

The properties of the LaFeCoSi samples are compared with the properties of commercial grade gadolinium, here simply termed Gd. This gadolinium is much cheaper than pure gadolinium, but the purity is also lower. The commercial grade gadolinium contains 99.5% rare earth metal, of which 99.94% are gadolinium. This Gd grade has previously been used in an actual magnetic refrigeration device Bahl et al. [2008].

The properties of both pure and impure gadolinium have previously been analyzed, and the main conclusions of this analysis were that the impure gadolinium has a lower adiabatic temperature change and, depending on the amount and types of impurities of the sample, a small shift of a couple of degrees in the Curie temperature is also seen Dan’kov et al. [1998].

2. Experimental setup

The magnetocaloric properties of both LaFeCoSi and commercial grade Gd have been measured using different lab equipment at Risø DTU.

Raw blocks of LaFeCoSi were prepared by powder metallurgy as described by Ref. Katter et al. [2008]. The sintered blocks were cut into plates with dimensions $25 \times 20 \times 0.9$ mm$^3$ utilizing the thermally induced decomposition and recombination (TDR) process Katter et al. [2009]. From these plates, the samples for the various measurements were cut. The commercial grade Gd was obtained from China Rare Metal Material Co. in plates with dimensions $25 \times 40 \times 0.9$ mm$^3$.

The magnetization was measured using a LakeShore 7407 Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM). Isothermal magnetization measurements as a function of field were made at a ramp rate of 2.5 mT/s up to a maximum field of $\mu_0 H_{\text{ext}} = 1.6$ T and data were measured for every 5 mT. In a sample interval of $\pm 10$ K around the Curie temperature the measurements were taken at 1 K separation, while further from $T_C$ the separation was larger. Data were measured from 250 K to 310 K.

Once the magnetization has been determined the change in specific entropy can be calculated using

$$\Delta S_M = \mu_0 \int_{H_i}^{H_f} \left( \frac{\partial m}{\partial T} \right)_H dH,$$

where $H_i$ is the initial magnetic field and $H_f$ is the final magnetic field.

Calorimetric data in applied fields up to $\mu_0 H_{\text{ext}} = 1.4$ T were obtained using a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) with the magnetic field provided by a concentric Halbach cylinder, which is an adjustable permanent magnetic field source Jeppesen et al. [2008]. The specific heat capacity was measured at external field values of $\mu_0 H_{\text{ext}} = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00$ and 1.40 T in a temperature interval from 250 K to 310 K with a ramp of 1 K/min and from 310 K to 250 K with a ramp of -1 K/min. If no hysteresis is observed the calculated value of $c_p$ from the two data sets are averaged. Data was taken every 12.5 ms. The DSC was calibrated using both copper and titanium reference samples.

Finally, the adiabatic temperature change was measured using an instrument designed at Risø DTU. A pneumatic piston moves a sample holder in and out of a magnetic field generated by the same permanent magnet as used for the DSC. A sliding track ensures that the direction of the sample holder with respect to the magnetic field is fixed. The entire setup is placed in a freezer, which is used to control the temperature. The ramp rate of the temperature was controlled by a 75 W light bulb and heat leakage to the surroundings. In general a complete sweep in temperature took around 9 hours, during which time data were recorded. Due to this substantial time span, parasitic temperature gradients were kept as small as possible. The pneumatic piston was moved in and out of field every 5 seconds, with an actual movement time of no more than 100 ms. Because of the high sweep rate and the thermal isolation conditions can be assumed to be close to adiabatic. The temperature of the sample was recorded every 100 ms.

The sample is prepared by placing a type E thermocouple between two equally sized plates of the given sample which are then glued together. The sample is packed in isolating foam and placed in a sample holder together with a Hall probe (AlphaLab Inc, Model: DCM). Data were measured at external field values of $\mu_0 H_{\text{ext}} = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00$ and 1.40 T in a temperature interval from 250 K to 310 K. For each of the different materials all measurements were done on samples cut from the same large sample plate. The magnet is also cooled in the setup. This changes the magnetic field produced by the magnet slightly, but at 1 T the change is less than 3%.

2.1 Internal magnetic field

When subjecting a magnetic sample to an external magnetic field the internal field within the sample will depend on the geometry of the sample. It is extremely important to always
Magnetocaloric properties of LaFe\textsubscript{13−x}−yCo\textsubscript{x}Si\textsubscript{y} and commercial grade Gd — 3/9

(a) Specific entropy change of Sample 1.

(b) Specific entropy change of Sample 2.

(c) Specific entropy change of Sample 3.

(d) Specific entropy change of Gd

Figure 1. (Color online) The change in specific entropy, \(\Delta s\), as calculated from Eq. (2) as a function of temperature and internal field.

report magnetocaloric properties as a function of internal field, as comparison with other or even identical materials is otherwise not meaningful Bahl et al. [2009]. If the sample has an ellipsoidal shape the internal field will be homogeneous and can be calculated if the magnetization of the sample is known Osborn [1945]. If the sample has a non ellipsoidal shape the internal field will not be homogeneous across the sample. However, an average internal magnetic field can be found. This average internal magnetic field, \(H_{\text{int}}\), can be found by subtracting the demagnetization field \(H_{\text{d}} = N_{\text{d}}M\), where \(N_{\text{d}}\) is the average demagnetization factor and \(M\) is the magnetization, from the applied external field, \(H_{\text{ext}}\).

\[
H_{\text{int}} = H_{\text{ext}} - N_{\text{d}}M .
\]  

(3)

Assuming that the magnetic anisotropy is negligible the internal field, the external field and the magnetization are all very close to being parallel, so that only the magnitudes need be considered.

If the sample has a rectangular (orthorhombic) shape the average demagnetization factor can be calculated according to Ref. Aharoni [1998]. This factor is a good approximation to the true demagnetization field Smith et al. [2010].

Using the dimensions of the individual sample pieces and their respective demagnetization factors, given in Table I, all measurements presented here have been corrected for demagnetization by the approximation in Ref. Aharoni [1998]. Thus, all measured properties are reported as a function of internal field. While this correction is easy to perform it is not commonly done. In the papers previously published on LaFeCoSi corrections for demagnetization has not been performed making comparison with the present work difficult.

3. Results and discussion

The change in specific entropy was calculated by Eq. (2) using the measured magnetization data and employing a numerical integration scheme. These results are shown in Figure 1.
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**Figure 2.** (Color online) The specific heat capacity, $c_p$, as a function of temperature for the internal field given in the top x-axis. Each of the top x-axis gives the internal field for the same colored curve. As the external field is increased the maximum value of the specific heat capacity decreases.
Figure 3. (Color online) The adiabatic temperature change, $\Delta T_{ad}$, as a function of temperature for a change in magnetic field from zero to the internal field given in the top x-axis. Each of the top x-axis gives the internal field for the same colored curve. The figures also show the calculated $\Delta T_{ad}$ based on Eq. (1). The adiabatic temperature change increases with increasing external field.
Table I. The dimensions and demagnetization factors for the different samples of LaFeCoSi and Gd. The direction of the magnetic field is always along the c-axis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density</th>
<th>VSM</th>
<th>LaFe$_{13-x-y}$Co$_x$Si$_y$</th>
<th>Gd</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(x = 0.86)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>$a \times b \times c$</td>
<td>$0.82 \times 3.27 \times 1.81$</td>
<td>7160</td>
<td>[mm$^3$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_d$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>$a \times b \times c$</td>
<td>$0.85 \times 3.38 \times 1.96$</td>
<td>7900</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_d$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3</td>
<td>$a \times b \times c$</td>
<td>$0.87 \times 2.04 \times 3.38$</td>
<td>7290</td>
<td>[mm$^3$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_d$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measured values of $c_p$, corrected for demagnetization and binned in 0.25 K intervals, are shown in Figure 2. The 95% confidence interval resulting from the binning of the data is of the order of the width of the plotted lines.

The measured values of $\Delta T_{ad}$, corrected for demagnetization and binned in 0.25 K intervals, are shown in Figure 3. Again the 95% confidence interval resulting from the binning of the data is of the order of the width of the plotted lines. The adiabatic temperature has also been calculated using Eq. (1) using the measured magnetization and specific heat capacities. The results of these calculation are also shown in Figure 3. In general a very good agreement between the calculated and measured values of $\Delta T_{ad}$ is seen.

The adiabatic temperature change upon removal of the magnetic field has also been measured, i.e. $\Delta T_{ad,field} - \Delta T_{ad,field} - \Delta T_{ad,field}$, although these are not shown in Figure 3. A requisite for the reversibility of the MCE is that $\Delta T_{ad,field}(T) = -\Delta T_{ad,field}(T + \Delta T_{ad,field}(T))$. This relation has been found to be true for all the measured data, and thus the MCE is reversible.

In both Figs. 2 and 3 the top x-axes show the internal magnetic field of the different samples, i.e. the external field corrected for demagnetization. For a given external field the internal field is a function of temperature, as the magnetization changes with temperature. Thus, here one can directly see how important it is to correct for demagnetization.

The position of the peak of $\Delta T_{ad}$, $c_p$ and $\Delta T_{ad}$ changes between the three different samples of LaFeCoSi. This is clearly seen that the peak position is tuneable.

It is known from literature that LaFeCoSi may contain an impurity phase of $\alpha$-Fe. However, this will in general not greatly affect the magnetocaloric properties of LaFeCoSi. This is because the specific heat capacity of $\alpha$-Fe is $c_p \sim 450$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ which is close to observed heat capacity of LaFeCoSi. The density for the $\alpha$-Fe phase is 7.87 kg m$^{-3}$ which is also close to that of the LaFeCoSi. For Sample 1 of LaFeCoSi X-ray diffraction has been performed and the results were reported in Ref. Hansen et al. [2009]. Here a 5% $\alpha$-Fe content was identified and the structure of the LaFeCoSi was found to be of NaZn$_{13}$-type. As the remaining samples are manufactured in an identical manner to Sample 1 these are presumed to have the same low content of $\alpha$-Fe as observed in similar series of LaFeCoSi materials Katter et al. [2009].

In order to compare the LaFeCoSi and commercial grade Gd, each of the magnetocaloric properties has been interpolated for an internal field of 1 T. The results are shown in Figs. 4, 5 and 6 as well as given in Table II.

From the figures it is seen that although the specific entropy change of Gd is significantly lower than for the LaFeCoSi materials the adiabatic temperature change is larger over a broad interval. This is because the specific heat capacity of Gd is significantly lower than those of the LaFeCoSi materials.
Table II. The peak temperature and corresponding thermodynamic values for $\Delta s$, $c_p$, and $\Delta T_{ad}$ of LaFeCoSi and Gd. The value of $\Delta T_{95}$ gives the band around $T_{\text{peak}}$ in which the value of above 95% of the peak value. Note that the peak in $c_p$ for Gd is very broad, making $T_{\text{peak}}$ hard to determine accurately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>LaFe$_{13-x-y}$Co$_x$Si$_y$</th>
<th>Gd</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta s$ (1 T)</td>
<td>$x = 0.86$</td>
<td>$x = 0.94$</td>
<td>$x = 0.97$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{peak}}$</td>
<td>275.8</td>
<td>287.1</td>
<td>289.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{95}$</td>
<td>-1.5/+1.9</td>
<td>-1.8/+1.4</td>
<td>-2.2/+1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$ (0 T)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{peak}}$</td>
<td>272.6</td>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>284.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{95}$</td>
<td>-1.7/+1.3</td>
<td>-2.5/+2.0</td>
<td>-2.4/+2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$ (1 T)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{peak}}$</td>
<td>276.1</td>
<td>286.1</td>
<td>288.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{95}$</td>
<td>-2.7/+2.7</td>
<td>-3.5/+3.6</td>
<td>-4.3/+3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{ad}$ (1 T)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{peak}}$</td>
<td>277.1</td>
<td>287.1</td>
<td>289.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{95}$</td>
<td>-1.5/+1.4</td>
<td>-1.8/+1.6</td>
<td>-2.1/+1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From these facts it is clear that the magnetocaloric properties and its application potential with regard to magnetic refrigeration cannot be judged from the specific entropy change alone. Also, it is seen that if only the adiabatic temperature change is considered the Curie temperature of a LaFeCoSi material must be below around 280 K for the material to outperform Gd.

One can consider the heat generated per cycle of the magnetocaloric effect. This is $Q = \Delta T_{ad} c_p$ and is shown in Fig. 7. From this figure one can see that the LaFeCoSi generates a larger heat over a large temperature interval than Gd. The cooling capacity of a regenerator is proportional to the generated heat, but the temperature span obtainable depends on the adiabatic temperature change and thus Gd will still be able to generate a larger no load temperature span than a single LaFeCoSi material.
The magnetocaloric properties of Gd and three sample of LaFeCoSi with different chemical composition have been measured directly. The measurements were corrected for demagnetization, allowing the data to be directly compared. In an internal field of 1 T the specific entropy change was 6.2, 5.1 and 5.0 J/kg K, the specific heat capacity was 910, 840 and 835 J/kg K and the adiabatic temperature change was 2.3, 2.1 and 2.1 K for the three LaFeCoSi samples respectively. The peak temperature changes of the order of 1 K depending on the property measured, but are around 276, 286 and 288 K for the three samples respectively. The corresponding values for all properties for Gd are 3.1 J/kg K, 340 J/kg K, 3.3 K and a peak temperature of 295 K. Thus, LaFeCoSi has a large enough magnetocaloric effect for practical application in magnetic refrigeration. Finally, an excellent agreement between the calculated adiabatic temperature change using Eq. (1) and the measured adiabatic temperature change was seen.

4. Conclusion
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