Dynamic Passive Dosing for Studying the Biotransformation of Hydrophobic Organic Chemicals: Microbial Degradation as an Example

Kilian E. C. Smith,* Arno Rein,‡ Stefan Trapp,‡ Philipp Mayer,† and Ulrich Gosewinkel Karlson†

†Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Frederiksbergvej 399, P.O. Box 358, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark
‡Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljøvej building 113, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Biotransformation plays a key role in hydrophobic organic compound (HOC) fate, and understanding kinetics as a function of (bio)availability is critical for elucidating persistence, accumulation, and toxicity. Biotransformation mainly occurs in an aqueous environment, posing technical challenges for producing kinetic data because of low HOC solubilities and sorptive losses. To overcome these, a new experimental approach based on passive dosing is presented. This avoids using cosolvent for introducing the HOC substrate, buffers substrate depletion so biotransformation is measured within a narrow and defined dissolved concentration range, and enables high compound turnover even at low concentrations to simplify end point measurement. As a case study, the biodegradation kinetics of two model HOCs by the bacterium Sphingomonas paucimobilis EPA505 were measured at defined dissolved concentrations ranging over 4 orders of magnitude, from 0.017 to 658 μg L⁻¹ for phenanthrene and from 0.006 to 90.0 μg L⁻¹ for fluoranthene. Both compounds had similar mineralization fluxes, and these increased by 2 orders of magnitude with increasing dissolved concentrations. First-order mineralization rate constants were also similar for both PAHs, but decreased by around 2 orders of magnitude with increasing dissolved concentrations. Dynamic passive dosing is a useful tool for measuring biotransformation kinetics at realistically low and defined dissolved HOC concentrations.

INTRODUCTION

Biotransformation plays a key role in hydrophobic organic compound (HOC) fate in different scenarios, including microbial degradation1,2 and metabolism in organisms with implications for bioaccumulation3–5 or the production of toxic metabolites.6,7 Therefore, measuring biotransformation as a function of (bio)availability is critical for understanding their persistence, accumulation, or toxicity. The most common approach for determining HOC biotransformation kinetics is substrate depletion. Here, a defined mass of HOC substrate is added and the biotransformation kinetics inferred from the measured substrate decrease, metabolite production, or, in the case of microbial degradation, biomass production.3,8–12

However, biotransformation occurs in an aqueous environment, posing technical challenges for producing reliable experimental kinetic data for HOCs. Their hydrophobicity means they are problematic to dissolve and have a propensity to sorb, making it difficult to initially establish well-defined dissolved concentrations. Furthermore, their low aqueous solubilities mean that only small concentrations are present in the aqueous phase, posing analytical challenges when measuring changes, particularly at environmentally realistic concentrations, which can be orders of magnitude below aqueous solubility. Also, inherent in the substrate depletion approach is the progressive consumption of substrate, such that the biotransforming cells or enzymes are exposed to concentrations that decrease by orders of magnitude. Therefore, to overcome these limitations new experimental approaches are required which should (1) avoid using cosolvent for introducing the HOC substrate, (2) provide well-defined freely dissolved concentrations ranging from aqueous solubility down to zero, (3) buffer substrate depletion to allow measurement within a narrow range in concentrations, and (4) enable high compound turnover even at very low dissolved concentrations. In this regard, passive dosing can play a useful role (Figure 1).

In passive dosing, a dominating HOC reservoir dissolved in an inert polymer is introduced and the dissolved concentrations are then determined by partitioning such that these are defined even in the face of sorption and abiotic losses (requirements 1 and 2). By varying concentrations in the polymer, the
dissolved concentrations can be controlled from aqueous solubility down to zero. High HOC solubility in the polymer means that a large mass of test compound is introduced, buffering substrate depletion even with high turnover in the aqueous phase (requirement 3). The high HOC partitioning ratio between the polymer and dissolved phases means significant turnover can be achieved even at very low dissolved concentrations (requirement 4). Passive dosing has been applied for the control of HOC exposure in toxicity, bioaccumulation, and speciation studies. However, the correct application of passive dosing to measure biotransformation kinetics requires that the format be properly optimized. Biotransformation will deplete the substrate, and the size of the HOC polymer reservoir should be dimensioned to keep this within acceptable limits. Furthermore, HOC release from the polymer should be sufficiently fast relative to the biotransformation kinetics such that steady-state dissolved concentrations are reliably defined with reference to the equilibrium partitioning levels.

This study demonstrates the application of dynamic passive dosing to measure HOC biotransformation kinetics. As a case study, the degradation of phenanthrene and fluoranthene by the bacterium Sphingomonas paucimobilis EPA505 was measured at defined dissolved concentrations in a miniaturized setup using silicone O-rings as the dosing polymer. Biodegradation kinetics were followed by measuring the evolution of 14CO2 at dissolved concentrations ranging over 4 orders of magnitude, from 0.017 to 658 μg L⁻¹ for phenanthrene and from 0.006 to 90.0 μg L⁻¹ for fluoranthene. Finally, the wider application of dynamic passive dosing as a research platform to study HOC biotransformation is discussed.

- MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and materials as well as the O-ring loading procedure and its validation are described in the Supporting Information. All loading and experiments were done at 21 °C.

**Silicone O-Ring Passive Dosing Format.** Silicone has advantages as a passive dosing phase including biocompatibility, high capacity for HOCs, low internal mass transfer resistance to HOCs, and it is cheaply available in different formats. The HOC mass required for loading the silicone and the speed of depletion both depend on the size of the silicone reservoir. To economize on the use of 14C substrate, a silicone O-ring format was selected to optimize this balance. These are also practical to handle and have a good passive dosing performance, but of course, the format can be tailored according to the specific study requirements.

**Culturing of the Bacteria.** S. paucimobilis EPA505 was chosen since it degrades both phenanthrene and fluoranthene. For culturing purposes, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) silicone (5 g) was cast into the base of 60 mL brown glass jars and loaded to saturation with fluoranthene by partitioning from a methanol suspension for 48 h. The loading solution was decanted, surfaces were wiped using lint-free tissue, and residual methanol was washed away by three sequential washes with 20 mL of Milli-Q water.

**Preparation of Strain EPA505 Aliquots.** To ensure that the biodegradation characteristics of the biomass were similar between experiments, identical aliquots from a stock culture were prepared. Strain EPA505 from a frozen supply was plated on LB agar plates and incubated at 30 °C. A single colony was picked to inoculate a jar with fluoranthene-saturated PDMS and containing 50 mL of minimal salts medium. This was closed loosely with a foil-lined lid and placed on a horizontal shaker at...
21 °C and 110 rpm. After growing for 4 days, 0.5 mL aliquots of the medium containing the grown biomass were dispensed into 1.5 mL Eppendorf vials and frozen at −80 °C. The remaining medium was streaked onto LB agar plates and incubated at 30 °C, with only the typical colonies of strain EPA505 being observed.

**Strain EPA505 Biomass Production.** To produce biomass for the different experiments, 30 mL of minimal salts medium was added to a jar with fluoranthene-saturated PDMS and it was inoculated with 200 μL of a thawed aliquot of strain EPA505. This aliquot was also streaked onto LB agar plates and incubated at 30 °C, and only the typical strain EPA505 colonies observed. The jar was closed with a foil-lined lid and placed on a horizontal shaker at 21 °C and 110 rpm. After exactly 7 days, 5 mL of the grown biomass suspension was diluted with 45 mL of minimal salts medium for direct inoculation of the experiments. This suspension was also serially diluted and plated on LB agar plates for counting to give the initial biomass concentrations, and only typical strain EPA505 colonies were observed. Finally, a suspension sample was diluted 1:1 with methanol for HPLC analysis and fluoranthene was below detection limits (<100 ng L⁻¹), showing carryover into the setups to be insignificant.

**Experiments. Abiotic Release Kinetics of Fluoranthene.** O-rings were loaded to saturation by partitioning for 72 h from a methanol solution containing excess fluoranthene (see Supporting Information), translating into equilibrium partitioning concentrations in the aqueous phase close to solubility. A single O-ring was placed in the bottom of each 4 mL brown vial and placed these on a vortex shaker at 100, 500, or 1000 rpm (n = 3 each). At intervals, 30 μL water samples were taken and added to 30 μL of MeOH before analyzing by HPLC. Aqueous concentrations were plotted versus time and fitted with eq 1 below to determine the release rate constant k_{release} (h⁻¹).

**Attachment of Strain EPA505 to the Silicone Surface.** Attachment of strain EPA505 bacterial cells to the O-ring surface was quantified. A series of passive dosing vials containing cleaned but unloaded O-rings were prepared, and 1 mL of strain EPA505 inoculating suspension was added (3.5 × 10⁷ cfu mL⁻¹, SD 6.3 × 10⁶, n = 3). The vials were closed and placed on a vortex shaker at 21 °C and 500 rpm. At 0, 1.5, 3.2, 4.5, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 31.7 h, duplicate vials were sacrificed and 0.5 mL of the suspension added to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf vial with 200 μL of glutaraldehyde. After staining with acridine orange and filtering serial dilutions on cellulose acetate filters, these were counted by epifluorescence microscopy. Bacteria adhering to the O-rings were counted directly on the surface after staining with acridine orange.

**Passive Dosing Biodegradation Pilot Study.** Phenanthrene was selected for the initial investigations because its silicone to water partitioning is lower than that of fluoranthene, meaning the silicone has a lower buffering capacity. O-rings were loaded with phenanthrene by spiking (see Supporting Information) to an initial silicone concentration of 50.07 μg mL⁻¹ (200 000 dpm per O-ring), representing an equilibrium dissolved concentration of 8.66 μg L⁻¹ (Table S1, Supporting Information). The biodegradation experiments were started by adding 1 mL of strain EPA505 culture (3.5 × 10⁷ cfu mL⁻¹, SD 6.3 × 10⁶, n = 3) and a glass insert with 200 μL of 1 M KOH and placing the passive dosing vials on a vortex shaker at 500 rpm.

At 0, 1.5, 3.2, 4.5, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 31.7 h, the KOH was replaced and added to 4.8 mL of Milli-Q water and 5 mL of Ready Gel for quantifying ¹⁴C activity by liquid scintillation counting. At 6, 12, and 31.7 h, duplicate vials were sacrificed. The ¹³C activity in the suspension was counted after mixing with 3.1 mL of Milli-Q water, 1 mL of methanol, and 5 mL of Ready Gel. The O-rings were quantitatively extracted overnight in 15 mL of methanol, and a 1 mL aliquot was mixed with 4 mL of Milli-Q and 5 mL of Ready Gel for liquid scintillation counting.

**Biodegradation versus Dissolved Concentrations.** Batches of O-rings were loaded with either phenanthrene or fluoranthene by spiking (see Supporting Information), to give silicone and equilibrium dissolved concentrations from near to saturation to a 10 000 times dilution of this. Initial phenanthrene concentrations in the O-ring silicone were 4998, 499.9, 50.07, 5.16, and 0.66 mg L⁻¹ (Table S1, Supporting Information). Initial fluoranthene concentrations in the O-ring silicone were 2259, 226.1, 22.78, 2.48, and 0.45 mg L⁻¹ (Table S1, Supporting Information). Triplicate passive dosing vials were prepared for each concentration, and the biodegradation experiments were started by adding 1 mL of strain EPA505 suspension (4.31 × 10⁶ cfu mL⁻¹, SD 2.25 × 10⁵, n = 5) and a glass insert containing 200 μL of 1 M KOH and placing the vials on a vortex shaker at 500 rpm.

Two controls were included: (i) 1 mL of strain EPA505 inoculating suspension in passive dosing vials containing blank O-rings to account for chemical contamination (n = 3) and (ii) 1 mL of minimal salts medium without any strain EPA505 in passive dosing vials containing O-rings loaded with phenanthrene (total concentration 50.07 mg L⁻¹, 20 000 dpm per O-ring) or fluoranthene (total concentration 22.78 mg L⁻¹, 20 000 dpm per O-ring) to account for bacterial contamination (n = 3 each).

At 0, 3, 6, 9, 21, and 32 h, the KOH was replaced and prepared for liquid scintillation counting as above. After the final KOH sampling, ¹³C activity in the bacterial suspension and O-rings were measured as above.

**Analysis. HPLC Analysis.** PAH analysis was by fluorescence detection using an Agilent 1100 HPLC equipped with a G1321A FLD operated at 260 nm for excitation and 350, 420, and 500 nm for emission. A 30 μL sample was injected at 28 °C on a CP-EcoSpher 4 PAH column (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA), with methanol and water as the mobile phase (for full details see ref 15). Phenanthrene or fluoranthene concentrations in the O-ring silicone were modeled using a one-compartment kinetic model with eq 1 below to determine the release rate constant k_{release} (h⁻¹).

**Scintillation Counting.** After vigorous shaking and storing overnight in the dark, samples were counted with a program for ¹³C measurements using a LS 1801 scintillation counter (Beckman).

**Modeling of the Setup.** The abiotic release of fluoranthene into water was described using a one-compartment kinetic model with eq 1 below to determine the release rate constant k_{release} (h⁻¹).

model

\[ C_d(t) = C_{d(eq)}(1 - \exp^{-k_{\text{release}}}) \]

where \( C_d(t) \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) is the dissolved concentration at time \( t \) (h), \( C_{d(eq)} \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) the equilibrium dissolved concentration, and \( k_{\text{release}} \) (h\(^{-1}\)) the release rate constant. The data from the release experiment were fitted by the least-squares method using Graphpad Prizm 5 (San Diego, CA), giving estimates of the release rate constant and equilibrium concentration.

Mass transfer from silicone into the aqueous phase is controlled by diffusion within an aqueous diffusive boundary layer (BL)\(^{20-22}\). Therefore, provided the silicone surface area, aqueous volume, and mixing remain constant, \( k_{\text{release}} \) can be further deconvoluted into\(^{23}\)

\[ k_{\text{release}} = \frac{A}{V} \]

where \( A \) (2.842 × 10\(^{-4}\) m\(^2\)) is the O-ring surface area, \( V \) (1 × 10\(^{-6}\) m\(^3\)) is the aqueous volume, and \( v \) is the O-ring to water mass transfer velocity (m h\(^{-1}\)).

As a quantitative measure of the time to reach a partitioning equilibrium in the abiotic release experiments, the time to reach 95% steady-state (\( t_{95\% \text{ steady-state}} \) in h) was used

\[ t_{95\% \text{ steady-state}} = \frac{\ln 20}{k_{\text{release}}} \]

For the biodegradation experiments, the mass balance for the aqueous phase is found by combining desorption and sorption from the O-ring with Michaelis–Menten enzyme kinetics.

\[ \frac{dm_d}{dt} = 1000Av \left( \frac{C_{Si}}{K_{Si,d}} \right) - 1000Av(C_d) - \frac{v_{\text{max}}C_d X}{K_{M} + C_d} \]

(4a)

where \( m_d \) is the mass of PAH (µg) in the dissolved phase, \( C_{Si} \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) is the PAH concentration in the silicone O-ring, \( K_{Si,d} \) (L µg\(^{-1}\)) is the silicone to water partitioning ratio, \( C_d \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) is the dissolved concentration, and the factor 1000 converts the volume units of m\(^3\) from above into L. Separately measured \( K_{Si,d} \) values were 5778 L µg\(^{-1}\) for phenanthrene and 15 986 L µg\(^{-1}\) for fluoranthene. The last term in eq 4a describes whole-cell Michaelis–Menten degradation, where \( v_{\text{max}} \) [µg (number of degrading cells)]\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) is the biomass-normalized maximum degradation velocity, \( K_M \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) is the value of \( C_d \) for half of \( v_{\text{max}} \) and \( X \) (number of degrading cells) is the biomass in the setup.

In a setup with constant \( C_d \) and insufficient substrate for biomass production so that \( X \) does not increase, eq 4a can be reduced to the special case of

\[ \frac{dm_d}{dt} = 1000Av \left( \frac{C_{Si}}{K_{Si,d}} \right) - 1000Av(C_d) - k_{\text{deg}}m_d \]

(4b)

where \( k_{\text{deg}} \) is the measured first-order biodegradation rate constant (h\(^{-1}\)) and is equivalent to \( v_{\text{max}}X/(K_{M} + C_d) \).

Dividing eq 4b by the aqueous volume \( V \) gives

\[ \frac{dC_d}{dt} = \frac{1000Av}{V} \left( \frac{C_{Si}}{K_{Si,d}} \right) - \frac{1000Av}{V}(C_d) - k_{\text{deg}}C_d \]

\[ = \frac{1000Av}{V} \left( \frac{C_{Si}}{K_{Si,d}} \right) - \frac{1000Av}{V} + k_{\text{deg}}C_d \]

\[ = I - k_{\text{total}}C_d \]

(5)

with \( I \) describing input into the aqueous phase (µg L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and \( k_{\text{total}} \) giving the total removal from the aqueous phase (h\(^{-1}\)).

For a constant \( C_{Si} \) the steady-state dissolved concentration \( C_{d,\text{steady-state}} \) (µg L\(^{-1}\)) is reached when \( dC_d/dt = 0 \) and is given by

\[ C_{d,\text{steady-state}} = \frac{I}{k_{\text{total}}} = \frac{1000Av \left( C_{Si} \right)}{V \left( K_{Si,d} \right)} \]

(6)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Culturing of the Bacteria

The high compound turnover mediated by passive dosing means it is also a useful approach for producing bacterial biomass. Introducing the HOCs dissolved in a polymer ensures a physical separation between the substrate and biomass-containing aqueous phase, simplifying separation prior to inoculation. When grown on solid HOCs this can be tedious and lead to carryover in low-concentration experiments. The possibility of controlling the dissolved concentrations also makes it useful for culturing on toxic or inhibitory substrates.

#### Abiotic Release Kinetics of Fluoranthene

Figure S2 (Supporting Information) shows the release of fluoranthene from the O-rings into 1 mL of Mill-Q water. At 100, 500, and 1000 rpm, \( k_{\text{release}} \) values were 0.981, 4.899, and 13.65 h\(^{-1}\), respectively. These translate into equilibrium times (see eq 3) of 184, 37, and 13 min, respectively. Increased shaking resulted in faster release kinetics due to a thinning of the aqueous BL. Note that the release kinetics of fluoranthene and phenanthrene are almost identical since \( v \) in eq 2 is given by \( D_d/\delta_d^2 \) where \( D_d \) is the molecular diffusion coefficient (m\(^2\) h\(^{-1}\)) and \( \delta_d \) the thickness of the diffusive aqueous BL (m). Phenanthrene and fluoranthene have similar \( D_d \) values of 2.11 and 2.00 m\(^2\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively,\(^{24} \) and thus \( \delta_d \) is very similar.\(^{25} \) Faster shaking increases diffusive mass transfer from the O-ring into the aqueous phase but also leads to harsher conditions for the degraders. Therefore, as a balance, shaking at 500 rpm was used for the biodegradation experiments, but this can of course be chosen according to requirements.

#### Attachment of Strain EPA505 to the Silicone Surface

Figure S3 (Supporting Information) shows the results of the attachment experiment. Within 9 h, only about 2% of the added bacteria remained in suspension. In parallel the numbers associated with the silicone surface increased, and by around 12 h the cells measured on the silicone surface stabilized at around 10% of the initially added numbers. These were distributed as isolated cells over the surface (Figure S4, Supporting Information). Also shown in Figure S3 (Supporting Information) is the percentage of the initial cells numbers accounted for by the suspension and silicone-surface measurements. Within 3 h most of the bacterial cells were not present in either of these locations. Significant cell death can be discounted from the mineralization curves, where activity remained constant well beyond 3 h (see Figure 2, for example). It is likely that the majority of the bacteria were associated with other surfaces, such as the glass vial and insert. The implications of this distribution are discussed below.

#### Passive Dosing Biodegradation Pilot Study

The \(^{14}\)C mass balance (sum of \(^{14}\)CO\(_2\), \(^{14}\)C in suspension, and \(^{14}\)C phenanthrene remaining in the O-ring) at experiment completion was 102.1% (SD 4.3%), indicating minimal losses and good reproducibility (Table S2, Supporting Information). Figure S5 (Supporting Information) shows the distribution of \(^{14}\)CO\(_2\), \(^{14}\)C in suspension, and \(^{14}\)C phenanthrene in the silicone after 6, 12, and 32 h. With increasing time, larger proportions...
were found as $^{14}$CO$_2$, as well as $^{14}$C in suspension, mirrored by a decrease of $^{14}$C phenanthrene in the silicone. After 6, 12, and 32 h the silicone reservoir was depleted of phenanthrene by 8.8%, 8.9%, and 20.9%, respectively. Figure SSB (Supporting Information) shows the relative distribution of $^{14}$C in suspension as phenanthrene or biomass/metabolites and as $^{14}$CO$_2$. The masses of dissolved of phenanthrene as determined by equilibrium partitioning were negligible, with the majority of $^{14}$C as biomass/metabolites in the suspension and as $^{14}$CO$_2$. The ratio $[^{14}CO_2]/[^{14}C$ in suspension + $^{14}$CO$_2$] thus gives the mineralized fraction of the total mass degraded. Mineralization fractions were 0.22, 0.20, and 0.34 after 6, 12, and 32 h, respectively (Figure SSB, Supporting Information).

The cumulative phenanthrene mineralization curve is shown in Figure 2, together with a blowup of the first 9 h, where the flux is well-approximated by a linear function of time. Linear regression up to 9 h gives the initial mineralization flux, and this was normalized to the starting biomass ($3.5 \times 10^7$ cfu mL$^{-1}$) to give a specific initial mineralization flux of $2.19 \times 10^{-4}$ μg h$^{-1}$ (10$^6$ cfu)$^{-1}$ (Table 1). The initial specific biodegradation flux was $1.10 \times 10^{-3}$ μg h$^{-1}$ (10$^6$ cfu)$^{-1}$ (Table 1), calculated using the initial mineralization flux and mineralization fraction of 0.20 measured at 12 h. The steady-state dissolved concentration for the first 9 h was calculated using the abiotic release rate constant of 4.899 h$^{-1}$ and initial degradation rate from above (see eq 6). This was $4.57$ μg L$^{-1}$ and equivalent to 53% of the equilibrium partitioning value (Table S1, Supporting Information). Specific first-order rate constants were calculated by normalizing the above mineralization and biodegradation fluxes to the calculated steady-state dissolved concentration and were $4.79 \times 10^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (10$^6$ cfu)$^{-1}$ for mineralization and $2.42 \times 10^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ (10$^6$ cfu)$^{-1}$ for degradation (Table 1).

The cumulative phenanthrene mineralization curve displayed a gradual flattening with increasing time (Figure 2), indicating a progressive reduction in the mineralization fluxes. The initial flux over the first 9 h was compared to the final flux calculated for the period 24–31.7 h, with a decrease by a factor of 2.3 being observed. Reasons for this are discussed below.

**Biodegradation versus Dissolved Concentrations.** In the unincubated controls no $^{14}$C activity was found in suspension or as $^{14}$CO$_2$ and $^{14}$C PAH was only measured in the O-ring (data not shown). In the blank O-ring controls $^{14}$C was not detected in any phase (data not shown). Table S2 (Supporting Information) shows the $^{14}$C mass balances for the different compounds and levels. Mean mass balances were 96%
(range 81–109%) for phenanthrene and 98% (range 88–110%) for fluoranthene. For the 2.48 mg L\(^{-1}\) O-ring concentration of fluoranthene (\(\times 0.001\) fraction of saturation), the masses recovered in the O-rings were low and variable, indicating an error in the loading or extraction step. This treatment has not been included in the discussion below.

Depletion of the silicone at 9 and 32 h is shown in Table S3 (Supporting Information), with depletion consistently being higher at lower dissolved concentrations. Depletion after 9 h was between 1.4 and 26.3% for phenanthrene and lower at between 0.9 and 6.1% for fluoranthene. After 32 h, depletion was correspondingly higher: for phenanthrene between 2.5 and 45.9% and for fluoranthene between 1.8 and 14.0%. The fractions of the total mass of phenanthrene and fluoranthene degraded ending up as CO\(_2\) were also calculated. For phenanthrene these ranged between 0.20 and 0.44, whereas for fluoranthene these were slightly higher at between 0.31 and 0.74 (Table S3, Supporting Information).

Specific mineralization fluxes were calculated using linear regression of the data up to 9 h (Figure S6a,b, Supporting Information) and normalizing to the initial biomass (4.31 \(\times\) 10\(^8\) cfu mL\(^{-1}\)). For phenanthrene these increased by a factor of 219 from 2.83 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) to 6.20 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) \(\mu\)g h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) and for fluoranthene by a factor of 313 from 9.06 \(\times\) 10\(^{-7}\) to 2.84 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) \(\mu\)g h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) (Table 1 and Figure 3a). These were converted into initial specific biodegradation fluxes using the mineralization fractions given in Table S3 (Supporting Information) and ranged between 7.48 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) and 3.09 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) \(\mu\)g h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) for phenanthrene and between 1.22 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) and 9.62 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) \(\mu\)g h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) for fluoranthene (Table 1). Therefore, the calculated fluxes did not increase proportionally to the dissolved concentrations, i.e., a factor 10 increase in the concentrations did not lead to a factor ten increase in the fluxes. This is also evident from the total masses degraded after 9 h shown in Table S5 (Supporting Information). Although these increased at the higher concentrations, this was not by a constant factor and by less than the corresponding increase in dissolved concentrations. This implies that at higher concentrations mineralization and degradation were relatively less effective. It is not clear whether this is due to the maximum enzyme activity \(v_{max}\) being approached or due to other reasons, such as toxicity or limiting nutrient availability, at higher concentrations.

Calculated steady-state dissolved concentrations for the initial linear phase ranged between 15 and 80% for phenanthrene and between 21 and 64% for fluoranthene of the equilibrium partitioning values (Table S1, Supporting Information). These approached equilibrium values more closely at higher concentrations, in line with the lower biodegradation fluxes. Finally, the above fluxes were normalized to the calculated steady-state dissolved concentrations to give specific first-order rate constants (Table 1 and Figure 3b), and these decreased with increasing dissolved concentrations. Mineralization first-order rate constants decreased by a factor of 176 from 1.66 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) to 9.24 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) for phenanthrene and by a factor of 50 from 1.56 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) to 3.15 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) for fluoranthene. The corresponding decrease in biodegradation first-order rate constants was by a factor of 93 from 4.39 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) to 4.70 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) for phenanthrene and by a factor of 21 from 2.10 \(\times\) 10\(^{-1}\) to 1.02 \(\times\) 10\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) (10\(^6\) cfu\(^{-1}\)) for fluoranthene (Table 1). A direct comparison between the two PAHs is not possible, since the tested degraded concentrations were not identical, but the overall trend was that the first-order rate constants were slightly higher for fluoranthene. It might appear counterintuitive that despite the slightly higher rate constants observed for fluoranthene the depletion of the silicone was lower. However, this reflects the increased partitioning of the more hydrophobic fluoranthene to the silicone, such that it is a more efficient buffer.

![Figure 3](figure-url)
A study investigating the biodegradation of below-aqueous solubility concentrations by the strain EPA0511 reported first-order biodegradation rate constants of $4.18 \times 10^{-4} \text{ h}^{-1}$ (10^6 cells)^{-1} for phenanthrene and $5.92 \times 10^{-4} \text{ h}^{-1}$ (10^6 cells)^{-1} for fluoranthene. These are lower than the values determined in this study, but the reasons are difficult to elucidate since there are fundamental differences in the depletion and dynamic passive dosing approaches. With the substrate depletion approach, biodegradation kinetics are inferred from the decrease in substrate mass and described by a single first-order rate constant. However, the effective concentrations decrease during the experiment. In contrast, with dynamic passive dosing, the biodegradation activity is measured at dissolved concentrations that are well-defined and relatively constant. Therefore, the measured rates can be related to a well-defined bioavailability metric, in this study given by the steady-state dissolved concentrations.

All cumulative mineralization curves showed a gradual flattening as the experiment progressed, indicating a progressive decrease in the mineralization fluxes (Figure S6a,b, Supporting Information). The initial fluxes over the first 9 h were compared to the final fluxes between 21 and 32 h. For phenanthrene, the latter were 2.1–4.4 times lower, whereas for fluoranthene they were 1.2–2.7 times lower. Although these decreases were in general higher for phenanthrene, no trend could be determined with, for example, dissolved concentrations or total mass degraded. Data from initial tests with an increased sampling resolution showed this to be a gradual decrease (data not shown).

Progressive depletion of PAH in the silicone will lead to a concurrent decrease in dissolved concentrations and thus also the mineralization fluxes (see modeling section). However, PAH depletion of the silicone was less than 25% in all experiments (Table S3, Supporting Information), which cannot explain the observed decreases. The high permeability and solubility of the PAHs in the silicone means that mass exchange is controlled by diffusion in the aqueous BL, and thus no concentration gradients develop within the silicone that might lead to decreased mineralization. Oxygen limitations are also unlikely. The calculated mass of oxygen per vial was 28.4 μmol (0.5 μmol in the 1 mL of water and 27.9 μmol in the 3 mL of headspace). Table S5 (Supporting Information) shows the masses of PAHs degraded for the different experiments, and for these to be completely mineralized would require only 0.003–1.57 μmol of oxygen in the phenanthrene experiments and 0.0003–0.50 μmol of oxygen in the fluoranthene experiments. Note also that the vials were opened to sample the KOH, replenishing the headspace. Essential nutrients were initially present in excess in the medium. Furthermore, any effects of nutrient limitation should be more pronounced with an increase in the total amount mineralized, which was not observed. Similarly, the accumulation of toxic metabolites can be ruled out, since inhibition should also be more severe with increased mineralization. The 10% attachment of the bacterial cells to the silicone surface has a number of implications. A high percentage surface coverage could reduce the silicone surface area available for exchange or alter mass transfer to the bacterial cells. However, the bacteria were sparsely distributed as isolated cells on the silicone surface (Figure S4, Supporting Information) such that any reduction in the substrate uptake flux is negligible. Furthermore, the temporal development in attachment (before 3 h) does not tally in any way with the observed decrease in rates (after 9 h). A reduction in PAH degradation capability, either because of cell death or inactivation of PAH catabolic machinery, could cause a decrease in rates. Starvation might be expected to be more pronounced at lower dissolved concentrations, where the masses degraded were lower (Table S4, Supporting Information), but this was not reflected in the measured decreases. In contrast, the intrinsic death rate of the degrading microbial population would impact all treatments equally and thus lead to a similar decrease in the fluxes, as was in fact observed. In any case, future efforts might be directed at investigating the underlying causes, with a view to further optimizing the passive dosing approach to study biotransformation in longer term experiments.

**Steady-State Dissolved Concentrations.** Knowledge of the dissolved concentrations that the biotransforming cells are exposed to is needed to understand bioavailability—rate relationships and calculate first-order rate constants. With passive dosing, dissolved concentrations can be precisely defined, which is particularly critical at very low concentrations, where direct analytical measurement is not feasible. However, this requires that setup properties do not change, i.e., minimal mass depletion in the polymer and constant biocatalytical properties.

The 14C mass balances of the uninoculated phenanthrene and fluoranthene controls indicate negligible abiotic losses of either compound (Table S2, Supporting Information). During active biotranformation, PAH depletion of the silicone after 9 h ranged between 1.4 and 26.3% for phenanthrene and between 0.9 and 6.1% for fluoranthene (Table S3, Supporting Information). Therefore, apart from the two lowest phenanthrene concentrations, PAH masses in the silicone decreased by less than 7%. Such depletion is minor, particularly compared to the factor 10^4 difference in dissolved concentrations tested. Partitioning inputs were thus considered constant, with the O-rings functioning effectively as a buffer to allow high compound turnover while slowing the decrease in dissolved concentrations. Although an important driving force in this study was to have a small silicone reservoir to minimize use of costly 14C substrate, the reservoir could easily be increased to allow more compound turnover but with less depletion.

Growth of the bacteria would progressively increase the consumptive flux but can be discounted by a simple carbon mass balance. Assuming the dry weight of a bacterial cell to be 0.3 pg and that 50% of this is carbon, initial bacterial carbon in the 1 mL inoculating suspension of the biodegradation experiments was 64.7 μg of C. The masses of phenanthrene or fluoranthene degraded are shown in Table S4 (Supporting Information). Assuming a yield coefficient of 0.5 g of PAH (g of biomass)^{-1}, biomass could have maximally increased by between 0.01 to 4.7 μg of C for phenanthrene between the lowest and highest concentrations. For fluoranthene, the respective increases would have been between 0.0005 and 1.2 μg of C. For the pilot study, initial bacterial carbon was 5.2 μg of C, compared to a maximum potential increase of only 0.17 μg of C. Therefore, a significant increase in biomass, and thus also enzyme content, can be ruled out for all experiments. However, a simple solution to completely avoid growth would have been, after some washings, to suspend the cells in phosphate-buffered saline. Note that biomass can also decrease when conditions are unfavorable, e.g., when substrate turnover is insufficient for maintenance or concentrations are toxic.

Since any decrease in partitioning inputs due to depletion of the silicone was minor, and biomass did not increase significantly, steady-state dissolved concentrations during the linear
mineralization phase were calculated by assuming constant inputs and losses using eq 6 and are shown in Table S1 (Supporting Information). In a steady-state situation, a concentration gradient exists in the aqueous BL adjacent to the silicone surface, and the bulk aqueous phase is homogeneous albeit with lower concentrations than equilibrium partitioning levels. Bacteria residing on the silicone surface will therefore be exposed to a concentration gradient, whereas those in suspension will experience a homogeneous dissolved environment. In this study, only 10% of the bacterial cells were found associated with the silicone surface (Figure S3, Supporting Information), and the bulk suspension steady-state dissolved concentrations are therefore the appropriate bioavailability metric. In addition to uptake from the aqueous phase, attached bacterial cells might obtain additional substrate directly from the silicone. This will depend on factors such as contact area, exchange kinetics, and enzyme saturation phenomena, but again this is likely negligible in this study because of the minor proportion of bacteria on the silicone. Nevertheless, direct substrate uptake from the polymer should always be considered.

Further Applications of Dynamic Passive Dosing in Biotransformation Studies. The dynamic passive dosing approach has potential for studying the biotransformation of hydrophobic compounds. Indeed, just recently a related polymer dosing based system has been applied for studying the in vitro biotransformation of hydrophobic chemicals by rat liver S9 homogenates. Here, biotransformation kinetics are calculated from the decrease in polymer and/or dissolved concentrations with reference to an inactivated control. Biotransformation rates determined with this approach were found to be 2 times higher than conventional solvent spiking.

With passive dosing cosolvent spiking is avoided, the buffering capacity of the polymer allows a high compound turnover even at very low dissolved concentrations, and with knowledge of the input and loss kinetics of the setup, these are defined even if analytical confirmation is not possible. Dissolved concentration can be controlled to any level from aqueous solubility down to the lowest concentration gradient, whereas those in suspension will experience a homogeneous dissolved environment. In this study, only 10% of the bacterial cells were found associated with the silicone surface (Figure S3, Supporting Information), and the bulk suspension steady-state dissolved concentrations are therefore the appropriate bioavailability metric. In addition to uptake from the aqueous phase, attached bacterial cells might obtain additional substrate directly from the silicone. This will depend on factors such as contact area, exchange kinetics, and enzyme saturation phenomena, but again this is likely negligible in this study because of the minor proportion of bacteria on the silicone. Nevertheless, direct substrate uptake from the polymer should always be considered.

In this study, bacterial mineralization kinetics of single HOCs were chosen to illustrate the approach. Other application areas include enzymatic biotransformations, investigating compound thresholds for cell maintenance, or gene activation and abiotic transformation reactions. Further interesting possibilities include mixture biotransformation, where by varying the compound concentrations in the polymer the dissolved concentrations of each mixture component can be independently controlled. Finally, passive dosing might be used in a simpler manner to investigate metabolic pathways. Here, compound turnover can be maximized by increasing the size of the dosing reservoir to enhance metabolite production for ease of analysis. A potential issue with silicone is attachment of the biocatalyst to the silicone surface. This might be circumvented by treating the silicone surface to reduce attachment or alternatively, other polymers might also be considered as the dosing phase.

Particularly critical in dynamic passive dosing is the correct dimensioning, starting with sizing the polymer reservoir to achieve the required compromise between substrate depletion and end point measurement. Also important is balancing the polymer release and biotransformation kinetic, so that well-defined steady-state dissolved concentrations close to the equilibrium partitioning values can be attained for robust use as the bioavailability metric. When bulk concentrations are close to equilibrium partitioning levels, the distribution of HOC substrate in the aqueous phase can be considered homogeneous and the issue of attachment of the biotransforming agent to the silicone surface is less critical. This can be achieved by either increasing the delivery flux from the silicone and/or reducing biotransformation by reducing the amount of degrading cells or enzymes.
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Tables in the Supporting Information shows the dissolved concentrations, 14C mass balances, depletion of the silicone O-rings, and the masses degraded for the biodegradation experiments. Figures show the setup, O-ring release kinetics, distribution of strain EPA505 cells on the silicone surface, 14C distribution from the phenanthrene pilot study, and the cumulative mineralisation curves for phenanthrene and fluoranthene. Finally, full details of the chemicals and materials and O-ring loading procedure and validation are presented. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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